# HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

12-15-06 – 6:00 P.M. CITY OF MIAMI CITY HALL CHAMBERS 3500 Pan American Drive MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

### I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 28,</u> 2006.

# II. <u>NEW ITEM</u>:

Curtis Park Sports Turf Improvements

### <u>UPDATES</u>:

- 1. Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III Design Services
- 2. Kinloch Storm Sewer Improvements Design Services
- 3. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements adjacent to Mary Brickell Village
- 4. Miami River Greenways Segment B
- 5. Miami River Greenways Segment C
- 6. Model City Infrastructure Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.

## III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

- Homeland Defense/Neighborhood Improvement Bond
   Oversight Board Annual Report
- IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

City of Miami



# 2007 Homeland Defense Neighborhood/ Improvement Bond Oversight Board Meetings

January 23, 2007 February 27, 2007 March 27, 2007 April 24, 2007 May 22, 2007 June 26, 2007 July 24, 2007 August 28, 2007 September 25, 2007 October 23, 2007 November 27, 2007 December 18, 2007

# HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD MINUTES

11-28-06 - 6:00 P.M. CITY OF MIAMI CITY HALL CHAMBERS 3500 Pan American Drive MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

The meeting was called to order at 6:16 p.m., with the following members found to be

- Present:Kay Hancock Apfel<br/>Mariano Cruz (in at 7:00 p.m.)<br/>Ramon De La Cabada (in at 6:30 p.m.)<br/>Luis De Rosa<br/>Robert A. Flanders (Chairman)<br/>Walter Harvey (out at 7:44 p.m.)<br/>Laurinus Pierre (out at 7:22 p.m.)<br/>Manolo Reyes (Vice Chairman)<br/>Hattie Willis (in at 6:22 p.m.)
- <u>Absent</u>: Rolando Aedo Eileen Broton Luis Cabrera David Kubiliun Gary Reshefsky Jami Reyes
- ALSO PRESENT: Rafael O. Diaz, Deputy City Attorney Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department Danette Perez, CIP Department Marcia Lopez, CIP Department Jim Brittain, CIP Department Marcel Douge, CIP Department Lionel Zapata, CIP Department Ed Herald, CIP Department Kathleen Kauffman, Planning Department Enrique Nuñez, Planning Department Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities Guy Forchion, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Elaine Black, Liberty City Revitalization Trust Joyce Jones, City Clerk's Office

# I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2006</u> AND OCTOBER 24, 2006

HD/NIB MOTION 06-28

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2006.

MOVED:W. HarveySECONDED:M. ReyesABSENT:R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, L. Pierre, G. Reshefsky, J.<br/>Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-29

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 24, 2006.

MOVED:	W. Harvey
SECONDED:	K. Apfel
ABSENT:	R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, L. Pierre, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

# II. OLD BUSINESS:

Police Training Facility – Architectural and Engineering Services

 NAME OF PROJECT:
 POLICE TRAINING FACILITY- DESIGN PHASE

 TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT:
 \$2,284,998 (\$1,466,000 from Police Training Facility) Series I + Various

 Funding Sources.
 SOURCE OF FUNDS:
 Police Training Facility

 ACCOUNT CODE(S):
 CIP # 312043
 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:
 For the provision of comprehensive architectural and engineering services for the project. (See Attached background Information)

# HD/NIB MOTION 06-23

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE POLICE TRAINING FACILITY -- ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.

MOVED:	W. Harvey
SECONDED:	M. Reyes
ABSENT:	R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Little Haiti Park Cultural Center – Construction Management Services
 NAME OF PROJECT: LITTLE HAITI PARK CULTURAL CENTER
 TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$13,960,000 (\$6,879,654 from Little Haiti Land Acquisition & Development) Series I + Various Funding Sources.
 SOURCE OF FUNDS: Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition & Development
 ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331412
 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: For Construction Management Services with Pirtle Construction Company for the Little Haiti Park Cultural Center Component. (See Attached Background Information)

# HD/NIB MOTION 06-24

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE LITTLE HAITI PARK CULTURAL CENTER -- CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES.

MOVED:K. ApfelSECONDED:W. HarveyABSENT:R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Jose Marti Park Gym – Construction Management Services

 NAME OF PROJECT:
 JOSE MARTI PARK GYM

 TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT:
 \$10,440,000 (\$5,244,740 is from Jose Marti/East Little Havana Park

 Expansion & Neighborhood Park Improvements) Series I + Various Funding Sources.

 SOURCE OF FUNDS:
 Jose Marti/East Little Havana Park Expansion & Neighborhood Park Improvements

 ACCOUNT CODE(S):
 CIP # 333412 & 331419

 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:
 For Construction Management Services with Pirtle Construction Company

 for the Jose Marti Gymnasium Project. (See Attached Background Information)

# HD/NIB MOTION 06-25

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE JOSE MARTI PARK GYM -- CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES.

MOVED:	W. Harvey
SECONDED:	K. Apfel
ABSENT:	R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, L. Pierre, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

# <u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Additional Funding and Scope of Work Change for VKBPT-Capital Related Consulting

Guy Forchion, Director of Operations, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust, reported that \$39,172 was set aside for the GE Capital Modular building for additional lighting for the parking lot. That project is completed and the lights are up and functional. A buoy line is being installed for the historic beach and the Trust is working alongside with the City to extend that line around all of the Virginia Key shoreline property. That project is moving along fine. Both the City and the Trust have issued their initial POs to do the surveying work that is necessary. Ten thousand dollars was set aside for Lord Cultural Resources Management & Planning regarding the selection process for a design and architectural firm. That \$10,000 was completed using alternative funding, so the Trust would desire coming back before the Board at a later date to reprogram that \$10,000 for two other smaller projects on the property. The Trust is working with NOAA for recalibration and testing of the underwater monitoring device and it should be in the water very soon. The Trust has issued its POs to take care of some of the surveying that is necessary regarding the Erosion Control Line survey, and that will culminate with a public hearing when the ECL is finally complete. The Trust is looking to open the park in August 2007.

2. Preservation Development Initiative Grant

Kathleen Kauffman, Preservation Officer, reported that the transferring in development rights in a city of this size is a very complex issue, very delicate issue, and after many months of working on it with the National Trust, we found that this might be something better done in-house, and so additional staff was actually hired by the Planning Department for the Preservation section to help us with this and assist in other things. Now that the attorneys have gotten involved with how do you actually transfer development rights and the intricacies involved with that, it's become very difficult, so we would actually like to renegotiate the remaining \$37,000 that we have in a grant from the Bond Board to the National Trust to have the National Trust do survey work for the City. That is something we don't have the staff to do. This survey is a really critical part of identifying all the eligible historic properties within the City. The eligible historic properties are the ones that will benefit from the transfer of development rights ordinance, so if we can do the TDR ordinance in-house, we would like to have the National Trust do the actual survey because that takes a lot of manpower. It takes people being out in the field doing the documentation, photography, the Florida master site file forms with the State, and then that would take care of the preservation development initiative, and that would end what the Board has already committed for series one bond funds.

3. Replacement of HOME Investment Partnership Funds

Elaine Black, president/CEO, Liberty City Community Revitalization Trust, reported that of the ten lots that the Trust received, the Trust is in the process of developing the properties. The Trust has completed ten buildings and there are three rehabbed buildings. Overall, there are 85 properties that are in the process of being built with the Trust's area. The prices for those properties are between \$130k to \$180k. The rents for those properties range from \$350 to \$750. The Trust's focus is to ensure that it has affordable housing within the neighborhoods that meets the needs of people who are at 30 percent of median income, and Trust looks forward to the Board coming out at any time and seeing the progress of what has been accomplished. In addition, dollars have been used for the redevelopment of the infrastructure on MLK Boulevard, from I-95 to 12th Avenue. There will be an unveiling of those in January 2007 to complement the opening of the Edison Market Plaza that's at the corner of 7th Avenue and 62nd Street, MLK Boulevard.

Chairman Flanders suggested that the audit subcommittee has a meeting in the Trust area either in December or January to see the Trust's progress.

4. Professional Service Agreement for Bicentennial Park "Museum Park" Master Plan

Enrique Nuñez, Planning Department, reported that the Museum Park Master Plan is divided into two phases, the schematic design phase and design development. The Department is nearing completion of the design development phase. The phase II design development drawings have been invoiced and approved for payment at 80 percent completion for \$418,878. The phase II design development drawings will be completed by the end of December, which also includes cost estimates for the entire park.

5. Land Acquisition for 6311-6329 NE 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue LHP #92 in connection with Little Haiti Park

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the property was obtained through an eminent domain proceeding, and one of the final things the Department approached the Board on was an issue before the judge in terms of some vending machines. We thought we would have to come back to the Board for more monies, but we were successful in that hearing, and therefore, this item is now closed, and the City does have full ownership of the property.

- 6. Additional Funding for Attorney's Fees for LHP Eminent Domain Acquisitions AND
- 7. Increase estimated costs for the sites acquired in connections with LHP #67,75 & 76

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the item needs to remain open, as the Department still has item number 7, which is the finalization of the costs in terms of the eminent domain for three parcels, #67, 75 & 76. The Department had a mediation conference today that was not finalized, and so as a result, the Department needs to keep item 6 and 7 open, and possibly bring back a finalization to the Board in January. In terms of these two items, they're still within the \$12 million that was allocated for land acquisition.

Chairman Flanders inquired about the actual project total to date.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that he did not have the information available and would have to get it for the Board.

Chairman Flanders requested that the information be provided at the next Board meeting.

8. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of the drainage has been completed. Currently for the parking lot, the area is being backfilled and should be completing that the second week of December and starting to lay some conduit for the lighting, as well as doing the layout for the future light posts, as well as landscaping islands. Up until this point, the weather has cooperated and the project is moving forward on schedule. 9. Miami Police Department Stables

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the horses were moved in in October. The police have been getting it up-to-date. The contractor is currently working through his punch list, and the police have planned some kind of festivities for the 19th of December.

**10.** Margaret Pace Park Improvements Phase II

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is substantially complete as of this moment and punch list items are being addressed. The project will be ready to close out within the next 30 days on schedule and under budget.

**11.** Grapeland Heights Park Ballfield Complex- Design Built Contract

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that all the environmental remediation has been addressed and was completed in May. Phase I construction is approximately 50 percent complete. The majority of the grading has been done for the ball fields, and the press box and concession building are about 50 percent complete. Phase I is going to be completed ahead of schedule, in consideration of the environmental remediation. The project is now scheduled to be completed in January as opposed to the contractual date of March.

**12.** Juan Pablo Duarte- Building Renovations/Expansion

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is quasi-completed. The final dry run should be obtained by the beginning of next month, and it should be ready for construction as soon as the funds are in place.

**13.** Robert King High- New Building and Site Improvements

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project was on hold for a while because of the Cuban museum and it is being restarted now because the hold has been removed. The Department is negotiating with the architect now to restart the project and address the final comments from the Building Department so the dry run can be approved and move into construction after that. The design is approximately 95 percent completed.

14. Robert King High- Soccer Field

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the soccer field was also on hold for a while due to the Cuban museum. There were some issues on relocating that field due to some palm trees that cannot be disturbed, so the Department is currently in negotiations with the architect to redesign the soccer field at another location in the park.

**15.** Bicentennial Park Shoreline Stabilization Phase III (Design Phase)

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is completed. Construction will be ready to begin in December 2006. The Department is awaiting some final papers.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that the Department delayed the start of Phase III for several reasons, and the basic reason is there is a crisis within the insurance industry, so we had to deal with a number of issues. A conscious decision was made to delay the start of the project until after the end of the hurricane season. The reason for this is that builder's risk insurance goes down substantially after the season. The other issue has been trying to negotiate with this and several projects ways to mitigate the costs for builder's risk insurance, so the Department is

also trying to finalize the issues of how to mitigate those costs. The project will be using the same firm.

# 16. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is completed, fully permitted, and ready to move on to construction.

# 17. Athalie Range Mini Park Redevelopment

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is complete and ready to start construction. Construction is expected to start early next year.

# 18. Sewell Park -- Restrooms/Park Facility Building

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is on hold. Perez & Perez designed the facility in a manner that exceeded the budget. Another problem was that the building cannot tie into the sewer system because they're at capacity. While design work was being completed, a high-rise was approved for the area, which maxed out the sewer capacity for the next five years, so that would require a redesign of the facility to use another means. Perez & Perez has stated that they no longer have a desire to proceed with the project, so they're not willing to redesign anything, so if we proceed with the project, we will have to entirely redesign from the beginning.

# 19. Program Mgmt. Services for the O.B. Stadium Renovation Project with JLL

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is ongoing. Jones Lang Lasalle was hired as the program manager for the Orange Bowl redevelopment. The Department is proceeding with the project. They are doing their financial and economic analysis. The Department is also currently working with Jones Lang Lasalle to contract with a construction firm, in hopes to have them on board by February, and they will also be assisting the Department with entering into a concessionaire agreement; hopefully, within the next four to six months. The Department is also moving forward very quickly into discussions with the University of Miami for the final scope and funding for this project.

