HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

11/20/03 - 6:00 P.M. CITY OF MIAMI CITY HALL - CHAMBERS 3500 Pan American Drive MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

- I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER</u> 28, 2003.
- II. NEW BUSINESS:
 - A. CAPITAL PLAN PRESENTATION BY JORGE CANO.
 - **B. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:**
 - Site furnishings at Jose Marti Park.
 - Court renovations at Moore Park.

UPDATES:

- 1. Grapeland Park Site Furnishings.
- 2. Grove Mini Park Playground.
- 3. Pine Heights Park Playground.
- 4. Melrose Park Fencing Project.
- 5. Triangle Park Fencing Project.
- 6. Ballet Gamonet at the Alfred I. Dupont Building.
- 7. Memorial Linear Park Project.
- 8. Jose Marti Park New Recreation Building.
- III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:
- IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD MINUTES

10/28/03 - 6:00 P.M.
CITY OF MIAMI
CITY HALL - CHAMBERS
3500 Pan American Drive
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

The meeting was called to order at 6:13 p.m., with the following members present:

Rolando Aedo
Mariano Cruz
Robert A. Flanders (Chairman)
Walter Harvey
David E. Marko
Suzanne Peters
Jami Reyes
Manolo Reyes (Vice Chairman)
Luis de Rosa

Absent:

Sonny Armbrister Luis Cabrera Steven Caceres Ringo Cayard Gary Reshefsky Ronda Vangates

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER</u> 30. 2003.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-86

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003.

MOVED: M. CRUZ SECONDED: D. MARKO

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA; S. CACERES;

R. CAYARD; G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. JORGE CANO ADDRESSES THE BOARD.

Mr. Cano updated the Board on the Little Haiti community meeting held at Edison Middle School on September 22, 2003. The consultants preparing the master plan for the recreational and cultural components of the Little Haiti Park made a presentation at the meeting. Mr. Cano expressed thanks to Jami Reyes for the pro bono work she did to ensure the meeting was a success in the area of media relations. He also recognized Danette Perez and Kelli da Silva, who did an outstanding job of putting the loose ends together to make the event successful.

Mr. Cano addressed the Board re an RFO in the works for an ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) transition plan, which ties into much of the programming/accessibility issues for City parks. Eight responses have been received so far, and Selection Committee the is reviewing the responses and preparations are underway interview all consulting firms that submitted packages. Phase I of the transition plan involves accessibility surveys for at least 33 neighborhood parks that have improvements. The surveys will include all improvements on the well as right-of-way immediately parks as surrounding the parks. Mr. Cano suggested that

rather than billing through one lump sum to one account, perhaps billing could be done on a proportional basis with individual line items as each neighborhood park. The survey will address all ADA issues at neighborhood parks as opposed to regional parks. Another possible way to fund this work is through Homeland funds which provide for a master plan for parks. agreed with Chairman Flanders that by hiring one complete the single firm to scope of involved in this project, the City would realize an economy of scale, as opposed to doing the work piecemeal.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-87

A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF FUNDING REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ) RELATED TO THE ADA (AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT) TRANSITION PLAN; SAID FUNDING TO BEAPPROPRIATED SPECIFICALLY FROM THE LINE ITEM WITHIN HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD **IMPROVEMENT** BOND FUNDS DEDICATED TO THE PARKS MASTER PLAN.

MOVED: M. CRUZ
SECONDED: W. HARVEY
ABSTAINED: D. MARKO

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;
G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

In the area of surveys and parks, Mr. informed the Board of the number of large park improvement/renovation projects that range at or above \$1,000,000. Mr. Cano suggested to the Board that before doing a program analysis, master plan and site utilization, a survey should done. Mr. Cano requested the Board consider some type of discretionary resolution that would allow the Department of Improvements to move forward and secure necessary surveys without going through the BOB, then the Commission, et cetera, and instead, going through the Audit Committee and if there is unanimous approval by the Audit Committee that the CIP Department be allowed to move forward in securing the surveying firm so that the process is not delayed.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-88

A MOTION AUTHORIZING THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD **IMPROVEMENT** BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD ("THE BOB") TO REVIEW REOUESTS TO APPROPRIATE BOND **FUNDS** BY DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL **IMPROVEMENTS** (CIP); PROGRAM FURTHER, WHEN UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL IS MADE BY SUBCOMMITTEE FOR ANY PARTICULAR CIP AUDIT PROJECT, THE CIP DIRECTOR WOULD THEN HAVE AUTHORITY TO APPROPRIATE BOND FUNDS AND BEGIN WORK ON THE PROJECT WITHOUT THENECESSITY MAKING A PRESENTATION BEFORE THE BOB; A MEMBER OF THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE NOTIFY THE BOB ONΑ MONTHLY BASIS ITS UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF PARTICULAR PROJECTS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF RATIFYING THE ACTION TAKEN BY THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE; FURTHER, WHEN THERE IS NO UNANIMOUS APPROVAL OF APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR A PARTICULAR PROJECT BY THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE, THE CIP DIRECTOR WOULD BE REQUIRED TO MAKE A PRESENTATION BEFORE THE BOB IN ORDER TO GAIN A RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL FOR THE PROJECT; FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE REQUEST BY THE CIP RELATING THE DIRECTOR TO APPROPRIATION APPROXIMATELY \$15,000-\$35,000 OF BOB PROVIDE A SURVEY OF NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS WITHIN THE UPON OF MIAMI, AND BASED Α UNANIMOUS APPROVAL OF THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE, THE BOB WILL RATIFY THE RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL OF APPROPRIATION.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: R. AEDO

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

B. RAFAEL O. DIAZ ADDRESSES THE BOARD REGARDING FUTURE BILLINGS BY BOND COUNSEL.

Assistant City Attorney Diaz reviewed with the Board a draft of a pending resolution related to the handling of future billings by bond counsel.

