HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

11-27-07 - 6:00 P.M. CITY OF MIAMI CITY HALL CHAMBERS 3500 Pan American Drive MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 23, 2007

II. OLD BUSINESS:

- Additional Funding for Robert King Park New Building & Site Improvements
- Additional Funding for Henderson Park New Office/Restroom Facility

III. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

NEW ITEM:

1. Additional Funding for Armbrister Park Building Improvements

<u>UPDATES</u>:

- 1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project
- 2. Fuel Dock At Dinner Key Marina
- 3. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs
- 4. Juan Pablo Duarte Park-Water Playground
- 5. West End Park-Water Playground
- 6. Riverside Park-Playground Equipment
- 7. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements
- 8. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II
- 9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements
- 10. Simpson Park Wood Trail
- **11.** Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

IV. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

V. <u>ADDITIONAL ITEMS</u>:

HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD MINUTES

10-23-07 -6:00 P.M. CITY OF MIAMI CITY HALL CHAMBERS 3500 Pan American Drive MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

The meeting was called to order at 6:06 p.m., with the following members found to be

- Present: Hugo P. Arza Eileen Broton Mariano Cruz Charisse L. Grant Ricardo Lambert Carmen Matos Manolo Reyes (Vice Chairman) Jose Solares
- <u>Absent</u>: Luis Cabrera Ramon De La Cabada Robert A. Flanders (Chairman) Kay Hancock-Apfel Gary Reshefsky Jami Reyes Hattie Willis

ALSO PRESENT: Rafael O. Diaz, Deputy City Attorney Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP Department Danette Perez, Board Liaison, CIP Department Zimri Prendes, Administrative Assistant I, CIP Department Teri E. Thomas, City Clerk's Office

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 25.</u> 2007.

HD/NIB MOTION 07-42

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF SEPTEMBER 25, 2007.

MOVED:M. CruzSECONDED:C. MatosABSENT:L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

1. Bicentennial Park Shoreline Stabilization Phase III Construction Services

BICENTENNIAL PARK SHORELINE STABILIZATION-PHASE III LOCATED AT 1075 BISCAYNE BLVD

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$6,308,690</u> (\$2,115,204 is from Homeland Defense Bonds) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Bicentennial Park Improvements/Citywide Waterfront</u>

Improvements/Neighborhood Park Improvements/General Funds/FIND Waterways Asst Program/FIND Waterways FY-2007

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and</u> equipment for the replacement of the deteriorated seawall of approximately 850 LF located along the eastern end of the FEC slip on the American Airlines Arena. The new construction includes site preparation, demolition, backfill, riprap, placement, 5 foot cantilever concrete cap, filler aggregate, limerock fill and steel sheet piling.

This project was presented to the board by David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP. Mr. Mendez stated that the project is under budget and was broken up into three phases. Since the project manager and construction manager hired the same contractor to continue on with the other phases, time and money were saved. The earlier prices for steel and concrete were locked in for this project, also resulting in savings. The project was partially funded with FIND contributions. Overall, the City saved about \$6 million on the project.

Ola O. Aluko, CIP director, stated that the item was for informational purposes only and did not require an approval by the board.

2. Henderson Park New Offices/Restroom Facility

NAME OF PROJECT: HENDERSON PARK NEW OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITYLOCATED AT 971 NW 2ND STREETTOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$882,600(\$255,069 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Neighborhood Park Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope includes design and construction of a 1,000 SF</u> building with restrooms for male and female, office with small closet and storage room with access from inside and outside the office, storage for park maintenance equipment with ramp, roll up doors and shelves. The scope also includes the design and constructions of an outdoor open pavilion, 2,400 SF, an open plaza with site furniture, security lighting and landscape (**Continuation of scope** <u>attached</u>)

HD/NIB MOTION 07-43

A MOTION TO DEFER THE HENDERSON PARK NEW OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITY PROJECT TO THE BOARD MEETING CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR NOVEMBER 27, 2007; FURTHER REQUESTING THAT THE PRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT AT SAID MEETING INCLUDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE FUNDING INCREASE DUE TO THE CHANGE IN THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.

MOVED:H. ArzaSECONDED:C. GrantABSENT:L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

This project was presented to the board by David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP.

3. Robert King High Park New Building & Site Improvements

ROBERT KING PARK NEW BUILDING & SITE IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 7025 WEST FLAGLER STREET

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$3,619,786(\$3,189,939 is from Homeland Defense Bonds) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Homeland Defense Bonds Series I, II and bond Interest</u> DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope includes the construction of a new 5,768 SF</u> <u>Community Building and Site Improvements including upgrades to the existing basketball, tennis,</u> and handball courts. New Basketball Court (without roof structure), new tot lot/playground areas, new sand volleyball court, additional walkways and parking spaces, security lighting, landscaping and irrigation system upgrades. (Continuation of scope attached)

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, requested that the item be deferred to the board meeting currently scheduled for November 27, 2007.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

 CIP Director Responds to Questions/Requests from the September 25, 2007 meeting

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, stated that he has provided a cost breakdown of projects, which had been requested at the previous board meeting. The second question related to project delivery methods and the time frame for solicitations. Mr. Aluko described

different types of project delivery methods and their time frames and what type of projects work best with each method. The third request from the board was that the project delivery method be added to future project overviews and updates, and the Director agreed to include this notation in future updates. The fourth question was regarding how a park functions when a portion of it has been closed for an improvement project. Mr. Aluko stated that the Parks Department informed him that the community is advised ahead of time of the project and the users are relocated or transported to the closest park offering similar services. The last request from the Board was to have the CIP Department provide a reallocation presentations for the new members. Mr. Aluko stated that the presentation will be provided at the Miami Riverside Center building afterhours by Pilar Saenz, Assistant Director, CIP, at the convenience of the new members.

Proposed Project Analysis Form

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, distributed the new proposed project analysis form to the Board.

HD/NIB MOTION 07-44

A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN TODAY'S MEETING.

MOVED: H. Arza SECONDED: R. Lambert ABSENT: L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: _11/27/07 DISTRICT: _4 NAME OF PROJECT: _ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ROBERT KING PARK NEW BUILDING & SITE IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 7025 WEST FLAGLER STREET INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: _Parks & Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: _Fernando Paiva (305)416-1242 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: _Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER:
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$3,619,786(\$3,189,939 is from Homeland Defense Bonds) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Homeland Defense Bonds Series I, II and bond Interest</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/15/07 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Approved by Commission? Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL: DATE:11/27/07 BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP 🗹 NON-CIP 🗌

Date Prepared:

VERSION

14-Nov-2007

-		331-Parks and Rec	reation		\	2-Re	ecreat	ion & Cul	lture
PROJECT NAM	E: Robert King	g High Park New Bld	g Const				PR	DJECT NO	: B-35868
ADDRESS / LOCATIO	N: 7025 W. Flag	ler Street						DISTRICT	
PROJECT TEAN	A: Vertical					PROJECT CON	TRAC	TED COST	: \$ 243,786.00
CATEGOR	r: Parks and Re	creation				PRO	JECT E	ST. COST	
	T: 58-Parks and	Recreation				C	URREN	NT FUNDS	\$ 793,744.00
CLIENT CONTAC	F: Maria Perez	2	TEL.: (305	5) 416-1	314		FUTU	RE FUNDS	\$ 2,396,195.00
DESIGN MANAGE			TEL.: 305	416-12	42	FU	JND SH	ORTFALL	\$ (429,847.00)
CONSTR. MANAGE		as (CIP)	TEL.: 305-		79		PROCI	UREMENT	Conventional
EST. DESIGN STAR		EST. BID ADV .:				EST. CONSTI	RUCTIC	ON START	08/25/08
EST. DESIGN EN	<u>):</u> 02/29/08	EST. AWARD DATE:	07/10	/08		EST. CONS	STRUC	TION END:	08/31/09
PRODUCTION	PHASE (3-D	ES)			% of			% of	
Prime Consulta	•	st Buckley Schuh & Je	ernigan Inc	CODE	Const	Estimated De	esign	Const.	Contracted Design
					<u> </u>	L			<u></u>
		Basic Design Fee Basic Design Fee		<u>1.01</u> 1.01	4.4%	\$ 130,97			
	tion Managem			1.01	3.4%		09.00	·	
E OFFFTOUL		sbestos Survey		1.02	0.1%		00.00		
	us Services - O			1.01	0.5%		00.00		
υ ₆					0.070	• 10,00	0.00		
	hanna an tha	PRODUC		TALS	\$	Estimated 334,78	6.00	\$	Contracted 219,786.00
CONSTRUCTIO	-	-CON)	<u> </u>	CODE	Estimat	ed Constructio	on by	(Formal	ected Construction Bid, Informal Bid or IOC Method)
	n Cost (Prime C	ontractor)		2	90%		0.00		
a 2 Construction	Contingency			2	10%	\$ 298,50			
Ш <u>3</u>									
7 / M A		CONSTRUC		TALS	\$	Estimated 2,985,00	0.00	\$	Contracted 5,000.00
	N ADMINIST	RATION (8-CEO)		CODE	Es	stimated CEO	I	Co	Intracted CEO
1 Construction	Engineering Obs	servation (CEO) Consul	tant	3.01	6.7%	\$ 200,00	0.00		
	CONSTRU	CTION ADMINISTRA	TION TO	TALS		Estimated 200,000	0.00	(Contracted
0 2									-
a ADMINISTRATI	VE EXPENS	ES (6-ADM)		CODE	Est	imated ADMIN	l .	Cor	tracted ADMIN
and the second s	ent (Mgmt./Budg	et/Procurement/Comm.)	4	3.4%	\$ 100,00	0.00		
2	ADM	INISTRATIVE EXPE	NSES TOT	FALS	\$	Estimated 100,000	0.00	. (Contracted 19,000.00
ADDITIONAL P	ROJECT TAS	SKS		CODE		imated TASKS			tracted TASKS
1	ADD	ITIONAL PROJECT	TASKS TO	TALS		Estimated		(Contracted
<u>.</u> <u>B</u> -	35868	PROJECT GRAI			\$	Estimated 3,619,786	6.00	\$	<u>Contracted</u> 243,786.00

	Construction of a new 5,768 SF Community Building and Site Improvements including a new covered basketball court, new tennis court and upgrades to existing tennis and handball courts. The scope also includes the upgrade of the existing sports lighting, construction of a new
Щ	perimeter fence, new walkways, sand volleyball court, parking lot, playground and picnic areas, landscaping and irrigation system and
ď	related work.
0	
SC	
•,	
F	
O	
ΙE	
ó	
Ř	

٩,							
	Operating Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	

Note: The project was placed on hold pending decision with regards to the Cuban Museum being on the site. In July of 2007 the project resumed. PBS&J submitted a new proposal dated August 10, 2007 to continue with design work.

		AWARD NAME AND NUMBER	<u>AVAILABLE</u>	EUTURE
E S	385200-	1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serie 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 114,458.00	
U N	1058 385200-	1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serie 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 25,321.00	
U R	385200-	2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serit 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 67,556.00	
s o	1368 385200-	2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serie 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 86,409.00	
9	385200-	3 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv		\$ 2,396,195.00
2	385200-	9 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds Intere 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 500,000.00	
FU				
	<u>B-35868</u>	FUND GRAND TOTAL	ACTUAL \$ 793,744.00	PROJECTIED \$2,396,195.00
	Initiated by:	Fernando Paiva	Date:	11/14/07
		Project Manager Signature		11 lealard
	Approved by:	Marcel Douge Torus Senior Project Manager Signature	Date:	11/14/0.1
Z	Reviewed by:	David Mendez	Date:	11/11/107
710	richened by:	Assistant Director: Capital Improvements	Date.	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
	Reviewed by:		ava Date:	iliulos
LID	,, <i>,</i>	CIP Budget Administrator Signature		
VAL	Verified by: E	dwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff	O	
	Accepted by :		Date:	11 15 67
		Director: Capital Improvements Stonature		
	Approved by :		ihlen Date:	11 15/07
		Director: Parks & Recreation Signature		
		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor South Conference Room	Inititals	
Notes	Receipt	of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relations Coordinator	11/15/07	P.
Ž	<u>Project</u>	MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board	Date Received / Sig	gnature or Initials
Ļ			·····	
Exe		ST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:	•	

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

<u>Scope and Cost Change for Robert King High Park New Building and Site</u> <u>Improvements – B-35868</u>

The Robert King High Park project has increased in cost due to the following reasons; eventhough there has been no substantial changes in scope since November 2004:

- The original budget in November 2004 of \$1,775,157 was not adequate to construct the entire project, so it was decided to place the Covered Basketball Court as an additive bid alternate.
- The project was placed on hold for over a year while a decision was being made regarding the Cuban Museum.
- In 2007 the project resumed and the following items were added to the scope per Parks Department direction.
 - 1. Perimeter Fencing
 - 2. New Tennis Court
 - 3. Upgrade of existing courts and sports lighting
- The cost difference between what was presented in November 2004 and what is shown in the current PAF was revised to account for inflation factors and increase in construction costs and are as follows:

2005 A/E Estimate:

New Building & Site Improvements:	\$1,600,000
Covered Basketball Court:	<u>\$ 650,000</u>
Total:	\$2,250,000

2007 Estimate Cost (+ 25% Escalation Cost per A/E)	
New Building, Site and Covered Basketball Court:	\$2,813,000
Perimeter Fencing and other added items:	<u>\$ 172,000</u>
Total:	\$2,985,000

City of Miami Parks and Recreation **Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements Construction Documents Phase**

Summary- Estimate of Probable Construction Cost





DRAFT

Description Estimated Costs Robert King High Park - Building & Site Improvements TOTAL DIRECT COST General Conditions @ 12% Bonds & Permit @ 2% SUBTOTAL

Overhead & Profit @ 6% Estimate Contingency @ 3% TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST

Estimated Construction Costs (Rounded)

TOTAL EST. CONSTRUCTION COST RANGE \$1,450,000 - \$1,750,000

Owner Additive Alternates (Cost Incl. Mark-ups)

New Covered Basketball Shelter Incl. Overhead Standards (6912 SF) 1

= \$812,500 + PERIMETER FEN CING SCHEME "D" \$ 138,000 (4/4/06)

Estimate Qualification: Due to the recent unpredictable bidding market in South Florida, PBS&J qualifies that the attached estimate and established range of probable construction costs are based on PBS&J's best judgment of a reasonable construction cost range to complete the subject project. However, it is to be noted that there is a potential for the bid(s) for this project to vary from the established range due to reasons that are beyond normal construction market conditions and reasonable competition.

* ESCALATION COST totAL = \$2,985,000

Q:\City of Miami\Robert King High Park\City of Miami Robert King CD Cost Est 7-15-05.xls

Total Summary, Page 1 of 4

July 15, 2005

\$1,188,000

\$1,188,000

\$142,560

\$23,760

\$1,354,320

\$81,259

\$40,630

\$1,476.209

= \$2,000,000

(Add to Est. Cost Above)

\$650,000 +25% =

\$1.600,000 +25% *=

DRAFT

Estimate Basis, Assumptions and Exclusions

- Estimate is based on Construction Documents drawings dated May 31, 2005 - Unit prices are based on standard references / historical projects and include subcontractor's mark-ups - Building Cost per GSF includes all architectural, structural, mechanical (incl. HVAC, Plumbing, Fire Prot.) and all Elec. Systems - Intent of estimate is to establish a reasonable cost of the project - Estimate includes various allowances based on historical information - Estimate assumes shallow foundations and excludes any special foundations, demucking and extensive imported fill - Estimate excludes Construction Engineering & Inspection (CE&I) Fees - Estimate excludes Environmental Remediation & Mitigation Fees, if required (particularly related to the demolition and site) - Estimate excludes any Temporary Facilities and Relocating Costs of personnel and equipment, if required - Estimate excludes any removal of extraordinary underground site utilities - Estimate excludes escalation, all prices present day, July 2005 - Estimate excludes any food/concessions equip., table tennis tables or any other equip. accessories - Estimate excludes: - New Covered Basketball Shelter - Power Transformer (By FPL) - Estimate includes a 3% Estimate Contingency - Estimate excludes other scope not shown on drawings - Estimate excludes any Owner's Contingency for Owner directed changes and unforeseen conditions during construction - Estimate assumes that the entire scope of work (all phases) is to be performed under one single contract

July 15, 2005

City of Miami Parks and Recreation Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements Construction Documents Phase

DRAFT

Estimate of Probable Construction Costs

July 15, 2005

	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Demoli	 Hon	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Demon	Demolition of Existing Building	2,689	SF	\$4.50	¢10.10
	Demolition of Existing Parking Lot	952	SY	\$4.00	\$12,10
	Demolition of Walkways Around Existing Building	110	SY	\$4.00	\$3,80 \$44
	Removal of Existing Trees	23	EA	\$250.00	\$5,75
	Removal of Topsoil (Allowance)	1 1	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
	Remove Existing Concrete Sidewalk	740	SF	\$1.75	\$1,29
	Remove Existing Curb & Gutter	95	LF	\$4.00	\$38
	Remove Existing Chainlink Fence	115	LF	\$5.00	\$57
	Remove & Store Existing Playgrounds Equipment (Allowance)	1	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,00
	Remove Double Tennis Courts	1	EA	\$4,500.00	\$4,50
	Remove Existing Wood Stumps (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,200.00	\$1,20
	Remove Existing Signs (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,500.00	\$1,50
	Removal of Existing Utilities (Allowance)	1	LS	\$3,750.00	\$3,75
	Remove Existing Light Poles	4	EA	\$600.00	\$2,40
	Remove Existing Utility Poles, Electric Overhead & Guy Wire (Allowance)	1	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,00
	Demolition of Existing Road (Allowance)	1,128	SY	\$5.00	\$5,64
	Demolition Maintenance of Traffic (Allowance)	1	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
New BL					
	New Community Center Building	5,800	SF	\$110.00	\$638,00
	lework				
	Site Furnishings	·			
	Sand Tot Lot/Playground Area (Re-Use Existing Stored Equip.) - (Allowance)	1	LS	\$9,500.00	\$9,50
	New Sand Volleyball Court (Allowance)	1	EA	\$12,000.00	\$12,00
	New Basketball Court & Standards (Allowance)	1	EA	\$20,000.00	\$20,00
	New Basketball Bleachers (Allowance)	1	LS	\$14,500.00	\$14,50
	New Flag Pole	1	EA	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
	New Entrance & Directional Signage (Allowance)	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000
	New Soccer Field & Restroom Fence & Gates			Not Included - Se	eparate Cost Est
	Black PVC Coated 6' Chainlink Fence	100	1.5	004.00	
	30' Wide Sliding Gates	136		\$34.00	\$4,624
		2	EA	\$3,500.00	\$7,000
	A' Wide Swing Gate		E۸		
	4' Wide Swing Gate	1	EA	\$350.00	
	Asphlat Pavement	1		\$350.00	\$350
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area	1 1,802	SY	\$350.00 \$28.00	\$350 \$50,462
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway	1		\$350.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways	1 1,802 1,370	SY SY	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property)	1 1,802 1,370 267	SY SY SY	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot)	1 1,802 1,370 267 350	SY SY SY SY	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building)	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550	SY SY SY SY SY	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620	SY SY SY SY SY SF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70	SY SY SY SY SY SF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$700
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$700 \$1,380
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70	SY SY SY SY SY SF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$700 \$1,380
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$700 \$1,380 \$23,296
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF EA	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$2,500.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$700 \$7300 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$32.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$17,380 \$7306 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF EA	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$2,500.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968 \$55,000
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 68	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$2,500.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$35.50	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968 \$55,000 \$374
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15' HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24' Wide Stop Bar 6' White Stripe	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 - 6 124 500 - 68 100	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$2,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$2,55.50 \$1.40	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$374 \$140
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar 6" White Stripe	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 68 100 738	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$14.00 \$2,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$14.00 \$11.00 \$11.00 \$1.25	\$35 \$50,46; \$38,360 \$8,011 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,966 \$55,000
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar 6" White Stripe 4" Blue Stripe	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 68 100 738 156	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$22,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$114.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$12.50 \$1.25 \$1.25	\$35 \$50,46; \$38,360 \$8,011 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$13,366 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966 \$3,966\$3,966 \$3,9666\$3,9666\$3,9666\$3,9666\$3,9666\$3,96
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar 6" White Stripe 4" Blue Stripe 4" Blue Stripe Directional Arrows	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 6 124 500 6 124 500 738 156 3	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$22,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$110.00 \$23.00 \$110.00 \$100	\$35 \$50,46 \$38,36 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$17,600 \$17,600 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,966 \$55,000\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Sidewalks (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar 6" White Stripe 4" White Stripe 4" Blue Stripe Directional Arrows Special Emphasis Crosswalk	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 6 124 500 6 124 500 738 156 3 39	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$22,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$100.0	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$16,200 \$17,000 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968 \$55,000 \$3,958 \$55,000 \$3,958 \$55,000 \$3,958 \$55,000 \$3,958 \$55,000\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$\$55,000\$\$
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Walkways (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" White Stripe 4" White Stripe Directional Arrows Special Emphasis Crosswalk Handicap Pavement Markings	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 6 124 500 6 124 500 738 156 3	SY SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$22,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$110.00 \$23.00 \$110.00 \$100	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$16,200 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$1,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,968 \$55,000 \$3374 \$140 \$923 \$195
	Asphlat Pavement New Asphalt Parking Area New Roadway Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property) Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot) Concrete Sidewalks (Around New Building) Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off 3' Valley Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter 2' Curb & Gutter Concrete Curb Drainage Catch Basins 15" HDPE Exfiltration Trench Pavement Markings 24" Wide Stop Bar 6" White Stripe 4" White Stripe 4" Blue Stripe Directional Arrows Special Emphasis Crosswalk	1 1,802 1,370 267 350 550 1,620 70 60 1,664 6 124 500 6 124 500 6 124 500 738 156 3 39	SY SY SY SF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$350.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 \$32.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 \$23.00 \$14.00 \$22,500.00 \$32.00 \$14.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$32.00 \$110.00 \$100.0	\$350 \$50,462 \$38,360 \$8,010 \$9,800 \$17,600 \$17,600 \$11,380 \$23,296 \$15,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,966 \$55,000 \$3,74 \$140 \$1925 \$525 \$525

City of Miami Parks and Recreation Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements Construction Documents Phase

DRAFT

Estimate of Probable Construction Costs

July 15, 2005

lo.	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL COS
	Pedestrian Crossing Ahead Sign	2	EA	\$275.00	\$
	Water				
	2" Water Line	120	LF	\$16.00	\$1,9
	3" Water Line	570	LF	\$20.00	\$11,4
	3" Gate Valve	1	EA	\$500.00	\$5
	Hose Bib	1	EA	\$200.00	\$2
	2" Water Meter	2	EA	\$600.00	\$1,2
	Misc. Bends, Caps and Accessories (Allowance)	1	LS	\$750.00	\$
	Connect into Existing Water Main	1	LS	\$1,000.00	\$1,
	Sewer				
	4" DIP	203	LF	\$38.00	\$7,
	Misc. Bends, Caps and Accessories (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,000.00	\$1,
	Connect into Existing Manhole	1	LS	\$1,200.00	\$1,3
	Landscape & Hardscape				
	Sabal Palm	23	EA	\$450.00	\$10,
	Foxtail Palm	9	EA	\$425.00	\$3,
	Beauty Leaf	8	EA	\$350.00	\$2,
	Green Buttonwood	8	EA	\$375.00	\$3,0
	Royal Poinciana	2	EA	\$350.00	\$
	Weeping Podocarpus	3	ÉA	\$300.00	\$9
	Mahogany	5	ËA	\$375.00	\$1,8
	Silver Trumpet Tree	3	EA	\$350.00	\$1,0
	Spanish Stopper	20	EA	\$12.00	\$2
_	Splitleaf Phillodendron	6	EA	\$14.00	
\rightarrow	Dable Occur				
	Bahia Grass	10,800	SF	\$0.40	\$4,3
-+	St. Augustine Floritam	10,200	SF	\$0.50	\$5,1
-	'Polypavement' Path Incl. Crushed Rock Base	367	SY	\$18.00	\$6,6
	rrigation				ψυ,ι
	Irrigation System (Allowance)	1	LS	\$18,450.00	\$18,4
	Site Electrical				
	2" Conduit (Underground)	1,570	LF	\$10.00	\$15,7
1	2" Conduit (Below Bridge)	100	LF	\$18.00	\$1,8
-+	4" Conduit (Underground)	80	LF	\$20.00	\$1,6
-†	5" Conduit (Underground)	80	LF	\$22.00	\$1,7
-	2' x 3' Pull Box (For Future Soccer Field)		EA	\$800.00	\$8
	16" x 18" Handhole for Telephone		EA	\$500.00	\$5
\neg	Type S1, 25' Pole, 400W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	3	EÁ	\$3,500.00	\$10,5
-+	Type S2, 25' Pole, Double Arm, 2-400W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	3	EA	\$4,500.00	\$10,5
-+	Type H, 35' Pole, 1080W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	6	EA	\$4,250.00	\$25.5
-+	Service Meter Box		EA	\$350.00	<u> </u>
-+	Service Meter			φ330.00	\$3 By Fl
-+	Transformer				By Fi
-+					By Fi
-+	Maintenance of Traffic (Allowance)		LS	\$6,000.00	\$6,0
	Restore/Patch/Match Existing Conditions		LS	\$4,000.00	\$4,0