**20.** Application for the Pan American Seaplane Terminal Historical and Structural Rehabilitation Grant

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the City has received a grant for this project. The Department is currently working with the General Services Administration to develop the scope so that the work can proceed.

# III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

> Quorum Discussion.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-27

A MOTION TO TABLE DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE BOARD QUORUM REQUIREMENT FROM EIGHT MEMBERS TO FIVE MEMBERS.

MOVED:M. ReyesSECONDED:W. HarveyABSENT:R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, L. Pierre, G. Reshefsky, J.<br/>Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Chairman Flanders requested that staff do a summation in the report to the Commissioners that the discussion was tabled until next month, but that the Board is debating a solution to the lack of quorum problem, with an instruction to the City Clerk to come up with a roll for the past year of exactly where the hot point was; how many people consistently showed up, as opposed to not.

#### III. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

> December board meeting date change.

#### HD/NIB MOTION 06-26

A MOTION TO RESCHEDULE THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD MEETING CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY, DECEMBER 26, 2006 TO FRIDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2006.

MOVED:	M. Reyes
SECONDED:	W. Harvey
ABSENT:	R. Aedo, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, D. Kubiliun, L. Pierre, G. Reshefsky, J. Reves
	Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Miami Today article on no-bid contracts.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, in discussion of a recent article regarding no-bid contracts in Miami Today newspaper, stated that the article highlighted how the City has tried from the beginning, with no actual plan in place, to put a program in place, fast-track projects, and get them built. One of the things that came out in the article is that 9 to 12 months, at a minimum, was saved on each of these no-bid contracts. In some cases, almost two years worth of time was saved in getting that project awarded and work started.

# Roberto Clemente Park Update

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the Department is in the process of analyzing the available dollars under HD-1 bond series to determine what can continue immediately and what has to wait for HD-2. That analysis is being finalized and the Department will be meeting with the Manager, hopefully, within the next two weeks, to get his concurrence. Once that has been done, part of the proposal is to immediately proceed with the redesign for Roberto Clemente Park. The redesign typically takes about one year. The Department will work to try to have that reduced, but that's pretty much standard in the industry, and then about a year for construction. Any time you try to expedite a process and reduce timeframes, you will increase the cost of the project.

Luis De Rosa requested that Gary Fabrikant e-mail the information regarding the meeting with the City Manager concerning the project's current status to him within the next seven days.

Chairman Flanders suggested that the board members do some personal lobbying upstairs on the 15th with the Mayor.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE: <u>12/15/06</u> DISTRICT: <u>1</u> NAME OF PROJECT: <u>CURTIS PARK SPORT'S TURF IMPROVEMENTS</u>
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Capital Improvements &amp; Transportation</u>
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: John De Pazos (305)416-1094 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: John De Pazos & Gary Fabrikant
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: # 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-35811
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$1,667,463</u> ( <b>\$63,157 only from Neighborhood Park Improvements</b> ). SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Park Improvements &amp; Acquisitions</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
ADA Compliant? TYES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         Approved by Bond Oversight Board?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:       12-15-06         Approved by Commission?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:       12-15-06
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 🗌 6 months 🗌 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? US NO If not, have additional funds been identified? USS NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         Approved by Bond Oversight Board?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact       YES NO       HOW MUCH?         Have additional funds been identified?       YES NO       NO         Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
6. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL: DATE: DATE:

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials XES NO

,

# PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	
Revised Date:	5/9/2006
Revised Date:	11/8/2006
Revised Date:	

ADDRESS / LOCATION: NW 20th Street between NW 23rd and NW 24th Avenue     PROJECT No.:     B-35811       NET OFFICE:     DISTRUCT:     D1       CLIENT DEPT: Parks and Recreation     EST. PROJECT COST:     \$1,667,463       CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez     TEL: (305) 416-1314     ALLOCATED FUNDS:     \$1,667,463       PROJECT MANAGER: John De Pazos     TEL: (305) 416-1094     PROCUREMENT;     JOC       CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos     TEL: (305) 416-1094     PROCUREMENT;     JOC       CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos     TEL: (305) 416-1094     PROCUREMENT;     JOC       CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos     TEL: (305) 416-1094     PROCUREMENT;     JOC       CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos     TEL: (305) 416-1094     PROCUREMENT;     JOC       INSPECTOR / CEO:     TEL:     EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006     EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006     EST. BID ADV.:     EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006       EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006     EST. AWARD DATE:     EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 1/15/2007     EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006       PRODUCTION PHASE     Prime Consultant: ADA. Engineering     1     1     Basic Fees:     11.7%     \$145,725       2     Additional Dosign Fee:     0.0%     SUB-TOTAL:     \$165,724       3     Design Svcs CIP     1     In-house Basic Design Fee:     0.0%     \$2 <t< th=""><th><b></b></th><th></th><th>PRO</th><th>JECT NAME: Curtis Park</th><th>Sports Turf Improvements</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	<b></b>		PRO	JECT NAME: Curtis Park	Sports Turf Improvements						
CLENT DEPT: Parks and Recreation       EST. PROJECT COST.       \$1,867,463         CLENT CONTACT: Making Parez       TEL: (300) 415-1314       ALLOCATEP FUNDS:       \$1,867,463         PROJECT WANAGER: John De Pazos       TEL: (300) 415-1314       ALLOCATEP FUNDS:       \$1,867,463         PROJECT WANAGER: John De Pazos       TEL: (300) 415-1314       ALLOCATEP FUNDS:       \$1,867,463         CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos       TEL: (300) 415-1094       PROJECT TEM.       Ventoal         INSPECTOR / CEC:       TEL:       EST. CONSTRUCTION #1ASTE       Inspectors       Inspectors         EST. DESIGN END: 9/30/2000       EST. HO AUX:       EST. CONSTRUCTION #1ASTE       Proceeding         A. Design Svoc OLdido Consultant       Prime Consultant A.D.A. Engineeding       1.3%       #16,372         I. Basis Frees:       1.2%       #19,000       ST. 2%       \$162,722         J. Inhouse Additional Design Services:       0.0%       St       2         J. Inhouse Additional Design Services:       0.0%       St       2         J. Prod. Mgmt of Outside Consultant by CIP:       3.0%       St/7.000         J. Prod. Mgmt of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:       0.0%       St         J. Prod. Mgmt of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:       0.0%       Stilib:rotral:       \$1,807,600		ADDF				PROJECT No.:	B-35811				
CLIENT CONTACT: Marka Parez         TEL: (305) 418-1314         ALLOCATED FUNDS:         \$1,857,463           PROJECT MAMAGE: John De Paros         TEL: (305) 416-1084         PROCUREMENT:         JOC           CONSTR. MAMAGE: John De Paros         TEL: (305) 416-1084         PROCUREMENT:         JOC           IMSPECTOR / GED:         TEL: (305) 416-1084         PROCUREMENT:         Vortical           IMSPECTOR / GED:         TEL: (305) 416-1084         PROCUREMENT:         Vortical           EST. DESIGN BTART: 7/202006         EST. BID ADV:         EST. CONSTRUCTO NO START: 11/1/2006         EST. CONSTRUCTO NO START: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN BTART: 7/20207         PRODUCTION PHASE         Precomage         A Design Svcs Cul9         SUB-TOTAL         \$165,722           1         Basic Pees:         0.05%         SZ         In-house Basic Design Fee:         0.05%         SZ           2         In-house Additional Design Services:         0.05%         SZ         ST.60C           2         In-house Additional Design Fee:         0.05%         SZ         Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:         3.05%         SST.60C           2         In-house Additional Design Services         SUB-TOTAL:         \$ST.60C         SUB-TOTAL:         \$ST.60C           2         In-house Additional Design Service				IET OFFICE:		DISTRICT:	D1				
PROJECT MANAGER: John De Pazos         TEL: (300) 418-1094         PROCUREMENT:         JOC           CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos         TEL: (300) 418-1094         PROJECT TEMI:         VentCal           NespECTON CED:         TEL:         EST. BIO ADV:         EST. CONSTRUCTION \$17ART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006         EST. MANAGE DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION \$17ART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006         EST. MANAGE DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION \$17ART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2007         EST. MANAGE DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION \$17ART: 11/1/2006           PRODUCTION PHASE         Pascelland: 12/1%         \$145,722           2         AddStorat Consultant         Proce Consultant AD.A. Engineeting         11/1%           1         Inchase Bask Design Fes:         0.0%         S2           2         Inchase AddBional Design Fes:         0.0%         S2           2         Prod. Mgmt. of Outskide Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         S2           2         Prod. Mgmt. of Outskide Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         S2           2         Prod. Mgmt. of Outskide Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         S2           2         Prod. Mgmt. of Outskide Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         S2 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>CI</td> <td>LIENT DEPT: Parks and Rea</td> <td>realion</td> <td>EST. PROJECT COST:</td> <td>\$1,667,463</td>		•	CI	LIENT DEPT: Parks and Rea	realion	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,667,463				
CONSTR. MANAGER: John De Pazos         TEL::         PROJECT TEAM:         Vertical           INSPECTOR / GEO:         TEL::         EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006         EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN EARD: \$/GO2005         EST. AWARD DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11/1/2006         EST. CONSTRUCTION ETART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN EARD: \$/GO2005         EST. AWARD DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION ETART: 11/1/2006         EST. CONSTRUCTION ETART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN EARD: COLSIGN CONSTRUCTION PHASE         12%         \$145727           2         Addisort Gorvicor:         12%         \$145727           2         Addisort Gorvicor:         12%         \$145727           3         Design Svcs CIP         SUB-TOTAL:         \$157,902           4         Int-house Addisonal Design Service:         0.0%         \$57,900           2         In-house Addisonal Design Service:         0.0%         \$57,900           2         Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:         3.0%         \$57,900           3         Geotechnical Testing:         Versor:         \$10,000           4         UBIR / Localions (Stor Dig):         Versor:         \$27,000           5         Asbeetso Sturvey:         Versor:         \$20,000			LIEN	CONTACT: Maria Perez	TEL: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,667,463				
INSPECTOR / GEO:         TEL:           EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006         EST. BIO ADV.:         EST. CONSTRUCTION BIART: 11/1/2006           EST. DESIGN START: 7/20/2006         EST. AWARD DATE:         EST. CONSTRUCTION BIART: 11/1/2006           PRODUCTION PHASE         Precentage         11.7%         5445.727           A Design Svcs Outside Consultant         Price consultant A.D.A. Engineering         11.7%         5445.727           2 AddStord Source:         0.2%         SUB-TOTAL:         \$165.728           B. Design Svcs CIP         SUB-TOTAL:         \$165.728           B. Design Svcs CIP         SUB-TOTAL:         \$165.728           1 In-house AddBtional Design Fee:         0.0%         \$37.600           2 In-house AddBtional Design Services:         SUB-TOTAL:         \$37.600           2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         \$37.600           2 Re-plat:         Versor:         \$7.000           3 Geolechnical Testing:         Versor:         \$7.000           4 Unity Locations (Sch Olgip):         Versor:         \$7.000           5 Absets6 Sturge:         Versor:         \$7.000           6 Ensergy HWAC Calculations:         Versor:         \$7.000           7 Phase I Envinonmental:         Versor: <td< td=""><td></td><td colspan="8"></td></td<>											
EST. DESIGN STATT: 7/20/2006 EST. DESIGN STATT: 7/20/2006 EST. DESIGN FART: 7/20/2006 EST. DESIGN FART: 7/20/2006 EST. DESIGN FART: 7/20/2006 EST. CONSTRUCTION EXACT: 11/1/2006 EST. CONSTRUCTION EXACT: 11/1/2007 PRODUCTION PHASE Production Management Services: 1 Sub-ToTAL: St45,767 D Miscellaneous Bervices: 2 In-house Additional Design Fee: 0.0% St 2 In-house Additional Design Fee: 0.0% State Consultant by CIP: 3.0% State Consu		co	NSTR	MANAGER: John De Pazo	8 TEL.: (305) 416-1094	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical				
EST. DESIGN END: 93022005     EST. AWARD DATE:     EST. CONSTRUCTION EMD: 1/15/2007       PRODUCTION PHASE     Parcentage     A Design Svca Outside Consultant Prime Consultant AD.A. Engineering     11.7%     5145.72       1     Basic Peer:     11.7%     5145.72       2     Additional Design Svca CIP     11.7%     5145.72       3     Design Svca CIP     11.7%     5145.72       4     Design Svca CIP     0.0%     35       2     In-house Basic Design Fee:     0.0%     35       2     In-house Additional Design Services:     304     537.500       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:     3.0%     537.500       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:     0.0%     35       3     Genderchnical Testing:     Vessor:     57.000       3     Genderchnical Testing:     Vessor:     57.000       4     Utility Locations (Soft Dig):     Vessor:     51.000       5     Ababetos Survey:     Vessor:     57.000       4     Utility Locations (Soft Dig):     Vessor:     51.000       5     Sabetos Survey:     Vessor:     51.000       6     Energy / HVAC Calculations:     Vessor:     52.000       7     Phase I Envinonmental:     Vessor:		11	4SPE	TOR / CEO:	TEL:						
PRODUCTION PHASE         Percentage           A. Design Svos Outside Consultant         Prime Consultant: AD.A. Engineering         11.7%         \$145.72           1. Basic Fees:         1.2%         +15.00         \$1.7%         \$145.72           2. Additional Design Fee:         0.0%         \$2         \$1.7%         \$16.72           3. Design Svos CIP         SUB-TOTAL:         \$116.72         \$1.7%         \$15.02           4. Thouse Basic Design Fee:         0.0%         \$2         \$1.7%         \$1.7%         \$1.7%           9. Throuse Additional Design Services:         0.0%         \$2         \$1.7%         \$1.7%         \$1.7%           2. Prod. Mgm.d. Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:         0.0%         \$2         \$2.700.00%         \$3.0%         \$37.900           3. Gestechnical Testing:         Veedor:         \$1.000         \$1.000         \$1.000         \$1.000           4. Utility Locations (Sont Digs):         Veedor:         \$10.000         \$1.000         \$1.000           5. Abselos Survey:         Veedor:         \$1.000         \$10.000         \$1.000           5. Abselos Survey:         Veedor:         \$10.000         \$1.000         \$1.000           5. Baselos Survey:         Veedor:         \$1.000         \$1	EST.	DES	IGN S	TART: 7/20/2006	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11	/1/2006				
A       Design Sives Outside Consultant       Prime Consultant AD.A. Engineering       11.7%       \$145,72]         1       Basic Fees.       12.7%       \$16,00]         2       Addition: Convice:       12.7%       \$16,00]         3       Boesign Sives CIP       308-TOTAL       \$16,00]         1       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       3.0%       \$37,500         2       Prod. Mgm.f. Oluside Consultant by CIP:       3.0%       \$57,600         2       Re-plet       Vendor:       \$10,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Lositione (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Absetos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Bergy HiVAC Calculatione:       Wendor:       \$10,000         5       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         6       Engry HiVAC	E	ST. D	esigi	1 END: 9/30/2006	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 1/	15/2007				
A       Design Sives Outside Consultant       Prime Consultant AD.A. Engineering       11.7%       \$145,72]         1       Basic Fees.       12.7%       \$16,00]         2       Addition: Convice:       12.7%       \$16,00]         3       Boesign Sives CIP       308-TOTAL       \$16,00]         1       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       0.0%       \$2         2       In-house Additional Design Services:       3.0%       \$37,500         2       Prod. Mgm.f. Oluside Consultant by CIP:       3.0%       \$57,600         2       Re-plet       Vendor:       \$10,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Lositione (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Absetos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Bergy HiVAC Calculatione:       Wendor:       \$10,000         5       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         6       Engry HiVAC											
1       Bask: Fees:       11.7%       \$145000         2       Additional Services:       12%       \$150,00         1       In-house Bask Design Fee:       0.0%       \$50         2       Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:       3.0%       \$37,600         2       Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:       0.0%       \$50         2       Miccellaneous Services       \$10,000       \$10,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Verder:       \$10,000         4       Utily Locatione (Soft Digs):       Verder:       \$10,000         4       Stapetics Survey:       Verder:       \$10,000         4       Beest Il Environmental:       Verder:       \$10,000         4       Stapetics Survey:       Verder:       \$10,000         5       Abbestos Survey:       Verder:       \$10,000         6       Energy / HVAC Calculatona:       Werder:       \$10,000 <td></td> <td>PF</td> <td>RODI</td> <td>UCTION PHASE</td> <td></td> <td>Parcantage</td> <td></td>		PF	RODI	UCTION PHASE		Parcantage					
2     Additional Services:     1.2%     +15,00       B. Design Svcs CIP     SUB-TOTAL:     \$110,721       1     In-house Bask Chedign Fee:     0.0%     \$2       2     In-house Bask Chedign Fee:     0.0%     \$2       1     In-house Additional Dosign Services:     0.0%     \$2       2     In-house Additional Dosign Services:     0.0%     \$2       1     Production Management Services     SUB-TOTAL:     \$2       2     Production Management Services     \$30%     \$37,500       2     Production Management Services     \$30%     \$37,500       2     Miscellaneous Services     \$10,000     \$30%     \$37,500       2     Re-plat     Vendor:     \$7,000     \$10,000       3     Geotechnical Testing:     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Utility Locations (Soft Digs):     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Utility Locations (Soft Digs):     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Bestite Environmental:     Vendor:     \$10,000       5     Absterios Survey:     Vendor:     \$2,000       10     Archeological Survey:     Vendor:     \$2,000       11     Other:     Sue-TotAL:     \$19,000       2     Man-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Fan r		A		-	tant Prime Consultant ADA. Engin						
B. Design Svcs CIP     SUB-TOTAL:     \$102,721       1     In-house Baskc Design Fee:     0.0%     SX       2     In-house Additional Dosign Services:     0.0%     SX       2     In-house Additional Dosign Services:     0.0%     SX       2     In-house Additional Dosign Services:     SUB-TOTAL:     SX       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:     3.0%     \$37,500       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:     0.0%     SX       2     Re-plat:     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Mitacellaneous Services     \$10,000     \$10,000       4     Mitally Locations (Soft Digs):     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Sabestos Survey:     Vendor:     \$10,000       5     Asbestos Survey:     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Struby:     Vendor:     \$2,000       5     Surdural Teeling:     Vendor:     \$2,000       5     Special Fees / Assessments:     1     0ERM (Pians review, monomental Protection (Permits):       1     Other:     Sub-TotAL:     \$19,000       5     Special Fees / Assessments:     \$10200     \$10200       1     Other Mains and Sever Department (Plan review)     \$100000       2     Memi-Dade County Water a											
B. Design Svcs CIP     1     In-hause Back Design Fee:     0.0%     54       1     In-hause Additional Design Services:     0.0%     54       2     In-hause Additional Design Services:     0.0%     54       2     Prod. Mgmt of Outside Consultant by CIP:     3.0%     537,500       2     Prod. Mgmt of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:     0.0%     54       3     Burroy:     Vendor:     537,500       2     Re-plat:     Vendor:     537,500       3     Geotechnical Testing:     Vendor:     54,000       4     Utility Locations (Soft Digs):     Vendor:     54,000       5     Abselato Survey:     Vendor:     54,000       6     Energy / HVAC Catculations:     Vendor:     52,000       7     Phase I Environmental:     Vendor:     52,000       8     Phase I Environmental:     Vendor:     52,000       9     Structuril Testing:     Vendor:     52,000       10     Acheleological Survey:     Ve		ļ	2	Addiantes Gottingsa.							
2     In-house Additional Design Services:     0.9%     32       1     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:     3.0%     \$37,500       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:     3.0%     \$37,500       2     Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:     0.0%     \$2       0     Miscellaneous Services     \$10,000     \$10,000       1     Survey:     Vendor:     \$10,000       2     Re-plat:     Vendor:     \$10,000       3     Gechardnical Testing:     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Utility Locations (Sort Digs):     Vendor:     \$10,000       5     Asbestos Survey:     Vendor:     \$10,000       4     Energy / HVAC Calculations:     Vendor:     \$10,000       5     Asbestos Survey:     Vendor:     \$10,000       6     Energy / HVAC Calculations:     Vendor:     \$10,000       7     Phase II Environmental:     Vendor:     \$2,000       10     Archeological Survey:     Vendor:     \$2,000       11     Other:     Vendor:     \$2,000       12     Mism-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Plan review)     \$19,000       11     Other:     Vendor:     \$2,000       12     Mism-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Plan		В.	Dee	sign Svcs CIP							
SUB-TOTAL:     \$UB-TOTAL:     \$UB-TOTAL: <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td>				A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNE			\$0				
C Production Management Services  Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 3.0% \$37,500  Miscellaneous Services  Miscellaneou			2	In-house Additional Desig	In Services:		\$0 \$0				
1       Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:       3.0%       \$37,500         2       Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:       0.0%       \$30         3       Buile-ToTAL:       \$37,500         9       Miscellaneous Services       \$10000         1       Survey;       Vendor:       \$7,000         2       Re-plat       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Stabestos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         6       Energy / HVAC Calcutations:       Vendor:       \$10,000         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         12       Mam-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)       \$19,000         13       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):       \$1         14 <td< td=""><td></td><td>l c</td><td>Pm</td><td>duction Management Servi</td><td>Ces</td><td>. SUB-IVIAL</td><td>\$U</td></td<>		l c	Pm	duction Management Servi	Ces	. SUB-IVIAL	\$U				
SUB-TOTAL:       \$37,500         D       Miscellaneous Services         1       Survey:       Vendor:       \$7,000         2       Re-Jalz       Vendor:       \$7,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locetions (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Asbestoe Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         6       Energy /HVAC Calculations;       Vendor:       \$10,000         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$2000         8       Phese II Environmental:       Vendor:       \$2,000         9       Structural Teeling:       Vendor:       \$2,000         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         12       BERM (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       \$10         13       DERM (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       \$2000         14       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       \$1000 (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       \$1000 (Plans review, inspections, etc.): </td <td></td> <td>ľ</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>3.0%</td> <td>\$37,500</td>		ľ		•		3.0%	\$37,500				
D       Miscellaneous Services         1       Survey:       Vendor:         2       Re-plat       Vendor:         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:         5       Acbectos Survey:       Vendor:         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         8       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:         9       Structurel Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:         11       Other:       Vendor:         12       Mismi-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Plan review)       Stud-Total:         13       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):       Stud-Total:         14       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       Fee Waker       Fee Waker         14       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       Stud-Total:       \$217,225         15       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):       Fee Value:       \$1250,000         14       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       Stud-Total:       \$2217,225         15       Other:       Loc Contexter; F.H. P			2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside C	onsultant by Industry Partner.	0.0%	\$0				
1       Survey:       Vendor:       \$7,000         2       Re-plat:       Vendor:       \$10,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:       \$10,000         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$10         8       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$2,000         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         12       Special Fees / Assessments:       \$11       Other:       \$19,000         13       Joint Polate County Water and Sever Department (Plan review)       \$10,000       \$11       Other:       \$10,000         14       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       \$12       South Forida Veter Management District (Permits):       \$11         15       South Forida Veter Management District (Permits):       \$11       \$11       \$12,50,000         15       Other:			•			SUB-TOTAL:	\$37,500				
1       Survey:       Vendor:       \$7,000         2       Re-plat:       Vendor:       \$10,000         3       Geotechnical Testing:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:       \$10,000         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$10         8       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$2,000         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:       \$2,000         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         12       Special Fees / Assessments:       \$11       Other:       \$19,000         13       Joint Polate County Water and Sever Department (Plan review)       \$10,000       \$11       Other:       \$10,000         14       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       \$12       South Forida Veter Management District (Permits):       \$11         15       South Forida Veter Management District (Permits):       \$11       \$11       \$12,50,000         15       Other:			Mie	cellaneous Senices							
2       Re-plat:       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:       \$10,000         5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:       \$10,000         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:       \$10,000         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:       \$10         8       Structural Teeting:       Vendor:       \$2,000         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         2       Special Fees / Assessments:       \$10,000       \$10         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         2       Mam-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Plan review)       \$11         3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):       \$1         4       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       \$10       \$11         5       South Fiorida Water Management District (Permits):       \$10       \$11         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineners (Plans review, permits):       \$12       \$					Vendor.		\$7,000				
4       Utility Locations (Soft Digs):       Vendor:         5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         8       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:         11       Other:       Vendor:         12       Marchaelogical Survey:       Vendor:         13       Other:       Vendor:         14       Other:       Vendor:         15       Structural Testing:       Vendor:         14       Other:       Vendor:         15       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Walver I"         16       DERM (Plans review, environmental Pontection (Permits):       Vendor:         14       FDOT (Plans review, percetions, etc.):       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):       Vendor:         17       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       Stubertortal:       Stubertortal:         16       Uter:       Joc Construction Estimate:       Stubertortal:       Stubertortal:         17       Construction Estimate:       Joc Co					Vendor,						
ut       5       Asbestos Survey:       Vendor:         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Vendor:         7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         8       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:         11       Other:       Vendor:         12       Maint-Dade County Water and Sever Department (Pian review)         13       DERM (Pians review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Walver 「         14       DERM (Pians review, environmental Protection (Permits):       1         15       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):       1         16       U.S. Arry Corps of Engineers (Pians review, permits):       1         17       HRS (Pians review, inspections, etc.):       8         16       U.S. Arry Corps of Engineers (Pians review, permits):       1         17       HRS (Pians review, inspections, etc.):       8         18       Other:       SuB-TOTAL:       \$217,225         Construction:       Joc Contractor; F.H. Paschen       1       21,250,000         18       Other:       Joc Contractor; F.H. Paschen       1         19       Constru							\$10,000				
S       Accessed soft version:       Version:         6       Energy / HVAC Calculations:       Version:         7       Phase I Environmental:       Version:         8       Phase I Environmental:       Version:         9       Structural Testing:       Version:         10       Archeological Survey:       Version:         10       Archeological Survey:       Version:         11       Other:       Version:       \$2,000         12       Mami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)       \$100 (Plan review, inspections, etc.):       \$1000 (Plan review, inspections, etc.):       <											
7       Phase I Environmental:       Vendor:         8       Phase II Environmental:       Vendor:         9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         12       SuB-TOTAL:       \$19,000         13       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Waher       1         14       DERM (Plans review, environmental Protection (Permits):       1       1         14       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       5       South Floride Water Management District (Permits):       1         15       Sub-TotrAL:       \$217,225       1       1         15       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:       \$217,225         16       Other:       JOC Contractor:       \$1,250,000         17       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       8       0         18       Other:       JOC Contractor:       \$1,250,000         10       Construction:       JOC Contractor:       \$1,250,000         10       Construction Estimate: </td <td>F  </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>	F					•					
G       Instructural Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vendor:         11       Other:       Vendor:         12       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Walver         11       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Walver         12       Miam-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)         3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):         4       FDOT (Plens review, Inspections, etc.):         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         4       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         9       Other:         9       Other:         9       PRODUCTION PHASE         7       Construction:         10       Construction:         11       Construction:         12       Contingency Allowance:         13       Elecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Falces, Furnikure and Equipment.         <	ž										
ui       9       Structural Testing:       Vendor:         10       Archeological Survey:       Vandor;         11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         SuB-TOTAL:       \$19,000         E       Special Fees / Assessments:       1       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Waiver       F         2       Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)       3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):         3       4       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):       5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):       7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:       \$0         Sub-TOTAL:       \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen       1         1       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen       1         2       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.6%       \$106,250         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       4       Fadures, Furn	5		8	Phase II Environmental;	Vendor,						
11       Other:       Vendor:       \$2,000         SUB-TOTAL:       \$19,000         E       Special Fees / Assessments:       1         1       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Walver       F         2       Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)       3         3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):       4         4       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       5         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):       6         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):       7         7       HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):       8         8       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:         9       SUB-TOTAL:       \$0         9       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:         9       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:         9       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:         9       Other:       \$1,250,000         1       Construction:       JOC Commentor: F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$.65%       \$106,250         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):	Ni I										
SUB-TOTAL:       \$19,000         E       Special Fees / Assessments:       1       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Waiver       Fee Waiver<							\$2 000				
E       Special Fees / Assessments:         1       DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):       Fee Waiver         2       Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)         3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):         4       FDOT (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         9       Other:         9       PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction Estimate:         1       Construction Estimate:         2       Soft Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       FDL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:         7       Other:         9       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:         7       Other:         8       Protorescologit         9       Mask System Betterment:         10       FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:         11       Construction:	00				venou.	SUB-TOTAL:					
Up       1       DEXM (Frans review, Involumental Permits, Per	- 1	E	Spe	cial Fees / Assessments:							
Oc       3       Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):         4       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         8       Other:         9       SUB-TOTAL:         9       PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         7       Construction:         1       Construction:         1       Construction Estimate:         2       Contingency Allowance:         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fiblures, Fumikure and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept Purchase)	Ŵ l					Fee Walver					
A       FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):         5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         8       Other:         9       SUB-TOTAL:         9       SUB-TOTAL:         9       PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         9       PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         1       Construction:         1       Construction Estimate:         2       Contingency Allowance:         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fixdures, Furniture and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betrament:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)				The second se							
5       South Florida Water Management District (Permits):         6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineera (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         SUB-TOTAL:         \$0         PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:         JOC Contractor:       F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:         2       Contingency Allowance:         8.5%       \$106,250         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fixdures, Fumiture and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betrament:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)				And the second s							
6       U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):         7       HRS (Plans review, Inspections, etc.):         8       Other:         SUB-TOTAL:         \$0         PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:         \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor.         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       4         4       Fixdures, Fumiture and Equipment:       \$         5       WASA System Bettarment:       \$         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:       \$         7       Other:       \$	-										
8       Other:       SUB-TOTAL:       \$0         PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:       \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor; F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       4         4       Fadures, Furnikure and Equipment:       5         5       WASA System Bettament:       5         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:       7         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)       \$12,238											
SUB-TOTAL:       \$0         PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:       \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         7       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       \$1,250,000         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fodures, Furnikure and Equipment:       \$         5       WASA System Betterment:       \$         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:       \$         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept Purchase)       \$12,238				And the second s	tions, etc.):						
PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$217,225         CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.6%         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       4         4       Fixtures, Fumikure and Equipment:       5         5       WASA System Betterment:       5         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:       7         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)       \$12,238			. 8	Other:		SUB-TOTAL ·	*0				
CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.6%         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fodures, Furnikure and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)							•				
CONSTRUCTION PHASE         F       Construction:       JOC Contractor: F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.6%         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4       Fodures, Furnikure and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)		PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$217.23									
F       Construction:       JOC Contractor. F.H. Paschen         1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.5%         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       4         4       Fixdures, Fumikure and Equipment.       5         5       WASA System Betterment:       6         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:       7         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)       \$12,238	⊦										
1       Construction Estimate:       \$1,250,000         2       Contingency Allowance:       8.5%       \$106,250         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):       ************************************		νŪ	101								
2       Contingency Allowance:       8.6%       \$106,250         3       Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):		F Construction: JOC Contractor, F.H. Paschen									
3 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):         4 Fodures, Furniture and Equipment:         5 WASA System Betterment:         6 FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7 Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)         \$ \$12,238		1 Construction Estimate:									
4       Fodures, Furniture and Equipment:         5       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)         \$12,238				the second se		8.5%	\$106,250				
5       WASA System Betterment:         6       FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:         7       Other:       Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)         \$12,238											
6         FPL Contribution-in-Ald-of Construction:           7         Other:         Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase)         \$12,238						<u>.</u> .					
7 Other: Sunset Sod, Inc. (Parks Dept. Purchase) \$12,238											
SUB-TOTAL: \$1,368,488							\$12,238				
						SUB-TOTAL:	\$1,368,488				