The resolution will be presented to the City Commission for approval in the near future. Essentially, the resolution entails the continuous hiring of bond counsel to answer questions, as they have been doing all along, that are either generated by the BOB or by the CIP.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-89

A MOTION RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY CONTINUE TO ENGAGE THE LAW FIRM OF SOUIRE, SANDERS AND DEMPSEY, LLP, TO PROVIDE ONGOING BOND COUNSEL SERVICES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$35,000, IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS FROM GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY MIAMI FOR HOMELAND SECURITY, NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS, CAPITAL PROJECTS AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS, ALLOCATING FUNDS FROM THE HOMELAND DEFENSE BONDS ACCOUNT.

MOVED: M. CRUZ SECONDED: M. REYES

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;
G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

C. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT (DAVID MARKO):

Coral View Project – The Aston.

Presentation by Dianne Johnson of the CIP Dept. and Adrienne Pardo of the law firm of Greenberg, Traurig. Funding District 4 (Commissioner Regalado) Quality of Life funds. Description of project: Streetscape improvements from S.W. Terrace between 29th and 31st Avenues; construction of curb; filling of potholes and landscaping along right-of-way. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval of this project at its October 20, 2003 meeting.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-90

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CORAL VIEW PROJECT --THE ASTON, SAID RECOMMENDATION CONDITIONED UPON THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS (CIP) BRINGING TO THE ATTENTION COMMISSIONER REGALADO, WHOSE OUALITY OF LIFE FUNDS WILL BEALLOCATED THE PROJECT, THE CONCERN THAT THERE MAY BE A DECREASE DRAMATIC IN PARKING ΙN THE NEIGHBORHOOD SURROUNDING THE PROJECT AS A RESULT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$192,631 DISTRICT 4 QUALITY OF LIFE FUNDS BEALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT; FURTHER REQUESTING THAT COMMISSIONER REGALADO ENGAGE THE DEVELOPER IN TRYING TO DEVISE A MORE CREATIVE DESIGN FOR THE PROJECT THAT WOULD NOT CAUSE A DECREASE IN PARKING AND THAT MEETS THE AESTHETIC REQUIREMENTS OF ALL INTERESTED PARTIES; FURTHER, THAT THE RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL IS CONDITIONED UPON THE CIP STAFF MONITORING EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS REGARDING THIS PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT, IN CONNECTION WITH IMPROVEMENTS MADE THEFRONT OF THEPROPERTY TΟ DEVELOPED, SAID IMPROVEMENTS WILL IN NO WAY BE FUNDED BY DISTRICT 4 OUALITY OF LIFE FUNDS NOR BY ANY OTHER CIP FUNDS.

MOVED: D. MARKO SECONDED: M. CRUZ

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Curtis Park NET Office Addition.

Juan Ordonez of the CIP Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount for this project: \$312,500. Source of funds: Homeland Bond - Neighborhood Park

Improvements-Curtis Park NET Addition. Description of project: Design and build an addition to the existing recreation building. \$210,000 is needed to cover construction costs and \$45,500 is estimated for expenses and miscellaneous design costs to be incurred by the City. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval this project at it October 9, Construction drawings for this meeting. project were done in-house by the CIP Dept. Existing restrooms will be remodeled to make them compliant with ADA accessibility will requirements. Other adjustments made all around the building, to make the total building ADA compliant. The Park currently operates the building. Ιt is estimated that construction will be completed in approximately 120 days.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-91

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD THE IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CURTIS PARK ADDITION PROJECT; BUILDING NET OFFICE FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$312,500 HD/NIB FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED: D. MARKO SECONDED: M. REYES

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion pass by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition.

Madeline Valdes of the Economic Development Dept. and Fernando Paiva of the CIP Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount for this project: \$670,000. Source of funds: Homeland Bond -- Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition and Development. Description of the project: Acquire Lot #68

(\$225,000) plus over appraisal, which will require a 4/5ths vote of the City Commission. A rag shop warehouse is currently located on the site. Estimated condemnation costs: This site will be used for the black million. box theater component of the park. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval of project at its October 20, 2003 meeting. date, the total amount allocated for land acquisition and development is approximately The lot in question is not \$7.68 million. immediately adjacent to the other lots acquired, but the vision is that eventually, the master plan for the area, through future land acquisitions and development plans will link all of the areas, even though they may not be contiguous.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-92

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE LITTLE HAITI PARK - LAND ACQUISITION - 254 N.E. 59^{TH} TERRACE PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$670,000 OF HD/NIB FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED: M. CRUZ SECONDED: L. de ROSA

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Playground and site furnishings at Shenandoah Park.