Subtotal Direct Costs

\$1,187,438

Subtotal Direct Cost	SUBTOTAL (Rounded)	\$1,188,000

	NT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
COT OF A	PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
B	A manual a second
Program Pro	eviously Approved
CO. 11.00	
1. DATE: 11/23/04	DISTRICT: <u>*</u> <u>G HIGH PARK-NEW BUILDING AND SITE</u>
IMPROVEMENTS	G HIGH FARA-NEW BUILDING HIGD SITE
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVIS	SION: <u>Capital Improvements</u>
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/0	CONTACT NUMBER: Cary Sanchez-Rea (305) 416-1094
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:	B 25362
	(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION:	Are funds budgeted? 🛛 YES 🛄 NO If yes,
	57 (\$1.100.000 allocated) - Mer. wan's to assign money from HD interest.
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds -	- Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions-\$1,100,000, HDNI
Bonds Interest \$500,000 & CIP# 333108-	
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419 +</u> If grant funded, is there a City match require	
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES [NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Bu	
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
-	nput: <u>Cary Sanchez-Rea & George Sainz</u>
	ennis tables (6) with non-glare lighting, non-slip flooring surface and
	flexibility to accommodate separate activities: Gymnastics area: Dance Covered basketball court with retractable bleachers for (1) regulation
	Fice with staff area for 8 persons for party planning and visual observation
of exterior and indoor activity. Meeting/Co	onference room for staff meeting and (10) computer stations for after
	locker rooms with changing areas. Sports equipment storage room,
(Rest of scope project attached)	/ 4
ADA Compliant? YES NO NO	
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/16/04
	\Box YES \Box NO \Box N/A DATE APPROVED: <u>11/23/04</u>
Inthroner of pour cheraffir poster.	WU [IN/A DATE AFTAUTED: _11/42/04
Approved by Commission? [YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develo	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develo DESIGN COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES [] NO If yes,
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES YES NO If yes,
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES YES NO If yes,
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval 6 months 12 monthing 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES YES NO If yes,
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES YES NO et? YES NO d? YES NO
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPI	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPI	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOP! Individuals / Departments who provided in	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPI Individuals / Departments who provided in Justifications for change: Description of change:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval] 6 months] 12 mont 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE Has a conceptual cost estimate been develor DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budge If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOP! Individuals / Departments who provided in Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 montheration of the second se	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 montheration of the second se	YES NO N/A DATE AFPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE AFPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) ths Date for next Oversight Board Update: BREAKDOWN oped based upon the initial established scope? YES PYES NO d? YES MO N/A DATE APPROVED: PYES NO MO N/A DATE APPROVED: PYES NO MO N/A DATE APPROVED: PYES NO MO HOW MUCH? YES NO YES NO MO N/A DATE APPROVED: PYES NO HOW MUCH?
Approved by Commission? [Revisions to Original Scope? [Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 monther and the second	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Data Preparad:	9/23/2004
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: Robert K	Improvements		
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 7025 West	ADDRESS / LOCATION: 7025 West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida NET OFFICE:		B-36868
NET OFFICE:			
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and	Recreation	EGT. PROJECT COST:	\$1,320,314
CLIENT CONTACT: Ed Blanco	TEL.: (305) 416 - 1253	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,775,157
PROJECT MANAGER: Natalie D. H	losein TEL.: (305) 416 - 1089	PROCUREMENT:	Formal Bio
CONSTR. MANAGER: Natalie D. H	tosein TEL.: (305) 416 - 1089	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 10/4/2004	EST. BID ADV.: 4/25/2005	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 6/	20/2065
EST. DESIGN END: 4/24/2005	EST. AWARD DATE: 6/19/2005	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 7/	31/2006

	PF	ROD	UCTION PHASE	Percentage	
[A.	De	sign Svcs Outside Consultant Prime Consultant: PBS&J		
	1	1		9.9%	\$94,501
	1	2	Additional Services:	0.5%	\$5,003
				SUB-TOTAL:	\$99,504
	В	Der	sign Svcs CIP	353-101AL.	433,004
		1	-	0.0%	\$0
		2	In-house Additional Design Services:		
		-	in nouse reducinal beargin bervices.	0.0% SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	l c	Pro	duction Management Services	SUS-TOTAL:	\$0
	Ĭ		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	0.001	
			Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:		\$0
		~	Too. hight of collade consultant by industry Faither.		\$28,500
	Ь	Mie	cellaneous Services	SUB-TOTAL:	\$28,500
	1	1			
					\$8,700
			Re-plat: Vendor: Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: Mactec		
					\$6,110
ш			Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		
►			Asbestos Survey: Vendor:		\$1,500
A A			Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:		
TIM.			Phase I Environmental: Vendor:		
S			Phase II Environmental: Vendor.		
ш			Structural Testing: Vendor:		
S T			Archeological Survey: Vendor:		
0		11	Other: Reimbursables Vendor.		\$2,500
U L	Е	Spe	cial Fees / Assessments:	SUS-TOTAL:	\$18,810
U U			DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):	Fee Waiver	\$2,000
JE			Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)		
0			Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):	······································	
×			FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		
-		5	South Florida Water Management District (Permits):		
			U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):		
			HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		\$1,000
			Other:		\$1,000
		•		SUB-TOTAL:	\$3,000
ł				PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL	\$149,814
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- 1					
			RUCTION PHASE	n tanan ang sa	
	со	NST	RUCTION PHASE	n da an	
		NST	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor:	-	
	со	NST Con	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate:		\$950,000
	со	NST Con 1 2	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance:	-	\$95,000
	со	NST Con 1 2 3	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):		
	со	NST Con 1 2 3 4	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:		\$95,000
	со	NST Con 1 2 3 4 5	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:		\$95,000 \$5,000
	со	NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:		\$95,000 \$5,000
	со	NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:		\$95,000 \$5,000
	со	NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:		\$95,000 \$5,000

. .

Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements

3-35868

	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COST:	\$1,320,314
	LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL	\$0
0	Transaction Costs: 0.0%	\$0
N	Land Cost:	
LA	ND ACQUISITION EXPENSES	
۹	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$28,500
N N	Industry Partner Program Mgmt. Support: 1.0%	\$9,500
	CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.): 2.0%	\$19,000
L AE	MINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	
cos	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$78,000
~ _ K	JOC Administration 0.0%	\$0
Ш I	Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 3.0%	\$28,500
	Construction Mgmt Industry Partner: 2.0%	\$19,000
IMA -	Construction Inspection Services - CIP: 3.0%	\$28,500
	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	\$1,068,000
		\$1,000
	4 Other. WASA Impact Fae	\$1,000
	3 Mlami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	
	1 City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dept. Public Works	
G	City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees	

Indoor table tennis (6-tables) with non-glare lighting, non-slip flooring surface and provision of folding partitions to allow for flexibility to accommodate separate activities; Gymnastics (12mx12mx8m) area; Dance with mirrored wall and bar, Volleyball (30'x60') area; Covered basketball court with retractable bleachers for (1)-regulation court and (2)-perpendicular ш ٩ half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for party planning and visual observation of exterior and indoor activity; scol Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-computer stations for after school usage; Male and Female restroom; Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment storage room; Maintenance storage; Multi-purpose room with folding partitions to accommodate separation of activities; Evaluation and rearrangement of site /playfield/parking lot 1 Ö lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tennis and basketball courts; Landscaping around areas of new work; PROJE Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upgrades for existing courts and around recreational building and parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new work; Ugrage site irrigation system.

TES				
N N		2011) 	•	

ES	Fund:	2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I)	CIP #	331419	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004	Amount:	\$100,000
2	Fund:	96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond	CIP #	333108	2003-2004	Amount:	\$175,157
10	Fund:	2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I)	CIP #	331419	2004-2005	Amount:	\$1,000,000
S	Fund:	Homeland Defense Bonds Interest	CIP #	331419	2004-2005	Amount:	\$500,000
2	Fund:		CIP #			Amount:	
12	Fund:		CIP #			Amount:	
]	بەر مەر			т,	TAL ALLOCATE	DAMOUNT:	\$1,775,157

'N	Project Manager: Natalie D. Hosein	Date:
0		Sign
1	Sr. Project Manager: Cary Sanchez-Rea	Date:
1 2 1		Sign
710	Reviewed by: Pilar Saenz	Date:
<	CIP Budget Administrator	Sign
>	Accepted by: Ernest Burkeen	Date:
	Director of the Client Department	Sign
L		

Copies To: CLIENT DEPARTMENT, ALL CIP SECTION CHIEFS, CIP SENIOR ACCOUNTANT, HDR PROGRAM MANAGER

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: _11/27/07 DISTRICT:3 NAME OF PROJECT: ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR HENDERSON PARK NEW OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITY LOCATED AT 971 NW 2 ND STREET INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Nelson Cuadras(305)416-1254 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: _Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-35856A
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$882,600(\$255,069 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Homeland Defense Neighborhood Park Improvements</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT:
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input:
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/15/07 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO I/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified?
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
6. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL: DATE:1/27/07 BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP 🗹 NON-CIP

27-Sep-2007 Date Prepared: VERSION

ORIGINAL

	PROGRAM 331-Parks and Recreation ARE	A 2-Recre	ation & Culture
1	PROJECT NAME: Henderson Park New Office / Restroom Facilit	Laure constraint and the second statements and the second statements and the second statements of the second statements o	
	ADDRESS / LOCATION: 971 NW 2nd Street	/ P	ROJECT NO: B-35856A
	PROJECT TEAM: Vertical	75 7 7 1 7 0 1 1 7 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	DISTRICT: 3
 	CATEGORY: Parks and Recreation	PRCJECT CONTRA	
	CLIENT DEPT: 58-Parks and Recreation		EST. COST: \$ 882,600.00
1			ENT FUNDS: \$ 255,069.00
		We are an	URE FUNDS:
-	DESIGN MANAGER: Fernando Paiva (CIP) TEL.: 305-416-1		HORTFALL: \$ (627,531.00
ļ	CONSTR. MANAGER: Nelson Cuadras (CIP) TEL.: 305-416-1		CUREMENT: Conventional
l	EST. DESIGN START: 11/30/07 EST. BID ADV.: 08/15/08	EST. CONSTRUCT	
Ļ	EST. DESIGN END: 07/31/08 EST. AWARD DATE: 01/08/09	EST. CONSTRU	CTION END: 11/30/09
	PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES)	% of	% of
1	Prime Consultant: 0000 To be Assigned CODE	Const Estimated Design	Const. Contracted Design
		·	
	1 DESIGN (3-DES) 1 5 Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee 1.01	10.0%	
		10.0% \$ 64,000.00	
1		1.0% \$ 6,400.00 0.5% \$ 3.000.00	
		a second s	
S		0.9% \$ 6,000.00	······································
S T	6 <u>CIP - Production Management</u> 1.02	5% \$ 33,600.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0	а С с с с к с Молбод сос себятае разбайн оймоноломанар ородорение самосто на оказата со сторе претос с мотороу — Сос с	The second s	+
0	PRODUCTION TOTALS	Estimated	Contracted
		\$ 113,000.00	
U A	CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON)	T	
		Estimated Construction by	Contracted Construction (Formal Bid, Informal Bid or
AC	Prime Contractor: 0000 To be Assigned CODE	PM	JOC Method)
	1 Additional Services / Change Orders (Prime Contrac 2		Joe methody
ND	2 CONSTRUCTION (4-CON) 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A	3 Construction Cost (Prime Contractor) 2	100% \$ 640,000.00	
0	4 Other Construction Related Services 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
R		Estimated	Contracted
S	CONSTRUCTION TOTALS	\$ 640,000.00	- Sinduoidu
1S	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION OF COM		
ũ	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (8-CEO) CODE	Estimated CEO	Contracted CEO
1	1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8-CEO) 3 2 Construction Engineering Observation CIP/Transportation 3.02		
EC		10.0% \$ 64,000.00	
2	3 JOC Administration - The Gordian Group (Always 1.5%) 3.03		
2		2 ⁻² - 47	
A A	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTALS	Estimated	Contracted
E		\$ 64,000.00	
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM) CODE	Estimated ADMIN	Contracted ADMIN
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM)		
	2 CiP Department (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.) 4	5.3% \$ 33,600.00	
	3		
		traita - t - al	
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTALS	Estimated	Contracted
		\$ 33,600.00	
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS CODE	Estimated TASKS	Contracted TASKS
	1 CONTINGENCY (9-CNT)		
		\$ 32,000.00	
	3 9.02	v	······
		Estimated	Contractor
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TOTALS		Contracted
		\$ 32,000.00	
	P 35956A DDO IEOT ODAND TOTAL	Estimated	Contracted
	B-35856A PROJECT GRAND TOTAL	\$ 882,600.00	
		,	

Design and construction of a 1,000 SF building with restrooms for male and female, office with small closet and storage room with access from inside and outside the office and storage for Park maintenance aquipment with ramp, roll up doors and shelves. The scope also υ Includes the design and construction of an outdoor open pavilion, 2,400 SF, an open plaza with site furniture, security lighting and fandscape ۵., (access to pavilion and building), also 2,400 SF, and miscellaneous site improvements such as sports lighting for the existing courts, new Ő metal picket gates, new bench/shelter for the existing tennis courts, re-surfacing of the existing tennis and basketball courts, stairway and 0 s ADA ramp access to between next door Clinic and the Park and related work. PROJECT Operating Cost Associated with Project: YEAR 1 YEAR 2 YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 Î

otes	Project will need minimum of \$79,400 for design services.	
Ň	Date Received / Signature or Initia	is

CES		AVAILABLE	FUTURE
C C	385200-2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serii 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 79,400	00
00	385200-3 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric331419 Neighborhood Park Improv		\$ 175,669.00
S			
10		ann an ann an	anterendekan anter er e
UN N	B-35856A FUND GRAND TOTAL \$ 255,069.00	ACTUAL	PROJECTED
L	B-30000A TOND GRAND TOTAL \$ 235,005.00	\$ 79,400.	00 \$ 175,669.00
	Initiated by: Fernando M. Paiva Jr	D	ate: 9/27/2007
	Project Manager Signature	,	
Z	Approved by: Marcel Douge	Der D	ate: 92707
0	Senior Project Manager Sighature	<u> </u>	
F	Reviewed by: Yvette Maragh	lavad D	ate: 9/27/04
DA	CIP Budget Administrator Signature	Λ	1 1
E	Verified by: Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff Initials	\mathcal{O}	11
V A	Accepted by : Ola O. Aluko		ite: 9 28 17
	Director : Capital Improvements Signature	<u></u> 0	
	Approved by : Ernest Burkeen		10: 9 38 07
	Director: The se Signature	Da	ne: 130 101
	ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor South Conference Room	loitid	als mill to the
Notes	Receipt of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relations Coordinator	10/1/07	Jeiller S
Ž	Project MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board	Date Received /	Signature or Initials

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

<u>Scope and Cost Change for Henderson Park New Office/Restroom Facility,</u> <u>B-35856A</u> (Former Henderson Park New Bathroom Building, B-35856)

The Henderson Park project has increased in cost due to the following reasons:

- Parks requested the original scope change from a restroom & stage building, as presented to the Board on July 26, 2005, to a Restroom/Office and 2,400 SF covered pavilion. Since 2005 the Parks Department has been placing permanent staffing in their Parks to provide more recreational activities and security as per Community and NET request. The covered pavilion's flexibility would allow for Scheduled Recreation Program instead of the sporadic special events that were originally envisioned requiring a stage.
- Construction Cost estimate is attached.

CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Project:Henderson Park new Office/Restroom Facility, B-35856-ALocation:971 NW 2nd StreetClient:Parks and Recreation DepartmentEst. by:Fernando Paiva/Nelson CuadrasDate:September 7, 2007Rev Date:September 27, 2007

ltem	Description	Quantity	Unit	Cost	Total Cost
1	Mobilization	1	ls	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
2	Remove and dispose of one asphalt tennis court and other site amenities	l	ls	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
3	New office/restroom/storage building . Office - 200 SF . Restrooms (M/F) - 500 SF	1,000	s.f.	\$170.00	\$170,000.00
4	. Storaae (2) - 300 SF Open Pavilion with high roof structure (Additive Item 1)	2,400	s.f.	\$125.00	\$300,000.00
5	Open Plaza with Site furniture, Lighting and Landscape	2,400	s.f.	\$20.00	\$48,000.00
6	Metal Picket Gate - Entrance to Park (40 ft. sliding gate)	1	ls	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
7	Sports Lighting (50 ft. ht.)	4	ea.	\$10,000.00	\$40,000.0
8	Electrical Work for Sports Lighting	4	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$12,000.00
9	New Water & Sewer service line (street to new bldg)	1	ls	\$16,000.00	\$16,000.00
10	Re-surface & re-stripe existing tennis (2) and basketball courts	3	ea.	\$7,000.00	\$21,000.00
11	New bench/shelter at existing tennis courts	1	ls	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.0
12	New Stairway/ADA Ramp between Clinic & Park (Additive Item 2)	1	ls	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.0
				Sub-Total	\$640,000.00

Budgetary Estimate

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM	
Proviously Approved	
Previously Approved	
1. DATE:	-
NAME OF PROJECT: HENDERSON BARK NEW PATTEROOM PROJECT:	3
INTITATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Capital Improvements	
INTERTING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NIMBER Boget Hatten (205) 416 1061	
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Victor Marzo (305) 416-1231 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419	
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-35856	
(IF APPLICABLE)	
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgered? (VE3 (NO)	101011-1010-10101-1010-1010-1010-1010
(IOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$262.948 (300 000 Allocated estimated belows \$7,177)	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Park Improvements</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419</u>	
1356 6111 CODE(5). <u>CIP # 55(419</u>	
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO	
AMOUNT: EXDIRATION DATE:	
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(a)	
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provided input:	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project and in the	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope includes a new restroom, storage and a new cover stag</u> feet). The site improvements includes 8 feet high fence and a ramp covered stage approximately 770 so	e (875 Square
	uare feet.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A	
Approved by Audit Committee?	25
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? X YES INO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/	05
TUPPIOVED BY COMMISSION?	
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES:	<u> </u>
Revisions to Original Scope? I YES I NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:	7 7
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN	
Has a conceptual cost estimate have developed by a state of the state	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] N DESIGN COST:	O If yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST:	
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YESNO	
It not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO	1
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:	
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:	
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE	
Individuals / Departments who provided input	
usulications for change:	
Jescription of change:	
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?	
Lave additional funds been identified? YES NO	
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact	
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:	
COMMENTS:	
<u>,1101</u>	
PPROVAL: DATE:	
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD	

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES 🗌 NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Dute Prepared;	1/28/2005
Revised Date:	7/20/2005
Revised Date:	
Ravisad Data:	

PROJECT NAME: Henderson			
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 871 NW 2nd	PROJECT No.:	8-35856	
NET OFFICE: East Little Ha	DISTRICT:	0.5	
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and Re	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$252,948	
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez	TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$252,948
PROJECT MANAGER: Victor Marzo	TEL.: (305) 416-1231	PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER:	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Facilities
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		·····
EST. DESIGN START:	EST. BID ADV .:	EST, CONSTRUCTION START;	
EST. DESIGN END:	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END:	

	PR		UCTION PHASE		
	1			Parcantaga	
	∩.	1			
		2		0.0%	\$0
	1	2		0.0%	\$0
		De	sign Svcs CIP	SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	0.		- ·		
			In-house Basic Design Fee:	14.3%	\$27,103
		4	In-house Additional Design Services:	0.0%	\$0
		D		SUB-TOTAL:	\$27,103
	C		duction Management Services		
			Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	0.0%	\$0
		2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:	0.0%	\$0
				SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	D		cellaneous Services	2.677-027276-211	St. Future Scinics - days
			Survey: Vendor:		
			Re-plat: Vendor:		
			Geotechnical Testing: Vendor:		
			Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		
7.5			Asbestos Survey: Vendor:		
< 1			Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:		
TIM		7	Phase I Environmental: Vendor:		
ST			Phase II Environmental: Vendor:		
w			Structural Testing: Vendor:		
F		10	Archeological Survey: Vendor:		
S		11	Other: ··· Vendor:		
1 C	ε	Spe	cial Fees / Assessments:	SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
0		1	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee	Waiver	
OJE		2	Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)		
RO		3	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):		Y45 6 20 5 2
à		4	FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	2.462.00	
1			South Florida Water Management District (Permits):		
		6	11.0		
			U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):		
		7	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		
- 1					
			HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	SUB-TOTAL:	5 0
			HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other:	SUB-TOTAL:	50 52/- 03
	<u> </u>	8	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUC		\$0 \$72,4,03
	co	8	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other:		50 50 52 52 50 52 52 52 50 52 52 52 50 52 50 50
		8 NST	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE		50 50 52 52 50 52 52 50 52 52 50 50 52 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
	CO F	8 NST Con	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: ERODUCTION PHASE Struction: UCC Contractor:		50 50 52/303
		8 NST Con 1	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUCTION PHASE struction: Construction Estimale:	ETION PHASE TOTAL	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimale: Contingency Allowance:		\$0 \$27,103 \$109,434 \$18,943
		8 NST Con 1 2 3	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUC RUCTION PHASE struction: JOC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):	ETION PHASE TOTAL	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2 3 4	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUC RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:	ETION PHASE TOTAL	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2 3 4 5	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:	ETION PHASE TOTAL	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUC RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:	ETION PHASE TOTAL	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:	ETION PHASE TOTAL 472	5189434
		8 NST Con 1 2 3 4 5 6	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUC RUCTION PHASE struction: JCC Contractor: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:	ETION PHASE TOTAL 472	5189434

Henderson Park New Bathroom Building

			8-35856
	G Cily and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees		
	1 City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dept.		
1	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:		Ref. Part Lange B. C.
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:		Sector Constraints
	4 Other:		
		SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
ш	CONSTRUCTION P	HASETOTAL	\$208.377
1	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION		
МA	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP:	0.0%	\$e
11	Construction Mgmt, - Industry Partner:	0.0%	\$0
ES	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner	10.0%	\$18,943
L E	K JOC Administration	1.5%	\$2,842
051	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRA		\$21,785
Ŭ		and the second of the second se	1979 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
1	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES		
U U	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt/Budget/Procurement/Comm.):	3.0%	\$5.883
10	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt, Support:	0.0%	30,583 \$0
R			
Q	DIMINISTRATIVE EXPEN	NSES TOTAL MARKE	\$5,593
	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES		
	N Land Cost:		
	O Transaction Costs:	0.0%	\$0
		nunorura-sa pa	
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJ	ECT COST:	\$262,948
L			l
	New Restroom and storage and new cover stage (875 S.F.) Site improvements includes 8 fe	eet high fence, and re	mp covered slag
	Approximalely 770 square feet.	•	
ш			ł
9			
U.			
S			.
5			-
LU I			
50			
2			1
0.			