B-35811

Curtis Park Sports Turf Improvements
--------------------------------------

	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees	
	1 City of Miaml Permits: Bldg. Dept. T Public Works T	
	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	
	3 Miemi-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
	4 Other.	
	SUB-TOTAL:	şı
	CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$1,368,488
TE	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	
ЧA	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP: 0.0%	\$0
TIM	I Construction Mgmt - Industry Partner: 0.0%	\$0
ŝ	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 0.0%	\$0
OSTE	K JOC Administration 1.5%	\$18,760
	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$18,750
CT CI	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	
ų.	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt/Budget/Procurement/Comm.): 5.0%	\$63,000
2	M Industry Pertner Program Mgmt. Support: 0.0%	\$0
<b>P</b> R	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$63,000
.	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES	
	N Land Cost	
	O Transaction Costs: 0.0%	\$0
	LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL:	\$0
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COST:	\$1,667,463

Design and Construction of official NFL sanctioned Turf Improvements.

Construction Administration services are included in the Production Phase A/E basic fees.

NOTES

Fiscal Year Available Award # FUND SOURCES Homeland Defense \$63,157 CIP # 331419 Amount 35000 1058 Fund: \$116,568 331419 Fund: 35000 1108 Impact fees CIP# Amount \$12,238 333113 35000 1216 SNPB CIP # Amount: Fund: \$250,000 CIP # 331419 Amount: Fund: 35000 TBD \$530,225 1103 GIF CIP# 331419 Amount Fund: 35000 CIP# 331419 Amount \$695,275 35000 TBD New Impact fees Fund: TOTAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT: \$1,667,463

	Project Manager.	John De Pazos	tolly	Date: 11/08/06
TION	Sr. Project Manager:	Roger Hatton	Pore Hattles	Date: 11/08/06
IDA.	Reviewed by:	Yvette Maragh	Wette Marach	Date: 11 8 06
VAL	Accepted by:	CIP Budget Administrator Mary Conway	Mange Conwan	1 1 Date: <u>1113/06</u>
	ס	irector of the Client Department	/ Sign	AGER REV. 6/8/05
Copie	S TO: CLIENT DEPARTM	ENT, ALL GIP OECTION CHIEFS	, CIP SENIOR ACCOUNTANT, HDR PROGRAM MAN	

TDEPA	20
(HA)	

#### City of Miami, Florida – Department of Capital Improvements & Transportation WORK ORDER FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

<b></b>										
	CITY CONTIN	IUING SERVICE CONTRACT	FOR Civil	Engineeri	ing Mis	cellaneous S	ervices			
5	Contract Effective Dates: START 4/30/04 END.4/30/07 Contract Number K04-00319 Maximum Compensation \$ 500, 000									
CONTRACT	Contract Authorization: Resolution City Code Section 18-87(m) Number RFQ-02-03-163									
Ő	CITY WORK	ORDER NUMBER 1	Prior W	ork Orders	Issued	Number	0	Value	• 0	
	WORK ORDE	R EFFECTIVE DATE Upon	Issuance of	NTP	REQ	UIRED COMPL	ETION DATE	NTF	+ 5 Mont	hs
F		CONSULTANT FIRM A.D.A. Engineering, Inc.								
CONSULTANT	OFFICE 11401 SW 40 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 470									
NSI	CITY Miami					STATE	Florida	a	ZIP	33165
8	CONTACT N	AME Alberto Argudin				TITLE	ITLE President			
	PROJECT NA	ME Curtis Park Football Fie	eld			Project Num	nber B-35811		Client D	ept Parks
PRCPOSAL	BRIEF SCOPI	Park improvements E OF football field	including rep	placement	of exi	- : :~@	ner detailed in atta	ched Con	sultant Wo	rk Order Proposal
<u>م</u>	Consultant	Proposal Date 7/18/06		Consi	ultant P	roject Manager				
	MAJOR T	ASKS / WORK PHASES	DELIVER	ABLE		DUE	FEE	FEE BA	ASIS	
	Basic Services	<b>,</b>	Design/Const Mgt NTF		NTP+	Select	\$145,725.00	Lump S	Sum, Not to	o Exceed Fee
<b>→</b>	Surveying	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Survey N <sup>*</sup>		NTP+	Select	\$7,000.00	Lump Sum, Not to Ex		o Exceed Fee
COMPENSATION SUMMARY	Geotechnical	<u> </u>	Geotechnical NT		NTP+	Select	\$10,000.00	Lump S	Sum, Not to	D Exceed Fee
NW S		NT		NTP+	Select	\$	Lump Sum, Not		D Exceed Fee	
N S			NTP		NTP+	Select	\$	Lump Sum, Not to		D Exceed Fee
ATIC		NTP+		NTP+	Select	\$	Lump Sum, Not to		Exceed Fee	
ENS		NTP+		Select	\$	Lump Sum, Not to Exceed		Exceed Fee		
MP	All Profe					\$16,2725.00	SUBTO	TAL		
8 V	Allow					\$2,000.00	Direct E	xpense		
	Allowan	ce For Additional Services (if any)					\$15,000.00			
	TOTAL MAXIMUM AMOUNT \$179,725.00 🗸 Combined Fee Ty						rpe			
		OTHER NOTES					19F	)		
~ ۳ %	Estimated (	Construction Cost \$				<u> </u>	•			
G AN DRAT	FUNDING			\$						\$
FUNDING AND INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS	SOURCE(S)			\$					,	\$
<u>5 õ d</u>	This Work Ord	ler shall be performed in accorda	nce with the al	bove-referei	nced Co	ntract, and incor	porates by referer	nce all do	cuments de	escribed below:
	Contract referen	aced above 1. Prop	osal reference	d above	2.	Requirements o Authorization	f City	3. Oti	her (list)	
	·····	Name	Signatur				Title			Date
STS	Prepared By	John De Pazos	14	A g	L		City Project Manager			7/21/06
sovi	Approved By				/	· · ·	Team Leader Horizontal projects		rojects	
APPROVALS	Approved By	Gary Fabrikant				CIT Assistant Director				
	Approved By	Mary H. Conway	A	-, F.	$\mathbb{K}$	CIT Director			7/20/06	
										/ *

Note: Deviations from this Work Order& WO Proposal Form require written approval of the Director and written approval of the City Attorney as to legal form.