Ed Blanco of the Parks Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount for this project: \$175,000. Source of funds: Homeland Bond - Neighborhood Park Improvements and Acquisitions. Description the project: 5,980 square foot playground pad for new and playground, removal of existing playground play curbs and access pad tiles, and new playground equipment; install exercise equipment and supply trash receptacles. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval of this project at its October 20, 2003 meeting. Development of this project will not proceed until an estimate is received regarding cost of renovation of the pool building and daycare center at the park, to ensure there are sufficient funds available to renovate those areas of the park before proceeding with the playground equipment project.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-93

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BYTHE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE SHENANDOAH PARK -PLAYGROUND/EQUIPMENT PROJECT AND THE SHENANDOAH PARK - SITE FURNISHINGS PROJECT; \$175,000 FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT ТО HD/NIB **FUNDS** BEALLOCATED THE PLAYGROUND/EQUIPMENT PROJECT AND \$13,000 OF FUNDS BEALLOCATED HD/NIB TO THE SITE FURNISHINGS PROJECT.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: W. HARVEY

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Site furnishings at Coral Gate Park.

Ed Blanco of the Parks Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount \$60,000. for this project: Source of funding: Homeland Bond - Park Improvements Acquisitions. Description and project: Construct Fit Center construct picnic area, concrete pad, remove existing playground play curbs and concrete curbing, construct with ADA Audit cutouts. The Subcommittee

recommended approval of the project at its October 20, 2003 meeting.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-94

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CORAL GATE PARK - SITE FURNISHINGS PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$60,000 OF HD/NIB FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: W. HARVEY

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Site furnishings at Jose Marti Park.

Ed Blanco of the Parks Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount for this project: \$25,000. Source of funds: Homeland Bond - Neighborhood Park Improvements and Acquisitions. Description of the project: Install bleachers, benches, solid steel fan, double rim basketball hoop with super chain, removal of current basketball backboards and rims. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval of the project at its October 20, 2003 meeting.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-95

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE JOSE MARTI PARKSITE FURNISHINGS PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$25,000 BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: W. HARVEY

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;
G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Building renovations at Hadley Park.

Ed Blanco of the Parks Dept. addressed the Board re this project. Total dollar amount of the project: \$29,000. Source of funds: Homeland Bond _ Neighborhood Improvements and Acquisitions. Description the project: Removal of existing lockers; installation of new lockers. existing lockers are metal and corroding. The new lockers to be installed are hard plastic lockers which will not corrode. The Audit Subcommittee recommended approval of the project at its October 20, 2003 meeting.

HD/NIB 03-96

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE HADLEY PARK - POOL BUILDING RENOVATIONS PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$29,000 OF HD/NIB FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THE PROJECT.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: W. HARVEY

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA;

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

UPDATES (DAVID MARKO):

Land acquisition behind Tower Theatre 1501 SW 9 Street.

The Audit Subcommittee some months ago requested that Jose Casanova of the Planning Dept. come before the Board and provide an update.

Jose Casanova addressed the Board regarding this issue. The structure now occupying the land was built in 1939. ADA accessibility is an issue of concern with this structure. GSA, Building and Zoning, Planning and CIP Depts. are evaluating the structure. An evaluation report should be ready in approximately 45 days.

2. Professional Service Agreement for Shenandoah Park.

The park originally asked for funds to resurface a basketball court, but the courts will be eliminated will now be used by the Fire-Rescue Dept. for a temporary Rescue Station. Existing courts flood and are regulation courts, and the CIP Dept. would like to demolish and rebuild. Approximately \$137,000 was spent for design. Parking design will included in the scope of the project. be will Drawings done approximately two weeks. Documents will be completed and bidding will Staff will in start. return six months request funds for the to actual construction.

3. Professional Service Agreement for Bryan Park.

The Audit Subommittee learned that there was a shortfall of funds 12 10/28/03

provided by District 4. The project is split into two parts: 1) Interior of park fee--\$13,000; and 2) Rightof-way fee-\$23,000. Funding \$100,000 came from District 4; \$38,000 from Parks Dept. for interior for right-of-way, and funding from Street Improvements. Staff should be coming back in January 2004 for construction money for interior Right-of-way improvements have work. not started, because a survey Construction drawings still needed. should be available in approximately six months.

4. Orange Bowl Stadium 2003 Structural Repairs.

Lawsuit is still ongoing. Construction started in September 2003. Football season started, which limited progress of work to underneath the stadium. Current construction is satisfactory. When the football season ends, full construction will begin.

Additional Concerns:

It is the opinion of the Audit Subcommittee that rather than continuing the procedure of having project updates come before the Audit Subcommittee, the updates should come before the entire BOB.

At the last Audit Subcommittee meeting, a discussion was had concerning the lack of attendance the Audit Subcommittee at meetings, and the negative impact it will have on the Board, much as the knowledge about various projects, and recommendations. various considerations and detailed information is centralizing on a very few amount of people who do attend the Audit Subcommittee meetings. Board Member Marko suggested that as a

result of poor attendance, the continuity of information by the Board would be lost or housed exclusively with staff. further expressed his belief that collective knowledge of the Board should remain with the Board as opposed to with Не prevailed upon Chairman Flanders to reach out to the Mayor and Commissioners to try to qet involvement at the BOB and at the Audit Subcommittee where much of the collective memory and hard core work is achieved.