As Of 07/20/2005, elimination of Industry Partners Fees for Line Items C-2, I & M from Project budget, equals a savings of \$2,118. NOTES 7 c. **

S			Fiscal Year Available	
Ē	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I	CIP # 331419	Amount:	\$262,948
RC	Fund:	CIP #	Amount:	
10	Fund:	CIP #	Amount:	
S	Fund:	CIP #	Amount:	
ND	Fund:	CIP #	Amount:	
5	Fund:	• CIP #	Amount:	
		T see a second	DIAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT 12	262.948
			1	·····
		N 1 1/	A Le e e e	/ /

	Project Manager: Victor Marzo	later Anthopolyctor Date: 7/21/05
IDATION	Sr. Project Manager: Juan Ordonez Reviewed by: Pilar Sach Z	ADMA-D HAT Sign Date: 7/21/05 Date: 7/21/05 Date: 7/21/05
VAL	CiP Budget Administrator Accepted by: ERNEST W. BULKELO Director of the Client Department	Unesth Buchen fril Bate: 7/21/05

Page 2 of 2

Copies To: CLIENT DEPARTMENT, ALL CIP SECTION CHIEFS, CIP SENIOR ACCOUNTANT, HDR PROGRAM MANAGER

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: <u>11/27/07</u> DISTRICT: <u>2</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: <u>ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ARMBRISTER PARK BUILDING</u> IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 236 GRAND AVENUE.
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Mike Tyler (305)416-1072
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-75814
2 DUDCETARY INFORMATION. As for to had and the MARK THE
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$593,970
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Park Improvements
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement?
AMOUNT:
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Additional Construction cost, design cost and construction administration
services were needed due to unforeseen conditions and additional scope or work.
ADA Compliant?
Approved by Audit Committee? XES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: <u>11/15/07</u>
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: <u>11/27/07</u>
Approved by Commission?
Revisions to Original Scope?
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget?
If not, have additional funds been identified? [] YES [] NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact IVES NO HOW MUCH?
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA

TO: Ola O Aluko, Director Capital Improvements

Sandra Vega, Project Manager FROM Capital Improvements

DATE: November 15th, 2007 FILE: B-75814

SUBJECT: Increased Funding Amrbrister Recreation Building Improvement

REFERENCES:

ENCLOSURES:

The Armbrister Recreation Building Improvement project requires additional funds because of the following reasons:

- Building Department Plan Revisions from #1 through #6. The Construction Documents were submitted to Roger Hatton on July 2005 before the completion of the "Dry-Run" permitting process. The original JOC Cost Proposal did not include those revisions. The revisions include electrical requirements as per code, French drain, louver door at A/C room, epoxy dowels and additional rebars at tie bean areas, additional structural angles, etc.
- 2. Unforeseen Conditions.
 - Demolition of unforeseen ceramic tile
 - Plumbing Revision due to unforeseen sanitary line location
 - Sub-base for concrete slab at entrance
 - Required roof slope to drain
 - Level of two interior walls
 - Required chemical stripping of interior walls and cleaning due to several unforeseen layers of coats of paints.
- 3. Additional Scope of Work. Park Department required the Locker Staff Room to be remodeled. The Locker Staff Room was not part of the approved original scope of work. There is not written documentation from parks for this request.
- 4. Additional Construction Administration Services was necessary to cover extended construction time.

The construction cost to cover the Building Department Plan Revisions, the unforeseen conditions and the additional scope of work is \$91,376.15, and the cost to cover the additional construction administration Services is \$19,253.00.

Although the project had contingency funds, additional \$23,970.00 from Homeland Defense Funds Series I (HD-1) are needed it to cover all cost mentioned above.

Therefore it is recommended to increase the total fund of the project from \$570,000.00 to a total of \$593,970.00

SV/sv

cc: Yvette Maragh, CIP Administrator Capital Improvements Scott Pritchard, Inspector Capital Improvements Mike Tyler, Construction Manager HDR Guillermo Sosa, Project Manager Rizo Carreno & Partners



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

Date Prepared: 11-Oct-2007

VERSION

REV 4

		GRAM	331-Parks and Recreation	ARE	A		2-Recreat	ion & Cu	ture	
	PROJECT NAME: Arr	nbrister F	Recreation Building Improv	vement		*****	PR	JECT NO	:	B-75814
	ADDRESS / LOCATION: 236		9.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DISTRICT		2
	PROJECT TEAM: Ven					PROJ	ECT CONTRACT	ED COST	\$	487,481.79
	CATEGORY: Parl			-			PROJECT E	ST. COST	\$	593,970.00
	CLIENT DEPT: 58-		Recreation				CURREN	T FUNDS	\$	593,970.00
	CLIENT CONTACT: Ed I			305) 416-1	253		FUTUF	RE FUNDS	\$	-
	DESIGN MANAGER: San		CIP) TEL. 3	05-416-12	243		FUND SH	ORTFALL	\$	······
	CONSTR. MANAGER: Mike		TEL.: 3	05-416-10	72		PROCL	JREMENT:		JOC
	EST. DESIGN START: 03/	,	EST. BID ADV .:		:	EST	CONSTRUCTIO	N START:		05/30/06
	EST. DESIGN END: 12/	/22/05	EST. AWARD DATE:			E	ST. CONSTRUC	FION END:		07/23/07
	PRODUCTION PHA	SE (3-DE	ES)	an a	% of	Fradia		% of		
	Prime Consultant:	2981 Rizo	o, Carreno & Partners, Inc.	CODE	Const	esun	nated Design	Const.	Con	tracted Design
	1 Outside Consultar			1.01	13.9%	\$	64,887.00		\$	64,887.00
S			onal Design Services	1.01	1.1%	\$	24,263.00		\$	5,010.00
S T	3 CIP - Production N			1.01	3.4%	\$	16,000.00		\$	16,000.00
ő	4 Miscellaneous Serv			1.02	0.2%	\$	850.00		\$	850.00
U	5 Miscellaneous Serv	ices - Othe	<u>۲</u>	1.01	0.4%	\$	1,892.00		\$	1,892.00
AL	6				l					
5			PRODUCTION 1	OTALS		Estin			Cont	racted
10					\$		107,892.00	\$		88,639.00
A	CONSTRUCTION PH	ASE (4-	CON)		1			Contro	atad (Construction
9	Prime Contractor:	Carivon C	onstruction Corporation	CODE	Estimat	ed Cor	nstruction by	(Formal	Bid. I	nformal Bid or
AN			ne de l'entre comme de las la factories de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la compa	10000	-	PI				ethod)
0	1 Construction Cost			2	80%	\$	375,694.66		\$	375,694.66
ш		/ Change C	Orders (Prime Contrac	2	20%	\$	91,376.34		\$	4,549.87
A T	3									
TIM			CONSTRUCTION T	OTALS	1	Estim			Contr	acted
ST					\$		467,071.00	\$		380,244.53
ш	CONSTRUCTION AD			CODE	Es	stimate	ed CEO	Co	ntraci	ted CEO
ь С	1 JOC Administration	- The Gord	ian Group (Always 1.5%)	03.02	1.5%	\$	7,007.00		\$	6,598.26
w	CC	NSTRUC	TION ADMINISTRATION T	OTALS		Estim	ated	C	Contr	acted
3					\$		7,007.00	\$		6,598.26
РR	ADMINISTRATIVE EX			CODE	Est	imateo	ADMIN	Con	tracte	d ADMIN
		mt./Budge	t/Procurement/Comm.)	4	2.6%	\$	12,000.00		\$	12,000.0
	2		·····							
			NISTRATIVE EXPENSES T	OTALS		Estim	ated	C	ontr	acted
	n an			OTALO	\$		12,000.00	\$		12,000.00
	ADDITIONAL PROJE	CT TAS	KS	CODE	Feti	mated	TASKS	Con	racto	d TASKS
	1		***							
		דוחח	IONAL PROJECT TASKS			Estim	ated	C	ontra	acted
	D 7604	A			1	Estima	ated	C	ontr	acted
_	<u>B-7581</u>	<u>4 </u>	PROJECT GRAND TO		\$			\$		487,481.79
					-			<u> </u>		101,101.13

PROJECT SCOPE	4,905 S.F. Recreation Building Improvement. New / ADA ramps entrance compliance					
	Operating Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5

Rev 4 - Additional Construction Cost, Design Cost and Construction Administration services were needed due to unforseen conditions and additional Scope of Work.

ES	AWARD NAME AND NUMBER	AVAILABLE	FUTURE
URC	385200-1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1) 331419 Neighborhood Park Improvements	\$ 114,351.63	
05 0	1058 385200-1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1) 331419 Neighborhood Park Improvements	\$ 479,618.37	
FUND	B-75814 FUND GRAND TOTAL \$ 593,970.00	ACTUAL \$ 593,970.00	PROJECTED \$
	Initiated by: Sandra Vega Project Manager Signature	Date:	10/16/07
NO	Approved by: Marcel Douge Senior Project Manager Signature	Date:	10/16/07
ATI	Reviewed by: Yvette Maragh CIP Budget Administrator Signature	<u>avach</u> Date:	R/16/07
ALID	Verified by: Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff		0 00 0
>	Accepted by : Ola Aluko Director: Capital Improvements Signature		10/26/07
	Approved by : Ernest Burkeen Director: Parks & Recreation Signature		10/26/07
	ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor South Conference Room	Inititals	
Notes	Receipt of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relations Coordinator	10/26/07	Z. P.
ž	Project MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board	Date Received / Sig	gnature or Initials

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

DEPART	MENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
SCI	PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
	• •
Prev Prev	viously Approved
1. DATE:7/26/05	D7CTD7CT
NAME OF PROJECT: ARMBRIS	DISTRICT: <u>2</u> <u>STER RECREATION BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS</u>
Inviting DEPARIMENT/L	JIVISION: Parks & Recreation
CIT DEFARINENT CONTACT	N/CONTACT NUMBER: Roger Hatton (305),416-1261 T: Sandra Vera 305 416 1243
RESOLUTION NUMBER:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER, 221410
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMB	B-75814
	(IF APPLICABLE)
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$570	N: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
1000 CC OF FUNDS: Neighborhoc	Dd Parks Improvements
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 3314	19
If grant funded, is there a City match r	
AMOUNT:	equirement? [] YES [] NO EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted?	ES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenanc	EXPIRATION DATE:
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provid	ed input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Sco	pe consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment for the
peckeds norme work, and/ charts, dance, a	Ind movie blay (V screen tormat) Evictic a rear in an in the start in
<u>A starte storage room</u>	needs existing door replaced remodeling the aviation and the
attached)	communications for existing staff office. (Continuation scope is
ADA Compliant? YES NO	
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05
Approved by Commission?	X YES \square NO \square N/A DATE APPROVED: $\frac{1719705}{7/26/05}$
Community Mtg/Dist Commissioner	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope?	Approval? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PProval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 🗍 6 months 🗍 12 m	onths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev	reloped based upon the initial established scope? I YES INO If yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST:	
Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been identi	dget? UYESUNO fied? UYESUNO
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?	
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	
	d input:
ustifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Rissel T.	
Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	YES NO HOW MUCHP
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Fime impact	
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
. COMMENTS:	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
	111
APPROVAL: Martin	DATE:7/26/05
BOND OVERSIGHT B	OARD
and the second	

PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	12/21/2004	
Revised Date:	3/15/2005	
Revised Date:	7/20/2005	
Revised Date:		

PROJECT NAME: ARMBRIS	TER PARK RECREATION BUILDING	IMPROVEMENTS	
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 236 Grand	Avenue	PROJECT No.:	B-75814 D2
NET OFFICE: Downtown		DISTRICT:	
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and	Recreation	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$570,000
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria M Pe	rez TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$570,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Sandra Veg	ga TEL.: (305) 416-1243	PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER: TBD	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO: TBD	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 3/16/2005	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 8/	30/2005
EST. DESIGN END: 6/15/2005	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 3/	15/2006

	PR	DUCTION PHASE				Percentage	1
	Α.	Design Svcs Outside	Consultant F	Prime Consultant: Rizo,Ca	irrero & Partners, Inc	•	
Į.		1 Basic Fees:				- 13.0%	\$51,887
		2 Additional Services	5.			1.4%	\$5,500
			* 			SUB-TOTAL:	\$57,387
	В.	Design Svcs CIP					
		1 In-house Basic De	sign Fee:	•		0.0%	\$0
		2 In-house Additiona	I Design Services;			0.0%	\$0
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*********		SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
1	C	Production Managemer	t Services				
		1 Prod. Mgmt. of Ou	tside Consultant by	CIP:		4.0%	\$16,000
		2 Prod. Mgmt. of Ou	tside Consultant by I	ndustry Partner:		0.0%	\$0
						SUB-TOTAL:	\$16,000
	D	Miscellaneous Services					
		1 Survey:		Vendor:			\$7,500
		2 Re-plat:		Vendor:			
		3 Geotechnical Testi	ng:	Vendor:			
		4 Utility Locations (S	oft Digs):	Vendor:			
L L		5 Asbestos Survey:		Vendor:			\$3,000
		6 Energy / HVAC Ca	iculations:	Vendor:			
N		7 Phase I Environme	ntal:	Vendor:			
S TIM.		8 Phase II Environme	ental:	Vendor:			
Ŵ		9 Structural Testing:		Vendor:	·		\$3,000
F		10 Archeological Surv	ey:	Vendor:			
05		11 Other:	···	Vendor:			
ပ	Ε	Special Fees / Assessm	opto:			SUB-TOTAL:	\$13,500
сı	6	•	ents. w, environmental pe	rmite etc.):	Fee Waiver	-	\$500
JE							\$613
õ		Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):					
5		4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):					
4		4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.). 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits):					
		6 U.S. Army Corps o					
		7 HRS (Plans review					
		8 Other:	,		<u></u>		
		<u> </u>	••••••••			SUB-TOTAL:	\$1,113
					PRODUCTION P	HASE TOTAL:	\$88,000
	co	NSTRUCTION PHA	SE				
	_	6 1 1 1					
	F	Construction:		JOC Contractor:			# 400 000
		1 Construction Estim		·			\$400,000
		2 Contingency Allow		E Dentally		10.0%	\$40,000
		3 Data & Telecommu		Dept.):			
		4 Fixtures, Furniture					
		5 WASA System Bet					·
		6 FPL Contribution-in	-AID-OT CONSTRUCTOR	1.			
		7 Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		······································		
						SUB-TOTAL:	\$440,000

ARMBRISTER PARK RECREATION BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS

	City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees 1 City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dep		
	City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dep Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	t. I Public Works	
	3 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4 Other:		
		SUB-TOTAL:	4
···		CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$440,00
CON	ISTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION		
нс	Construction Inspection Services - CIP:	6.0%	\$24,00
IC	Construction Mgmt Industry Partner:	0.0%	C.
JC	Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Indust	try Partner 0.0%	\$
кJ	IOC Administration	1.5%	\$6,00
		CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$30,00
AD14	INISTRATIVE EXPENSES		
	CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.):	3.0%	\$12,00
_	ndustry Partner Program Mgmt, Support:	J.J.70	
NA In	ridddy'r artifel r fograff wynt, odpport.		
M li			ሮ ፋ ኅ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡
M <u>li</u>		ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$12,00
	D ACQUISITION EXPENSES	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$12,00
LAN	D ACQUISITION EXPENSES	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$12,00
LANI N L		ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	······································
LANI N L	and Cost		\$ 12,00

The project consists of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment for the renovation the existing building. The existing Multipurpose Room is going to be use for after school activities such as homeworks, arts/crafts, dance, and movie play (TV screen format). Existing men room shall comply with ADA. Heavy equipment storage room needs existing door needs replacement. Remodeling of the existing computer room to accommodate 10 computer stations. IT comunications for existing staff office. Replacement of two existing storage rooms. Provide Central AC System for the entire facility. From Accessibility Evaluation Report prepare by Rodriguez Architects (4/8/04), the project shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope of work due to funding limitations of the project.

Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The scope of

work was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and it covers

work was reduce to, and all additions in th only renovations in the existing Building.

Ś

ES	Fund: Homeland Defense Fund	CIP #	331419	Fiscal Year Available 2004	Amount:	\$570,000
RC	Fund:	CIP #			Amount:	
5	Fund:	CIP #			Amount:	
UND SO	Fund:	CIP #			Amount:	
	Fund:	CIP #			Amount:	
	Fund:	CIP #			Amount:	
u		*	л то	TAL ALLOCATE	D AMOUNT:	\$570,000

	Project Manager:	Sandra Vega	Sandravez /S	Date: 7/21/05
TION	Sr. Project Manager:	Fernando Paiva		Date: 7/21/01
LIDA	Reviewed by:	Pilar Saenz		Date: <u>7-21-05</u>
VA	Accepted by:	CIP Budget Administrator Ernest Burkeen	Minestr Bucher frel	Date: 7/22/05
	٥	irector of the Client Department	Sign V	

Copies To: CLIENT DEPARTMENT, ALL CIP SECTION CHIEFS, CIP SENIOR ACCOUNTANT, HDR PROGRAM MANAGER Page 2 of 2

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM UPDATE
1. DATE: <u>3/21/03</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Conferences, Conventions, and Public Facilities</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Alex Argudin 305 579.6341</u>
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: <u><i>l</i></u> -03-337 CIP/PROJECT NUMBER:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: <u>2-03-33</u> + CIP/PROJECT NUMBER:
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? 🛛 YES 🛄 NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,022,100 SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$538,036 ACCOUNT CODE(S): 326015
Homeland Defense Citywide CIP #
Waterfront Improvements
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? If YES INO EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Alex Argudin and Mary Whitehead</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Public Boat Docking and Mooring Facilities. This project will provide for</u> moorings for transient vessels, the latest environmentally safe embedment anchors, facilities will also provide pump out operations to eliminate discharge of waste.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/21/03 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? X YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/21/03 Approved by Commission? X YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/21/03 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/21/03 Time Approval 🖾 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 9 1/-03 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Find Grant Cost \$484.064
APPROVAL: // (Y. Jourton DATE: 3-9-2003
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS.

Alejandra Argudin of the Department of Conferences, Conventions and Public Facilities appeared before the Board concerning an emergency request for funding (approximately \$538,000) of the Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project. The Department is applying for a FIND Grant (approximately \$484,000) for this project, and will be addressing the Commission at the March 25, 2003 Commission meeting regarding this project.

HD/NIB MOTION.03-25

A MOTION TO RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE DINNER KEY MOORING ANCHORAGE FIELD PROJECT.

MOVED L de ROSA SECONDED: M REYES

ABSENT S. ARMBRISTER; R. CAYARD, M. LOYAL; D. MARKO

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-28 A MOTION TO ADJOURN TODAY'S MEETING

MOVED M. REYES SECONDED: M. CRUZ

ABSENT S ARMBRISTER R CAYARD M LOYAL

Note for the Record. Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Boar Members present.

10

7. Dinner Key Mooring & Anchorage Field Project.

The project is proceeding well. A typewritten status report on various phases of the project was provided to the Board. An update will be provided to the Board within six months.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Board Member Reshefsky reminded the Board of the necessity of attending Audit Subcommittee meetings as often as possible.

Chairman Flanders recognized the immense amount of work covered by the Audit Subcommittee on behalf of the Board. He further reminded both the Board and City staff of the importance of participating in the work of the Audit Subcommittee and the importance of attending all BOB meetings. He endorsed the requests of Board Members Reshefsky and Marko to have responsibilities of the Audit Subcommittee rotated among all members of the Board.

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

No additional items.

Meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

23

UPDATES:

1. Model City Trust original \$1,800,000 for Replacement of HOME Investment Partnership Funds.

Report by Marva Wiley, Acting President of the Model City Community Revitalization District Trust. A finalized list as to what needs to be refunded is being prepared. Initially, the supporting documentation for the \$1.8 million that was requested reflected a list of properties thought to have been acquired between February and May of 2002. The number that the City is requesting be refunded is now \$2.4 million, reflecting several properties. There is a need to increase the amount to capture the full impact of the full list of acquisitions up to the present. Present total amount is \$3.6 million. \$2.4 million of that amount represents refunding of HOME funds or addressing prior acquisitions and additional payments charged for acquisitions through HOME funds and approximately \$1.2 million represents current acquisitions. Bond counsel will provide a written opinion as to whether or not the manner in which the exchange/replacement/transfer of funds is being suggested is legal.

2. Model City Trust's Office Renovation at Hadley Park.

Report by Marva Wiley, Acting President, Model City Community Revitalization District Trust. Ms. Wiley presented the Board with pictures of office renovations of the existing meeting room. The Trust moved into the office in January 2004.

3. Dinner Key Mooring & Anchorage Field Project.

Report by Alexandra Argudin - Conferences, Conventions and Public Facilities Dept. The permit applications for the construction of the Mooring Facility was submitted to the Federal, State and County regulatory agencies January 12, 2004. The Marina Manager and Ms. Argudin met with the permitting representatives of these agencies on February 25, 2004 at Dinner Key to discuss the project, provide a tour of the anchorage and respond to some of the initial questions the agencies had re the project. A formal response to those questions from the City's consultant to the agencies is being prepared and will be submitted by April 11, 2004. The balance of the permitting process includes responding to questions and requests for additional information from the agencies, and it is hoped that the permitting process will be completed by the latter part of this year. To date, the City has expended \$49,125 in engineering and consulting expenses related to the preparation

ek

and submittal of agency permit applications, and \$7,288 in permit application fees. Approximately \$15,000 will be expended in the removal of derelict vessels and other debris from the Dinner Key Anchorage area. These costs are all associated with Phase 1 of the project. Phase II will entail the actual construction of the mooring field and upland facilities. All permits should be in place by the end of the year.

4. Virginia Key Beach Park Improvements, Renovations & Repairs – Phase I

Report by Sandra Vega and Alberto Corales - CIP Dept. The project is 70 percent complete. The project is expected to be completed by July 2004. The structures that are presently being repaired are the carousel, the small bathhouse, the concession building, the tunnel, the large bathhouse the dance floor and a number of pavilions. The project is advancing according to schedule and according to budget. Photographs of the work in progress were presented to the Board.

5. Police Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiatives

Report by Major Joseph Longueira - Police Department. A spreadsheet of the status of acquisitions was provided to the Board followed by a Power Point presentation which indicated what acquisitions have been made and what acquisitions are pending.

6. FEC Corridor Initiatives.

Report by Carmen Sanchez - Economic Development Dept.; Enrique Nunez - Planning and Zoning Dept; Jorge Cano, CIP Dept. Α handout of the work in progress was provided to the Board. The Department of Economic Development issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to find adequate consultants to provide a transportation/financing/marketing plan. At present, one response was received re the financing component of the plan and only a couple of responses were received re the marketing and transportation components of the plan. The Purchasing Department suggested that the RFQ be re-released as individual components rather than as a group in hopes of getting a better pool from which to choose an adequate consultant to carry out the services and Economic Development is in the process of following up on this suggestion. The Department of Transportation has brought in a pool of industry consultants to review the scope of infrastructure element needs for that area and once all studies are done, a plan will be developed re infrastructure needs for the area. The FEC Regulating Plan

ek

APPROVED BY AUDIT COMMITTEE: not approved; Audit Subcommittee asked for additional information to be presented at the 10-27-04 BOB Meeting.

A presentation was made by Major Mirabile to explain how this project was for decontamination and there was a unanimous show of hands in favor of this project by the seven board members present.

UPDATES:

1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.

Steven Bogner presented status report. The permit process is expected to be completed by the end of 2004. Army Corp of Engineers are expected to approve the project soon. Mr. Bogner will come back with another status report in three months.

2. Preservation Development Initiative Grant.

Sarah Eaton expressed disappointment in not having much progress to report. The grant, which is for technical assistance only (no money), is pending at the mercy of the national trust. Ms. Eaton continues to pursue this and will return in six months with another report.

3. Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition 254 NE 59 Terrace, Parcel 68. Dirk Duval, reported that the land had been acquired.

4. Little Haiti Park Demolition of Structure and Removal of Debris at 254 NE 59 Terrace, Parcel 68. Dirk Duval, reported the structure was demolished, secured and fenced in.

5. Procurement of Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park. Dirk Duval, reported that 10 appraisals had been procured and would return with recommendation for condemnation proceedings on certain properties.

6. Brentwood Village – Professional Services. Jorge Cano reported this project is temporarily on hold and would bring the issue back on the next agenda.

7. Bicentennial Park Seawall/Shoreline Stabilization – Phase I. Jorge Cano reported this project is well underway and is about two months ahead of schedule.

8. Coral Way Beautification Uplighting – Phase I.

Jorge Cano reported this project is pending profile requested by Florida Department of Transportation, which should be complete next week. The project is expected to move at a rate of approximately 2-3 blocks per week.

9. Site Furnishings at Coral Gate Park.

Ed Blanco reported this project is completed and photographs were available.

10. Site Furnishings at Jose Marti Park.

Ed Blanco reported this project is complete and the park is looking better.

- Trust has been successful in receiving other grants, some of them to remediate brownfields (contaminated lands). Some of the HD/NIB funds are to be used as matching funds for remediation of contaminated lands.
- A second priority for the Trust is addressing the digital divide by implementing a hot zone to create the opportunity for residents of the area to have access to the internet.
- Lastly, infrastructure improvements will be addressed.

Ms. Wiley further stated that no one has moved into houses yet. The first priority of the Trust was to acquire all properties prior to beginning construction. The reason for this is once you construct houses, the value of area properties increases. Master plan was approved in 2004. There are 86 properties currently in the inventory; of that 26 are slated for single family homes. There are 16 houses ready for implementation. The past six months have been processing necessary permits.

3. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that: (1) the engineering and permitting consultant is in regular contact; (2) preparing to remove 11 derelict and sunken vessels from project area within the next 45 days and identifying additional vessels to be removed; (3) submitting applications for Florida Inland Navigation District for grant monies.

4. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that permit approvals for fuel dock have been secured; construction phase of project has been put out to bid and responses are due March 22, 2005. The next step is the evaluation of proposals by the CIP Dept. and the approval of the contract by the City Commission.

5. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported this walkway along the Miami River is expected to be completed by September or October 2005. Project includes art pieces and water fountain.

6. Neo Lofts Greenway Segment.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported that a pre-construction meeting took place on February 3rd. Notice to proceed with contract was issued on February 13th. Currently, contractor is acquiring necessary permits. Expecting construction to begin next week. Gary Reshefsky requested before and after photographs. Robert Flanders requested photographs be posted on the board website.

3. Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that when the Homeland bond first began, it was broken down into three fire stations and the training center where the money would be spent. The money was allocated for those four projects. The Department expended money without coming back before the Homeland Board, to lease trailers for use as stations, and to improve sites where the stations were located. In the Department's search for property, appraisals were done that use Homeland bond monies. Lately, the fire fee and other funds have been used to cover the costs of appraisals.

Nikki Lorenzo, Public Facilities, reported that closing was completed on October 21, 2005, on the property on 990 Northeast 79th Street, purchased for 900,000. The other two properties, 958 and 960 Northeast 79th Street, for 1,050,000, closing is expected to be completed next week.

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that one of the properties has a year lease, but the Department will be working with architects and engineers to begin the design process. Station 11 has an architect and engineer company already in the design process.

4. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that construction drawings and engineer have been completed on this project. Permits have been submitted to the agencies. A notice of intent to issue permit was received from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the lead regulatory agency on the project. A Miami citizen objected to the project and filed a petition for administrative hearing. The DEP denied that request and offered that individual 15 days to submit an amended petition. The end of the 15-day period is approaching. When the final permits are secured from all the agencies on this project, the Department will move forward with securing the balance of the funding for this project, which is estimated to be between 750,000 and \$1 million. This project area. The Department is hoping to secure FEMA funding for debris removal. Next steps are securing funding, and concurrent with that is continuing to remove the derelict vessels from the mooring field project vessel and citywide.

5. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that CIP is in the process of executing the contract with the contractor. Construction is anticipated to begin in late January.

6. Environmental & Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcels 18, 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that all title issues on the properties have been resolved.

7. Underground Storage Tank Removal at Little Haiti Park Parcels 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that underground tanks were removed on properties, and a clearance letter was obtained from DERM.

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 23, 2006.

NOT TAKEN UP DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM.

II. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

NEW ITEM:

Additional Increase in Contract for Enhancements to NW 14th Street Improvements Project

NOT TAKEN UP DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM.

<u>UPDATES:</u>

1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that the City has received permit approvals from the FDEP and the Army Corps of Engineers for the project. Permits remaining to be obtained are Dade County DERM and the City of Miami, and the City is in the final stages of receiving permit approvals from those agencies. This past Friday, the City presented a formal grant application to the Florida Inland Navigation District, in Coco Beach, for matching grant funding for the balance of the project. The presentation was well-received by the FIND Commission, and a positive outcome is anticipated for late August. The latest round of sunk and abandoned and derelict vessel removal citywide was recently completed, which included the removal of sunken vessels and debris in the project area. Next steps for the project are to complete the outstanding permitting requirements with Dade County DERM, obtain the matching grant from the Florida Inland Navigation District that will enable the Department to begin construction, and then initiate construction. If everything goes according to plan, construction is anticipated to begin in October of this year, with completion of the project in June 2007.

Rolando Aedo questioned whether the current project had any correlation with the fuel station project. Mr. Bogner stated that the fuel project would support the mooring field in that there would be a dedicated pump-out facility located at the fuel dock. In addition, there would be an additional source of projected revenue from customers out in the mooring field.

Rolando Aedo asked if there was anything new to report on the fuel dock project. Mr. Bogner stated he had nothing new to report since the last meeting where the resolution was passed to address the Commission and the Administration of the Board's concerns and desire to put the project back on track.

Chairman Flanders requested that Gary Fabrikant discuss the CIP Department's updates with the Board, so that the Board, within the course of a month before the meeting, understands what is going on before the meeting.

2. Police Training Facility Professional Services

Maria Liz Babun-Matos, Police Department, reported that the City has a contract with Spillis Candela, the architects that have been selected for the project. The project is currently in the programming and schematic stage. The Department has had several meetings with them already and have selected schematic number 2 out of several schematics that they offered at the meeting. The Department has met with a programmer and outlined the

TT OF	DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
	PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
CO. TUDE	UPDATE
1. DATE: <u>6/19/03</u>	. ب ^{الال} ات من بنام علي 199 مستخطرة
INITIATING DEPAI	I: FUEL DOCK AT DINNER KEY MARINA RTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Conferences, Conventions, and Public Facilities</u>
INITIATING CONT.	ACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Alejandra Argudin – 305.579.6341 &
Stephen Bogner - 305.	579. 6955
C.I.P. DEPARTMEN	
ADDITIONAL PROJ	IBER: <u>X-02-218</u> CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: <u>396015</u> ECT NUMBER:
2 RUDCETARY INT	(IF APPLICABLE)
TOTAL DOLLAR AM	ORMATION: Are funds budgeted? []YES INO If yes,
SOURCE OF FUNDS:	1) \$269,290.00 from Strategic Initiatives Funds 2) \$ \$67,290 from HLD Citywide
Waterfront Improvemer ACCOUNT CODE(S):	<u>IIS</u> <u>CIP # 326015</u>
If grant funded, is there AMOUNT:	a City match requirement? YES NO EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Bud	lgeted? YES INO Account Code(s):
	nd Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJE	
Argudin & Stephen Bog	nts who provided input: <u>Conferences, Conventions, and Public Pacifices - Alejandra</u> mer
DESCRIPTION OF PR	OJECT: <u>Funds will be used for the design and construction of fuel dock. This is a</u>
Revenue Generating Pro	pect - a fuel dock is needed for the use and convenience of the boating public, the marina
-	a permanent fueling system.
ADA Compliant? Y	
Approved by Audit Com Approved by Bond Over	
Approved by Bond Over	
Revisions to Original Sco	
Time Approval 🔲 6 me	onths 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL CO	OST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
	timate been developed based upon the initial established scope? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO If yes, 🛛
DESIGN COST:	ст.
Is conceptual estimate wi	
If not, have additional fu	inds been identified? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO
Source(s) of additional fu	inds:
Approved by Commissio	
Approved by Bond Over	
5. REVISIONS TO OF	
	its who provided input:
Description of change: _	
Fiscal Impact	
Have additional funds be	
Source(s) of additional fu	unds:
Time impact	
Approved by Commissio	n? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Over	
6. COMMENTS: / In	addition to the 592 Aips at Dinner Key Marina, there are an estimated 1,500 vessels in and
around the marine that we	did use future settices. Subject the actual cash Flow bud let DATE: There 24, 2007
BOND	DVERSIGHT BOARD

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 27, 2003.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-48

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 27, 2003.

MOVED: L. de ROSA SECONDED: M. CRUZ ABSENT: R. AEDO; S. ARMBRISTER; W. HARVEY; D. MARKO; J. REYES; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. INTRODUCTION OF NEW BOARD MEMBER:

Suzanne Peters – nominated by Commissioner Winton

Civic activist Suzanne Peters is presently the Chairwoman of the Cocoanut Grove Village Council. She is a local attorney, working in the area of securities arbitration, commercial disputes and general commercial law.

B. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT.

Fuel Dock at Dinner Key Marina Project.

The Audit Committee recommended approval of the fuel dock project.

Presentation by Marinas Manager Steve Vogner and Alexandra Argudin -- Department of Public Facilities. Bond funding in the amount of approximately \$296,290 has been applied for to complete construction and installation of a full service fuel station at Dinner Key Marina. To date approximately \$128,000 of previously allocated monies have been spent on this endeavor. The purpose of the fuel dock is to enhance amenities at Dinner Key Marina and to generate additional revenues for the City. The department is

currently in the process of submitting regulatory applications permit to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Department of Environmental Resource Management (DERM). It is hoped that this project will be completed by September 2004. The City would make a net profit on the gallons of fuel sold, whether gasoline or diesel. The profit would go into the City's general fund. Dinner Key Marina is owned and operated by the City.

At a recent Audit Committee meeting, Board Member Marko voiced concern about the City's ability to safeguard the environment and operate within the parameters of environmental regulatory agency quidelines. Mr. Vogner has met with a representative of DEP regarding this issue and assured the Board that the standards by which the City will operate the fuel dock meet requirements of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that are passed down to the State of Florida DEP and promulgated through DERM's operating permits.

Chairman Flanders suggested to the Board that this project would enhance the viability of licensing boaters to use the slips at Dinner Key Marina. He also informed the Board that presently, in the immediate area of the marina, there are only three places to purchase fuel -- Key Biscayne Yacht Club, which is private; Belcher, which bashes boats and Miami Beach Marina which is very expensive.

Board Member Cruz inquired as to who would have control of the cash revenue coming into the facility. Mr. Vogner assured the Board that the Marina has a history of handling large sums of cash, such as at the fuel storage facility currently being operated by the Marina, which generates approximately \$150,000 a year in gross revenues through cash, check and credit cards, and historically, there has not been a problem re accountability of revenue generated.

Vice Chairman Reyes and Board Member Reshefsky requested that a more explicit budget be a condition of a recommendation of approval of this project to the City Commission.

Chairman Flanders informed the Board that bond funds have been earmarked for both the project and the project has the approval of the CIP Department. CIP will be building Phase 1 of this project and will bid out Phase 2 to a construction company.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-49

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (THE BOARD) AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE FUEL DOCK AT DINNER KEY MARINA PROJECT, CONDITIONED UPON THE PROVISION OF AN EXPLICIT BUDGET FOR SAID PROJECT, INCLUDING PRO FORMA INCOME STATEMENTS, CASH FLOW, EXPENSES AND OTHER FIXED AND VARIABLE COSTS; FURTHER THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC FACILITIES WILL PROVIDE AN UPDATE OF THE FUEL DOCK PROJECT TO THE BOARD WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROJECT.

MOVED:	Μ.	CRUZ
SECONDED:	L.	CABRERA
ABSENT:	R.	AEDO; S. ARMBRISTER; W. HARVEY
	D.	MARKO; J. REYES; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Environmental Site Assessment Report & Title Related Services for several Little Haiti Park Properties.

Presentation by Dirk Duval and Madeline Valdes --Department of Economic Development.

The Department of Economic Development, in its efforts to acquire property in the Little Haiti area is being conveyed three pieces of property from Miami-Dade County at no cost. The properties involved were presented before the Board at its April 22, 2003 meeting, at which time, the Board recommended approval of the this acquisition as a part of seven properties the City is acquiring to build a park for the Little Haiti community. In order to acquire the properties, an environmental site assessment report is required as well as title, and related services costs of approximately \$10,200.

HD/NIB 04-09

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE JOSE MARTI PARK NEW COMMUNITY ROOM BUILDING-LANDSCAPING & REINFORCING FOAM FLOORING FOR AEROBIC ROOM PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$50,000 OF HD/NIB-NEIGHBORHOOD PARK IMPROVEMENTS AND ACQUISITIONS FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOTION:	D. MARKO
SECONDED:	R. AEDO
ABSENT:	S. ARMBRISTER; S. CASERES;
	R. CAYARD; R. FLANDERS;
	J. REYES; L. de ROSA; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

III. UPDATES:

Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Total dollar amount: \$538,580.

Source of funds: \$269,290 from Strategic Initiative; \$269,290 from Homeland Defense/ Citywide Waterfront Improvements

Report by:

Board Member Reshefsky; Alejandra Argudin - Conferences, Conventions, Public Facilities

Date approved by Audit Subcommittee: June 19, 2003.

This is a revenue-generating project. Dinner Key Marina does not presently have a permanent fueling system. In addition to the 592 slips at Dinner Key Marina, there are an estimated 1,500 vessels in and around the marina that would use the fueling services.

Phase I, which is the design portion of the project has been completed. All permitting has been received except two -- the Army Corps of Engineers permit and DERM permit, and that CIP is in the process of putting together the bid packages for the construction portion, which is Phase II. It is anticipated that within approximately three weeks, the bid package should be going out, and by April 2004, an update will be provided to the board and it is anticipated that construction would have commenced and all permits would be in place by that time.

12

January 27, 2004

ek

HD/NIB MOTION 04-71

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE BELAFONTE TACOLCY PARK - IRRIGATION PROJECT. MOVED: L DE ROSA SECONDED: M. CRUZ K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. Vangates ABSENT: Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

UPDATES:

1. Model City Trust - Replacement of HOME Investment Partnership Funds. Marva Wiley informed the board that Model City funds had been swapped from infrastructure improvements in the bond for a purpose of land acquisition. Auditor General's review of this transaction was referred to the bond counsel opinion, who opined the transactions were in compliance with bond's public purpose requirements.

2. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Alejandra Argudin informed the board this project has been delayed for modification to the plans. Pending are approvals from two agencies. Once those approvals are obtained, the plans will be submitted to the Zoning Department for another dry run process. CIP will bid the construction phase after that. Expect to open April 2005.

Neo Lofts Greenway Segment. 3.

Jorge Cano informed the board agreement with developer is being revisited, with intent to release them from obligation of construction in order to marry this segment with the Jose Marti Park segment. The scope of work is to be expanded and done together as one project.

4. Environmental and Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcel's 18, 60 & 61. Dirk Duval informed the board that this project is in the permitting process, the title went through and proceeding with cleanup of the tanks, should be completed in six months.

5. Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park Parcel 92.

Dirk Duval stated that appraisals were authorized to be done with due date of August 6th.

Land Acquisition for Little Haiti Park Parcel 79.

Land Acquisition for Little Haiti Park Parcel 91. 7. Dirk Duval stated that Parcels 79 and 91 were closed on June 14, 2004 and are in the process of

demolishing structures and securing the properties.

8. Steel Picket Fence at Eaton Park.

Ed Blanco stated that this project was completed a while ago.

9. New Pool Heaters at Hadley Park.

Ed Blanco stated that project has been bid out, have a purchase order and contractor is working on this right now.

- Trust has been successful in receiving other grants, some of them to remediate brownfields (contaminated lands). Some of the HD/NIB funds are to be used as matching funds for remediation of contaminated lands.
- A. second priority for the Trust is addressing the digital divide by implementing a hot zone to create the opportunity for residents of the area to have access to the internet.
- Lastly, infrastructure improvements will be addressed.

Ms. Wiley further stated that no one has moved into houses yet. The first priority of the Trust was to acquire all properties prior to beginning construction. The reason for this is once you construct houses, the value of area properties increases. Master plan was approved in 2004. There are 86 properties currently in the inventory; of that 26 are slated for single family homes. There are 16 houses ready for implementation. The past six months have been processing necessary permits.

3. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that: (1) the engineering and permitting consultant is in regular contact; (2) preparing to remove 11 derelict and sunken vessels from project area within the next 45 days and identifying additional vessels to be removed; (3) submitting applications for Florida Inland Navigation District for grant monies.

4. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that permit approvals for fuel dock have been secured; construction phase of project has been put out to bid and responses are due March 22, 2005. The next step is the evaluation of proposals by the CIP Dept. and the approval of the contract by the City Commission.

5. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported this walkway along the Miami River is expected to be completed by September or October 2005. Project includes art pieces and water fountain.

6. Neo Lofts Greenway Segment.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported that a pre-construction meeting took place on February 3rd. Notice to proceed with contract was issued on February 13th. Currently, contractor is acquiring necessary permits. Expecting construction to begin next week. Gary Reshefsky requested before and after photographs. Robert Flanders requested photographs be posted on the board website.

3. Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that when the Homeland bond first began, it was broken down into three fire stations and the training center where the money would be spent. The money was allocated for those four projects. The Department expended money without coming back before the Homeland Board, to lease trailers for use as stations, and to improve sites where the stations were located. In the Department's search for property, appraisals were done that use Homeland bond monies. Lately, the fire fee and other funds have been used to cover the costs of appraisals.

Nikki Lorenzo, Public Facilities, reported that closing was completed on October 21, 2005, on the property on 990 Northeast 79th Street, purchased for 900,000. The other two properties, 958 and 960 Northeast 79th Street, for 1,050,000, closing is expected to be completed next week.

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that one of the properties has a year lease, but the Department will be working with architects and engineers to begin the design process. Station 11 has an architect and engineer company already in the design process.

4. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that construction drawings and engineer have been completed on this project. Permits have been submitted to the agencies. A notice of intent to issue permit was received from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the lead regulatory agency on the project. A Miami citizen objected to the project and filed a petition for administrative hearing. The DEP denied that request and offered that individual 15 days to submit an amended petition. The end of the 15-day period is approaching. When the final permits are secured from all the agencies on this project, the Department will move forward with securing the balance of the funding for this project, which is estimated to be between 750,000 and \$1 million. This project has not taken money out to remove sunken vessels throughout the planned mooring field project area. The Department is hoping to secure FEMA funding for debris removal. Next steps are securing funding, and concurrent with that is continuing to remove the derelict vessels from the mooring field project vessel and citywide.

5. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that CIP is in the process of executing the contract with the contractor. Construction is anticipated to begin in late January.

6. Environmental & Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcels 18, 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that all title issues on the properties have been resolved.

7. Underground Storage Tank Removal at Little Haiti Park Parcels 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that underground tanks were removed on properties, and a clearance letter was obtained from DERM.

<u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Fuel Dock at Dinner Key Marina

Chairman Flanders: Updates. Fuel dock at Dinner Key Marina.

Mary Conway (Director, Capital Improvements Program & Transportation): Gary, let Enrique speak to the issue. This is an item that -- well, it was discussed at the last meeting, and I had responded that the City had put this project on hold, and that we had deferred the funding to the second series and swapped it because of issues that had been raised by the community as we were moving forward with the Coconut Grove Waterfront Master Plan study, and Enrique can briefly give you further information.

Enrique Nuñez: Well, good evening. My name is Enrique Nuñez. I'm the chief of urban design for the City of Miami Planning Department, and as you are aware, the Coconut Grove Waterfront Master Plan is underway under the direction of the firm of Sasaki Associates, which is based in Boston. They're heading a team of design consultants with different specialists working together, so at the direction of the City Manager, and at the request of the constituents, the consultants are looking at the proposed location of the fuel dock facility and are looking at other possible locations for the fuel dock facility and the pump-out station. The consultants are also looking at the economics for the fuel pump facility, so with that in mind, that's where this project is at. It's based on the study of the master plan, which is now involved in initial concepts and visions, design.

Ms. Conway: And basically, when this issue arose, we had discussion at a staff level. We also had discussion with the City Manager, and he directed us to put the project on hold, pending resolution, because we didn't want to be in a position where we were going to lose face with community and feel that we were not being truthful about there being a public process associated with the waterfront and the master plan study, and I would think we agree that it's unfortunate that the timing in this project was such that the two conflicted, but we do think it's important to allow the public dialogue to continue before making the final decisions about what's going to advance in this area.

Gary Reshefsky: Could I ask a question, Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Flanders: Gary.

Mr. Reshefsky: I'd like a little more spec -- feel that you could be a little more specific about some of the issues because we've been here now -- we've got the -- we have the, you know, item here. We had four updates, I think, and I want to thank Zimri and the staff for making sure we got all the updates so we could see that we saw this project every six months. Nobody's ever come here and questioned this project. It's been on television; it's been on these agendas. I don't know if it ever went to the Waterfront Advisory Board. I'd like to know if it did. It did go to the Waterfront Advisory Board is my understanding. What exactly is the problem here? Where -- what's the location that it can't go and what's the deal?

Mr. Nuñez: Well, again, as a result of this master plan study, of which there is a team -- a multidisciplinary team involved in looking at the aspects of public access to the waterfront, the maximizing of recreational opportunities, and bringing people to the waterfront and allowing not only access to the waterfront, but also the spoil islands areas. The design team was analyzing all of the existing conditions and looking at all of the areas which may

offer opportunities and constraints. One of the observations from the group of consultants was that the proposed location may not be the best location from a site planning and site design standpoint, so then, at the direction of the Manager and at the request of the constituents, the team was -- has been asked to look at alternative possible locations with the involvement of the economic consultant to see if there is a more preferable location for the fuel dock.

Mr. Reshefsky: So it -- where's the dock -- where was the fuel dock supposed to go, at Dinner Key Marina, right?

Mr. Nuñez: Yes, at the marina, at one point, adjacent to one of the main piers, and at this point, I -- it's --

Ms. Conway: Basically, it's south of where we are right now.

Mr. Nuñez: Yes. It's --

Ms. Conway: From where the boat launch area is --

Mr. Nuñez: Yes. It's adjacent, relatively close to the Seminole boat ramp area, at one point, where --

Ms. Conway: But further east.

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah, further to the east, where -- close to that sailboat or anchorage area currently exists.

Mr. Reshefsky: But there was people in the community that were opposed to where it was located --

Mr. Nuñez: As a matter of --

Mr. Reshefsky: -- or is it --?

Mr. Nuñez: -- fact, yes. At the request of the constituents and the residents of the area, they requested for alternative areas to be studied because of those areas in particular of having maximizing views to the west, the sunsets, and to access, and the potential for conflicts with hurricane issues. Those are some of the concerns that came about, so as part of this master plan process, they will be looking at alternative locations.

Mr. Reshefsky: So what happens with the money that we spent on the project and the permits that were applied for and were obtained? That might not be an answer for --

Mr. Nuñez: Well ---

Mr. Reshefsky: -- you, but --

Ms. Conway: We'll be -- we're in a situation right now where we have plans that are designed and permitted, and pending what the final outcome is -- if the final outcome is to allow it to proceed as designed and permitted, then we'll move forward with the project and we'll build it, as soon as the second series monies become available. However, if we end up in a situation where there's a different decision that's taken -- similar to the

unfortunate situation that we're in with Bryan Park -- then we'll have to go back and reassess, and we'll have to pay to redesign the project.

Mr. Reshefsky: Was it the second series that's the issue or the master plan? And what's - you know --?

Ms. Conway: No, no, no, no, no. We have -- because we have the objective of making sure that we deliver these projects and spend the bond monies -- commit them and spend them as timely as possible, we made a decision to move the monies for the construction of this project out to second series because we made the decision to put this on hold. It -- the reason is because of the Waterfront Master Plan study and the public concerns that were raised so that we could respond to them.

Mr. Reshefsky: OK. My concern is the waste, is the \$29,000 that was spent, because we went and got permits and I want to make sure those permits aren't going to expire and that money doesn't get wasted. If not, put the money back in the bond fund and kill the project. I don't really care if there's a fuel dock, but we started the project, so we ought to finish it. If these permits are going to go bad, then you're going to come back again and you're going to spend the money and the administrative time to get the permits again, so that's my concern. That's where I'm coming from.

Rolando Aedo: The other thing that I wanted to add was that, from my understanding, this is a revenue-producing opportunity for the City --

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Mr. Aedo: -- so the waste not only comes from the money -- the (UNINTELLIGIBLE) cost, but also the lost revenue, which -- and I'm not well versed in terms of how much this potentially would have generated, but I guess this was originally approved back -- almost going back three years now, so we need to take that -- you know, that lost revenue is a hard -- is now becoming a significant cost as well.

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah. As I mentioned, the economics will also be -- the consultants will also be looking at the economics of this project and looking at potential alternative locations.

Chairman Flanders: OK. I guess what we're hearing is that despite the initial studies, despite the fact that this is coming out of the strategic initiative fund, despite the fact that it's permitted, that we are going to hold it, so I guess the bottom line is, how long is the new master plan going to take? What is the hiatus on this project?

Mr. Nuñez: The Waterfront Master Plan is currently in the conceptual design stage. They have already done their due diligence of analysis and presentations to the community, and are currently generating design concepts and visions and alternatives of which they will be sharing with staff and the community in June, and with potential public presentations of those alternatives in July. This project will carry through to the fall and -- for eventual adoption of the master plan.

Chairman Flanders: So when could we anticipate this facility -- wherever it's going to be located, according to the new master plan -- when could we anticipate its completion and its beginning to generate revenue for the City?

Mr. Nuñez: Well, as you know, the master plan will make recommendations for where this facility might be. At that point and once accepted by -- and adopted as a master plan, adopted by the City Commission, at that time we would look at any needed revisions to environmental permits, and then the process of proceeding with the rebidding.

Mr. Reshefsky: Mr. Chair, could I --?

Chairman González: Yes, Gary.