# F.H. PASCHEN, SNNIELSEN, INC. GENERAL CONTRACTORS

e. Geo

# SCHEDULE OF VALUES

OWNER:

e. 1

#### Job Order Construction Contracting City of Miami, FL Master Contract 14-03/04, Resolution R-04-0516 2802-024 FHP Job #: P.O. Date: NONE 163.B35811.00 City Job Order No.: **Curtis Park Sports Turf Improvements** Project Name: \$1,249,904.49 Job Order Amount: S.O.V. Date: October 23, 2006 Revision #: Original

#### SUBCONTRACTOR & MATERIAL COST

•	PO #	CODE	FACT.	NAME / DESCRIPTION	CONTRACT VALUE	% OF CONTRACT
1	024	0100		Overhead & Profit	\$171,027 23	13.597
2	024	0101	.0072	Senu	\$8,999.31	0.72%
3	024	0102	.0218	Insurance	\$27,247.92	2.189
4	024	0100		Mobilization	\$10,000.00	0.80%
5	024	0170		Surveying	\$10,000.00	0.80%
6	024	0200		Sitework	\$455,700.00	36.46%
7	024	0271		Fencing	\$5,000.00	0.40%
8	024	0273		Turí	\$462,000.00	36.96%
9	024	0274		Equipment (Supply Goal Post)	\$8,900.00	0.715
10	024	0275		Equipment (Install Goal Post, Soccer Goals)	\$12,000.00	0.96%
11	024	0276		Rubberized Track Surface	\$10,000.00	0.80%
12	024	0277		Pole Vault	\$31,000.00	2.48%
13	024	0295		Slorm Sewer Cleaning	\$20,000.00	1.60%
14	024	0300		Concrete	\$8,000.00	0.64%
15	024	1600		Electrical	\$10,000.00	0.80%
16	024					0.00%
17	024					0.00%
18	024					0.00%
19	024					0.00%
20	024					0.00%
21	024					0.00%
22	024					0.00%
23	024				-	0.00%
24	024		+			0.00%
25	024					0.00%
				and the second		0.00%
26	024					0.00%
27	024					0.00%
28				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.00%
29	024			······································		0.00%
30	024				•	0.00%
31	024				+	0.00%
32	024			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.00%
33	024				{	0.00%
14	024					0.00%
15	024					
6	024					0.00%
17	024			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.00%
18	024			·		0.00%
191	024				<b></b>	0.00%
0	024					0.00%
1	024					0.00%
2	024					0.00%
3	024					0.00%
4	024					0.00%
5	024					0.00%
6]	024					0.00%
7	024				1. 111 A.	0.00%
8	024					0.00%
9	024					0.00%
ō	024					0.00%
<u> </u>	-نامنية			TOTAL	\$1,249,904.49	100.00%

DEPARTM	ENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
	PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
STI OF AS	
	UPDATE
( The second sec	
1. DATE: 3/22/05	NETD-OT 4
NAME OF PROJECT: FAIRLAY	DISTRICT: <u>4</u> <u>VN STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT, P</u>
DESIGN SERVICES	THE PROPERTY AND
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DI	VISION:
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON	V/CONTACT NUMBER: Jose Lago (305) 416-1090
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT	: <u>Capital Improvements</u> – Jorge Cano
RESOLUTION NUMBER:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 352284
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBE	ER:
	(IF APPLICABLE)
	Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$548.0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Fairlawn Storm</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP #352284</u>	
100000111 00DE(3). <u>01F #552264</u>	
If grant funded, is there a City match red	quirement? YES NO
AMOUNT:	EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YE	EXPIRATION DATE:
Estimated Operations and Maintenance	Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
	d input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The D	roposed project involves the preliminary design, final design and
construction of Storm Sewer Improvem	ent within the area bounded by SW 8 St. West Flagler St. SW 47
SW 57 Avenue. These improvements m	hav consist of exfiltration trench, catch basins, manholes, storm se
pump stations and surface restoration. I	It may also include existing damaged sidewalks replacements re-s
swaies, milling & resurfacing of affected	roadways and ADA compliant ramps within the project limits if
funds are available or newly identified.	
ADA Compliant? YES NO	] N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	$\square$ YES $\square$ NO $\square$ N/A DATE APPROVED: 3
Approved by Commission?	V F S     N ()     N / A <b>DATE ADDO WED.</b>
Approved by Commission? Community Mtg/Dist_Commissioner A	$\square YES \square NO \square N/A DATE APPROVED:$
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A	pproval? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO 🗌 N/A 🛛 <b>DATES</b> :
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope?	pproval?  YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval []] 6 months []] 12 mo	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Date for next Oversight Board Update:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mc 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mc 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	pproval? YES NO NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project buc	pproval? YES NO NA DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO  dget? YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mc 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	pproval? YES NO NA DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO  dget? YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission?	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds:	pproval? YES NO NA DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mc 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project buc If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mc 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project buc If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO [ YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE d input:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE d input:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE d input:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PE d input:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO Get? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE d input:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE d input: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO determined based upon the initial established scope? YES NO YES NO HOW MUCH?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE d input: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:	pproval? YES NO NA DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO deet? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: PE d input: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST:	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO fied? YES NO YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE d input: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:	pproval?       YES       NO       N/A       DATES:        YES       NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         onths       Date for next Oversight Board Update:          YES       NO         eloped based upon the initial established scope?       YES       NO         dget?       YES       NO         fied?       YES       NO         YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         PPE       How MUCH?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mod 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identified Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	pproval?       YES       NO       N/A       DATES:         YES       NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         onths       Date for next Oversight Board Update:         ''E BREAKDOWN         eloped based upon the initial established scope?       YES       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         ''YES       NO       HOW MUCH?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud ff not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. COMMENTS: City Staff will provid	pproval?       YES       NO       N/A       DATES:        YES       NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         onths       Date for next Oversight Board Update:          YES       NO         'E BREAKDOWN       eloped based upon the initial established scope?       YES       NO         'dget?       YES       NO       NO         'dget?       YES       NO       NO         'YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         'YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         'YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         'PPE       Hinput:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud ff not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. COMMENTS: City Staff will provid	pproval?       YES       NO       N/A       DATES:         YES       NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         onths       Date for next Oversight Board Update:         ''E BREAKDOWN         eloped based upon the initial established scope?       YES       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''dget?       YES       NO       NO         ''YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         ''YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         ''YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         ''YES       NO       HOW MUCH?
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. COMMENTS: City Staff will provid and an estimated time table for these pro	pproval? YES NO N/A DATES: YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) onths Date for next Oversight Board Update: E BREAKDOWN eloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO dget? YES NO // YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: PPE d input: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: A presentation regarding future Pump Stations and Storm Sewer jects at the Bond Oversight Meeting of 3/22/05.
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner A Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval [] 6 months [] 12 mo 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bud ff not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO Individuals / Departments who provided Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 5. COMMENTS: City Staff will provid	Date for next Oversight Board Update:         E BREAKDOWN         eloped based upon the initial established scope?       YES NO         dget?       YES NO         dget?       YES NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         YES       NO         PFE       dinput:         dinput:

# Design Services for Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$548,000</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Fairlawn Storm Sewers-Phase III

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The proposed project involves the preliminary design, final design and construction of Storm Sewer Improvement within the area bounded by SW 8 St, West Flagler St, SW 47 Avenue & SW 57 Avenue. These improvements may consist of exfiltration trench, catch basins, manholes, storm sewer pipes, pump stations and surface restoration. It may also include existing damaged sidewalks replacements, re-sod of swales, milling & resurfacing of affected roadways and ADA compliant ramps within the project limits if sufficient funds are available or newly identified.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-32

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND DESIGN SERVICES FOR FAIRLAWN STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PHASE III.

MOVED:W. HARVEYSECONDED:M. CRUZABSENT:R. Aedo, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D.Marko.Note for the Record:Note for the Record:Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members<br/>present.

 Increase in Compensation to HDR, Inc. for Program Management Services for the Capital Improvement Plan Implementation. TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$1,700,000</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: Interest Farring on HDNB Proceeds

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Interest Earning on HDNB Proceeds

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: To provide an increase in total compensation to the firm of \$1,700,000 for the continuation of program management services.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-33

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE INCREASE IN COMPENSATION TO HDR, INC. FOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION WITH THE STIPULATION THAT INTEREST WILL BE USED ONLY FOR PROJECTS FUNDED BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND.

MOVED:E. BROTONSECONDED:J. MANOWITZABSENT:R. Aedo, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D.Marko, J. Reyes.Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members<br/>present.

Engineering, who will be the firm preparing the final design for the project. The final design was started in November 2005 and it is expected to be completed in October 2006. The design contract amount is \$246,337.

**10.** Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III – Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project area is bounded by Southwest 8th Street, West Flagler Street, Southwest 47th Avenue, and Southwest 57th Avenue. The preliminary drainage study was completed in October 2005. The final design, which is being prepared by Post Buckley, is expected to begin in February 2006, and the anticipated completed date is December 2006. The final design costs are \$277,905.

**11.** Fairlawn Storm Water Pump Station Phase IIA

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project is in construction, which began January 2006, with an anticipated completion date of June 2007. The project area is bounded by Northwest 7th Street, Northwest 4th Terrace, Northwest 47th Avenue, and Northwest 51st Avenue, and it also includes a portion of Antonio Maceo Park.

**12.** Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the museum has been under construction for almost one year. The contractor is currently in the process of installing plumbing and electrical. Most of the interior partitions have been installed, and the large exterior window frames are expected to be in this week. A dedication of the facility is expected to occur between late 2006 and early 2007.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the anticipated construction completion date is summer 2006.

**13.** Gibson Park Improvements Phase I

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the interior work on Phase I is complete on the recreation building, except for the installation of the suspended lights within the main room of the recreation building, and the contractor should be starting on those any day now; they have been given the go-ahead to do that. The exterior landscaping between the recreation building and the library is all complete. The irrigation system is complete, and the remaining site work, part of Phase I, cannot be done until Phase II is almost complete because they overlap.

14. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the PO should be issued for Phase II within the next month to start on the Phase II construction.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that there are different improvements being overseen at the park by the CIP office on behalf of the Parks Department. There are other initiatives that the Parks Department is overseeing directly. Mary Conway makes a commitment to the Board that she will get together with both CIP staff and Ernest Burkeen, Parks Director, and Park staff to make sure that all of the different areas where improvements are needed within the park are being addressed, and this project will be included as an

DEPARTI	MENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
190	PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
	IDNATE
1. DATE: <u>2/28/06</u> NAME OF PROJECT: <u>KINL</u> : <u>SERVICES</u>	DISTRICT: <u>4</u> OCH STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT DESIGN
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/I	DIVISION:
INITIATING CONTACT PERSC	N/CONTACT NIMBER: Noria Longer (305) 416 1252
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTAC RESOLUTION NUMBER:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 352262
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMB	ER: B-50705 (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION	N: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$398	.023 (3.0 Million total allocation)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Kinloch Stor</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP #35226</u>	<u>n Sewers</u> 2
If grant funded, is there a City match re AMOUNT:	equirement? [] YES [] NO
Are matching funds Budgeted? Y	EXPIRATION DATE: ES DO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance	c Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
	ed input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The p	project consists of the design and proposed alternatives for the drainage 42 Avenue on the East, 47 Avenue on the West, NW 7 St on the North and
SW 8 Street on the South.	22 Avenue of the Past, 47 Avenue on the west, NW / St on the North and
ADA Compliant? YES NO	Ν/Α
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? Approved by Commission?	$\square$ YES $\square$ NO $\square$ N/A DATE APPROVED: $2/28/06$
	□ YES □ NO □ N/A DATE APPROVED:           Approval?           □ YES □ NO □ N/A DATES:
Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 m 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev	reloped based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:	
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project but	
If not, have additional funds been identi Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	PE 1 input:
Description of change:	
Final Image	
Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	□ YES □ NO HOW MUCH?
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact	
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	
6. COMMENTS:	YES   NO   N/A   DATE APPROVED:
	1
2/1/1	<u></u>
APPROVAL BOND OVERSIGHT B	DATE:2/28/06
	Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO

#### III. NEW BUSINESS:

Kinloch Storm Sewer Improvements Project – Design Services

NAME OF PROJECT: <u>KINLOCH STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT</u> DESIGN SERVICES

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$398,023 (3.0 Million total allocation)</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Kinloch Storm Sewers

 $\triangleright$ 

ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP #352262</u>

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project consists of the design and proposed alternatives for the drainage improvements on the area bounded by 42 Avenue on the East, 47 Avenue on the West, NW 7 St on the North and SW 8 Street on the South.

#### HD/NIB MOTION 06-2

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE KINLOCH STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT - DESIGN SERVICES.

MOVED:R. AedoSECONDED:L. CabreraABSENT:R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, D. Marko, G. Reshefsky, J.<br/>Reves

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

#### <u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Spring Garden Point Park Privacy Wall

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the wall should have been finished a few months ago, but it is finished now. It is awaiting final inspection by the City.

2. Curb Replacement Project for District 4

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the item was initially brought before the Board at a total dollar amount of \$300,000. To date, the six locations have been completed at a cost of a little over \$241,000, and the remaining \$58,000 will be identified to a future location.