Board Member Aedo suggested that the makeup of the Audit Subcommittee should be done on a rotational basis, so that every BOB member would have the opportunity to be a part of the core group of people involved in the Audit Subcommittee.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Discussion of Bid Process.

Chairman Flanders advised t.hat. development of the BOB, in the growth of the CIP Dept., in the commissioning of projects, something has been uncovered which undermining the success of all efforts, and that is the bidding process. process, as it's laid out, is a product of It's a product affirmative action. minority set-asides and a product of the City being required, by its own statute, to accept what is called the lowest bid, and ending up with products, in the areas that most need them -- depressed areas -- where doors are falling of hinges, concrete falling, etc. So good money is being used to develop needed projects, but because the bid process is so flawed, the quality of the finished product is very poor. At some point, we have to determine what's important -- following blindly a process that's been set into motion, or being truly concerned about the greater good, which is a project which remains whole over a period of

years and services the community towards which it was targeted.

Perhaps a committee should be formed to study the bid process. After that committee has done its work, the BOB could review whatever findings the committee makes, and then perhaps make a recommendation to the City Commission for whatever changes in the bidding process might be necessary.

Assistant City Attorney Diaz warned the BOB that it might be overstepping its bounds, in considering matters which are outside the purview of the BOB to consider. The BOB has absolutely nothing to do with the procurement process. The procurement process is the role of the City Commission.

Members of the BOB suggested that feedback from the Mayor and City Commission would be necessary as regards this issue, and perhaps the Mayor or Commission could appoint a committee to study this issue.

CIP Director Cano recommended that a member of the Procurement Dept. should be invited address the Board as to the present procurement process, whatever decision the BOB makes re this issue will not be made based on false impressions or incorrect information.

CIP Director Cano further suggested that the BOB should soon recognize a change in the way the current staff is approaching the bidding and the procurement process. A good example of this change can be seen in the Fern Island project, where the lowest bidder was not awarded the bid, because the lowest bidder did not meet the specific criteria necessary to do the best job, which was determined due to the tightening up of bid documents by the CIP. He suggested that a lot of the problem had more to do with tightening up bid documents than with the process itself.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-97

MOTION THAT THEHOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVER SIGHT BOARD ("THE BOB") ENDORSES THE CONCEPT THAT THE CITY OF MIAMI'S BID PROCESS SHOULD BE REVIEWED TO DETERMINE ITS FEASIBILITY AND WORTHINESS; FURTHER THAT THE BOB AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE SHOULD MEET WITH THE MAYOR AND CITY MANAGER TO FURTHER DISCUSS THE CONCEPT.

MOVED: D. MARKO SECONDED: L. de ROSA

ABSENT: S. ARMBRISTER; L. CABRERA

S. CACERES; R. CAYARD;

G. RESHEFSKY; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

Chairman Flanders informed the BOB that the November meeting of the BOB has been changed from Tuesday, November 25, 2003 to Thursday, November 20, 2003.

CIP Director Cano informed the BOB that on Friday, November 7, 2003 from 8:30 to 12 noon a Capital Plan Workshop will be held with the members of the City Commission, and all BOB members are invited to attend. Chairman Flanders will be out of town on that date, but he encouraged all BOB members to attend.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:31 p.m.

Homeland Defense/Neighborhood Improvement Bond Program Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds

Summary Sheet by Approved Project

	1	A STATE OF THE STA	В	Ċ	B less C	D	D+B	
CIP		Total Bond Authorization	Total Authorization from First Series	Total Designations from First Series	Balance Pending to be Designated	Amount Spent As of: 10/31/2003	% Spent from First Series	% Spent of Designations
No.	Public Calety	Authorization	HOIII LIIST SALIES	HOM HIS GOINGS	Doorginates	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- ' '
242042	Public Safety Police Training Facility	10,000,000	10,000,000	4,500,000	5,500,000	-	0.0%	0.0%
312043 312048	Police Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiative	5,500,000	1,000,000	296,480	703,520	77,756	7.8%	26.2%
313305	Fire-Rescue Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiative	5,500,000	1,500,000	1,499,584	416	359,991	24.0%	24.0%
313306	Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility	10,000,000	5,500,000	629,426	4,870,574	8,292	0.2%	1.3%
313300	Total Public Safety	31,000,000	18,000,000	6,925,490	11,074,510	446,039	2.5%	6.4%
	Parks and Recreation					200 405	3.3%	8.5%
331412	Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition & Development	25,000,000	20,000,000	7,853,202	12,146,798	669,125	0.2%	0.1%
331416	Virginia Key Park Improvements	5,000,000	1,000,000	1,109,830	(109,830)	1,651 433,429	8.7%	59.6%
331418	Bicentennial Park Improvements	10,000,000	5,000,000	727,664	4,272,336	1,613,440	64.5%	64.5%
333104	Pace Park Improvements	4,000,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	7,790,471	810,702	5.2%	10.5%
331419	Neighborhood Park Improve, & Acq. (See Attachment)	31,000,000	15,500,000 1,700,000	7,709,529 1,707,447	(7,447)	54,867	3.2%	3.2%
326015	Citywide Waterfront Improvements	10,000,000	16,000,000	3,304,946	12,695,054	1,208,948	7.6%	36.6%
324002	Orange Bowl Stadium Ramps & Improvements	16,000,000 5,000,000	10,000,000	3,304,840	12,000,004	- 1,200,010		
N/A	Jose Marti/East Little Havana Parks Expansion Soccer Complex Development	10,000,000		····	-			
N/A	Marine Stadium Renovation	2,000,000		•	•	-		
N/A 333138	Fem Isle Cleanup and Renovation	9,000,000	1,580,000	619,321	960,679	521		-0.1%
333130	Total Parks and Recreation	127,000,000	63,280,000	25,531,940	37,748,060	4,792,683	7.6%	215.1%
	Streets and Drainage							
3 CIP's	Flagami Storm Water Mitigation (See Attachment)	. 10,000,000	10,000,000		10,000,000		0.0%	0.0%
341208	Grand Avenue Improvements	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	•	-	0.0%	0.0%
341127	Calle Ocho improvements	6,000,000	3,750,000	1,419,140	2,330,860	210,340	5.6%	14.8%
341126	Model City Infrastructure Improvements	6,000,000	4,000,000	2,815,133	1,184,867	-	0.0%	16.8%
341157	Design District/FEC Corridor Improvements	10,000,000	3,500,000	625,000	2,875,000	105,000	3.0%	3.8%
341210	Downtown Infrastructure Improvements	10,000,000	10,000,000	4,160,000	5,840,000	156,358 n	1.6%	3.0%
341213	Coral Way Improvements	3,000,000	1,500,000		1,500,000	0		
341214	NE 2nd Avenue Improvements (36 St. to 78 St.) Total Streets and Drainage	6,000,000 54,000,000	1,000,000 36,750,000	12,019,273	1,000,000 24,730,727	471,698	1.3%	3.9%
	Quality of Life							
311711	District 1 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	263,871.00	4,736,129	0	0.0%	0.0%
311712	District 2 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	3,000,000	491,477	2,508,523	63,448	2.1%	12.9%
311713	District 3 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	3,450,000	3,172,000	278,000	41,973	1.2%	1.3%
311714	District 4 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	844,454	4,155,547	191,197	3.8%	22.6%
311715	District 5 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	920,464	4,079,536	59,966	1.2%	6.5% 0.0%
341211	Greenways Improvements	2,000,000	1,500,000	275,000	1,225,000	-	0.0%	0.0%
341212	Neighborhood Gateways Improvements	4,000,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	·	-	0.076	0.076
N/A	Museum of Science	3,500,000			-	- 0		
N/A	Miami Art Museum Total Quality of Life	3,500,000 38,000,000	24,550,000	7,567,266	16,982,735	356,583	1.5%	4.7%
207004	Historic Preservation Historic Preservation Initiatives	5,000,000	2,750,000	807,500	1.942.500	251,578	9,1%	31.2%
327001	Total Historic Preservation	5,000,000	2,750,000	807,500	1,942,500	251,578	9.1%	31,2%
	i otal Historic Preservation	5,000,000	2,750,000	607,000	1,0-2,000	20,,0,0		
	Total Program	\$ 255,000,000	\$ 145,330,000	\$ 52,851,468	\$ 92,478,532	\$ 6,318,580	4.3%	12.0%

EXHIBIT D

Homeland Defense/Neighborhood Improvement Bond Program Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds

Summary Sheet by Approved Project

		Α	. 8	C	-Bless C	D	D+B	
		Total	Total	Total	Balance	Amount Spent		
CIP		Bond	Authorization	Designations	Pending to be	As of:	% Spent from	% Spent of
No.		Authorization	from First Series	from First Series	Designated	9/27/2003	First Series	Designations
	Public Safety							
312043	Police Training Facility	10,000,000	10,000,000	4,500,000	5,500,000		0.0%	0.0%
312048	Police Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiative	5,500,000	1,000,000	296,480	703,520	59,776	6.0%	20.2%
313305	Fire-Rescue Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiative	5,500,000	1,500,000	1,499,572	428	347,241	23.1%	23.2%
313306	Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility	10,000,000	5,500,000	629,426	4,870,574	2,764	0.1%	0.4%
	Total Public Safety	31,000,000	18,000,000	6,925,478	11,074,522	409,781	2.3%	5.9%
	Darks and Darwards							
224 440	Parks and Recreation							
331412	Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition & Development	25,000,000	20,000,000	7,680,696	12,319,304	521,210	2.6%	6.8%
331416 331418	Virginia Key Park Improvements Bicentennial Park Improvements	5,000,000	1,000,000	998,830	1,170	1,651	0.2%	0.2%
333104	Pace Park Improvements	10,000,000	5,000,000	647,616	4,352,384	353,381	7.1%	54.6%
331419	Neighborhood Park Improve, & Acq. (See Attachment)	4,000,000	2,500,000	2,500,000		1,613,440	64.5%	64.5%
326015	Citywide Waterfront Improvements	31,000,000	15,500,000	7,710,199	7,789,801	457,935	3.0%	5.9%
324002	Orange Bowl Stadium Ramps & Improvements	10,000,000 16,000,000	1,700,000	1,699,967	40.005.054	33,133	1.9%	1.9%
N/A	Jose Marti/East Little Havana Parks Expansion	5,000,000	16,000,000	3,304,946	12,695,054	1,045,475	6.5%	31.6%
N/A	Soccer Complex Development	10,000,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				and the state of t
N/A	Marine Stadium Renovation	2,000,000	· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
333138	Fern Isle Cleanup and Renovation	9,000,000	1,580,000	619,321	960,679	521		-0.1%
000100	Total Parks and Recreation	127,000,000	63,280,000	25,161,576	38,118,424	4,026,747	6.4%	221.3%
***	Total Fairs and Necreation	127,000,000	63,260,000	23,101,370	30,110,424	4,020,747	0.4%	221,3%
	Streets and Drainage							
3 CIP's	Flagami Storm Water Mitigation (See Attachment)	10,000,000	10.000.000		10.000.000		0.0%	0.0%
341208	Grand Avenue Improvements	3.000.000	3,000,000	3,000,000	10,000,000		0.0%	0.0%
341127	Calle Ocho Improvements	6,000,000	3,750,000	1,419,140	2,330,860	210,340	5.6%	14.8%
341126	Model City Infrastructure Improvements	6,000,000	4,000,000	2,815,133	1,184,867	210,340	0.0%	0.0%
341157	Design District/FEC Corridor Improvements	10,000,000	3,500,000	625.000	2.875.000	90,000	2.6%	14.4%
341210	Downtown Infrastructure Improvements	10,000,000	10,000,000	4,160,000	5,840,000	156,358	1.6%	3.8%
341213	Coral Way Improvements	3,000,000	1,500,000	4,100,000	1,500,000	130,330	1.070	3.078
341214	NE 2nd Avenue Improvements (36 St. to 78 St.)	6,000,000	1,000,000		1.000,000	0 -		
	Total Streets and Drainage	54,000,000	36,750,000	12,019,273	24,730,727	456.698	1.2%	3.8%
					21,.00,72.	100,000		
	Quality of Life							
311711	District 1 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	263,871.00	4,736,129	0	0.0%	0.0%
311712	District 2 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	3,000,000	491,477	2,508,523	18,212	0.6%	3.7%
311713	District 3 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	3,450,000	3,176,637	273,364	41,973	1.2%	1.3%
311714	District 4 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	844,454	4,155,547	186,628	3.7%	22.1%
311715	District 5 Neighborhood Quality of Life Improvements	5,000,000	5,000,000	920,464	4,079,536	59,966	1.2%	6.5%
341211	Greenways Improvements	2,000,000	1,500,000	275,000	1,225,000	-	0.0%	0.0%
341212	Neighborhood Gateways Improvements	4,000,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	-	-	0.0%	0.0%
N/A	Museum of Science	3,500,000	-		-	-		
N/A	Miami Art Museum	3,500,000			-	0		
	Total Quality of Life	38,000,000	24,550,000	7,571,902	16,978,098	306,778	1.2%	4.1%
	III-de de Branche							
	Historic Preservation							
327001	Historic Preservation Initiatives	5,000,000	2,750,000	807,500	1,942,500	246,578	9.0%	30.5%
	Total Historic Preservation	5,000,000	2,750,000	807,500	1,942,500	246,578	9.0%	30.5%
				4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
	Total Program	\$ 255,000,000	\$ 145,330,000	\$ 52,485,729	\$ 92,844,271	\$ 5,446,581	3.7%	10.4%



1. DATE: <u>11/20/03</u>	DISTRICT: 3
NAME OF PROJECT: JOSE MARTI PARK - SITE FURNISHINGS	
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation	
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER:Ed I	Sianco / 305.416.1253
RESOLUTION NUMBER: R-03-934 CIP/PROJECT NUMBER	331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:	
(IF APPL	ICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? \(\text{\text{\text{\$\subset\$2}}} \)	
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$10,000 (1.35 Million allocated, Estimated	current balance = \$799,817)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds - Parks & Recreation	
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419	1
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:	-
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):	
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget	
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provided input:Ed Blanco / Parks & Rec	creation
•	-
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Site Furnishings: (2) 32 Gallon Receptacles	Liners, Lids, (5) Picnic Tables, (2)
Benches. Vendor: Contract Connection, Inc.	
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A	
Approved by Audit Committee? YES \[\text{NO} \] N/A DATI	E APPROVED: 11/14/03
Approved by Audit Committee?	
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATI	E APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item	
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight I	
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial establis	hed scope? TYES NO If ves.
DESIGN COST:	
CONSTRUCTION COST:	- -
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO	
If not, have additional funds been identified? TES NO	
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?	E APPROVED:
	E APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE	
Individuals / Departments who provided input:	
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW M	UCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO	
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact A property by Commission? TYPE NO NA DAT	TE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE OF STREET	TE APPROVED:
11.pp10.ved 5/ 2 5110 5 1 5110 5 1	
6. COMMENTS: Commissioner Sanchez requested it. The real cost is	at oon paying our county
contract.	
B1 1 1 .	
APPROVAL: Mensel DATE BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD	'E:

51

HOMELAND DEFENSE / NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND FUNDS

NOVEMBER, 2003 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION PROPOSED PROJECT FUNDING

<u>PARK</u>	PROJECT	\$ REQUESTED	HLD BUDGET	INITIAL SCOPE	INITIATED BY
1. Jose Marti Park Si 351 S.W. 4 th Street	ite Furnishings	<u>\$10,000</u>	\$1.35 Million	(Includes Site Furnishings)	Staff/ Com. Sanchez
2. Moore Park Co 765 N.W. 36 th Street	ourt Renovations	<u>\$100,000</u>	\$1.35 Million	(Includes Building Renovation	s) Staff



1. DATE: 11/20/03 DISTRICT: DISTRICT
NAME OF PROJECT: MOORE PARK – COURT RENOVATIONS INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Fairs & Recleation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco / 305.416.1253
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER:
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? \(\overline{\text{YES}}\) \(\overline{\text{INO}}\) If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$ 100,000 (1.35 Million allocated, Estimated current balance = \$509,485)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds - Parks & Recreation
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Ed Blanco / Parks & Recreation</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Resurface 12 tennis courts: vendor: Agile Courts.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/14/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget?
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Have additional funds been identified?
Source(s) of additional funds:
· ·
Time impact
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
1
6. COMMENTS: Facility refurbished 3 years ago. Next March (2004) a professional event is planned with PTA
(Professional Tennis Association); work should be done by March for the event. Typical life of a court is 4.5 years.
Agile's bid is \$88,000; from County bid.
APPROVAL Mando Lefer DATE:
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials ⊠ YES ☐ NO



Agile Courts

CONSTRUCTION CO.

7335 S.W. 104 STREET . MIAMI, FLORIDA 33156 . PHONE (305) 667-1228 . FAX (305) 667-6959

ESTIMATE

CUSTOMER

City of Miami Parks Department 444 S. W. 2 Avenue, 8" Floor Miami, Florida 33128

Jose Cerdan Attri.

Fax # 416-2154

JOB LOCATION

NW 36" Street and 7" Avenue Miami, Florida

WORK TO BE PERFORMED

Resurface (12) tennis courts.

#1: Area approximately .. 4,800 square yards (north six courts).

#2 Area approximately 4,000 square yards (south five courts)

#3: Area approximately 800 square yards (clay court)

Agile Courts will.

#1 area, north end: Pressure clean as needed.

Patch damaged areas as necessary, apply Acrylic Resurfacer as needed

Apply (3) coats of LAYKOLD Colorcoat Concentrate over complete area (two-tone green) The two-tone color is included.

Line for tennis.

#2 area, south five courts: Scrape off existing rubber, surface, then dump

Overlay with one inch of asphalt.

Apply a (4) coat Acrylic surface (two-tone green)

Line for tennis

#3 area, clay court: Scrape and scarify court.

Roll and top dress with (50) bags HAR-TRU.

Furnish(1) LEE Proline tow roller and (1) AUSSIE Clean Sweep.

Broom and leave court ready for play

Total Fee

\$88,000.00

NOTE:

These courts are asphalt over concrete base. The cracks will return.

The above estimate is based on Dade County Bid # 1153-0/01 and Purchase Order # 99 00578-B The Actual amounts may vary depending on work performed and materials used, as directed by a City of Miam Pay Recreation Department representative

Agile Courts Construction Company

Brian E. Bauer, Vice President

November 2, 2003







1. DATE: _5/12/03
NAME OF PROJECT: GRAPELAND PARK – SITE FURNISHINGS
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco – 305.416.1253
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: _331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$25,000.00 for approval today (\$1,300,000.00 total amount)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense: Neighborhood Parks: Flagami NET Area Park: Grapeland Park
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP #: 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget To be discussed at meeting.
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Ed Blanco</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Site Furnishings, Mini Bleachers, Park will be subject to a new Master Plan.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/12/03
Approved by Audit Committeer Approved by Bond Oversight Board? MYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 11/12/03
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Subject to Maintenance and Operations budget line item.
1 (
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
APPROVAL: MOST JAMES DATE: 2003 / /
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



1. DATE: _5/12/03 NAME OF PROJECT: GROVE MINI PARK – PLAY GROUND
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco - 305. 416. 1253 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$22,500.00 for approval today (\$40,000.00 total amount) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense: Neighborhood Parks; East Little Havana NET Area Park; Grove Mini Park
ACCOUNT CODE(S):CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget To be discussed at Meeting.
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: On the impact N/A DATE APPROVED: On the i
6. COMMENTS: Subject to Maintenance and operations budget line item.
APPROVAL: DATE: June 19, 2013 HOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



1. DATE: May 12, 2003
NAME OF PROJECT: PINE HEIGHTS PARK - NW 16th Street - 8th Avenue PLAY GROUND
EQUIPMENT INITIATING DEPARTMENT (DAMES ON THE PROPERTY OF THE
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco 305.416.1253
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: _331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$30,000.00 (Total amount budgeted for this item = \$30,000.00)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Pines Heights Park
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
The viction of Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/12/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval \(\omega \) 6 months \(\omega \) 12 months \(\omega \) Date for next Oversight Board Update: \(\text{11/12/03} \)
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change: *
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Subject to maintenance and operations budget line item.
APPROVAL: When I of the DATE: There 19, 2003
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD DATE: Hull 19, 2003
TOUTO O TEMOGRAT DOMAN