Mr. Reshefsky: I noticed Stephen Bogner's here, and I don't want to put him on the spot, but I know he's got the pulse of the people at Dinner Key Marina, and I'm reading here, you know, there's 1,500 boats at the marina. I'm just curious what the people at the marina, who are paying the City money for these space -- these slips, what they're expecting. I mean, this has been going on for three years now, so --

Stephen Bogner (Public Facilities): Well, it's forgone. Mary was pretty succinct. The Administration's put the project on hold until the master planning process has been completed. I sense a clear desire from -- on the part of my Dinner Key Marina dockage customers for a fuel dock and a pump-out station. As you all know, we're separately before the board pushing for our managed mooring field. Permits are just about in hand, and we're looking forward to going ahead with that in the fall. Whether the fuel dock gets built or it doesn't get built, we're still going to need a pump-out station as well, so these are elements that are being looked at outside of our staff.

Chairman Flanders: There's another question that begs to be asked here, and that is -since I happen to be a boater who keeps my boat at Dinner Key -- are the constituency or the customers of Dinner Key, are they being considered in the master plan, what they want in terms of placement, where the fuel dock could go?

Mr. Nuñez: Obviously, the master plan is a very open, public process of which many of -there are many constituents that are also involved with the marina or have boats within the marina, and also have lived in the immediate and surrounding community, so the process is one that will involve the public and has involved the public from its beginning. It's a process that has included stakeholder interviews of neighborhood associations, of merchants, of hoteliers, and a broad spectrum of the community to take the master plan to the point where it's at now, which is the development of actual design concepts and visions for the entire waterfront, and this waterfront study is from Peacock Park all the way to Kennedy Park, looking at all of the waterfront areas and connections of the Village center and the surrounding neighborhoods to the waterfront, so this is a very comprehensive study.

Mr. Reshefsky: Is there a possibility that this fuel dock will not get built at all?

Mr. Nuñez: That might be a possibility. That might -- there might be an analysis by the team of consultants that may look at nearby or adjacent fuel dock facilities, and that may very well be one of the recommendations. Of course, it's open now, but they have been -- looked -- they've been requested to look at the different scenarios at the point.

Luis Cabrera: Mr. Chairman --

Chairman Flanders: Yes, Luis.

Mr. Cabrera: -- as a recommending body that we are, can we make a motion or can we recommend to the City Administration to -- and request that they continue to direct the project on the -- on -- the way that we voted on this project, the way that it was permitted, the way we had approved? And send a clear message, regardless of how they direct themselves, that, you know, the fact that they bring issues to us, we review them, we make decisions, and we try to be as informed as we can, you know. I think that we have to send out a message and let them know, hey, listen, you know, we can't keep spending the citizens' money, the bonds money, and then just turning around and saying, oops, we're going to do something else. I mean, it just sends out -- it makes me -- it's a bad -- we're sending out a bad -- you know, we can't continue as a board to say it's OK, it's OK. It's OK with the Orange Bowl. It's OK with this; it's OK with that. We've made mistakes. We can't -- I mean, that's what we're here for, and I think we should at least make a recommendation that we don't agree with any other changes, and that we want this project -- if that's what the Board feels -- to continue to move forward. What they decide is beyond our control.

Mr. Reshefsky: I second that, if that was a motion.

Chairman Flanders: Well, I was going to say, if you'll frame the motion --

Mr. Reshefsky: You need a third?

Chairman González: -- I think you have a second.

Mr. Cabrera: I'll frame it as a motion.

Mr. Reshefsky: And if I could just second it with an amendment that we have a transcript of this discussion sent to each of the City Commissioners and the Mayor so that they're aware of this issue as well.

Mr. Aedo: And let me just add one thing. On a personal note, I mean, of course we're here representing the citizens and the Commissioners, but we're spending a lot of time up here --

Vice Chairman Reyes: That's right.

Mr. Aedo: -- and we're investing time, and in many cases, many hours that we'd rather be with loved ones and doing other good deeds, so for us to invest that time and as -- you know, as it was pointed out, just to have these decisions that were proposed by City staff reversed, kind of sits a little raw in my craw, actually, because I'd rather be doing other things, and I don't know. I just -- I guess I'm getting a lot frustrated, not just a little frustrated, with a lot of things that have been happening, and I think it's going to come to a head sooner or later --

Mr. Cabrera: And especially --

Mr. Aedo: -- but hopefully, it will.

Mr. Cabrera: -- Rolando, when you pick up the newspaper and you read that issues are being -- you know, citizens are expressing concerns that things are being done without bids and the committee is not doing what we need to do, and you know, it sends out a bad message 'cause the community is going to think that we're out here just acting as puppets,

and not only that, that we're not, you know, expressing their concerns, and I think, as a board, we need to let them -- you know, let the City know that this needs to stop. It needs to stop. There's an issue that's going to be brought up today, which was in the paper again, with these ball fields and these parks at Grapeland, and you know, we keep getting slapped with issues that we keep telling the City, don't continue to do, don't continue to do.

Mr. Bogner: If I can make a -- just a small point of clarification. This was an item that was competitively bid. It was awarded by the City Commission.

Mr. Reshefsky: We have a contract.

Unidentified Speaker: There you go.

Mr. Reshefsky: Get a vendor.

Chairman Flanders: Yeah. No, we ---

Mr. Reshefsky: Pull it out. I mean, this is ridiculous.

Chairman Flanders: -- we're aware of that, but let me -- let us address one thing, actually, that Luis Cabrera said. This body approved the negotiated bid process, and we did it for expediency. We were educated on it. We -- those of us who've attended most of the meetings understand it. We -- it was a tough thing to chew on. We continue to understand it, and we understand the reason why it exists in many cities, counties, and states across the United States, so we actually have not done a no-bid process. What we've done is a negotiated bid process with firms that we have picked out of a basket, so please do not send a message that the City is engaged in a no-bid process. We are not. Now what I'm hearing is a sense of frustration, and it's borne of the fact that, A, we are losing money in not having this facility opened. Number two, we don't know, in fact, if it's actually going to be built. Number three, everybody knows that it's connected with the marina. I'm connected with the Palm Bay Marina, and I could tell you that fuel is an amenity that is so highly prized. I only know of one public facility, and that's Miami Beach Marina, and I think it's dreadful that they have the lock on this kind of an amenity. To me. it makes -- it even makes the facility itself, the Dinner Key Marina, more saleable and more desirable, which means you can raise the rents, so how much money is this costing the City by holding this particular project up?

Vice Chairman Reyes: Do we know why it has been held? Do we know why it has been held? Because this was approved on June 24, 2003; it's going to be three years. Three years and nothing has been done.

Mr. Nuñez: The concerns are more of the proposed locations of the fuel dock facility, and

Vice Chairman Reyes: But that was --

Mr. Nuñez: -- concerns and the requests --

Vice Chairman Reyes: Excuse me --

Mr. Nuñez: -- for --

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- but --

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- when they came -- if my memory doesn't betray me, when they came in front of this board --

Mr. Nuñez: Yes.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- the location was already picked. They even came with a budget, which I did argue that that budget was not realistic; that it was too low, and I remember when this came in front of us, and the location at the time was picked. Everything was ready to go, and the time of completion was probably six, eight months. It's been three years.

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Three years and nothing has been done.

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Vice Chairman Reyes: And what he said, the economic cost of this probably is high because the City is not making any money out of it, and I don't know what you -- now you want to change plans. You want to -- maybe, probably you're moving -- you're looking for a place to locate this fueling facility. If that's the case, why you come in front of us with a plan and with a project that -- and waste our time telling us that you -- we need this. This is a -- I mean, we're going to start doing this, and within six months, it's going to be completed. Because at the time -- and correct me, Gary -- we always gave -- I mean, there was a time frame given to this.

Mr. Reshefsky: Absolutely, yeah.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Why? Why?

Ms. Conway: I really think, at this point, that it's more prudent for the Board to make a motion if you want it to be reconsidered, and let the Administration reconsider it based on the motion, but I don't know that there's anything else that we can say that we haven't already stated this evening.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Well, the truth of the matter is that we are frustrated.

Mr. Aedo: We're venting a little. Excuse us.

Mr. Reshefsky: We get the Clerk to read the question and we can vote --

Chairman Flanders: We do have --

Mr. Reshefsky: -- but I agree with Mary. Let's just do a motion.

Chairman Flanders: -- a motion, but I'd like to hear it stated, please, Luis.

Mr. Cabrera: I want to make a motion that the Board makes a recommendation to the City to continue forward with the current plans and projects that we have in place that we agreed on and voted on on this Board.

Chairman Flanders: Is there a second?

Eileen Broton: I second.

Chairman Flanders: OK. Any further discussion? All in favor?

The Board Members (Collectively): Aye.

Chairman Flanders: Anyone opposed? Motion carries unanimously.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-11

A MOTION TO RECOMMEND THAT THE CITY MOVE FORWARD WITH THE CURRENT PLANS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD.

MOVED:M. ReyesSECONDED:L. De RosaABSENT:K. Apfel, R. Cayard, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Mr. Reshefsky: Mr. Chair, can I make a second motion? It's similar -- it's just to clarify -just in addition, that the transcript of this discussion go to the members of the City Commission --

Mr. Aedo: Second.

Mr. Reshefsky: -- and the Mayor.

Chairman Flanders: Any further discussion? All in favor?

The Board Members (Collectively): Aye.

Chairman Flanders: Anyone opposed? Motion carries.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-12

A MOTION TO PROVIDE TRANSCRIPT OF DISCUSSION ON FUEL DOCKS TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COMMISSION AND THE MAYOR.

MOVED:	M. Reyes
SECONDED:	L. De Rosa
ABSENT:	K. Apfel, R. Cayard, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Chairman Flanders: Thank you very --

Mr. Nuñez: Thank you.

Chairman Flanders: -- look, this is not easy, and we know that the City is involved because I'm in the Upper East Side. We're in the first quadrant of Miami 21, and we do have -- I think everybody up here has an appreciation for the Planning process and how important it is to the City, but this is one small item, but it's a very big item when you look at it in terms of lost revenue and salability of leases in the marina, so I think maybe we have singled out this particular item as a prime example of well, wait a minute, so --

Mr. Nuñez: Very well.

Mr. Reshefsky: Yeah. We have a few others. We have a long list here, Rob.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Yeah. We have ---

Mr. Reshefsky: There might be more. There might be more.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Every single --

Mr. Nuñez: Thank you.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- month we sit here and we get these updates, and there's not a single project that has been completed.

Chairman Flanders: No, that's not true.

Ms. Broton: That's not true.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Well, that's not true, but they're -- I mean, most of the projects that we have approved two years ago, you see, we get updates and they're not completed.

Chairman Flanders: That's -- OK.

2. Additional Funding and Scope Of Work Change for VKBPT-Capital Related Consulting

Guy Forchion, director of Operations, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust, reported that projects totaled \$317,430 in bond funds. The construction of a modular office is complete at a cost of \$186,880. The removal of trash leftover from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers shoreline stabilization project, at a cost of \$16,000, is complete. The Trust is awaiting a new ordinance of the City of Miami that would approve the buoy line installation on Virginia Key Beach. IMDC completed an electrical master plan for the park. The Trust has been moving forward with negotiations with NOAA (National Oceanic & Atmospheric Association) and RSMAS (Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science) on the island. They've chosen a \$12,550 undersea monitoring device to start and continue a yearlong survey of the safest areas to swim in Bear's Cut. The Erosion Control Line process started some time ago. The Trust is in the process now of scheduling a public hearing, which is part of that process, so

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM	
A STORE AND A STORE AND A STORE	
1. DATE:	
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Public Facilities</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Daniel Newhoff (305) 579-6341</u> C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:	
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 326015 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:	
(IF APPLICABLE) 2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,000,000 (10 Million total Bond allocation)	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI – Citywide Waterfront Improvements</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 326015</u>	
If grant funded is there a City match requirement?	
AMOUNT:	
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Daniel Newhoff / Public Facilities</u>	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Scope includes the repairs to an 18 foot lateral concrete joist supporting the</u> <u>commercial dock, one of four per section of Pier 5 at MiaMarina which is deteriorating at an accelerated rate</u> <u>because of natural elements decaying the concrete</u> . ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A	
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 6/8/05 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 6/28/05 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 6/28/05 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 6/28/05 Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:	
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:CONSTRUCTION COST:	,
ls conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO	
If not, have additional funds been identified? [] YES [] NO Source(s) of additional funds:	
	-
Approved by Commission? I YES I NO I N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? I YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:	_
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE individuals / Departments who provided input:	-
ustifications for change:	_
Description of change:	-
Fiscal Impact IVES NO HOW MUCH? Iave additional funds been identified? IVES NO Fource(s) of additional funds:	_
Eime impact	-
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:	-
. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million for improvements based on staff recommendations. Exact amount will ome back before board. Coconut Grove Dock Master Plan funded 1st series, but will be swapped to 2nd series	ļ
A le la	
PPROVAL: AMUS AMUS DATE: 6/28/05	
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD	

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. <u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>:

• FPL Easement for Underground Utilities Services at Jose Marti Park. TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$5,000 (1.35 million allocated; estimated current balance is \$29,172)</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI – Neighborhood Park Improvements</u> DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Scope includes the cost of installation of a new pad mounted</u> <u>transformer at the newly completed Jose Marti Park-Recreational Building in order to provide electrical</u> power to the building by Florida Power and Light Company (FPL).

HD/NIB MOTION 05-61

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE FPL EASEMENT FOR UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SERVICES AT JOSE MARTI PARK.

MOVED:	M. Reyes
SECONDED:	M. Cruz
ABSENT:	L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Emergency Dock Repairs at Miamarina Pier 5.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,000,000 (10 Million total Bond allocation) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI – Citywide Waterfront Improvements</u> DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Scope includes the repairs to an 18 foot lateral concrete joist</u> supporting the commercial dock, one of four per section of Pier 5 at MiaMarina which is deteriorating at an accelerated rate because of natural elements decaying the concrete.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-62

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE EMERGENCY DOCK REPAIRS AT MIAMARINA PIER 5.

MOVED:M. ReyesSECONDED:M. CruzABSENT:L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

fall of 2006. The contractor has begun construction. The first progress meeting for the project will be on Thursday. There have been a couple of areas of the project that need to be addressed, but they are expected to be within the allocated constructions costs. The project is still on budget.

4. Miamarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is 90 percent complete. The structural portion of the project is 100 percent complete. There is about 85 percent completion on the electrical and the plumbing. The project is expected to be completed for the final plans to be submitted for permitting at the end of March. Construction can start in May, and the construction process can be finished by the end of the year. The design of the project is still within budget.

5. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements – Design Services

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the design is 100 percent complete.

6. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the improvements are computer stations, ADA compliance in the restrooms, the main building entrance, and new lighting fixtures. The construction document permits and bidding phases are 100 percent complete, as well. The JOC pricing is 100 percent complete. The project is on budget. The construction estimated start date is about the second week in March, and the construction estimated completion date is October 2006.

7. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the project includes the construction of approximately 700 linear feet of boardwalk connecting the existing community building to the newly refurbished pond. At this moment, the JOC pricing on the project is in progress. The DERM permit is also in progress. The Department needs to work with DERM for the final approval of the design. The construction estimated start date is November 2006. The project may start earlier depending on DERM's response to the new design, as well as how well the community responds to the new design.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the community has already provided input as part of the process, and there has been extensive coordination with DERM as a result of that because of the hardwood hammock that exists at this site and the sensitivity to making sure that the trail is as minimally disruptive to that as possible. Once the issues are resolved with DERM, the Department will go back and coordinate with the public further so they know what the final decisions are.

Jason Manowitz questioned whether additional funding could be sought to use a different material other than wood on the project. Mary Conway stated that funding could not be through the Bond, but the Department could explore, with the Parks Department, the possibility of looking at impact fee dollars or another revenue source. It is very, very much more expensive from a capital standpoint to use a recycled material than to use the wood.

8. Athalie Range Park – Mini Stadium Complex

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project consists of reconfiguring the fields into football and soccer fields, new bleachers, a storage area, ADA compliant walkways and irrigation. The project is approximately 75 percent designed and design completion is scheduled for completion in January 2007.

9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project has encountered a number of problems. There are air voids under the swimming pool. When some of the pipes were exposed, decrepit pipes were encountered and those changes are being addressed. The project will go through some redesigning to address some of the repairs that are going to be needed. In order to maintain the current budget, the Department is looking at swapping out some of the existing scope of work so that the budget can be maintained. The roof is now included as part of the scope of work, and the Department feels that the roof tile can be salvaged, so this is one of those projects that unearthed a number of problems.

10. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is in design. The scope of work includes replacement of approximately 310 linear feet of decking, fiberglass grating and piling. The project has obtained preliminary DERM approval and is now being reviewed by the Building Department for final approval. The design is approximately 95 percent complete, and completion is expected in November 2006. The project is currently in budget.

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, stated that the scope of work includes the removal of the decking of approximately 20 slips at the commercial docks at MiaMarina that have become structurally unstable. The permitting is close to final approval. The slips are currently being used. However, a couple of vessels were relocated out of two slips where the problems were significant. The design, engineering, and permitting work is being done by Coastal Systems International.

11. Douglas Park Recreation Building Renovations- Design Services

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design plans are 60 percent completed, and design completion is expected in January 2007.

12. Antonio Maceo Park New Community Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is under construction. It is a 5,300 square foot building. All of the foundations are in place; concrete block is in place, and trusses are being built. The project is on schedule and on budget.

13. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project scope includes approximately 1,500 square feet of building addition. The project is 90 percent complete and finishing touches are being put on the interior finishes and finishing up the miscellaneous site work. Substantial completion is expected next month.

	IENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
Televini Control	UPDATE
1. DATE: 7/28/04	
NAME OF PROJECT: JUAN PAB	DISTRICT: <u>1</u> LO DUARTE PARK – WATER PLAYGROUND
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/D	IVISION: Parks & Recreation
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT	N/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco 416-1253
RESOLUTION NUMBERS	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMB	ER: <u>B-30080</u>
י מער אין	(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION	: Are funds budgeted? XYES INO If yes,
SOURCE OF FUNDS	0.000 (\$800.000 allocated: estimated current balance is \$401.194) ds – Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 33141</u>	9
If grant funded, is there a City match re	
Are matching funds Budgeted?	EXPIRATION DATE:
Estimated Operations and Maintenance	: Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩
	ed input: <u>Ed Blanco / Parks & Recreation</u>
Architectural Fountains.	tallation and Construction of new water play park – Installation by Hobbs
ADA Compliant? YES NO	N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?	\boxtimes YES \square NO \square N/A DATE APPROVED: <u>7/20/04</u>
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Tonths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	LE BREAKDOWN
1	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev	veloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
1	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu	veloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been identi	veloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu	veloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been identi	veloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, idget? YES NO ified? YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ndget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, hdget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES YES NO YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ndget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, hdget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES YES YES YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, hdget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES YES NO YES YES YES YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, indget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ndget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE ed input:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact	weloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, indget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: DPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ndget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE ed input:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, indget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, indget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been der DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission?	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, idget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, udget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ified? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: OPE ed input: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, idget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: DPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, idget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: OPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, idget? YES NO ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: OPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu If not, have additional funds been ident Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provide Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Commission? Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: This is of a state con Contract: 650-001-04-01. CIP will be made Juan Pablo Duarte Park is approx 20% I the community. Commissioner Gonzalo	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, ified? YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: DPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	veloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, indget? YES NO Indget? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE NO input:

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO

Wooden Deck for Playground at Jose Marti Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$8,000 (1.35 million allocated; estimated current balance is</u> <u>\$369,033</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Bonds - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions</u> Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Proposal includes the removal of wood deck as installed adjacent to the playground. Approx 1800 SF of existing wood planks to be removed. Any deteriorated framing below existing deck to be replaced. All wood to be pressure treated and sealed as required. All deck planks to be replaced with American Ecoboard composite wood decking materials. All existing railings to be refinished and painted to match existing color. Scope of work for replacement of deck planks is inclusive of replacement of wood at all existing wood stairs adjoining to deck area.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-67

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE JOSE MARTI PARK PLAYGROUND/WOODEN DECK PROJECT.

MOVED:R. AEDOSECONDED:M. CRUZABSENT:K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. VangatesNote for the Record:Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Water Playground at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

Ed Blanco stated that a public hearing was scheduled for 7-28-04 to discuss general park improvements, including a water playground. There are some issues to work out still, but recommended proceeding with the project.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$260,000 (\$800,000 allocated; estimated current balance is</u> <u>\$401,194)</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Bonds – Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions</u> Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Installation and Construction of new water play park – Installation by Hobbs Architectural Fountains.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-68

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE JUAN PABLO DUARTE PARK – WATER PLAYGROUND PROJECT.

MOVED: R. AEDO

SECONDED: M. CRUZ

ABSENT: K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. Vangates Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Court Upgrades at Kinloch Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$10,000 (\$800,000 allocated; estimated current balance is \$790,000) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Bonds – Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions</u> Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Proposal for court upgrades includes pressure cleaning and removal of mildew, checking courts for low spots, patch them as necessary, correct cracks with filler to smooth surface, re-stripe playing lines, paint lines and wall on racquetball courts, remove 2 existing basketball and install 2 MacGregor Gooseneck basketball, provide tools, materials, and labor.

- 3. <u>Site Furnishings at Curtis Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project was recently completed and provided photographs.
- 4. <u>Replacement of Monument at Bay of Pigs Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that project is expected to be completed by next week.
- 5. <u>Replat Project at Gibson Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project was terminated. Will pursue another process, not a replat.
- 6. <u>Site Furnishings at Williams Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project was recently started and is about 20% complete. Will update the board in six months.
- 7. <u>Site Furnishings at Jose Marti Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported the vita course is not completed; it's about 90% done. Will come back with another update.
- 8. <u>Water Playground at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that the permits were just obtained and the site is being prepared to begin construction. The playground is planned adjacent to the recreation building on the western side of the park.
- 9. <u>Playground/Wooden Deck at Jose Marti Park.</u> Ed Blanco provided a sample of the deck (wood composite) and reported that the project was basically completed.
- 10. <u>Court Upgrades at Kinloch Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed and provided photographs.
- 11. <u>Court Upgrades at Hadley Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed and provided photographs.
- 12. <u>Building Improvements/Roof at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed, but did not provide photographs because that involved struts and other parts of the roof.
- 13. <u>Irrigation at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed.

At 6:21 p.m., quorum was reached and the official meeting began.

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 14,</u> 2004.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-1

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 14, 2004. MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: K. APFEL ABSENT: R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, A. Sumner, L. Cabrera, M. Cruz, J. Reyes Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

12. Athalie Range Park Playground Shade

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project was completed, but before Hurricane Wilma, the shade was taken down as a preventative measure to save it. The contractor has to reinstall it.

13. Jose Marti Park Site Furnishings - Lighting

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

14. Jose Marti Park Site Furnishings – Vita Course

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed and pictures are available.

15. Jose Marti Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the water playground was awarded to the same contractor who did the Roberto Clemente water playground. Since then, that contractor has had some internal issues. They have no performed some of the other projects that they have with the City. It has been almost a year, and the Department decided to terminate the project with them, and we'll go out and get another contractor to do that particular project.

16. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

17. Juan Pablo Duarte Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that this project was given to the same contractor who was awarded the West End Park water playground project. Unfortunately, this contractor did not have the experience in doing a water playground. They did not have the engineering staff or the design staff on board. They gave one excuse after another for six or eight months, and we've decided to move on. They admitted to us that they would not be able to completed the project. They haven't even started. The Department had to find another contractor, and this time the contract will be given to a contractor who has experience with these type of projects.

18. Kinloch Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

defense series. He stated that he will go back and give the Board a full report on the exact list of the full allocation.

- 4. West End Park Water Playground AND
- 5. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, stated that he would combine the updates for West End Park and Juan Pablo Duarte Park because both parks have the same problem. Over a year ago, a contract was awarded to do the water park for both parks to one contractor. After eight months of going back and forth, the City had to pull the plug on the contractor because they were not performing for either park. As a result of that, the Department decided that it would probably be best to go back to the contractor who did the Roberto Clemente playground, which was successful. The Department requested an estimate from that contractor on a playground in both parks. The Department was very happy with the quote given and plans to use that contractor under the Dade County contract. When the quotations were sent to the Purchasing Department, CIP was told that the contractor could not be used because the specialized equipment that would be used to do the water playgrounds was not on the Dade County contract bid. Therefore, CIP is back to square one; both projects will have to go through a formal bid process again.

6. Jose Marti Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that there is another major project at Jose Marti Park, the development of an indoor gymnasium. The Department was informed that there was a shortfall in that project, so the funds that would have been used for the development of the water playground have been shifted to the gymnasium project. Therefore, funds are no longer available to do the Jose Marti water playground.

Gary Reshefsky raised concerns about the most recent update on the Jose Marti gymnasium because he did not remember being told that the project was over budget. Mr. Reshefsky asked for an explanation of the JOC contract process because he was under the impression that those were guaranteed costs.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP & Transportation, stated that the Jose Marti gymnasium is not a JOC contract; it's a CM at-risk contract with Pirtle Construction. It does not use the JOC process. Right now, the Department anticipates a shortfall. The Department is in the process of receiving the guaranteed maximum price for the contract. The problem is that the construction industry itself is basically out of control. During some months, the prices are going up as much as 23 percent. That's where the shortfall will probably come into play. The cost of materials and labor are out of sight. The Department will provide an update on the status of the project at the next meeting.