3. Model City Infrastructure – MLK Boulevard

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project area was Northwest 5th Place to Northwest 12th Avenue. The project is broken into two pieces: the City portion and the County portion. The total construction dollars on the project is \$2,034,998.86. The scope of work includes milling and resurfacing, limited landscaping, pavement markings, and decorative lighting. The estimated completion date of the City portion is late summer/early stipulates that if we hit 50 percent of the cost of replacement of the facility, we then have to do any renovations up to the current code. We are waiting for a report back on that factor. If we do hit the 50 percent threshold, we are estimating the increased cost for renovation could be about \$500,000. We will have a more definitive answer for you and report back to you when we reconvene in September.

It was reported that the water play area was taken out of the Jose Marti Gymnasium project due to the cost overruns on the facility. The Department has not yet received the final guaranteed maximum price from the consultant, which we hope to receive this month. The Department is not expecting that until September. It will be brought back at that time to let the Board know if there is a shortage in the project. The Department does anticipate a shortfall, as a result of the construction conditions in South Florida, with the cost of material and the shortage of subcontractors and laborers.

#### IV. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

UPDATES:

1. Kinloch Storm Sewer Improvements – Design Services

Cesar Gonzalez, CIP Department, reported that the design of the project will address all of the flooding problems resulting from storms in the area bounded by 42nd Avenue and 47th Avenue, between Northwest 7th Street and Southwest 8th Street. The design is 30 percent complete. Complete designs plans are expected in November 2006.

2. Model City Infrastructure - Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 50 percent complete in construction. All of the sidewalks have been replaced between 8th and 12th Avenues. All of the underground electrical work has been completed between 8th and 12th Avenues. Most of the bases for the light poles should be installed within the next two weeks. One hundred percent of the landscaping for the project has been completed. Milling and resurfacing is expected to be begin two months from now. The project should be completed in about two and a half months from now.

3. Downtown Streets Infrastructure – Phase I

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of all of the roadway items in this project have been completed and accepted. Currently, the only thing that is going on is cleaning of existing draining structures within the project boundaries. Part of the scope of this project is to line some of the existing storm drains to restore their ability to carry the runoff rain, and that work is ongoing right now. As it relates to all of the ADA enhancements and roadway items, 100 percent of them have been completed. The lining will take about another two months.

4. Buena Vista Heights – Phase I

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of the drainage improvements have been installed. This project is progressing very well. Major construction should be complete in three to four months. Fifty percent of the construction work as already been completed.

1. Yan Yula		iai anasi anasi≞ti	ولاقل مصلات وال	<b>IPROVEMEN</b>	3
		PROJECT OVE	ERVIEW FC	RM	
Torrest in the second		IPA			
1. DATE:11/2	3/04				DISTRICT: _2
NAME OF PROJ	ECT: <u>NEW PUBL</u>	<u>IC PLAZA &amp;</u>	ROADW	Y IMPROVEME	NTS ADJACEN
INITIATING DEP	PARTMENT/DIVI	SION: Capita	Improvem	ente	
INITIATING CON C.I.P. DEPARTME	VIACI PERSON/	CONTACT NI	UMBER: J	orge Cano 305 416-1	<u>282</u>
RESOLUTION NU	UMBER:	CIP/PRO	JECT NUM	4BER: 311712 / 34	41330
ADDITIONAL PR	OJECT NUMBER:			IF APPLICABLE)	
2. BUDGETARY IN	NFORMATION:	Are funds budg	eted? X	YES NO I	lf yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR A SOURCE OF FUND ACCOUNT CODE(S	MOUNT: <u>\$1,000,0(</u> )S: <u>\$400,000-H</u> DNI	00 (3,000,000 1st -Ouality of life	Series alloc	tion estimated are	ant halange \$7 105
If grant funded, is the AMOUNT:	re a City match requi		s 🗆	NO	
Are matching funds B	udgeted? TYES [	NO Ac	count Code	(s):	
Estimated Operations	and Maintenance Bu	dget			
3. SCOPE OF PROJ Individuals / Departm	ECT:				<del>ĂĸŎĸŎĊĊĊŎĊĊŎĊŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ</del>
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
DESCRIPTION OF I drainage, curb and gut limitations, walkways,	ters, swales and sidew	zalk improvemen	ements inclu nts. The con	des milling and resu struction of the plaza	a would include, w
ADA Compliant?		/A			
Approved by Audit Co		YES NO	N/A 1	DATE APPROVEI	D: <u>11/16/04</u>
Approved by Bond Ov Approved by Commiss		JYES [] NO		DATE APPROVEI DATE APPROVEI	<b>D:</b> <u>11/23/04</u>
Revisions to Original S	Scope?	YES NO	(If YES see	Item 5 below)	
Time Ar I T	months 12 month	Dete for	(		
inne Approval [61		is Date for	next Oversi	ght Board Update:	
Time Approval 6 r 4. CONCEPTUAL C	COST ESTIMATE I	BREAKDOWN	I		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost o	COST ESTIMATE I	BREAKDOWN	I		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost o DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon	I the initial es		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost o DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate	OST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon	the initial es		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CC Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN oed based upon ? YES	the initial es		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost o DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN oed based upon ? YES	the initial es		
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CC Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ? YES YES YES NO	The initial es	Tablished scope? [] `	YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov	OST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ? YES YES YES NO YES NO	The initial es		YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ? YES YES YES NO YES NO	I the initial es NO NO NO	DATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme	OST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ? YES YES YES NO YES NO put:	I the initial es	DATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based Ped based upon P	I the initial es NO NO NO	Tablished scope? [] '  DATE APPROVEI DATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon Pred based upon Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred	I the initial es	Tablished scope?	YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon Pred based upon Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred	I the initial es	Tablished scope?	YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ? YES YES YES NO YES NO put:	I the initial es	Tablished scope? [] '	YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon Pred based upon Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred Pred	I the initial es	Tablished scope?	YES [] NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ?	I the initial es	Tablished scope? [] '	YES    NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon ?	I the initial es	Tablished scope? [] '	YES [] NO If
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate of If not, have additional of Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme Usuffications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Lave additional funds b Source(s) of additional for Fime impact	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	PREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based u	I the initial es NO NO NO N/A I N/A I HOW N/A I	DATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If;
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme Ustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds b Source(s) of additional f Fime impact Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based Ped based upon P	I the initial es	ATE APPROVED ATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If         D:         D:
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST:	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based Ped based upon P	I the initial es	DATE APPROVEI	YES [] NO If         D:         D:
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme Ustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds b Source(s) of additional f Fime impact Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based Ped based upon P	I the initial es	DATE APPROVEI	YES   NO If;
4. CONCEPTUAL C Has a conceptual cost of DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION CO Is conceptual estimate If not, have additional Source(s) of additional Approved by Commiss Approved by Bond Ov 5. REVISIONS TO C Individuals / Departme Ustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds b Source(s) of additional f Fime impact Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi Approved by Commissi	COST ESTIMATE I estimate been develop OST:	BREAKDOWN ped based upon Ped based Ped based upon P	I the initial es	DATE APPROVEI	YES   NO If;

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials XYES NO

# II. OLD BUSINESS:

# AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

- Miami River Greenway Regulatory Guidelines Professional Consulting Services 10/27/04 meeting.
- Site Furnishings at Southside Park 10/27/04 meeting.
- Police Headquarter's Restroom ADA Modification Phase I Locker – 10/27/04 meeting.
- Old Miami Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration 10/27/04 meeting.
- Procurement of Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park 11/23/04 meeting.
- Gibson Park Improvements Phase I 11/23/04 meeting.
- Coral Gate Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Jose Marti Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Williams Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Moore Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village Cooperative Project Agreement – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Sewell Park Restrooms/Park Facility Building 11/23/04 meeting.
- Juan Pablo Duarte Park Building Renovation/Expansion 11/23/04 meeting.
- Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements — 11/23/04 meeting.
- Henry Reeves Park Community Service Building Improvements 11/23/04 meeting.
- Margaret Pace Park Improvements Phase II 11/23/04 meeting.

➢ HD/NIB MOTION 04-87

# A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND ALL PROJECT LISTED ABOVE.

MOVED: D. Marko

SECONDED: M. Reyes

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Fire Station No. 11 – 11/23/04 meeting.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$2,500,000 (which has 10 Million allocated, with 5.5 Million in 1<sup>st</sup> series. Estimated current balance is (\$3,000,000) from 1<sup>st</sup> series allocation SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility</u> Approved by Audit Committee: <u>11/16/04</u></u> 3. Little Haiti Park Appraisal Services.

Madeline Valdes reported that \$11,500 was spent in appraisals, of which \$25,000 was requested. Parcel 92, on the recreational component, is still in litigation, and as such, the appraisals will need to continue to be updated, so the allocation of \$25,000 should be made available for future updates. Parcel 18 may possibly be swapped with an adjacent property owner to one of the City-owned properties in hopes that parking can be increased along the cultural component of the project.

4. Miami River Greenways Regulatory Guidelines – Professional Consulting Services.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the study for the Miami River Greenways was completed, and it's going to the Planning Advisory Board, and then to the City Commission in July. This plan will require developers to comply with certain design standards for the bay walk.

5. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project is projected to be completed in the fall, probably in November. The City is monitoring the public plaza's construction, and the developer has drawn down the money that was allocated for the project as the expenses come about.

6. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the building has been completed gutted, and it's getting a roof permit, new water lines, and a phase of the project is expected to be complete in March 2006.

7. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase I.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the park is scheduled to open in January. The project was three months behind, but the park wasn't shut down during the three-month lag. Part of the park is currently open. The recreation building is closed, but the project is moving forward.

8. Bay of Pigs – Playground Equipment.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project was completed in April.

9. Jose Marti Park - New Water Playground.

Gary Reshefsky reported that construction will start in September, and it will be open the following summer. contractor on this project was Weekley. The scope of the project included milling and surfacing, sidewalk repair, installation of ADA compliant ramps, and traffic striping. The project was completed in June 2005.

#### 21. Glen Royal Parkway Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project originally went out with Weekley to scope project down, but immediately noticed there would be a development constructed on the corner of Glen Royal and 22nd Avenue, so this project has been put on hold. Part of Glen Royal is being used as a parking area for the construction workers on the development. The project is estimated to be \$113,000. The scope of work includes milling and surfacing, sidewalk repair, and sod.

#### 22. Model City Beautification Project

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project has been defunded.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the project was initially requested by the prior district Commissioner. It has not been entirely defunded. The schedule of the project has been changed. There are affordable housing projects that are being done within the Model City area. The CIP office will be working in conjunction with the other City departments as the construction of those separate, non-CIP projects are coming on-line to make sure that the street infrastructure is being addressed in conjunction, so the project that was initially conceived a year ago has been put on hold so that the CIP Department can coordinate the timing with the construction of the homes. There is some work that is already underway, as far as street infrastructure, to complement the construction of the affordable housing. The street currently being worked on is 58th Street, on the block between 16th and 17th Avenues.

23. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements adjacent to Mary Brickell Village

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that most of the major items on this project have been accomplished. Solutions for the vertical issues in the building are currently being worked on because they will potentially impact some of the horizontal items of the plaza. Those issues will be addressed in about two weeks. The City commitment on this project is \$1 million. Most of the demolition has been completed, as agreed to by the City. Curb and gutter replacement has been completed in some areas.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the project is being done as a publicprivate partnership with the developer, similar to what was done with the bay walk and One Miami, and also with the Aston and some of the street improvements.

#### 24. Miami River Greenways – Segment A

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the contractor on the project is FH Paschen, SN Nielsen . Segment A is located at North River Drive, from Northwest 6th Avenue to Northwest 3rd Avenue. The designer on the project was Kimley-Horn. The street will be a two-way street, and the width of the street has been reduced. The width of the sidewalks has been increased. Ninety percent of the major controlling items of work have already been accomplished. Within the next week to two weeks, paving, milling and resurfacing will begin; also, complete

Chairman Flanders questioned if part of the project included irrigation. Mr. Sabbag stated that the project did not include irrigation. Most of the species that were selected to go in there were species that needed very little light and irrigation, and all the species seem to be doing pretty well. The root systems along the medians are not very deep.

Chairman Flanders requested a list of the plants that were identified as "zeroscapic," and suggested that it be put on the Web site for the public.

**11.** Coral View Project – The Aston

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 100 complete. The Department will be taking care of the closeout documents within the next 30 days. A meeting is set up with the developer next week. As relates to the construction, it is 100 percent completed, inspected, and accepted. The scope of work of the project included landscaping, drainage, milling and resurfacing of the road, swale block, parking and additional drainage, and signage. The neighbors are happy with the way the project looks today.

12. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project has progressed very well. Eightyfive to ninety percent of the City commitment to this project has been accomplished. The contractor is shooting for 100 percent completion by September. Most of the tasks that were agreed upon between the City and the contractor have been achieved. The few items remaining should be closed out by September.

Rolando Aedo questioned whether prime locations in the plaza are being strategically held back from occupancy for the right tenants. Mr. Zapata stated that the way it was explained to him is that they want to get the CO out the door by September. He thinks this is one of the reasons why they have not been able to secure a person in there right now. Mr. Zapata stated that he was told that tenants should be moving in the plaza by September.

**13.** Neo Lofts Greenway Segment E1

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project has been 100 percent completed and accepted by the City; transferred over to Public Works for maintenance. The property owners in the immediate area seem to be happy. FPL has finally come in and put up the lighting, so the lighting is also in place.

Chairman Flanders asked who would be maintaining the project. Mr. Zapata stated that the project would be maintained by Public Works. The Trust for Public Land, the Miami River Commission, and another entity are looking to take over the maintenance responsibility instead of City of Miami Public Works. They are having meetings on trying to develop how it will be done, where the money will come from, and the date it needs to be implemented. This will be more of an issue once the remaining segments are 100 percent complete because now you have a look for an entire area.

14. Miami River Greenways Segment A

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 100 percent complete. The documents are already closed out, but there is one pending item that still needs to be

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
TROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
UPDATE
1. DATE: <u>4/26/05</u> DISTRICT: <u>5</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: <u>MIAMI RIVER GREENWAYS – SEGMENT B</u> INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION:
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Kavin Brown (305) 416 1000
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: <u>Capital Improvements</u>
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER.
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$722,450</u> SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Greenways Improvements</u> , Parking Surcharge and FDOT Transportation. ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>\$72,450 from CIP #341211/\$150,000 from CIP#341330 &amp; \$500,000 FDOT</u>
Transportation. If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the reconstruction of streets, including new sidewalk, new
pavements road, new drainage, landscaping and street lighting based on the Greenway Master Place
Location: North River Drive from NW 2nd Street to Flagler Street.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 4/19/05
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? UYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 4/26/05
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED.
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Revisions to Original Scope?       I YES I NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         Time Approval       6 months       12 months         Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scoped VRS 7 NO. IS
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Final Impact
Fiscal Impact       YES NO       HOW MUCH?         Have additional funds been identified?       YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: The design of this project is being paid for by the Trust for Public Lands.
APPROVAL: _/ ///// ////////////////////////////

· . • ·

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES 🗌 NO

•

Curtis Park – Bleachers Repair

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$448,227 (1.35 Million, estimated balance of \$389,295)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI - Neighborhood Parks Improvements & Safe Neighborhood Parks</u> Bond

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>The Scope of the works consist of the furnishing of all labor</u>, materials and equipment to perform the following at the bleachers. 1. Remove and dispose of all wood components (seat, foot planks, riser boards, etc.) on the bleachers. 2. Install new seats, foot boards, riser boards, etc. The new components will be made out of aluminum. 3. Replace the chain link fence on the bleachers. The new fabric shall be vinyl bonded, 6 ga. Total thickness with 9 ga. Core.

# HD/NIB MOTION 05-39

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE CURTIS PARK -- BLEACHERS REPAIR.

MOVED:L. CabreraSECONDED:M. ReyesABSENT:K. Apfel, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, W. Harvey, J. Manowitz,<br/>D. Marko, A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

# Miami River Greenway - Segments B

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$722,450

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Greenways Improvements</u>, Parking Surcharge and FDOT Transportation.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the reconstruction of streets, including new sidewalk, new pavements road, new drainage, landscaping and street lighting based on the Greenway Master Plan. Location: North River Drive from NW 2<sup>ad</sup> Street to Flagler Street.

#### HD/NIB MOTION 05-40

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE MIAMI RIVER GREENWAY - SEGMENTS B, C & E2.

MOVED:M. CruzSECONDED:M. ReyesABSENT:K. Apfel, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, W. Harvey, J. Manowitz,<br/>D. Marko, A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

landscaping and install street furniture. This project will be completed within the next 30 days, and it will be closed out in approximately 45 to 60 days.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that through the Public Works Department and the requirements established for anyone who comes in for a Public Works permit, whether a developer or utility company, there are very specific restoration requirements that are tied to those permits to ensure that any of the street infrastructure, whether it's associated with a project like this or any of the other projects, is fully restored before they leave the area.

#### 25. Miami River Greenways - Segment E1

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the location of the project is South River Drive, from West Flagler Bridge to Southwest 1st. This project is substantially completed. Punch list items are currently being worked on. The estimated closing date for this project is 30 to 45 days. There are landscaping issues pending due to the procurement of a specific plant.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the City, through the Public Works Department is looking at having an RFP later this year that will address, from a citywide perspective, all street furniture, whether that be benches, bus shelters, and trash receptacles, so that there is a uniform and consistent look on every street throughout the City. However, for the river greenways, it was agreed that there would be one distinctive look that would just be associated with the greenway itself.

#### **26.** Miami River Greenways – Segment F

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is substantially completed. Punch list items are currently being addressed with the contractor. There was a lot of damage to the plants from the hurricane, and it has taken some time to find new plants to ensure that they'll survive from this point forward. The estimated closing date for this project is 30 to 45 days.

#### 27. Miami River Greenways – Segment B

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segment B is located on North River Drive, from Northwest 2nd Street to the Flagler Bridge. One of the things that have been done with Segments B & C is scheduling has been done in such a way to give ample time to the utility companies that have been working in the area, so that way when the contractor comes in, it's finished. Mr. Zapata is waiting for a phone call from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer. There will be a 30-inch water main coming across the segment underneath the river and ending on the south end of Segment F on the other side. Once clearance is given from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, the current underground utility issues are resolved by the engineer of record, and funding is becomes available from FDOT, construction should begin on that project. The design is 100 percent completed, and Segment B and Segment C will go into construction simultaneously.

#### **28.** Miami River Greenways – Segment C

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segment C is located on Southwest North River Drive from Southwest 2nd Avenue to Flagler Street. One of the things that have been done with Segments B & C is scheduling has been done in such a way to give ample time to the utility companies that have been working in the area, so that way when the contractor comes in, it's finished. Mr. Zapata is waiting for a phone call from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer. There will resolved from the contractor, but it is very minute and ties into Segment E2, but for construction purposes, the entire project has been completed, reviewed, and accepted. Responsibility for maintenance on that will be transferred to the City of Miami Public Works Department.

Eileen Broton stated that part of the project is being used as Garcia's parking lot. Mr. Zapata stated that the business is taking advantage of that area for parking. He stated that Code Enforcement needs to take a look at that. Ms. Broton stated that it is inconvenient because she has seen people have to walk out into the street, instead of using the greenway, as a result of the parking.

Chairman Flanders asked that the item be brought back because it is a quality of life issue that needs to be addressed. Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that it is an issue of enforcement. Mr. Fabrikant stated that he will pass on the issue to the local NET office to ensure that there's some enforcement in the area.

- **15.** Miami River Greenways Segment B AND
- **16.** Miami River Greenways Segment C

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segments B and C are not in construction. The reason for that is there has been some paperwork that has not been taken care of. In addition to that, the Department has identified some utility conflicts that need to be addressed before construction begins; further coordination with Miami-Dade Water & Sewer and Florida Power & Light. They have some underground work that they need to do. FPL has already completed that work. Miami-Dade Water & Sewer needs to come in and dig up the road, so the Department wants them to come in and do what they need to do, and then it will be restored after they are finished. It is in the City's best interest to allow them to come in, do what they need to do, and then the project will commence.

Chairman Flanders asked that the project be brought back. Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that what has happened here has been a painstaking process in getting FDOT to sign off on the agreements. There is one ready to be signed off, and once that one's done, the others will fall into place much easier than the process for the first one. The Department will bring back an update on when and where those are expected to proceed.

**17.** Miami River Greenways Segment E2

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 95 percent complete. There were a lot of underground utility conflicts that had to be mitigated. Nonetheless, they were addressed and taken care of, and right now, all of the underground work is complete; all of the surface work is completed. The Department is waiting for final signage and striping. The project should be 100 percent complete within the next 30 days.

**18.** Brentwood Village Project

Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the status of the project has not changed since the last report to the Board. The project is still on hold. When the project was first brought forward, a developer had bought a considerable amount of property in the area and has cleaned up the area. It was an area that had a lot of drug use, gangs. The developer cleaned up the area and, in conjunction with that, the City

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
UPDATE
1. DATE: <u>4/26/05</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: MIAMI RIVER GREENWAYS - SECAUENT C
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Kevin Brown (305) 416-1090
RESOLUTION NUMBER:
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$615,250
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Greenways Improvements I EDOMT
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>\$115,250 from CIP # 341211 and \$500,000 from FDOT Transportation</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement?
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? [] YES [] NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided in the
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the reconstruction of streets, including new sidewalk, new
pavements road, new drainage, landscaping and street lighting based on the Greenway Master Plan. Location: SW North River Drive from SW 2 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue to Flagler Street.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 4/19/05
Providence of the second secon
Revisions to Original Scope?       YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)         Time Approval 6 months 12 months       Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST: YES NO If yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? [] YES [] NO
It not, have additional funds been identified?
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:         Approved by Bond Oversight Board?       YES       NO       N/A       DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact
Have additional funds been identified?
Source(s) of additional funds:
lime impact
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
COMMENTS: The design of this project is being paid for by the Trust for Public Lands.
PPROVAL:
BOND ÓVERSIGHT BÓARD
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 📋 NO

#### Miami River Greenway - Segments C

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$615,250

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Greenways Improvements and FDOT Transportation

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the reconstruction of streets, including new sidewalk, new pavements road, new drainage, landscaping and street lighting based on the Greenway Master Plan. Location: SW North River Drive from SW 2nd Avenue to Flagler Street.

# HD/NIB MOTION 05-40

# A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE MIAMI RIVER GREENWAY - SEGMENTS B, C & E2.

MOVED: M. Cruz SECONDED: M. Reves ABSENT: K. Apfel, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, W. Harvey, J. Manowitz, D. Marko, A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

### Miami River Greenway - Segments E2

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$449,520 SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Greenways Improvements & Parking Surcharge DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the reconstruction of streets, including new sidewalk, new pavements road, new drainage, landscaping and street lighting based on the Greenway Master Plan. Location: NW South River Drive from NW 1st Street to Flagler Street.

# HD/NIB MOTION 05-40

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE MIAMI RIVER GREENWAY - SEGMENTS B, C & E2.

MOVED: M. Cruz SECONDED: M. Reves ABSENT: K. Apfel, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, W. Harvey, J. Manowitz, D. Marko, A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

landscaping and install street furniture. This project will be completed within the next 30 days, and it will be closed out in approximately 45 to 60 days.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that through the Public Works Department and the requirements established for anyone who comes in for a Public Works permit, whether a developer or utility company, there are very specific restoration requirements that are tied to those permits to ensure that any of the street infrastructure, whether it's associated with a project like this or any of the other projects, is fully restored before they leave the area.

#### 25. Miami River Greenways - Segment E1

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the location of the project is South River Drive, from West Flagler Bridge to Southwest 1st. This project is substantially completed. Punch list items are currently being worked on. The estimated closing date for this project is 30 to 45 days. There are landscaping issues pending due to the procurement of a specific plant.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the City, through the Public Works Department is looking at having an RFP later this year that will address, from a citywide perspective, all street furniture, whether that be benches, bus shelters, and trash receptacles, so that there is a uniform and consistent look on every street throughout the City. However, for the river greenways, it was agreed that there would be one distinctive look that would just be associated with the greenway itself.

#### **26.** Miami River Greenways – Segment F

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is substantially completed. Punch list items are currently being addressed with the contractor. There was a lot of damage to the plants from the hurricane, and it has taken some time to find new plants to ensure that they'll survive from this point forward. The estimated closing date for this project is 30 to 45 days.

#### 27. Miami River Greenways – Segment B

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segment B is located on North River Drive, from Northwest 2nd Street to the Flagler Bridge. One of the things that have been done with Segments B & C is scheduling has been done in such a way to give ample time to the utility companies that have been working in the area, so that way when the contractor comes in, it's finished. Mr. Zapata is waiting for a phone call from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer. There will be a 30-inch water main coming across the segment underneath the river and ending on the south end of Segment F on the other side. Once clearance is given from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, the current underground utility issues are resolved by the engineer of record, and funding is becomes available from FDOT, construction should begin on that project. The design is 100 percent completed, and Segment B and Segment C will go into construction simultaneously.

### **28.** Miami River Greenways – Segment C

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segment C is located on Southwest North River Drive from Southwest 2nd Avenue to Flagler Street. One of the things that have been done with Segments B & C is scheduling has been done in such a way to give ample time to the utility companies that have been working in the area, so that way when the contractor comes in, it's finished. Mr. Zapata is waiting for a phone call from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer. There will be a 30-inch water main coming across the segment underneath the river and ending on the south end of Segment F on the other side. Once clearance is given from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, the current underground utility issues are resolved by the engineer of record, and funding is becomes available from FDOT, construction should begin on that project. The design is 100 percent completed, and Segment B and Segment C will go into construction simultaneously.

**29.** Miami River Greenways – Segment E2

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently under construction. There is a transition that needs to happen between Segment E1 and E2 that was critical. Originally, it was intended for Miami River Greenway - Segment E2 to be built at a later time, but because of safety reasons and because there was already a contractor there ready to build E1 and E2, CIP decided to move this project forward and have the contractor continue on to E2 after E1 had been substantially completed. The project is also located on North River Drive, just north of the current Segment E1. About 70 percent of the major controlling items of work are complete. Currently, leveling and back fielding is being done for the future sidewalks. The sidewalks are expected to be poured in the next week to two weeks, and the landscaping will follow, as well as street furniture. After that, milling and resurfacing will be done.

30. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements – Design Services

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that last Friday afternoon, the engineer of record has addressed the plans with all of the comments provided by DERM. Those plans were delivered to DERM to basically rubberstamp everything that they wanted addressed in the plans. Typically, that process will take at most two weeks. Once those plans are approved by DERM, they will come to the City of Miami Building Department. That should take another week to two weeks. The contractor that will eventually do the work is CW Construction. The firm managing the project will be Metric Engineering.

31. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements - Construction

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the Virginia Key Trust needs to have a parking lot by November 1. It will go fast, but it will be done. There will be a preconstruction meeting on Friday, and everybody's getting invited to be there.

# III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Chairman Flanders stated that the Bond Oversight Board has the obligation, this Thursday at 11:30 a.m., to present its annual report. Everybody is invited to attend if they can. Staff has prepared a PowerPoint presentation, which shows the improvements made in every district and also the citywide improvements. During the presentation, Chairman Flanders will comment on the following two things: One, the Board is to be commended for its diligence at attending the meetings and discharging their duties, but that the Board should be amended to oversee all of the capital improvement projects in the City of Miami. The Board has been successful in bringing integrity back to the City, back to the process, and to increase the trust that the citizens have in the ability of their city to undertake a project, to fund the project, to contract the project, and to bring it in. It's a great testament to the will of the people to rise above years of less than sterling activity. Two, Chairman Flanders will resolved from the contractor, but it is very minute and ties into Segment E2, but for construction purposes, the entire project has been completed, reviewed, and accepted. Responsibility for maintenance on that will be transferred to the City of Miami Public Works Department.

Eileen Broton stated that part of the project is being used as Garcia's parking lot. Mr. Zapata stated that the business is taking advantage of that area for parking. He stated that Code Enforcement needs to take a look at that. Ms. Broton stated that it is inconvenient because she has seen people have to walk out into the street, instead of using the greenway, as a result of the parking.

Chairman Flanders asked that the item be brought back because it is a quality of life issue that needs to be addressed. Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that it is an issue of enforcement. Mr. Fabrikant stated that he will pass on the issue to the local NET office to ensure that there's some enforcement in the area.

- **15.** Miami River Greenways Segment B AND
- **16.** Miami River Greenways Segment C

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that Segments B and C are not in construction. The reason for that is there has been some paperwork that has not been taken care of. In addition to that, the Department has identified some utility conflicts that need to be addressed before construction begins; further coordination with Miami-Dade Water & Sewer and Florida Power & Light. They have some underground work that they need to do. FPL has already completed that work. Miami-Dade Water & Sewer needs to come in and dig up the road, so the Department wants them to come in and do what they need to do, and then it will be restored after they are finished. It is in the City's best interest to allow them to come in, do what they need to do, and then the project will commence.

Chairman Flanders asked that the project be brought back. Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that what has happened here has been a painstaking process in getting FDOT to sign off on the agreements. There is one ready to be signed off, and once that one's done, the others will fall into place much easier than the process for the first one. The Department will bring back an update on when and where those are expected to proceed.

**17.** Miami River Greenways Segment E2

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 95 percent complete. There were a lot of underground utility conflicts that had to be mitigated. Nonetheless, they were addressed and taken care of, and right now, all of the underground work is complete; all of the surface work is completed. The Department is waiting for final signage and striping. The project should be 100 percent complete within the next 30 days.

**18.** Brentwood Village Project

Gary Fabrikant, Assistant Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the status of the project has not changed since the last report to the Board. The project is still on hold. When the project was first brought forward, a developer had bought a considerable amount of property in the area and has cleaned up the area. It was an area that had a lot of drug use, gangs. The developer cleaned up the area and, in conjunction with that, the City

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM
1. DATE
NAME OF PROJECT: <u>MODEL CITY INFRASTRUCTURE - DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.</u> BLVD
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Capital Improvements</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Kevin Brown (305) 416-1090</u>
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: <u>341126</u> ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,000,000(\$6 Million allocated per 5/10/05 HDNI report, estimated balance of \$1,027,385)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI- Model City Infrastructure Improvements</u> ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 341126 &amp; Miami-Dade People's Transportation Plan</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted?YES [_] NO Account Code(s):
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes milling and resurfacing restrining replacement of anhand
street signs, reconstruction of broken curbs and sidewalks with ADA ramps for NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> Street from NW 37 Avenue to NW 5 Place, NW 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue to NW 5 Place will be further enhanced by implementing elements of the streetscape portion of the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd Master Plan. Elements include landscaping, hardscape, decorative lighting, pavers and specialty items that convey the legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/17/05
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?       YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/24/05         Approved by Commission?       YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5/24/05
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval?
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? [] YES [] NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? If not, have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified?
Time impact
Approved by Commission?       Image: YES image: NO image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: NO image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: N/A date approved: Image: YES image: N/A date approved: Image: YES imag
6. COMMENTS: Master Plan for the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd is included in the design services fee. \$1,000,000 needs to be matched by the County \$1.4 Million People's Transportation Plan.
APPROVAL: / DUG TO TOM DATE: 5/24/05
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES 🗌 NO

#### Model City Infrastructure – MLK Boulevard Project.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,000,000(\$6 Million allocated per 5/10/05 HDNI report, estimated balance of \$1,027,385)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI- Model City Infrastructure Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes milling and resurfacing, re-striping, replacement of substandard street signs, reconstruction of broken curbs and sidewalks with ADA ramps for NW 62<sup>nd</sup> Street from NW 37 Avenue to NW 5 Place, NW 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue to NW 5 Place will be further enhanced by implementing elements of the streetscape portion of the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd Master Plan. Elements include landscaping, hardscape, decorative lighting, pavers and specialty items that convey the legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

#### HD/NIB MOTION 05-53

# A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE MODEL CITY INFRASTRUCTURE -- MLK BOULEVARD PROJECT.

MOVED:	M. Cruz
SECONDED:	K. Apfel
ABSENT:	R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, W. Harvey, D. Marko, J. Reyes, M. Reyes,
	A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

# Curb Replacement Project for District 4.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: <u>\$300,000</u> (\$5,000,000 allocated; estimated current balance is <u>\$3,748,025</u>) SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI Bonds – District 4 Quality of Life</u> DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Curb replacement at various City Streets in District 4.</u>

#### HD/NIB MOTION 05-49

A MOTION TO APPROVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE CURB REPLACEMENT PROJECT FOR DISTRICT 4.

MOVED:J. ManowitzSECONDED:L. CabreraABSENT:R. Cayard, W. Harvey, D. Marko, J. Reyes, M. Reyes, A. Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

#### III. NEW BUSINESS:

Kinloch Storm Sewer Improvements Project – Design Services

NAME OF PROJECT: <u>KINLOCH STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT</u> DESIGN SERVICES

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$398,023 (3.0 Million total allocation)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Kinloch Storm Sewers

ACCOUNT CODE(S): \_CIP #352262\_

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>The project consists of the design and proposed alternatives for the</u> <u>drainage improvements on the area bounded by 42 Avenue on the East, 47 Avenue on the West,</u> NW 7 St on the North and SW 8 Street on the South.

#### HD/NIB MOTION 06-2

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE KINLOCH STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT - DESIGN SERVICES.

MOVED: R. Aedo SECONDED: L. Cabrera ABSENT: R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, D. Marko, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

UPDATES: Spring Cordon Doint Dork

1. Spring Garden Point Park Privacy Wall

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the wall should have been finished a few months ago, but it is finished now. It is awaiting final inspection by the City.

2. Curb Replacement Project for District 4

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the item was initially brought before the Board at a total dollar amount of \$300,000. To date, the six locations have been completed at a cost of a little over \$241,000, and the remaining \$58,000 will be identified to a future location.

3. Model City Infrastructure – MLK Boulevard

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project area was Northwest 5th Place to Northwest 12th Avenue. The project is broken into two pieces: the City portion and the County portion. The total construction dollars on the project is \$2,034,998.86. The scope of work includes milling and resurfacing, limited landscaping, pavement markings, and decorative lighting. The estimated completion date of the City portion is late summer/early fall of 2006. The contractor has begun construction. The first progress meeting for the project will be on Thursday. There have been a couple of areas of the project that need to be addressed, but they are expected to be within the allocated constructions costs. The project is still on budget.

4. Miamarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is 90 percent complete. The structural portion of the project is 100 percent complete. There is about 85 percent completion on the electrical and the plumbing. The project is expected to be completed for the final plans to be submitted for permitting at the end of March. Construction can start in May, and the construction process can be finished by the end of the year. The design of the project is still within budget.

5. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements – Design Services

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the design is 100 percent complete.

6. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the improvements are computer stations, ADA compliance in the restrooms, the main building entrance, and new lighting fixtures. The construction document permits and bidding phases are 100 percent complete, as well. The JOC pricing is 100 percent complete. The project is on budget. The construction estimated start date is about the second week in March, and the construction estimated completion date is October 2006.

7. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the project includes the construction of approximately 700 linear feet of boardwalk connecting the existing community building to the newly refurbished pond. At this moment, the JOC pricing on the project is in progress. The DERM permit is also in progress. The Department needs to work with DERM for the final approval of the design. The construction estimated start date is November 2006. The project may start earlier depending on DERM's response to the new design, as well as how well the community responds to the new design.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the community has already provided input as part of the process, and there has been extensive coordination with DERM as a result of that because of the hardwood hammock that exists at this site and the sensitivity to making sure that the trail is as minimally disruptive to that as possible. Once the issues are resolved with DERM, the Department will go back and coordinate with the public further so they know what the final decisions are.

Jason Manowitz questioned whether additional funding could be sought to use a different material other than wood on the project. Mary Conway stated that funding could not be through the Bond, but the Department could explore, with the Parks Department, the possibility of looking at impact fee dollars or another revenue source. It is very, very much more expensive from a capital standpoint to use a recycled material than to use the wood.

stipulates that if we hit 50 percent of the cost of replacement of the facility, we then have to do any renovations up to the current code. We are waiting for a report back on that factor. If we do hit the 50 percent threshold, we are estimating the increased cost for renovation could be about \$500,000. We will have a more definitive answer for you and report back to you when we reconvene in September.

It was reported that the water play area was taken out of the Jose Marti Gymnasium project due to the cost overruns on the facility. The Department has not yet received the final guaranteed maximum price from the consultant, which we hope to receive this month. The Department is not expecting that until September. It will be brought back at that time to let the Board know if there is a shortage in the project. The Department does anticipate a shortfall, as a result of the construction conditions in South Florida, with the cost of material and the shortage of subcontractors and laborers.

#### IV. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

#### <u>UPDATES:</u>

1. Kinloch Storm Sewer Improvements – Design Services

Cesar Gonzalez, CIP Department, reported that the design of the project will address all of the flooding problems resulting from storms in the area bounded by 42nd Avenue and 47th Avenue, between Northwest 7th Street and Southwest 8th Street. The design is 30 percent complete. Complete designs plans are expected in November 2006.

2. Model City Infrastructure – Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is 50 percent complete in construction. All of the sidewalks have been replaced between 8th and 12th Avenues. All of the underground electrical work has been completed between 8th and 12th Avenues. Most of the bases for the light poles should be installed within the next two weeks. One hundred percent of the landscaping for the project has been completed. Milling and resurfacing is expected to be begin two months from now. The project should be completed in about two and a half months from now.

3. Downtown Streets Infrastructure – Phase I

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of all of the roadway items in this project have been completed and accepted. Currently, the only thing that is going on is cleaning of existing draining structures within the project boundaries. Part of the scope of this project is to line some of the existing storm drains to restore their ability to carry the runoff rain, and that work is ongoing right now. As it relates to all of the ADA enhancements and roadway items, 100 percent of them have been completed. The lining will take about another two months.

4. Buena Vista Heights – Phase I

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of the drainage improvements have been installed. This project is progressing very well. Major construction should be complete in three to four months. Fifty percent of the construction work as already been completed.