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS



PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

NAME OF PROJECT: MELROSE PARK - FENCING PROJECT (30th Street - 25th Avenue)
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco - 305.416.1253
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: _331419 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$45,000.00
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense - Melrose Park (\$45,000 total amount budgeted for this project)
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: Ed Blanco
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: New Fence, equipment already done
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/7/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Annual by Commission 2 Type Taylo Taylo Date approved
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
That Addit / Departments who provided input
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Pi-11
Fiscal Impact
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Subject to maintenance and operations budget line item.
APPROVAL: DATE: Tune 19,203
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD
2012 CVENCIONI DONA

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS



PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

NAME OF PROJECT, TRIANCLE DARK, FENCING PROJECT
NAME OF PROJECT: TRIANGLE PARK – FENCING PROJECT INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco 305. 416. 1253
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$40,000
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense (Total amount budgeted for this item = \$50,000)
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted?
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
, - p. orace input
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: New Fence - Iron Picket design and new playground from Safe Neighborhood
Parks Bonds.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee) MATTER TAIL TAIL APPROVED A 1/2 (2)
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5//7/03 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified?
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Instifications for change
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Eight Date
Fiscal Impact
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Source(o) or neutronal rands.
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Subject to maintenance and operations budget line item.
APPROVAL: Mutt Confer DATE: the 19, 2013
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



1. DATE: <u>5/7/03</u> NAME OF PROJECT: <u>BALLET GAMONET AT THE ALFRED I. DUPONT BUILDING</u>
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Commissioner Winton's Office
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Frank Balzebre 305.250.5337 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: <u>(- Q3 - 9//</u> CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: <u>311712</u> ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? ⊠ YES ☐NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$ 300,000.00 (not to exceed this amount) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Quality of Life - District 2
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 311712
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? TYES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Commissioner Winton</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Support "Ballet Gamonet" to open the new dance company in the Alfred I.
Dupont Bldg. and support growth of the central business district and as a cultural center and promoting this neighborhood's recognition locally, regionally, and internationally.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/7/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03 YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 9/5/03
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 11/03
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST.
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: YES NO
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Recommend Approval -Release of Funding subject to sustainability of funds, signed lease agreement with Alfred I. Dupont to be reviewed and approved by City, should Ballet Company outgrow the space and needs to move out of the Dupont Bldg, they need to stay in City boundaries. Use language for
minority's/community outreach purposes, reviewed by City. Performance Plan to be discussed. Subject to approval
by Bond Council. CIP to work with company to expedite permitting. When feasible, provide performances at the Gusman Center.
APPROVAL: POND OVERSYOUT BOARD DATE: June 19, 2003
ROND OVEK9IGHT ROAKD



1. DATE: <u>5/12/03</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: Cuban Memorial Linear Park & Calle Ocho from Domino Park to Memorial Blvd.
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Planning & Zoning / CIP
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Enrique Nunez / 305.416.1419
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Sandra Vega / 305.416.1243
RESOLUTION NUMBER:CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 311713 & 341127
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$3.2 Million
SOURCE OF FUNDS: 1) <u>District 3 Neighborhood Quality of Life = \$ 2 Million</u> 2) <u>Calle Ocho Improvements = \$1.2 Million</u>
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 1) 311713 2) 341127</u>
1100001111000000000000000000000000000
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget Public Works
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: Sandra Vega / Enrique Nunez
individuals / Departments who provided input: Sandra vega / Enfique Nunez
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Falcon & Bueno, Conceptual Plan, improved drainage
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/12/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/27/03
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 1/23/03
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 🖾 6 months 🔲 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 11/0
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
A CONCERTIAL COOT FOUNDAME DREAFFOOWN
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Description of change.
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Public Works will maintain the median. The budget impact Public Works is responsible for
relating to maintenance needs to be decided. CIP will cost out installation of underground sleeves for future
lighting and irrigation purposes. Benches should not accommodate transient persons. Plants that need little water
APPROVAL: DATE: The 19, 7013
APPROVAL: DATE: JONE 17 3
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



NAME OF PROJECT: JOSE MARTI PARK – NEW RECREATION BUILDING INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation & CIP Dept. INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco 416.1253, Victor Marzo 416.1231 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Victor Marzo 416.1231 RESOLUTION NUMBER: R-02-902 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$ Project amount = \$1,976,393.00
\$ 805,514.00 for approval today; \$1,350,000.00 total amount in Bonds CIP Account SOURCE OF FUNDS: 1) Homeland Defense; Neighborhood Parks; East Little Havana NET Area Park; Jose Marti Park & 2) Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Miami - Dade
ACCOUNT CODE(S): 1) <u>CIP # 331419 - \$ 805,514.00</u> 2) <u>CIP # 331385 - \$1,170,879.00 This funding is NOT BOND RELATED</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Ed Blanco & Victor Marzo</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: New Recreation Building
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Construction already underway, approval subject to maintenance and security as a line item
Provide scope of services at 5/27/03 meeting. APPROVAL: BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials \boxtimes YES \square NO