Danette Perez, CIP Department, directed Mr. Reshefsky to the May 23, 2006 minutes, page 13, number 8, for the most recent update on the Jose Marti Gym project. Mr. Fabrikant stated that the update summary is accurate, and in addition, as part of the CM at-risk process, the Department does not wait to get final pricing until all the permitting is done. The plans are now about 100 percent, without dry run approval, so the Department has gotten their GMP proposal; it will be reviewed and then negotiated, and then brought to the Commission. The Department will come back at the Board's next meeting and give an update as to the amount of the shortfall, if there is one.

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15,</u> 2006.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-33

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.

MOVED:	M. Cruz
SECONDED:	L. De Rosa
ABSENT:	L. Cabrera, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. <u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the project was previously before the Board on December 26, 2005, one day prior to the Department's pre-bid conference with the contractors for the park, which Mariano Cruz was invited to. The pre-bid conference was held on December 27, 2005. There was only one bid for the project on October 26, at \$599,000. There is only \$260,000 available for the project, so that bid had to be thrown out. The project scope is currently being scaled down in hopes of going out for bid one more time. There were some shortfalls in the building renovation project so it is on hold. The Department is considering using the funds from the splash park for the building renovations if a successful bid is not received for the splash park.

Gary Reshefsky requested an accounting at the next meeting of the Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground.

2. West End Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the renovations of the pool building and pool are currently underway and will probably be open before the summer. The funding of the splash park had to be reallocated to complete that particular project, so the splash project cannot be done.

Gary Reshefsky requested information regarding what other municipalities in Miami-Dade County are doing regarding splash parks; further, he requested an accounting of the funds for the splash parks in the City of Miami. Vice Chairman Reyes requested that the Department add any other projects with funding shortfalls to that list.

Vice Chairman Reyes stated that if the Department wants to scale down the project, then they should come back before the full Board and the Commissioner of the district where the park is located.

3. Glen Royal Parkway Project

		DEPARTN	ENT OF	CAPITAL OVERVIEV	. IMPRO 7 Form	VEMENTS	
Dictor	CO. FLOR		UPI)AT			
NAME INITIA INITIA C.I.P. D RESOLI	TING DEPA TING CON EPARTMEN UTION NUI	T: <u>WEST EN</u> ARIMENT/D IACT PERSOI NT CONTACT MBER: JECT NUMBI	IVISION: <u>I</u> N/CONTAC ': CIP/	Parks & Recre T NUMBE	ation R: <u>Ed Blan</u> NUMBER:	<u>.co 416-1253</u> 331419	Τ: <u>4</u>
		٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠			(IF APPLI)		······································
SOURCE	DOLLAR AN E OF FUNDS	FORMATION 10UNT: <u>\$215</u> : <u>HDNI Bo</u> : <u>CIP # 33141</u>	000 <u>(\$1.35 N</u> nd – Neighbo	<u>tillion allocat</u> thood Park I	ed: estimated	Current halance	is \$975.000)
AMOUN	T:		EXPIRAT	ON DATE:	□ NO		
Estimated	l Operations 2	dgeted? [] YE ind Maintenance	S [] NO Budget	Account C	:ode(s):		
	E OF PROJE				74 28 () + 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 1 1 1 () - 1 4 5 1 6 1 6 1 6 1		
DESCRIF	PTION OF P	ents who provide ROJECT: <u>Inst</u> Vendor is Domi	allation of me	chanical equip	<u>irks & Recre</u> oment and co	ation onstruction of Sj	plash Pad deck for a
ADA Con	npliant? 🗌 Y	ES 🗌 NO 📋	N/A				
Approved Approved Revisions	by Commissi to Original So	ersight Board?	X YES [YES] YES [] NO □ N/] NO □ N/] NO (If YES	A DATE A A DATE A see Item 5	APPROVED:	<u>4/27/04</u>
Has a cond DESIGN CONSTRU Is concept If not, hav	ceptual cost e COST: UCTION CC tual estimate v	DST: vithin project bu unds been identi	dget?			d scope? 🗌 YE	S 🗌 NO If yes,
	by Commissi by Bond Ove	on? :rsight Board?				APPROVED: APPROVED:	
		RIGINAL SCC nts who provide					
Justificatio	ns for change	÷					
Descriptio	n of change:	······································	·····				
		een identified? unds:	YES YES		HOW MUC	H?	
	ict by Commission by Bond Ove				A DATE	APPROVED:	
6. COMM	ENTS:	Total project pri	ce quoted is \$	149.320. Hou	ever, additio	nal funds are be	ing requested for
	<u>W.A.S.A. (W</u>	ater and Sewer J	Authority). etc	<u>c. Any remain</u>	ing funds wi	ll return to the V	Vest End Park
	<u>WASA (X</u>	ater and Sewer	Authority). etc	: Any remain	ing funds wi	Il return to the V	Vest End Park

HD/NIB MOTION 04-37

À MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE TO ALLOCATE AN ADDITIONAL \$5,000 TO THE HADLEY PARK-NEW POOL HEATERS PROJECT.

MOVED: SECONDED: ABSENT: W. HARVEY L. CABRERA S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES;

L. de ROSA

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Playground Equipment at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

Total dollar amount:	\$65,000
Source of funds:	HD/NIB-Neighborhood Park
	Improvements and Acquisitions
Report by:	Ed Blanco, Parks and Recreation;
	Gary Reshefsky, Audit Subcommittee
Date approved by Audit	Subcommittee: April 20, 2004

Scope of project: Install new playground equipment. Pricing is per Miami Dade County Bid #4907-2/03.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-38

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE JUAN PABLO DUARTE-PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$65,000 BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED: M. REYES SECONDED: L. CABRERA ABSENT: S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES; L. de ROSA

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Water Playground at West End Park.

Total dollar amount:	\$215,000	
Source of funds:	HD/NIB-Neighborhood Pa	ark
	Improvements and Acqu:	isitions
Report by:	Ed Blanco, Parks and H	Recreation;
	Gary Reshefsky, Audit	Subcommittee
ek	5	April 27, 2004

Date approved by Audit Subcommittee: April 20, 2004

Scope of project: Installation of mechanical equipment and construction of splash pad dock for a new water playground.

Total price quoted: \$149,320. Additional funds are being requested for permitting, WASA (Water/Sewer Authority), etc. Any remaining funds will be returned to the West End Park account. This is the second water park to be constructed in the City, the first one being Roberto Clemente Park. It is hoped that a water park will be constructed in each of the City's five districts.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-39

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE WEST END PARK - WATER PLAYGROUND PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$215,000 BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED:	M. REYES
SECONDED:	M. CRUZ
ABSENT:	S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES
	L. de ROSA
Note for the	record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of
all Board Memb	

• Site Furnishings ("Footsteps of Freedom") at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.

Total dollar amount:	30,000
Source of funds:	HD/NIB-Neighborhood Park
	Improvements and Acquisitions
Report by:	Keith Carswell, Economic Development;
	Gary Reshefsky, Audit Subcommittee

Scope of Project: Install "Footsteps of Freedom" concept to replace the existing concrete sidewalk along the north courtyard, incorporate landscape enhancements at the community center, and install new lighting.

The Audit Subcommittee did not take any action on this item and requested more information and a presentation from Michelle Spence, Director of Special Events re this project, and also requested a letter of consent from the Director of the Community Center.

11. Pool Building Renovations at Hadley Park. Ed Blanco reported this project is complete and looks great.

12. New Pool Heaters at Hadley Park. Ed Blanco reported this project was complete and all was working well.

13. New Playground Equipment at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

Ed Blanco reported this project is complete. Board Member Cruz requested that tables, chairs and a ping pong table be provided for the children's after school program at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

14. Water Playground at West End Park.

Ed Blanco reported this project is in the permitting process. The water playground at West End Park is expected to be completed by February 2005. The water playground at Juan Pablo Duarte is expected to take longer.

15. Site Furnishings at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.

Ed Blanco reported only one bid was received and was very high. The site furnishings has to be re-bidded.

16. Mini Stadium Complex at Athalie Range Park.

Ed Blanco reported that the RFQ for design was issued on October 4, 2004. On November 4th, the design firm should be selected. It will take 4-5 months to complete the design; construction should begin by June 2005.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

NAME OF PROJECT: BLACK POLICE PRECINCT & MUSEUM RESTORATION

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,715,800 (\$415,800-D5 Quality of life #311715/\$1,000,000-Historic Preservation Initiatives #327001/ \$300,000 Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources #888935 Grant)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: D-5 Quality of Life, Historic Preservation Initiatives and Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The scope consist of rehabilitation of the building for use as classrooms and public meeting space to include, selective demolition, re-roofing, Restoration replacement of windows and doors, renewal of finishes and related architectural and engineering services.

APPROVED BY AUDIT COMMITTEE: n/a

A presentation was made by certain African-American retired police officers and staff. Sarah Eaton supports the project and there was a unanimous show of hands in favor of this project by the seven board members present.

• <u>PLEASE NOTE LOCATION CHANGE FROM THE CITY HALL- CHAMBERS TO THE</u> <u>MIAMI RIVERSIDE CENTER - 10TH FLOOR MAIN CONFERENCE ROOM.</u>

The meeting adjourned at 7:42 p.m.

6. West End Park - Water Playground

Ed Blanco, CIP Department, reported that the West End Park - Water Playground project is still in the permitting process, but a contractor has been awarded for the project.

7. Virginia Key Beach Park Trust - Capital Related Consulting

Lee Robinson, finance director, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust, reported the following: \$110,000 allocated for design of museum structure; RFQ expected to be issued mid-May. A finalist is anticipated in October, which will begin the design competition for the museum structure. The comprehensive electrical master plan for the utilities in the park is underway. The PSA is currently in the City Attorney's Office awaiting signage. The renderings for the entrance of the park have been completed. The purchase of the mini train is on hold due to lack of funding.

Mary Conway, CIP/Transportation Director, reported that the CIP office has begun monthly coordination meetings with the Trust to make sure that the capital improvement projects that are being done on behalf of the Trust are fully coordinated with their efforts.

8. Preservation Development Initiative Grant

Sarah Eaton, Preservation Officer, reported that, earlier this month, the department received a letter from Richard Moe, the president of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, reaffirming the commitment of the National Trust to Miami to fulfill its obligations under the Preservation Development Initiative. An amendment to the contract is expected via mail this week to extend the contract. In six months, the Board should expect a very exciting report.

 Land Acquisition at 301 NE 62nd Street - LHP 101 in connection with Little Haiti Park.

Dirk Duval, Department of Economic Development, reported that the Department closed on the property on March 18. The structure previously occupying said property has since been demolished, and preparations are being made to fence the property.

10. Brentwood Village

Roger Hatton, CIP Department, reported that the architect submitted their final drawings for construction to the Building Department for permitting; to CIP and Public Works for review and comments. The Law Department of the City of Miami is drafting construction easement documents between the developer of that community and the City of Miami.

Mary Conway, CIP/Transportation Director, reported that the City made a commitment to partner based on investment that was made by the developer in an area that was a run-down, drug-infested area that's been turned around. The City's commitment was to

April 26, 2005

19. West End Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that this project was given to the same contractor who was awarded the Juan Pablo Duarte Park water playground project. Unfortunately, this contractor did not have the experience in doing a water playground. They did not have the engineering staff or the design staff on board. They gave one excuse after another for six or eight months, and we've decided to move on. They admitted to us that they would not be able to completed the project. They haven't even started. The Department had to find another contractor, and this time the contract will be given to a contractor who has experience with these type of projects.

20. Williams Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

21. Williams Park Site Furnishings – Shelters, Picnic Tables, Grills

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been delayed because it's a shelter that will be put on the northeast side of the existing recreation building, which will be renovated. Part of the renovation of that project was to have a French drain done because when it rains in that area there is bad flooding. The renovation to the building has undergone some changes and it has been postponed, but the French drain installation will go on ahead of the recreation building renovation.

22. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that the construction is well underway. This item was funded through the Commissioner's quality of life monies with the caveat that those monies be repaid through the ad valorem taxes that will come to the City. That is an outstanding commitment, so these are dollars that will be available next year and the year after, as the monies are collected, to be reassigned to other capital projects. The Department is looking right now at the possibility of using some of these dollars to fund the balance that's required for phase III of the Bicentennial seawall. The project is complete and a celebration was held last Friday night. The completion of the paperwork and the close-out has not yet been finished.

23. NeoLofts Greenway Segment

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that this segment fell in to the category where the guidelines were not officially in place. What the City was looking to do was to have the greenway constructed according to the guidelines. Unfortunately, what happened, based on timing and the timing of this building's completion and them obtaining their TCO, the greenway section in front of NeoLofts was not constructed as originally intended. No dollars have been expended on the item. What the City intends to do is do it as a capital improvement project in-house, with City resources.

24. Coral View Project – The Aston

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that this was a public-private partnership that dealt with street improvements adjacent to a development that was required to do other street improvements. This was extending the work another block. That project is about 80

6

defense series. He stated that he will go back and give the Board a full report on the exact list of the full allocation.

- 4. West End Park Water Playground AND
- 5. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, stated that he would combine the updates for West End Park and Juan Pablo Duarte Park because both parks have the same problem. Over a year ago, a contract was awarded to do the water park for both parks to one contractor. After eight months of going back and forth, the City had to pull the plug on the contractor because they were not performing for either park. As a result of that, the Department decided that it would probably be best to go back to the contractor who did the Roberto Clemente playground, which was successful. The Department requested an estimate from that contractor on a playground in both parks. The Department was very happy with the quote given and plans to use that contractor under the Dade County contract. When the quotations were sent to the Purchasing Department, CIP was told that the contractor could not be used because the specialized equipment that would be used to do the water playgrounds was not on the Dade County contract bid. Therefore, CIP is back to square one; both projects will have to go through a formal bid process again.

6. Jose Marti Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that there is another major project at Jose Marti Park, the development of an indoor gymnasium. The Department was informed that there was a shortfall in that project, so the funds that would have been used for the development of the water playground have been shifted to the gymnasium project. Therefore, funds are no longer available to do the Jose Marti water playground.

Gary Reshefsky raised concerns about the most recent update on the Jose Marti gymnasium because he did not remember being told that the project was over budget. Mr. Reshefsky asked for an explanation of the JOC contract process because he was under the impression that those were guaranteed costs.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP & Transportation, stated that the Jose Marti gymnasium is not a JOC contract; it's a CM at-risk contract with Pirtle Construction. It does not use the JOC process. Right now, the Department anticipates a shortfall. The Department is in the process of receiving the guaranteed maximum price for the contract. The problem is that the construction industry itself is basically out of control. During some months, the prices are going up as much as 23 percent. That's where the shortfall will probably come into play. The cost of materials and labor are out of sight. The Department will provide an update on the status of the project at the next meeting.

Danette Perez, CIP Department, directed Mr. Reshefsky to the May 23, 2006 minutes, page 13, number 8, for the most recent update on the Jose Marti Gym project. Mr. Fabrikant stated that the update summary is accurate, and in addition, as part of the CM at-risk process, the Department does not wait to get final pricing until all the permitting is done. The plans are now about 100 percent, without dry run approval, so the Department has gotten their GMP proposal; it will be reviewed and then negotiated, and then brought to the Commission. The Department will come back at the Board's next meeting and give an update as to the amount of the shortfall, if there is one.

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15,</u> 2006.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-33

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.

MOVED:	M. Cruz
SECONDED:	L. De Rosa
ABSENT:	L. Cabrera, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. <u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the project was previously before the Board on December 26, 2005, one day prior to the Department's pre-bid conference with the contractors for the park, which Mariano Cruz was invited to. The pre-bid conference was held on December 27, 2005. There was only one bid for the project on October 26, at \$599,000. There is only \$260,000 available for the project, so that bid had to be thrown out. The project scope is currently being scaled down in hopes of going out for bid one more time. There were some shortfalls in the building renovation project so it is on hold. The Department is considering using the funds from the splash park for the building renovations if a successful bid is not received for the splash park.

Gary Reshefsky requested an accounting at the next meeting of the Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground.

2. West End Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the renovations of the pool building and pool are currently underway and will probably be open before the summer. The funding of the splash park had to be reallocated to complete that particular project, so the splash project cannot be done.

Gary Reshefsky requested information regarding what other municipalities in Miami-Dade County are doing regarding splash parks; further, he requested an accounting of the funds for the splash parks in the City of Miami. Vice Chairman Reyes requested that the Department add any other projects with funding shortfalls to that list.

Vice Chairman Reyes stated that if the Department wants to scale down the project, then they should come back before the full Board and the Commissioner of the district where the park is located.

3. Glen Royal Parkway Project

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: 03/22/05
NAME OF PROJECT: RIVERSIDE BARK THANGTON DISTRICT: 3
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-75910
(IF A DDI ICA DI D
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? [YES]NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$200,000 (\$192,000 will be used from HDNI only, balance from other source of</u>
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI - Neighborhood Parks Improvements</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? [] YES [] NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: EXPIRATION DATE: EXPIRATION DATE: Expirated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Ed Blanco 305.416.1253</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Scope includes Excavation & Disposal of Fill</u> Demolition and Disposal of <u>Existing Playground Equipment</u> . 30 Cubic Yard Trash Container includes Dumping. 6' Temporary Chainlink Fencing priced Per Linear Foot. 2 Temporary 12' Wide Core and the Container includes Dumping. 6' Temporary Chainlink
Fencing priced Per Linear Foot. 2 Temporary 12' Wide Gates. 4" Compacted Stone Base #57. 2" Compacted Stone Base. Concrete Curbing. Pour in Place for 8' Fall Height (3, 1/2 thickness) D. 1.2.
Base. Concrete Curbing. Pour in Place for 8' Fall Height (3-1/2 thickness).Park Structures Playground Equipment.
Approved by Audit Committee? XES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/15/05 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/22/05
Approved by Commission?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval?
Date for next Oversight Board Undate:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWAL
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST:
It not, have additional funds been identified?
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? UYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
3. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH?
Time impact
Approved by Commission? Image: YES image: NO image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: NO image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: NO image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: YE
6. COMMENTS: Per Miami-Dade Bid # 4907-2/03-1
APPROVAL DATE:
BOND OVERSIGHT BØARD
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

د. د.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

Playground Equipment at Riverside Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$200,000 (\$192,000 will be used from HDNI only, balance from other source of fund)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI - Neighborhood Parks Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes Excavation & Disposal of Fill, Demolition and Disposal of Existing Playground Equipment. 30 Cubic Yard Trash Container includes Dumping. 6' Temporary Chainlink Fencing priced Per Linear Foot. 2 Temporary 12' Wide Gates. 4'' Compacted Stone Base #57. 2'' Compacted Stone Base. Concrete Curbing. Pour in Place for 8' Fall Height (3-1/2 thickness). Park Structures Playground Equipment.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-17

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT AT RIVERSIDE PARK.

MOVED:M. CRUZSECONDED:J. REYESABSENT:R. Aedo, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D. Marko, G.Reshefsky.Note for the Record:Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members
present.

 Additional Funding for Site Improvements at Belafonte Tacolcy Park. ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUESTED: <u>\$2,400</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions</u> DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE: <u>Additional design services for brick pavers in the South courtyard.</u>

HD/NIB MOTION 05-18

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE SITE IMPROVEMENTS AT BELAFONTE TACOLCY PARK.

MOVED:E. BROTONSECONDED:A. SUMNERABSENT:R. Aedo, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D. Marko, G.Reshefsky.Note for the Record:Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Memberspresent.

Kay Hancock Apfel questioned the time frame to receive the funds and to complete the project.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that upon receipt of the dollars, the City would start the design, so none of the preliminary engineering has begun for this project yet. There will be probably the standard 12 months for design and permitting; probably another 12 to 15 months for construction, depending on how extensive the planned repairs are.

4. Riverside Park Playground Equipment

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project is about ready to begin in January. The project was put on hold when the City applied for a grant for the project. An \$80,000 grant was awarded in November 2005. It went to the Commission in December, and a contract was executed in January. The scope of the project has changed. It's a \$270,000 project, which will have handicap walkways and handicap equipment for children in the playground. Construction is estimated to begin in May for an August completion.

5. Dinner Key Dredging

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is under permitting. The permitting is expected to be completed by the end of this year. The process is longer because of the involvement with wildlife. Construction is expected to begin in April 2007 for completion in July 2007.

6. Marine Stadium Marina Improvements

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the improvements consist of the boat rack for 84 boats. In-house designs have been prepared for this project. The designs have been presented to the building department, and fully permitted plans are expected for the month of May. Construction is anticipated to begin in July 2006 for completion in December 2006.

Rolando Aedo requested information on the Marine Stadium.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that the future of the Marine Stadium and what will happen there will be addressed as part of the Virginia Key Master Plan study that is about to begin.

Manolo Reyes requested that projects be summarized in a report.

7. Dinner Key Marina Pier Decking Replacement

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project was funded from another source of funds, and it has been completed. The portion related to the gate and locks will be funded from another source of funds, not from Homeland Defense.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- because according to what I'm reading here, it has meeting rooms, administrative offices -- I mean, it has a lot of different uses, not only a gym.

Mr. Fabrikant: Right. One of the things I committed to the audit committee was to hold a discussion with the Parks director --

Vice Chairman Reyes: Sure.

Mr. Fabrikant: -- to see about what name they would use for the facility if there would be a possibility to use a name other than the gymnasium. For purposes of construction, everything else --

Vice Chairman Reyes: Sure.

Mr. Fabrikant: -- you know, to not create confusion, we will stay with the name gymnasium, but you're absolutely right. It is full-use facility, rather than just a gymnasium.

UPDATES:

1. Riverside Park Playground Equipment

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project estimated cost is \$192,000. The scope of work includes demolition of the existing playground and installation of a special ADA playground and rubber surface. Currently, the project design has been completed and the Parks Department is working with the Purchasing Department on the bidding process and anticipate having a contractor on board by the end of the year.

2. Professional Services Agreement to Develop a Master Plan for the Parks & Recreation Department

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project estimated cost is \$700,000. The project scope is to provide a long-range strategic plan for the development of the City's parks system. The Parks Department anticipates having the plan submitted to the City in draft format in November for review and for final public input, and they anticipate presenting the final plan to the City Commission early next year.

Eileen Broton questioned if there are two master plans in the works for the Parks Department.

Mr. Fabrikant stated that he would check with the Parks Department to clarify that issue.

Vice Chairman Reyes requested that Mr. Fabrikant report the information he finds at the next board meeting.

3. Police Headquarters Restrooms Rehabilitation & ADA Upgrades

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the budgeted cost for the project is approximately \$522,000. Currently, 7 of the 17 bathrooms that were to be upgraded have been completed and 4 are in progress. The construction is just under 50 percent complete. The estimated construction completion date is February 2007 and the project is under budget.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1 DATE: 7/26/05
NAME OF PROJECT: VIRGINIA KEY BEACH PARK CIRCULATION ROAD & PARK
IMPROVEMENTS
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Virginia Key Beach Park Trust (VKBPT)
INTERTING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER- Roger Hatton (305) 416 1261
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Maria Lopez (305) 416-1290
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER 331416
B-30184
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,751,235 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1st Series Allocation \$1 Million +
<u>\$202,000 unallocated + swaps per ordinance \$2,751,235 estimated balance \$268.05</u>
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>\$2,751,235 HDNI Virginia Key Park Improvements</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331416</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Consists of implementing the following improvements: Area 1: Reconstruction
Including lot including drainage improvements and only). Area 2: Reconstructing parking lot including drainage improvements
<u>Incar, infinite & Resurfacing the roadway network leading to the heach and carousel access. A res 4. William and the second sec</u>
resurfacing the Pavilion access roadway segments.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? X YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED.
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES:
YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Ime Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? [] YES [] NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MICH
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH?
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? I YES NO NA DATE APPROVED
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL: DATE:7/26/05
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO

9. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,751,235 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1* Series Allocation \$1 Million + \$202,000 unallocated + swaps per ordinance \$2,751,235 estimated balance \$268.05) SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$2,751,235 HDNI Virginia Key Park Improvements DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Consists of implementing the following improvements: Area 1: Reconstruction of the Park's Perimeter Road (East-end only). Area 2: Reconstructing parking lot, including drainage improvements. Area 3: Milling & Resurfacing the roadway network leading to the beach and carousel access. Area 4: Milling and resurfacing the Pavilion access roadway segments.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-78

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE VIRGINIA KEY BEACH PARK CIRCULATION ROAD & PARKING IMPROVEMENTS.

MOVED:	M. Cruz
SECONDED:	W. Harvey
ABSENT:	K. Apfel, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko,
	J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

10. Coral Way Beautification Phase II

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,095,177 (3 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$162,542) SOURCE OF FUNDS:<u>HDNI-Coral Way Improvements</u>

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope consists of landscaping along the median island around the up-lighting fixtures with plant species requiring low maintenance and sunlight needs. Improvements to the pedestrian crossing at SW 12th Avenue, SW 17th Avenue, SW 22nd Avenue, SW 27th Avenue, SW 32nd Avenue and SW 37 Avenue, in addition of trash receptacles and street furniture along the entire project length.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-79

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE CORAL WAY BEAUTIFICATION PHASE II.

MOVED:M. ReyesSECONDED:W. HarveyABSENT:E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

be a 30-inch water main coming across the segment underneath the river and ending on the south end of Segment F on the other side. Once clearance is given from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, the current underground utility issues are resolved by the engineer of record, and funding is becomes available from FDOT, construction should begin on that project. The design is 100 percent completed, and Segment B and Segment C will go into construction simultaneously.

29. Miami River Greenways – Segment E2

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently under construction. There is a transition that needs to happen between Segment E1 and E2 that was critical. Originally, it was intended for Miami River Greenway - Segment E2 to be built at a later time, but because of safety reasons and because there was already a contractor there ready to build E1 and E2, CIP decided to move this project forward and have the contractor continue on to E2 after E1 had been substantially completed. The project is also located on North River Drive, just north of the current Segment E1. About 70 percent of the major controlling items of work are complete. Currently, leveling and back fielding is being done for the future sidewalks. The sidewalks are expected to be poured in the next week to two weeks, and the landscaping will follow, as well as street furniture. After that, milling and resurfacing will be done.

30. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements – Design Services

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that last Friday afternoon, the engineer of record has addressed the plans with all of the comments provided by DERM. Those plans were delivered to DERM to basically rubberstamp everything that they wanted addressed in the plans. Typically, that process will take at most two weeks. Once those plans are approved by DERM, they will come to the City of Miami Building Department. That should take another week to two weeks. The contractor that will eventually do the work is CW Construction. The firm managing the project will be Metric Engineering.

31. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements - Construction

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the Virginia Key Trust needs to have a parking lot by November 1. It will go fast, but it will be done. There will be a preconstruction meeting on Friday, and everybody's getting invited to be there.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Chairman Flanders stated that the Bond Oversight Board has the obligation, this Thursday at 11:30 a.m., to present its annual report. Everybody is invited to attend if they can. Staff has prepared a PowerPoint presentation, which shows the improvements made in every district and also the citywide improvements. During the presentation, Chairman Flanders will comment on the following two things: One, the Board is to be commended for its diligence at attending the meetings and discharging their duties, but that the Board should be amended to oversee all of the capital improvement projects in the City of Miami. The Board has been successful in bringing integrity back to the City, back to the process, and to increase the trust that the citizens have in the ability of their city to undertake a project, to fund the project, to contract the project, and to bring it in. It's a great testament to the will of the people to rise above years of less than sterling activity. Two, Chairman Flanders will Chairman Flanders suggested that the audit subcommittee has a meeting in the Trust area either in December or January to see the Trust's progress.

4. Professional Service Agreement for Bicentennial Park "Museum Park" Master Plan

Enrique Nuñez, Planning Department, reported that the Museum Park Master Plan is divided into two phases, the schematic design phase and design development. The Department is nearing completion of the design development phase. The phase II design development drawings have been invoiced and approved for payment at 80 percent completion for \$418,878. The phase II design development drawings will be completed by the end of December, which also includes cost estimates for the entire park.

 Land Acquisition for 6311-6329 NE 2nd Avenue LHP #92 in connection with Little Haiti Park

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the property was obtained through an eminent domain proceeding, and one of the final things the Department approached the Board on was an issue before the judge in terms of some vending machines. We thought we would have to come back to the Board for more monies, but we were successful in that hearing, and therefore, this item is now closed, and the City does have full ownership of the property.

- 6. Additional Funding for Attorney's Fees for LHP Eminent Domain Acquisitions AND
- **7.** Increase estimated costs for the sites acquired in connections with LHP #67,75 & 76

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the item needs to remain open, as the Department still has item number 7, which is the finalization of the costs in terms of the eminent domain for three parcels, #67, 75 & 76. The Department had a mediation conference today that was not finalized, and so as a result, the Department needs to keep item 6 and 7 open, and possibly bring back a finalization to the Board in January. In terms of these two items, they're still within the \$12 million that was allocated for land acquisition.

Chairman Flanders inquired about the actual project total to date.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that he did not have the information available and would have to get it for the Board.

Chairman Flanders requested that the information be provided at the next Board meeting.

8. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of the drainage has been completed. Currently for the parking lot, the area is being backfilled and should be completing that the second week of December and starting to lay some conduit for the lighting, as well as doing the layout for the future light posts, as well as landscaping islands. Up until this point, the weather has cooperated and the project is moving forward on schedule.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
E PORM
1. DATE: 8/23/05
NAME OF PROJECT: GIBSON PARK IMPROVEMENTS PHASE II
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON (CONTACT PERSON CONTACT PERSON)
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419 & 311715 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-30305
2. SUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funde hudered to Stand
31,492,000
(\$1.021,450 - \$1.055,855 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$0) (\$302,379 - \$5 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$56,000) \$168,171 - Sefe Naisbback-add and the state of
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Naich had the the state of
Improvements / Safe Neighborhood Parks Improvements and Acquisitions / D-5 Quality of Life
ACCOUNT CODE(S): 331419 & 311715
If grant funded is there a Circuit in the second se
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted?YESNO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope of work consists of furnishings all labor, materials and equipment
to perform primarily the following: Pool and Surrounding Area. 1. Construct 375 Sf food preparation building at the NW corner of the pool. 2. Demolish the existing concession building and approximately and approximately and approximately and approximately approximatel
ounding. (Continuation of scope attach - 4)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 8/17/05
Approved by Commission?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Agence in the INVA DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 months NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds: YES NO
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Paulo in a LILU LINU IN/A DATE APPROVED
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
ustification of the second sec
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Lave additional funds been identified TES NO HOW MUCH?
ource(s) of additional funds:
Ime impact
approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?
. COMMENTS:Part of IOC (Job Order Control CIP)
COMMENTS:Part of JOC (Job Order Contract). CIP will come back to the board with final costs.
PPROVAL Present (Lead and
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD DATE: <u>8/23/05</u>



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	6/11/2003
Revised Date:	10/22/2004
Revised Date:	8/3/2005
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: GIBSON P	ARK IMPROVEMENTS	FOR PHASE 2)	****
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 350 NW 13	Street	PROJECT No.:	B-30305
NET OFFICE: Overtown		DISTRICT:	D5
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and R	ecreation	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,492,000
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez	TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,492,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Andre Bryan	TEL.: (305) 416-1211	PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER: Jim Brittain	TEL.: (305) 416-1047	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 6/5/2003	EST. BID ADV .:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11	/2/2004
EST. DESIGN END: 5/15/2004	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 3/	22/2006

	PR	OD	UCTION PHASE	Percentage	
	Α.	De	sign Svcs Outside Consultant Prime	Consultant: Gurri Matute, P.A.	
		1	Basic Fees:	15.7%	\$156,518
		2	Additional Services:	2.0%	\$20,125
				SUB-TOTAL:	\$176,643
	В.	Des	sign Svcs CIP		¥170,040
		1	In-house Basic Design Fee:		
		2	In-house Additional Design Services:	······································	
				SUB-TOTAL:	
	С	Pro	duction Management Services		
		1	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	4.0%	\$40,000
		2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Indus		\$10,000
•				SUB-TOTAL:	\$40,000
	D	Mis	cellaneous Services		****
.		1	Survey:	Vendor:	
		2	Re-plat:	Vendor: PBS&J	\$57,500
		3	Geotechnical Testing:	Vendor: ATC Group Service, Inc.	\$1,380
		4	Utility Locations (Soft Digs):	Vendor:	• 7,000
TE			Asbestos Survey:	Vendor: ETS Environment, Inc.	\$600
V		6	Energy / HVAC Calculations:	Vendor:	
TIM			Phase I Environmental:	Vendor:	
57			Phase II Environmental:	Vendor:	
ũ.			Structural Testing:	Vendor:	
1			Archeological Survey:	Vendor:	
so		11	Other:	Vendor:	
2	ε		cial Fees / Assessments:	SUB-TOTAL:	\$59,480
5	ε	1	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$59,480
5	ε	1 2	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$59,480
OJECT	ε	1 2 3	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$59,480
5	E	1 2 3 4	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	, etc.): Fee Waiver [_] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits):	\$59,480
OJECT	ε	1 2 3 4 5	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P	, etc.): Fee Waiver [_] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits): ermits):	\$59,480
OJECT	Ε	1 2 3 4 5 6	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review	, etc.): Fee Waiver [_] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits): ermits):	\$59,480
OJECT	ε	1 2 3 4 5 6	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P	, etc.): Fee Waiver [_] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits): ermits):	\$59,480
OJECT	Ε	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review	, etc.): Fee Waiver [] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits): ermits):	\$59,480
OJECT	ε	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	, etc.): Fee Waiver [] rtment (Plan review) tion (Permits): ermits):	\$59,480
OJECT	ε	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	, etc.): Fee Waiver [
OJECT		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$59,480
OJECT		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	, etc.): Fee Waiver [
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other:	, etc.): Fee Waiver [
OJECT		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE	, etc.): Fee Waiver [
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST Con 1	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123 \$1,000,000
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3 4	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123 \$1,000,000
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 3 1	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123 \$1,000,000
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 6	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 6	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123
OJECT	co	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NST 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 6	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depa Florida Department of Environmental Protect FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (P U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: RUCTION PHASE struction: Joc of Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:	, etc.): Fee Waiver	\$276,123 \$1,000,000

GIBSON PARK IMPROVEMENTS

/ 							
G	G City	v and other Gov't Agencies Perm	nit Fees				
	1	City of Miami Permits:	Bldg. Dept.	ব	Public Works	<u>v</u>	
	2	Miami-Dade County Impact Fe					
	3	Miami-Dade County Archeolog	gical Monitoring:				
	4	Other:					\$877
						SUB-TOTAL:	\$877
					CONSTRU	CTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$1,100,877
	ONST	TRUCTION ADMINISTRA	TION				
IMA H	Cor	struction Inspection Services -	CIP:				
12 1		struction Mgmt Industry Partn					
L N	Cor	struction Engineering Observer	(CEO) - Industry	Partne	r	7.0%	\$70,000
н к	100	2 Administration				1.5%	\$15,000
so				co	NSTRUCTION AD	MINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$85,000
	-						
		ISTRATIVE EXPENSES					
Ц Ч		Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurem				3.0%	\$30,000
N N	Indu	ustry Partner Program Mgmt. Su	pport:				
99					ADMINISTRAT	VE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$30,000
LA	AND /	ACQUISITION EXPENSE	S				
N	Lan	d Cost:					
0	Trar	nsaction Costs:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			-		LANE	ACQUISITION TOTAL:	
			GRAND	ΓΟΤΑ	L - ESTIMATEI	PROJECT COST:	\$1,492,000

The scope of works consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment to perform primarily the following. POOL AND SURROUNDING AREA

- 1. Construct 375 sf Food Preparation Building at the NW corner of the pool. ш
- 2. Demolish the existing concession building, and construct a 1,100 sf Multi Purpose Building
- SCOPI 3. Resurface the pool and pool deck. Provide safety feature (sg. lift, ramp) for handicap and other users.
- 4. Renovate the pool bath house. Construct ADA accessible entrance on the West side of the building.
- RECREATION BUILDING AND SURROUNDING AREA
- 5. Replace flooring, accordian doors, paint walls and ceiling dark, install curtains at the interior stage
- 6. Remodel the Boxing Gym and weight rooms
- PROJECT 7. Replace the entire roof

1. 264

- 8. Construct site drainage system
- 9. Construct an 8-foot high metal fence with gates to secure the all the buildings.
- 10. Install an irrigation system to irrigate the east side of the park

1. Gurri Matute's fee of \$156,517.50 was negotiated based on the initial construction estimate of \$1,004,000, and the previous NOTES soft cost breakdown that allowed a maximum of 15% for design fees.

2. \$168,171 in SNPB funds was spent in construction on Nov. 04.

NND S	Fund: Fund:	Homeland Defense Fund	CIP # CIP #	311715	2005	Amount: Amount:	\$302,379
10	Fund:	Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond (SNPB)	CIP #_	331341	2004	Amount:	\$168,171
RCES	Fund:	Homeland Defense Fund	CIP #_	331419	Fiscal Year Available 2004	Amount:	\$1,021,450

1	1			
z	Project Manager: _	Andre Bryan	Ander Rya	Date: 8/3/05
4 710	Sr. Project Manager:	Fernando Paiva	Sign	Date: 8305
1017	Reviewed by:	Pilar Saenz	Sign	Date: 8-11-05
VA	Accepted by:	CIP Budget Administrator Ernest Burkeen	-/	Date: 8/11/05
Copie		Firector of the Client Department	Sign	· · · ·

8. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase II.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,492,000

(\$1,021,450 - \$1,055,855 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$0) (\$302,379 - \$5 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$56,000) \$168,171 -Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Parks Improvements and Acquisitions / D-5 Quality</u> of Life Improvements / Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond

ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>331419 & 311715</u>

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope of work consists of furnishings all labor, materials</u> and equipment to perform primarily the following: Pool and Surrounding Area, 1. Construct 375 Sf food preparation building at the NW corner of the pool, 2. Demolish the existing concession building, and construct a 1,100 Multi Purpose building. (Continuation of scope attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 05-108

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE GIBSON PARK IMPROVEMENTS -- PHASE II.

MOVED:	E. Broton
SECONDED:	W. Harvey
ABSENT:	L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, R. Flanders, J. Manowitz, D. Marko, A.
	Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Engineering, who will be the firm preparing the final design for the project. The final design was started in November 2005 and it is expected to be completed in October 2006. The design contract amount is \$246,337.

10. Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III – Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project area is bounded by Southwest 8th Street, West Flagler Street, Southwest 47th Avenue, and Southwest 57th Avenue. The preliminary drainage study was completed in October 2005. The final design, which is being prepared by Post Buckley, is expected to begin in February 2006, and the anticipated completed date is December 2006. The final design costs are \$277,905.

11. Fairlawn Storm Water Pump Station Phase IIA

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project is in construction, which began January 2006, with an anticipated completion date of June 2007. The project area is bounded by Northwest 7th Street, Northwest 4th Terrace, Northwest 47th Avenue, and Northwest 51st Avenue, and it also includes a portion of Antonio Maceo Park.

12. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the museum has been under construction for almost one year. The contractor is currently in the process of installing plumbing and electrical. Most of the interior partitions have been installed, and the large exterior window frames are expected to be in this week. A dedication of the facility is expected to occur between late 2006 and early 2007.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the anticipated construction completion date is summer 2006.

13. Gibson Park Improvements Phase I

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the interior work on Phase I is complete on the recreation building, except for the installation of the suspended lights within the main room of the recreation building, and the contractor should be starting on those any day now; they have been given the go-ahead to do that. The exterior landscaping between the recreation building and the library is all complete. The irrigation system is complete, and the remaining site work, part of Phase I, cannot be done until Phase II is almost complete because they overlap.

14. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the PO should be issued for Phase II within the next month to start on the Phase II construction.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that there are different improvements being overseen at the park by the CIP office on behalf of the Parks Department. There are other initiatives that the Parks Department is overseeing directly. Mary Conway makes a commitment to the Board that she will get together with both CIP staff and Ernest Burkeen, Parks Director, and Park staff to make sure that all of the different areas where improvements are needed within the park are being addressed, and this project will be included as an

additional update on the next monthly meeting so that some of the questions raised by Board Member Albena Sumner can be answered.

Chairman Robert Flanders suggested that the next meeting of the Audit Committee be staged at Gibson Park to discuss issues at park, with Parks staff present.

15. Buena Vista Heights Improvements – Design Services

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that the design for the project was performed by Marlin Engineering, and the design has been completed.

16. Buena Vista Heights Phase I - Construction

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that construction has not started yet. Prices have been received recently, and they are being reviewed by the Department's procurement section. The scope of work consists of curb and gutter replacement, sidewalk replacement, drainage upgrades, trees throughout the area, and milling and resurfacing. The contractor that submitted a proposal is Tran Construction Inc., and once the proposal is approved, construction will begin.

17. Coral Way Beautification Project Phase II - Landscaping

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that there was some hurricane damage to the landscape. The contractor for the landscaping is Tran Construction. A proposal is expected from Tran Construction to replace a portion of the shrubs that were damaged and to do remulching of some areas. That is expected to be finalized within the next week or two. Completion of the project is expected in March.

18. Coral Way Beautification Project Phase I - Uplighting

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that the project scope of work consists of median uplighting. The contractor on the project was Gancedo Technologies, with a construction cost of \$673,031. There has been some hurricane damage on a lot of the lights. The contractor was brought back in, and the Department fully agreed on the additional costs negotiated with the contractor. A change order was signed today to do some of the hurricane repair work, and the estimated completion date is March 2006.

19. N.W. 14 Street Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project area is located between 22nd Avenue and 35th Avenue. The other roads included in the project are the roads between 14th Street and 16th Street Road, and between 24th Avenue and 27th Avenue. Construction began in January 2005. The bid came in of \$1.9 million, and the contractor, through conventional procurement, was Williams Paving. The scope of the project included milling and surfacing, installation of turf block, sod, sidewalk repair, installation of ADA ramps, traffic striping, and certain areas included new sidewalk installation where sidewalk did not exist. Presently, sod work and punch list items are being finished up. At this time, construction is expected to be completed within 45 days.

20. S.W. 10 Street Road Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is located between 22nd Avenue to 18th Avenue. Construction began February 2005. The construction amount was \$236,000. The

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF DECEMBER 6.</u> 2005 AND JANUARY 24, 2006.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-1

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF DECEMBER 6, 2005 AND JANUARY 24, 2006.

MOVED:R. AedoSECONDED:J. ManowitzABSENT:R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, D. Marko, G. Reshefsky, J.
Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. OLD BUSINESS:

Bryan Park New Tennis Center

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the item was scheduled earlier in the month before the Zoning Advisory Board regarding a parking waiver for the project. The parking waiver was denied by the Zoning Advisory Board. It will go before the full City Commission on the parking waiver issue at a March Commission agenda. When the item is before the Commission on the parking waiver issue, the CIP office will also be respectfully requesting from the Commission to give a position on whether the project, with the tennis courts and the building, as designed, will move forward or not so that there will be a final decision.

When asked by Rolando Aedo why the Zoning Advisory Board denied the waiver, Mary Conway stated that the City did not have representation at the Zoning meeting. Since there was no staff present to present the item and explain the item, the Zoning Board members chose to vote it down.

Gibson Park Improvements Phase I & II

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that phase I is being closed out. The light fixtures are the only remaining issue; waiting on updating the permit. The permits should be secured within the next two to three weeks. Phase II includes the roofing renovations, where the roof on the recreation building will be replaced. At that point, all the concerns of the molding can be addressed. Currently, the Department is negotiating with the contractor on the price for those repairs, in hopes to have the contractor under contract within the next 30 to 45 days.

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the Department is waiting until the permits are secured for the light fixtures, and once they are done, the building will unofficially be opened.

11. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase II

Jose Ortega, CIP Department, reported that the purchase order is being finalized with the contractor. The scope of work includes installation of a 375 square foot food preparation building and 1,100 square foot multipurpose building. Construction is slated to begin after the summer program is completed, and the project is expected to be completed in June or July of 2007.

V. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Chairman Flanders stated that the Board would miss Joe Arriola as the City Manager of the City of Miami. He believes that Mr. Arriola redefined government in Miami and he thanked Joe Arriola, on the Board's behalf, for his contributions over the last four years. Chairman Flanders welcome Pedro Hernandez as the new City Manager of the City of Miami.

Chairman Flanders congratulated Mary Conway in her role as Chief of Operations.

Chairman Flanders thanked Elaine Black for serving on the Board. She is president/CEO of the Model City Community Revitalization District Trust and that represented a conflict of interest, so she has resigned from the Board.

VI. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

Eileen Broton asked for a status report on the Brentwood Village project, and if the Department had spoken with Commissioner Spence-Jones concerning the project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that, to the best of his knowledge, the Department has not gotten back with Commissioner Spence-Jones, but the item will be addressed with her tomorrow at a meeting to discuss all of her projects. The Brentwood Village project will be brought up at that meeting and the outcome will be discussed with the Board in September.

Please note the Board will recess in August. The next scheduled meeting is Tuesday, September 26, 2006.

preparing but can't attend the Audit Subcommittee, is that something that they would e-mail to Danette and Zimri to then forward to us? Is that the appropriate way to do that?

Mr. Fabrikant: Correct. You -- the Audit Committee or whoever wants the information would have to give in detail what specific information you're requesting through Danette, and we will provide that information.

Mr. Aedo: Again, and I would counsel my colleagues, in terms of detail, there's going to be plenty of opportunity for requesting detail, and I think it's inevitable, but what I'm envisioning -- and again, I want the Board to buy into this -- is almost in a resolution format where we're actually hitting some major grievances that we have, and we've actually identified several of them today.

10. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase II

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently under construction, about 25 percent complete. The construction is estimated to be completed by October 2007.

11. Shenandoah Park Improvements – Phase II

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently in design. He reported that there are no funding issues with this project.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

> Request for Little Haiti Park Update

Laurinus Pierre requested information regarding the Little Haiti Park Project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that an update on Little Haiti Park will be provided at the next meeting.

> Roberto Clemente Park Update

Luis De Rosa requested information regarding improvements at Roberto Clemente Park.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that part of the projects that were included as part of the bond was a renovation to Roberto Clemente, which was a closed facility. An architect was hired to renovate the facility, not just to replace the roof. The architect of record does due diligence. That means they inspect the facility. They do not do destructive testing. They do not rip down walls. They do not rip down ceilings. To do that is cost prohibitive on any project. They did their due diligence, as any design firm would do and designed the project. The project was bidded out through the JOC program and pricing was obtained for the project. The project was then awarded and the work proceeded. Only during demolition, extensive, destructive demolition to the facility was it determined that there was extensive termite damage that would only be seen when you tear apart a facility. That resulted in an analysis by the structural engineer that the building could not be renovated for the cost estimated. The issue was

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
UPDATE UPDATE
NAME OF PROJECT: ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Roger Hatton (305) 416-1292</u> C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: <u>Nathalie E Hosein (305) 416-1089</u>
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419 & 311715
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-35889 (Frapplicable)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,890,493 (1.35 Million allocated to CIP#331419, estimated balance \$39,952/5
Million Allocated to CIP#311715, estimated balance \$0)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>\$1,204,564 Neighborhood Park Improvements/\$521,829-D5 Quality of Life/</u> \$164,100-Safe Neighborhood Bond.
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419, 311715, 331070</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT:
AMOUNT:
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project includes the renovation of the existing pool building, restrooms, pool
equipment room and pool area. The renovation of the pool building will include but not limited to replacement of the wood trellis at the back of the building, addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling, drywall, replacement of the security gates, doors, windows, repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers,
construction of (2) two office spaces, meeting room and replacement of existing floors. (Continuation of scope is attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission? I YES INO IN/A DATE APPROVED.
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified?
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL:
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	2/10/2005
Revised Date:	7/20/2005
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: ATHALIE	RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL	. IMPROVEMENTS	
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 525 NW 6	2 Street	PROJECT No.:	B-35889
NET OFFICE: Little Haiti/	Edison/Little River	DISTRICT:	D5
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and	Recreation	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,890,493
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria M. P	Perez TEL.: (305) 416-13	14 ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,890,493
PROJECT MANAGER: Natalie E.	Hosein TEL.: (305) 416-108	39 PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER:	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 3/1/2005	EST. BID ADV .:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 8/	15/2005
EST. DESIGN END: 6/30/2005	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 2/	15/2006

	PR	RODUCTION PHASE	Percentage	
	Α.	Design Svcs Outside Consultant Prime Consultant: Bermello, Ajamil &	& Partners, In	
		1 Basic Fees:	9.5%	\$128,425
		2 Additional Services:	1.4%	\$19,264
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$147,589
	Β.	Design Svcs CIP		
		1 In-house Basic Design Fee:	0.0%	\$0
		2 In-house Additional Design Services:	0.0%	\$0
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	С	Production Management Services		
		1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	0.0%	\$0
		2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:	0.0%	\$0
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	D	Miscellaneous Services		
		1 Survey: Vendor:		
		2 Re-plat: Vendor.		
		3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor:		
		4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		
ΓE		5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor:		
A		6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:		
TIM		7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor:		
S		8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor:		
ш		9 Structural Testing: Vendor:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
51		10 Archeological Survey: Vendor:		
0		11 Other: Reimbursables Vendor:		\$2,569
U	E	Special Fees / Assessments:	SUB-TOTAL:	\$2,569
5	6	•	e Waiver	\$2.000
л Ц		2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)		\$2,000
0		3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):		
PR		4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		
-		5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits):		
		6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):	<u> </u>	
		7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		\$1,000
		8 Other:		\$1,000
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$3,000
L	•	PROL	DUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$153,258
	CO	INSTRUCTION PHASE		
	F	Construction: JOC Contractor:		
		1 Construction Estimate:		\$1,350,000
		2 Contingency Allowance:	13.8%	\$185,993
		3 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):	······································	\$4,000
		4 Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:		\$10,000
		5 WASA System Betterment:		
[6 FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:		
1		7 Other:		
1			SUB-TOTAL:	\$1,549,993
L		***************************************		

ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS

B-35889

	G	City	and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees	
		1	City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dept. T Public Works	
		2	Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	
		3	Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
		4	Other: Miscellaneous Unknown Construction Fees	\$11,742
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$11,742
			CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$1,561,735
AT	cc	NST	RUCTION ADMINISTRATION	
Z	н		struction Inspection Services - CIP: 8.5%	\$114,750
に	1		struction Mgmt Industry Partner: 0.0%	\$0
ES	j		truction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 0.0%	\$0
1	к	JOC	Administration 1.5%	\$20,250
05			CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$135,000
cr c	AD	MIN	STRATIVE EXPENSES	
<u> </u>	L	CIP	Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.): 3.0%	\$40,500
5	м		try Partner Program Mgmt. Support: 0.0%	\$0
PR	_		ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$40,500
	LA	ND A		
	N	Land	Cost:	
	0	Tran	saction Costs: 0.0%	\$0
		:	LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL:	\$0
			GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COST:	\$1,890,493

Project scope consists of the renovation of the existing pool building, restrooms, pool equipment room and pool area. The renovation of the pool building will include but not be limited to replacement of the wood trellis at the back of the building and addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling and drywall, replacement of the security gates, doors, windows and repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers, construction of (2)-office spaces and a meeting room and replacement of existing flooring. The renovation of the restrooms will include but is not limited to replacement of the plumbing fixtures, enclosure of the changing areas with partitions for privacy and general interior renovations. The renovation of the pool equipment building and pool will include but is not limited to replacement of the existing pool chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool and installation of a new water slide.

- [
	ŝ
	ų
	►
	Ô

≥

				T	OTAL ALLOCATE	D AMOUNT:	\$1,890,493
F U I	Fund:	-	CIP #			Amount:	
ND	Fund:		CIP #			Amount:	
S	Fund:	<u></u>	CIP #			Amount:	
00	Fund:	96 Safe Neighborhood Bond	CIP #	331070	2005-2006	Amount:	\$164,100
RC	Fund:	2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I)	CIP #	331419	2004-2005	Amount:	\$1,204,564
ES	Fund:	2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I)	CIP #	311715	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004	Amount:	\$521,829

	Project Manager:	Natalie Hosein-DuQuesnay	· (staffor	0 1 - 2 2 - • 5 Date:
TION	Sr. Project Manager:	Fernando M. Paiva, Jr.		Date: 72105
LIDA	Reviewed by:	Pilar Saenz	- A	Date: <u>7-22-05</u>
VA	Accepted by:	CIP Budget Administrator Ernest Burkeen	Ministh Sucher	Date: 7/22/05
	4	Director of the Client Department	/ Sign	

Copies To: CLIENT DEPARTMENT, ALL CIP SECTION CHIEFS, CIP SENIOR ACCOUNTANT, HDR PROGRAM MANAGER

21. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$1,890,493 (1.35 Million allocated to CIP#331419, estimated</u> balance <u>\$39,952/5 Million Allocated to CIP#311715, estimated balance</u> <u>\$0</u>)

SOURCE OF FUNDS:**\$1,204,564 Neighborhood Park Improvements**/**\$521,829-D5 Quality** of Life/\$164,100-Safe Neighborhood Bond.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project includes the renovation of the existing pool building</u>, restrooms, pool equipment room and pool area. The renovation of the pool building will include but not limited to replacement of the wood trellis at the back of the building, addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling, drywall, replacement of the security gates, doors, windows, repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers, construction of (2) two office spaces, meeting room and replacement of existing floors. (Continuation of scope is attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 05-89

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS.

MOVED:	W. Harvey
SECONDED:	M. Cruz
ABSENT:	E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

22. Lummus Park Recreation Addition & Renovations

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$455,000 (500,000 Allocated, estimated balance \$105,000)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$395,000 Neighborhood Park Improvements/\$60,000 Safe
Neighborhood Park Bond
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope includes a 700 square feet addition to the north of
the existing recreation building, to include an open area for fitness equipment, storage for
wheelchairs (for new HC basketball program), and storage for grounds maintenance equipment.
Renovations to existing building includes, new flooring, upgrade restrooms, replace french doors and
sidelites, new roll-down security doors, replace kitchen cabinets, interior painting and pressure clean
exterior stone veneer. (Continuation of scope attached).

HD/NIB MOTION 05-90

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE LUMMUS PARK RECREATION ADDITION & RENOVATIONS.

MOVED:M. ReyesSECONDED:M. CruzABSENT:E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Manolo Reyes requested that the report detail the number of houses to be built, the price ranges of the houses, and details of the affordability of the homes to the Model City residents.

Elaine Black requested that the report use the following format: the report should simply state the parcels of land owned by the Trust, the parcels of land being developed for single-family housing and multi-family housing, the price ranges involved, and also show which properties have been rehabilitated and the plan, so that the Board gets a good summary of what's happening. If possible, also bring pictures of the properties that have been developed and the plans for the future. Also, bring a Model City Trust board member along to make the presentation so that the Board has a good overview of what is happening, where the organization is going, and the impact it will have in the redevelopment of the Model City community.

Manolo Reyes requested that the report also include a timetable on all the constructions that are planned. The report should define what is meant by "affordable rent" and "affordable housing," including price ranges.

13. City of Miami MPD Fire Suppressor Modifications

Jose Briz, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently in the design phase. The plans are being prepared to replace the existing fire suppressor in the 5th floor computer room of the Police Department, in the 4th floor 911 room, and the EOC room. The plans will be ready by April 14, and at that time, the plans will be taken to the Building Department. Once approved by the Building Department, the modifications can begin.

14. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Jose Ortega, CIP Department, reported that the project is located at 525 Northwest 62nd Street. The construction cost is \$1,229,000. The preconstruction meeting was held March 7, 2006. Notice to proceed was given on March 8, 2006. The construction duration is approximately 180 days. The estimated completion will be approximately September 2006, weather permitting. The scope of the project entails modification of the pool. The pools currently shows Olympic delineating tiles, which will be taken out completely. In addition to that, the men's and women's bathrooms will be renovated. The pool will have a new heater system along with a new filtering system, as well.

Manolo Reyes requested that a report be prepared and brought before the Audit Subcommittee delineating all the work that will be completed and the timeframe for completion.

15. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building Improvements

Victor Marzo, CIP Department, reported that the project is located at 455 Northwest 47th Avenue. Construction has started. Part of the project is that 2,892 square feet is being added that includes new ADA compliant restrooms, a new park office, a classroom, storage, a covered entrance, and interior remodeling, including replacement of windows with impact resistant windows. The estimated completion date is October 2006.

8. Athalie Range Park – Mini Stadium Complex

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project consists of reconfiguring the fields into football and soccer fields, new bleachers, a storage area, ADA compliant walkways and irrigation. The project is approximately 75 percent designed and design completion is scheduled for completion in January 2007.

9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project has encountered a number of problems. There are air voids under the swimming pool. When some of the pipes were exposed, decrepit pipes were encountered and those changes are being addressed. The project will go through some redesigning to address some of the repairs that are going to be needed. In order to maintain the current budget, the Department is looking at swapping out some of the existing scope of work so that the budget can be maintained. The roof is now included as part of the scope of work, and the Department feels that the roof tile can be salvaged, so this is one of those projects that unearthed a number of problems.

10. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is in design. The scope of work includes replacement of approximately 310 linear feet of decking, fiberglass grating and piling. The project has obtained preliminary DERM approval and is now being reviewed by the Building Department for final approval. The design is approximately 95 percent complete, and completion is expected in November 2006. The project is currently in budget.

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, stated that the scope of work includes the removal of the decking of approximately 20 slips at the commercial docks at MiaMarina that have become structurally unstable. The permitting is close to final approval. The slips are currently being used. However, a couple of vessels were relocated out of two slips where the problems were significant. The design, engineering, and permitting work is being done by Coastal Systems International.

11. Douglas Park Recreation Building Renovations- Design Services

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design plans are 60 percent completed, and design completion is expected in January 2007.

12. Antonio Maceo Park New Community Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is under construction. It is a 5,300 square foot building. All of the foundations are in place; concrete block is in place, and trusses are being built. The project is on schedule and on budget.

13. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project scope includes approximately 1,500 square feet of building addition. The project is 90 percent complete and finishing touches are being put on the interior finishes and finishing up the miscellaneous site work. Substantial completion is expected next month.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: <u>7/26/05</u>
TITTTE OF FROIDULESIN PARK WOOD TDAT
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Parks & Recreation</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Roger Hatton (305) 416-1292</u> C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT.
(The second sec
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 311713 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-60319
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$456,463 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1* Series Allocation-\$1,450,000 +</u> <u>unallocated \$2,000,000, estimated balance \$78,000</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>\$92,000 D-3 Neighborhood Quality of Life/\$364,463 Impact Fees</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>_CIP #311713, 331371</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT:
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project consists of design and construction of a boardwalk between the
linear feet by 5 feet wide. The foundation will consist of a pie pile found in
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? Approved by Commission? Community May (Disc. C
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval?
YES VIOL YES See Item 5 below)
1 Ime Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
DESIGN COST: YES NO If yes,
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? VES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
The proved by Bond Oversight Board? UYES INO IN/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact [] YES [] NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH?
Time impact
Approved by Commission? Approved by Read One is the Press NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
APPROVAL ALL A
APPROVAL:

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES 🗌 NO

19. Simpson Park Wood Trail

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$456,463 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1st Series</u> <u>Allocation-\$1,450,000 + unallocated \$2,000,000. estimated balance \$78,000</u>) SOURCE OF FUNDS: **\$92,000 D-3 Neighborhood Quality of Life**/\$364,463 Impact Fees DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project consists of design and construction of a boardwalk between the Historic building and the Hammock area that meets ADA requirements. The wood trail will be approximately 700 linear feet by 5 feet wide. The foundation will consist of a pin pile foundation assembly system and the upper deck will be made of pressure treated wood. Recycled plastic is preferred, however, this material is very expensive and the budget will not allow for its use.</u>

HD/NIB MOTION 05-87

A MOTION TO CONDITIONALLY APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE SIMPSON PARK WOOD TRAIL, TO REPORT BACK ON DIFFERENTIALS AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

MOVED:	M. Reyes
SECONDED:	A. Sumner
ABSENT:	E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reves

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

20. Athalie Range #1 Mini Park Redevelopment

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$600,000</u> (\$1.35 Million allocated, estimated balance \$39,952) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>\$300,000 Neighborhood Park Improvements & \$300,000 Safe</u> Neighborhood Bond. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project scope includes removing the concrete slabs, asphalt, basketball court and posts. Construct 2 parking lots along the west side of the park. Provide protection for the existing concrete columns. Construct a metal picket fence around the park. The fence shall match the fence of the Jefferson Reeves Health Clinic. On the west side of the park provide openings in the proposed fence to the clinic and the Black Police Precinct. (Continuation of scope is attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 05-88

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE ATHALIE RANGE #1 MINI PARK REDEVELOPMENT.

MOVED:	G. Reshefsky	
SECONDED: ABSENT:	M. Cruz	
	E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes	

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

fall of 2006. The contractor has begun construction. The first progress meeting for the project will be on Thursday. There have been a couple of areas of the project that need to be addressed, but they are expected to be within the allocated constructions costs. The project is still on budget.

4. Miamarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is 90 percent complete. The structural portion of the project is 100 percent complete. There is about 85 percent completion on the electrical and the plumbing. The project is expected to be completed for the final plans to be submitted for permitting at the end of March. Construction can start in May, and the construction process can be finished by the end of the year. The design of the project is still within budget.

5. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements – Design Services

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the design is 100 percent complete.

6. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the improvements are computer stations, ADA compliance in the restrooms, the main building entrance, and new lighting fixtures. The construction document permits and bidding phases are 100 percent complete, as well. The JOC pricing is 100 percent complete. The project is on budget. The construction estimated start date is about the second week in March, and the construction estimated completion date is October 2006.

7. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the project includes the construction of approximately 700 linear feet of boardwalk connecting the existing community building to the newly refurbished pond. At this moment, the JOC pricing on the project is in progress. The DERM permit is also in progress. The Department needs to work with DERM for the final approval of the design. The construction estimated start date is November 2006. The project may start earlier depending on DERM's response to the new design, as well as how well the community responds to the new design.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the community has already provided input as part of the process, and there has been extensive coordination with DERM as a result of that because of the hardwood hammock that exists at this site and the sensitivity to making sure that the trail is as minimally disruptive to that as possible. Once the issues are resolved with DERM, the Department will go back and coordinate with the public further so they know what the final decisions are.

Jason Manowitz questioned whether additional funding could be sought to use a different material other than wood on the project. Mary Conway stated that funding could not be through the Bond, but the Department could explore, with the Parks Department, the possibility of looking at impact fee dollars or another revenue source. It is very, very much more expensive from a capital standpoint to use a recycled material than to use the wood.

also trying to finalize the issues of how to mitigate those costs. The project will be using the same firm.

16. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is completed, fully permitted, and ready to move on to construction.

17. Athalie Range Mini Park Redevelopment

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is complete and ready to start construction. Construction is expected to start early next year.

18. Sewell Park -- Restrooms/Park Facility Building

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is on hold. Perez & Perez designed the facility in a manner that exceeded the budget. Another problem was that the building cannot tie into the sewer system because they're at capacity. While design work was being completed, a high-rise was approved for the area, which maxed out the sewer capacity for the next five years, so that would require a redesign of the facility to use another means. Perez & Perez has stated that they no longer have a desire to proceed with the project, so they're not willing to redesign anything, so if we proceed with the project, we will have to entirely redesign from the beginning.

19. Program Mgmt. Services for the O.B. Stadium Renovation Project with JLL

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is ongoing. Jones Lang Lasalle was hired as the program manager for the Orange Bowl redevelopment. The Department is proceeding with the project. They are doing their financial and economic analysis. The Department is also currently working with Jones Lang Lasalle to contract with a construction firm, in hopes to have them on board by February, and they will also be assisting the Department with entering into a concessionaire agreement; hopefully, within the next four to six months. The Department is also moving forward very quickly into discussions with the University of Miami for the final scope and funding for this project.

20. Application for the Pan American Seaplane Terminal Historical and Structural Rehabilitation Grant

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the City has received a grant for this project. The Department is currently working with the General Services Administration to develop the scope so that the work can proceed.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Jorge Cano (305) 416-1282 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Jorge Cano
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 311715, 327001, 888935 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-30299
(IF APPLICABLE) 2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1.715.800 (\$415.800-D5 Quality of life #311715/\$1.000.000-Historic Preservation Initiatives #327001/ \$300.000 Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources #888935 Grant) SOURCE OF FUNDS: D-5 Quality of life. Historic Preservation Initiatives and Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 311715, 327001, 888935
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: <u>\$280,000</u> EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 2005 Are matching funds budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): #327001 Historic Preservation Initiatives Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: Jorge Cano / CIP
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The scope consist of rehabilitation of the building for use as classrooms and public meeting space to include, selective demolition, re-roofing, Restoration replacement of windows and doors, renewal of finishes and related architectural and engineering services.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 10/27/04 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 10/27/04 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) 11me Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact IVES NO HOW MUCH?
Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
APPROVAL: AND DATE: 10/27/04

II. OLD BUSINESS:

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

- Miami River Greenway Regulatory Guidelines Professional Consulting Services – 10/27/04 meeting.
- Site Furnishings at Southside Park 10/27/04 meeting.
- Police Headquarter's Restroom ADA Modification Phase I Locker – 10/27/04 meeting.
- Old Miami Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration 10/27/04 meeting.
- Procurement of Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park 11/23/04 meeting.
- Gibson Park Improvements Phase I 11/23/04 meeting.
- Coral Gate Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Jose Marti Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Williams Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Moore Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village Cooperative Project Agreement – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Sewell Park Restrooms/Park Facility Building 11/23/04 meeting.
- Juan Pablo Duarte Park Building Renovation/Expansion 11/23/04 meeting.
- Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Henry Reeves Park Community Service Building Improvements 11/23/04 meeting.
- Margaret Pace Park Improvements Phase II 11/23/04 meeting.

➢ HD/NIB MOTION 04-87

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND ALL PROJECT LISTED ABOVE.

MOVED: D. Marko

SECONDED: M. Reyes

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Fire Station No. 11 – 11/23/04 meeting.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$2,500,000 (which has 10 Million allocated, with 5.5 Million in 1st series. Estimated current balance is (\$3,000,000) from 1st series allocation SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility</u> Approved by Audit Committee: <u>11/16/04</u></u> 3. Little Haiti Park Appraisal Services.

Madeline Valdes reported that \$11,500 was spent in appraisals, of which \$25,000 was requested. Parcel 92, on the recreational component, is still in litigation, and as such, the appraisals will need to continue to be updated, so the allocation of \$25,000 should be made available for future updates. Parcel 18 may possibly be swapped with an adjacent property owner to one of the City-owned properties in hopes that parking can be increased along the cultural component of the project.

4. Miami River Greenways Regulatory Guidelines – Professional Consulting Services.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the study for the Miami River Greenways was completed, and it's going to the Planning Advisory Board, and then to the City Commission in July. This plan will require developers to comply with certain design standards for the bay walk.

5. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project is projected to be completed in the fall, probably in November. The City is monitoring the public plaza's construction, and the developer has drawn down the money that was allocated for the project as the expenses come about.

6. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the building has been completed gutted, and it's getting a roof permit, new water lines, and a phase of the project is expected to be complete in March 2006.

7. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase I.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the park is scheduled to open in January. The project was three months behind, but the park wasn't shut down during the three-month lag. Part of the park is currently open. The recreation building is closed, but the project is moving forward.

8. Bay of Pigs – Playground Equipment.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project was completed in April.

9. Jose Marti Park – New Water Playground.

Gary Reshefsky reported that construction will start in September, and it will be open the following summer.

5a.e.

Engineering, who will be the firm preparing the final design for the project. The final design was started in November 2005 and it is expected to be completed in October 2006. The design contract amount is \$246,337.

10. Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III – Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project area is bounded by Southwest 8th Street, West Flagler Street, Southwest 47th Avenue, and Southwest 57th Avenue. The preliminary drainage study was completed in October 2005. The final design, which is being prepared by Post Buckley, is expected to begin in February 2006, and the anticipated completed date is December 2006. The final design costs are \$277,905.

11. Fairlawn Storm Water Pump Station Phase IIA

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project is in construction, which began January 2006, with an anticipated completion date of June 2007. The project area is bounded by Northwest 7th Street, Northwest 4th Terrace, Northwest 47th Avenue, and Northwest 51st Avenue, and it also includes a portion of Antonio Maceo Park.

12. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the museum has been under construction for almost one year. The contractor is currently in the process of installing plumbing and electrical. Most of the interior partitions have been installed, and the large exterior window frames are expected to be in this week. A dedication of the facility is expected to occur between late 2006 and early 2007.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the anticipated construction completion date is summer 2006.

13. Gibson Park Improvements Phase I

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the interior work on Phase I is complete on the recreation building, except for the installation of the suspended lights within the main room of the recreation building, and the contractor should be starting on those any day now; they have been given the go-ahead to do that. The exterior landscaping between the recreation building and the library is all complete. The irrigation system is complete, and the remaining site work, part of Phase I, cannot be done until Phase II is almost complete because they overlap.

14. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the PO should be issued for Phase II within the next month to start on the Phase II construction.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that there are different improvements being overseen at the park by the CIP office on behalf of the Parks Department. There are other initiatives that the Parks Department is overseeing directly. Mary Conway makes a commitment to the Board that she will get together with both CIP staff and Ernest Burkeen, Parks Director, and Park staff to make sure that all of the different areas where improvements are needed within the park are being addressed, and this project will be included as an

<u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the Parks Department, Grants Department, and Employee Relations Department wanted to make a few changes on the interior of the facility to make it more usable in the future. Because of those changes, the project completion date was extended to June 2007. The extension is primarily due to replacing one of the interior fixed walls with a folding partition wall and the timeline required to design and install that equipment. There may not be enough money to cover the entire cost of the change. Robert Ruano, with the Grants Department, has been working with Employee Relations and Parks, to provide some monies to help cover the additional cost on the project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that, at this time, the Department does not envision using any additional bond dollars for this project.

2. N.E. 2nd Avenue Improvements-Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the scope of work includes road improvements on a new corridor along Northeast 2nd Avenue between Northeast 20th Street and Northeast 87th Street. The engineering designer DMJM & Harris. The engineering firm is currently performing a comprehensive analysis, which is expected to be completed by the end of September 2006, and the design will begin by October, and hopefully, will be completed by March 2007. Construction is expected to begin July 2007.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that the project is not tied into the streetcar project.

3. Buena Vista East- Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the scope of work includes roadway milling and resurfacing, widening sidewalks, construction of ADA ramps, new raised curbs, driveway approaches, sidewalk repair, and new drainage. The locations are Northeast 42nd to 48th Streets between North Miami Avenue and Northeast 2nd Avenue, also Northeast 1st Avenue between Northeast 42nd Street and Northeast 48th Street, and lastly, Northeast Miami Court, Northeast Miami Place, and Northeast 1st Court between Northeast 47th Street and Northeast 48th Street. The engineering designer is Marlin Engineering. The design is about 90 percent completed. Design completion is expected in October 2006, and construction will start by July 2007.

4. Miami Watersports Complex – Hangar Improvements

John De Pazos, CIP Department, reported that the project is nearly complete. The only pending items on the project are the installation of an overhead door and some minor landscaping in the front of the hangar facility. Completion of these items is anticipated by the middle of next month.

5. Ballet Gamonet at the Alfred I. Dupont Building

Chairman Flanders: OK. Ballet Gamonet at the Alfred I. Dupont Building.

Gary Fabrikant: I'll give the update on that one.

Chairman Flanders: OK, Gary.

Mr. Fabrikant: Ballet Gamonet was first brought before the Board about three years ago, and a grant in the amount of \$300,000 was approved using District 2 Neighborhood Quality of Life

Gary Reshefsky stated that the Board wants to be kept apprised of any updates or delays to projects in a timely manner. Mr. Aluko stated that he would honor that request.

Jose Marti Gymnasium

Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department, stated that the project is roughly \$5.2 million, and it includes a gymnasium, a fitness center, aerobics facility, locker rooms, and other ancillary spaces for 500 people. The project has moved quickly, and is roughly 28 percent completed now. The project is expected to be completed by June or July 2008.

Gary Reshefsky requested the funding amount in the capital plan for the operation of the facility. Mr. Aluko stated that he would pass the request on to the CFO and the Parks Department and that the response would be presented at the next meeting.

Charisse L. Grant asked what is the long-term provision for the City being able to finance the programming or make money available for CBOs to program the parks facilities? Ed Blanco, Department of Parks & Recreation, stated that the Parks Department has been steadily increasing its annual budget because it understands the need for additional park staff. The Department is planning for the addition of new staff for the new facilities coming on line.

Luis Cabrera asked if it were possible, for the next meeting, for the Board to obtain an operational chart of the Parks Department, to include current staffing and projected staffing. Mr. Blanco stated that the Department could provide an organizational chart to the Board that shows the 2007-2008 staff on board.

Roberto Clemente Park

David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP Department, stated that three consultants/architects were selected that will come on line in September. One of those three architects will be working on Robert Clemente community center. Quite a bit of community outreach is expected for this project. Shovels in the ground are expected 18 months from this September.

Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department, stated that the project is roughly \$1.4 million. The scope entails the remodeling of the building to restore it to its original condition. The building has been gutted. The building will have new HVAC and electrical work. Since the building will be a museum, there will be additional infrastructure included in order to display some of the museum artifacts. The building will also include a training room. The project is roughly 50 percent completed. The target completion date is March 2008.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS: