HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

12-18-07 - 6:00 P.M.
CITY OF MIAMI
CITY HALL CHAMBERS
3500 Pan American Drive
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 23, 2007.</u>

II. OLD BUSINESS:

- Additional Funding for Robert King Park New Building & Site Improvements
- Additional Funding for Henderson Park New Office/Restroom Facility
- Additional Funding for Armbrister Park Building Improvements

III. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>:

NEW ITEMS:

- 1. Historic Preservation Initiatives-City Hall
- 2. College of Policing
- 3. Lummus Park -Police Stables

UPDATES:

- 1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project
- 2. Fuel Dock At Dinner Key Marina
- 3. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs
- 4. Juan Pablo Duarte Park-Water Playground
- 5. West End Park-Water Playground
- 6. Riverside Park-Playground Equipment
- 7. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements
- 8. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II
- 9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements
- 10. Simpson Park Wood Trail
- 11. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

IV. CHAIRPERSON'S AGENDA:

Annual Report

V. <u>ADDITIONAL ITEMS</u>:

HOMELAND DEFENSE/ NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD MINUTES

10-23-07 -6:00 P.M.
CITY OF MIAMI
CITY HALL CHAMBERS
3500 Pan American Drive
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133

The meeting was called to order at 6:06 p.m., with the following members found to be

Present: Hugo P. Arza

Eileen Broton Mariano Cruz Charisse L. Grant Ricardo Lambert Carmen Matos

Manolo Reyes (Vice Chairman)

Jose Solares

Absent: Luis Cabrera

Ramon De La Cabada

Robert A. Flanders (Chairman)

Kay Hancock-Apfel Gary Reshefsky Jami Reyes Hattie Willis

ALSO PRESENT: Rafael O. Diaz, Deputy City Attorney

Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department

David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP Department Danette Perez, Board Liaison, CIP Department

Zimri Prendes, Administrative Assistant I, CIP Department

Teri E. Thomas, City Clerk's Office

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 25.</u> 2007.

HD/NIB MOTION 07-42

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF SEPTEMBER 25, 2007.

MOVED: M. Cruz SECONDED: C. Matos

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-

Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

1. Bicentennial Park Shoreline Stabilization Phase III Construction Services

<u>BICENTENNIAL PARK SHORELINE STABILIZATION-PHASE III LOCATED AT</u> 1075 BISCAYNE BLVD

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$6,308,690 (\$2,115,204 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Bicentennial Park Improvements/Citywide Waterfront
Improvements/Neighborhood Park Improvements/General Funds/FIND Waterways Asst

Program/FIND Waterways FY-2007

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment for the replacement of the deteriorated seawall of approximately 850 LF located along the eastern end of the FEC slip on the American Airlines Arena. The new construction includes site preparation, demolition, backfill, riprap, placement, 5 foot cantilever concrete cap, filler aggregate, limerock fill and steel sheet piling.

This project was presented to the board by David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP. Mr. Mendez stated that the project is under budget and was broken up into three phases. Since the project manager and construction manager hired the same contractor to continue on with the other phases, time and money were saved. The earlier prices for steel and concrete were locked in for this project, also resulting in savings. The project was partially funded with FIND contributions. Overall, the City saved about \$6 million on the project.

Ola O. Aluko, CIP director, stated that the item was for informational purposes only and did not require an approval by the board.

2. Henderson Park New Offices/Restroom Facility

NAME OF PROJECT: <u>HENDERSON PARK NEW OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITY</u> LOCATED AT 971 NW 2ND STREET

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$882,600 (\$255,069 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Neighborhood Park Improvements
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope includes design and construction of a 1,000 SF
building with restrooms for male and female, office with small closet and storage room with access
from inside and outside the office, storage for park maintenance equipment with ramp, roll up doors
and shelves. The scope also includes the design and constructions of an outdoor open pavilion, 2,400
SF, an open plaza with site furniture, security lighting and landscape (Continuation of scope
attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 07-43

A MOTION TO DEFER THE HENDERSON PARK NEW OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITY PROJECT TO THE BOARD MEETING CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR NOVEMBER 27, 2007; FURTHER REQUESTING THAT THE PRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT AT SAID MEETING INCLUDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE FUNDING INCREASE DUE TO THE CHANGE IN THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.

MOVED: H. Arza SECONDED: C. Grant

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-

Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

This project was presented to the board by David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP.

3. Robert King High Park New Building & Site Improvements

ROBERT KING PARK NEW BUILDING & SITE IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 7025 WEST FLAGLER STREET

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$3,619,786(\$3,189,939 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Bonds Series I, II and bond Interest
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope includes the construction of a new 5,768 SF
Community Building and Site Improvements including upgrades to the existing basketball, tennis, and handball courts. New Basketball Court (without roof structure), new tot lot/playground areas, new sand volleyball court, additional walkways and parking spaces, security lighting, landscaping and irrigation system upgrades. (Continuation of scope attached)

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, requested that the item be deferred to the board meeting currently scheduled for November 27, 2007.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

CIP Director Responds to Questions/Requests from the September 25, 2007 meeting

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, stated that he has provided a cost breakdown of projects, which had been requested at the previous board meeting. The second question related to project delivery methods and the time frame for solicitations. Mr. Aluko described

different types of project delivery methods and their time frames and what type of projects work best with each method. The third request from the board was that the project delivery method be added to future project overviews and updates, and the Director agreed to include this notation in future updates. The fourth question was regarding how a park functions when a portion of it has been closed for an improvement project. Mr. Aluko stated that the Parks Department informed him that the community is advised ahead of time of the project and the users are relocated or transported to the closest park offering similar services. The last request from the Board was to have the CIP Department provide a reallocation presentations for the new members. Mr. Aluko stated that the presentation will be provided at the Miami Riverside Center building afterhours by Pilar Saenz, Assistant Director, CIP, at the convenience of the new members.

Proposed Project Analysis Form

Ola O. Aluko, CIP Director, distributed the new proposed project analysis form to the Board.

HD/NIB MOTION 07-44

A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN TODAY'S MEETING.

MOVED: H. Arza SECONDED: R. Lambert

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, R. De La Cabada, R. Flanders, K. Hancock-

Apfel, G. Reshefsky, J. Reyes, H. Willis

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

1. DATE:11/27/07 DISTRICT:4 NAME OF PROJECT: _ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ROBERT KING PARK NEW BUILDING & SITE IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 7025 WEST FLAGLER STREET
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Fernando Paiva (305)416-1242 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-35868
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$3,619,786(\$3,189,939 is from Homeland Defense Bonds) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Bonds Series I, II and bond Interest
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope includes the construction of a new 5,768 SF Community Building and Site Improvements including upgrades to the existing basketball, tennis, and handball courts. New Basketball Court (without roof structure), new tot lot/playground areas, new sand volleyball court, additional walkways and parking spaces, security lighting, landscaping and irrigation system upgrades. (Continuation of scope attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? If not, have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
Piscal Impact
Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS: PARTICLE APPROVED: NO N/A DATE APPROVED: NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
PPROVAL: DATE: 41/27/07 2/18/07 2

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials YES NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP ☑ NON-CIP ☐

Date Prepared:

14-Nov-2007

VERSION

AREA PROGRAM 331-Parks and Recreation 2-Recreation & Culture PROJECT NAME: Robert King High Park New Bldg Const PROJECT NO: B-35868 ADDRESS / LOCATION: 7025 W. Flagler Street DISTRICT: PROJECT TEAM: Vertical PROJECT CONTRACTED COST: \$ 243,786.00 **CATEGORY: Parks and Recreation** PROJECT EST. COST: \$ 3,619,786.00 CLIENT DEPT: 58-Parks and Recreation **CURRENT FUNDS: \$** 793,744.00 **CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez** TEL.: (305) 416-1314 **FUTURE FUNDS: \$** 2,396,195.00 **DESIGN MANAGER: Fernando Paiva (CIP)** TEL.: 305-416-1242 **FUND SHORTFALL: \$** (429.847.00)CONSTR. MANAGER: Nelson Cuadras (CIP) TEL.: 305-416-1279 PROCUREMENT: Conventional EST. DESIGN START: 11/22/04 03/31/08 EST. BID ADV.: **EST. CONSTRUCTION START:** 08/25/08 EST. DESIGN END: 02/29/08 **EST. AWARD DATE:** 07/10/08 **EST. CONSTRUCTION END:** 08/31/09 **PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES)** % of % of Estimated Design Contracted Design Const Const. **Prime Consultant:** 1007 Post Buckley Schuh & Jernigan Inc CODE **Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee** 4.4% 130,977.00 1.01 Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee 2.9% 1.01 \$ 86,409.00 **CIP - Production Management** 3.4% 1.02 100,000.00 ST 4 Miscellaneous Services - Asbestos Survey 1.01 0.1% 2,400.00 0 Miscellaneous Services - Other 1.01 0.5% \$ 15,000.00 ပ CTUAL **Estimated** Contracted PRODUCTION TOTALS 334.786.00 219,786.00 **CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON)** Contracted Construction ⋖ Estimated Construction by N (Formal Bid, Informal Bid or **Prime Contractor:** CODE JOC Method) ₹ **Construction Cost (Prime Contractor)** 90% \$ 2,686,500.00 TIMATED 2 **Construction Contingency Allowance** 10% \$ 298,500.00 3 **Estimated** Contracted **CONSTRUCTION TOTALS** 2,985,000.00 5.000.00 S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (8-CEO) CODE Estimated CEO Contracted CEO Щ Construction Engineering Observation (CEO) Consultant 3.01 6.7% \$ 200,000.00 OJECT 2 Estimated Contracted **CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTALS** 200,000,00 œ ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM) CODE **Estimated ADMIN** Contracted ADMIN CIP Department (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.) 3.4% \$ 100,000.00 2 **Estimated** Contracted **ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTALS** 100,000.00 19,000.00 ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS CODE Estimated TASKS Contracted TASKS **Estimated** Contracted ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TOTALS **Estimated Contracted** B-35868 PROJECT GRAND TOTAL

243,786.00

3,619,786.00

PROJECT SCOPE	Construction of a new 5,768 SF Community Building upgrades to existing tennis and handball courts. The perimeter fence, new walkways, sand volleyball cour related work.	scope also inc	ludes the upgrad	e of the existing	sports lighting,	construction of a new
	Operating Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5
-						

Note: The project was placed on hold pending decision with regards to the Cuban Museum being on the site. In July of 2007 the project resumed. PBS&J submitted a new proposal dated August 10, 2007 to continue with design work.

		AWARD NAME AND NUMBER	AVAILABLE	FUTURE
ES	385200	-1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 114,458	.00
RC	1058 385200	-1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 25,321	.00
12		-2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serk 331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 67,556	.00
80		-2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 86,409	.00
Q		-3 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serk331419 Neighborhood Park Improv		\$ 2,396,195.00
S	385200-	-9 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds Inter∈331419 Neighborhood Park Improv	\$ 500,000	.00
F				
	B-35868	FUND GRAND TOTAL	ACTUAL	PROJECTED
			793744	00 \$ 2,396,195,00
	Initiated by:	Fernando Paiva		Pate: 11/14/07
		Project Manager Signature		1.1.1
	Approved by:			Pate: 11/(4/07
110N	Reviewed by:	Senior Project Manager David Mendez	\mathcal{U}_{0}	Pate: ///14/07
1		Assistant Director: Capital Improvements	17.	
4	Reviewed by:	Yvette Maragh	avach	ate: 11/14/03
717		CIP Budget Administrator Signature	X	
VAI	Verified by: E	dwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff	O	
	Accepted by :	Ola Aluko	0	ate: 11 15 67
		Director: Capital Improvements	. (
	Approved by :	Ernest Burkeen // // // // // // // // // // // // //	wheen o	ate: 11 15 07
		Director: Parks & Recreation Signature		
		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor South Conference Room	Init	itals
Notes	Receipt	of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relations Coordinator	11/15/07	JP.
No	<u>Project</u>	MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board	Date Received /	Signature or initials

<u>Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:</u>
Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

<u>Scope and Cost Change for Robert King High Park New Building and Site</u> <u>Improvements – B-35868</u>

The Robert King High Park project has increased in cost due to the following reasons; eventhough there has been no substantial changes in scope since November 2004:

- The original budget in November 2004 of \$1,775,157 was not adequate to construct the entire project, so it was decided to place the Covered Basketball Court as an additive bid alternate.
- The project was placed on hold for over a year while a decision was being made regarding the Cuban Museum.
- In 2007 the project resumed and the following items were added to the scope per Parks Department direction.
 - 1. Perimeter Fencing
 - 2. New Tennis Court
 - 3. Upgrade of existing courts and sports lighting
- The cost difference between what was presented in November 2004 and what is shown in the current PAF was revised to account for inflation factors and increase in construction costs and are as follows:

2005 A/E Estimate:

New Building & Site Improvements: \$1,600,000 Covered Basketball Court: \$650,000 Total: \$2,250,000

2007 Estimate Cost (+ 25% Escalation Cost per A/E)

New Building, Site and Covered Basketball Court: \$2,813,000
Perimeter Fencing and other added items: \$172,000
Total: \$2,985,000

City of Miami Parks and Recreation

Robert King High Park **New Building and Site Improvements** Construction Documents Phase



DRAFT

Summary- Estimate of Probable Construction Cost

July 15, 2005

Description	Estimated Costs
Robert King High Park - Building & Site Improvements	\$1,188,000
TOTAL DIRECT COST	\$1,188,000
General Conditions @ 12%	\$142,560
Bonds & Permit @ 2%	\$23,760
SUBTOTAL	\$1,354,320
Overhead & Profit @ 6%	\$81,259
Estimate Contingency @ 3%	\$40,630
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST	\$1,476,209

Estimated Construction Costs (Rounded)

\$1,600,000 +25% * -

TOTAL EST. CONSTRUCTION COST RANGE \$1,450,000 - \$1,750,000

= \$ 2,000,000

Owner Additive Alternates (Cost Incl. Mark-ups)

(Add to Est. Cost Above)

New Covered Basketball Shelter Incl. Overhead Standards (6912 SF)

+ PERIMETER FENCING SCHEME "D" \$ 138,000 (4/4/06)

Estimate Qualification: Due to the recent unpredictable bidding market in South Florida, PBS&J qualifies that the attached estimate and established range of probable construction costs are based on PBS&J's best judgment of a reasonable construction cost range to complete the subject project. However, it is to be noted that there is a potential for the bid(s) for this project to vary from the established range due to reasons that are beyond normal construction market conditions and reasonable competition.

. ORIGINAL SCOPE -> BLOG ->> \$ 2,000,000

* ESCALATION COST

ADDED TO LETUAL (OST) COVERED) \$ 812,500
OF ORIGINAL SLOPE BASILETERIL

NEW SLOPE > FENCING > \$ 172,500

TOTAL = \$2,985,000

City of Miami Parks and Recreation Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements Construction Documents Phase

DRAFT

July 15, 2005

Estimate Basis, Assumptions and Exclusions

- Estimate is based on Construction Documents drawings dated May 31, 2005
- Unit prices are based on standard references / historical projects and include subcontractor's mark-ups
- Building Cost per GSF includes all architectural, structural, mechanical (incl. HVAC, Plumbing, Fire Prot.) and all Elec. Systems
- Intent of estimate is to establish a reasonable cost of the project
- Estimate includes various allowances based on historical information
- Estimate assumes shallow foundations and excludes any special foundations, demucking and extensive imported fill
- Estimate excludes Construction Engineering & Inspection (CE&I) Fees
- Estimate excludes Environmental Remediation & Mitigation Fees, if required (particularly related to the demolition and site)
- Estimate excludes any Temporary Facilities and Relocating Costs of personnel and equipment, if required
- Estimate excludes any removal of extraordinary underground site utilities
- Estimate excludes escalation, all prices present day, July 2005
- Estimate excludes any food/concessions equip., table tennis tables or any other equip. accessories
- Estimate excludes:
 - New Covered Basketball Shelter
 - Power Transformer (By FPL)
- Estimate includes a 3% Estimate Contingency
- Estimate excludes other scope not shown on drawings
- Estimate excludes any Owner's Contingency for Owner directed changes and unforeseen conditions during construction
- Estimate assumes that the entire scope of work (all phases) is to be performed under one single contract

City of Miami Parks and Recreation Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements Construction Documents Phase

DRAFT

Estimate of Probable Construction Costs

July 15, 2005

No.	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Demoli	ion			-	
Demoii			<u> </u>		
	Demolition of Existing Building	2,689	SF	\$4.50	\$12,10
	Demolition of Existing Parking Lot	952	SY	\$4.00	\$3,86
	Demolition of Walkways Around Existing Building	110	SY	\$4.00	\$44
	Removal of Existing Trees	23	EA	\$250.00	\$5,75
	Removal of Topsoil (Allowance)	11	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
	Remove Existing Concrete Sidewalk	740	SF	\$1.75	\$1,29
	Remove Existing Curb & Gutter	95	LF	\$4.00	\$38
	Remove Existing Chainlink Fence	115	LF	\$5.00	\$57
	Remove & Store Existing Playgrounds Equipment (Allowance)	11	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,00
	Remove Double Tennis Courts	1 1	EA	\$4,500.00	\$4,50
	Remove Existing Wood Stumps (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,200.00	\$1,20
	Remove Existing Signs (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,500.00	\$1,50
	Removal of Existing Utilities (Allowance)	1	LS	\$3,750.00	\$3,75
	Remove Existing Light Poles	4	EA	\$600.00	\$2,40
	Remove Existing Utility Poles, Electric Overhead & Guy Wire (Allowance)	1	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,00
	Demolition of Existing Road (Allowance)	1,128	SY	\$5.00	\$5,64
	Demolition Maintenance of Traffic (Allowance)	1	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
lew Bu	ilding	1			<u> </u>
	New Community Center Building	5,800	SF	\$110.00	\$638,00
ew Sit		1		7335	Ψ000,00
	Site Furnishings				
	Sand Tot Lot/Playground Area (Re-Use Existing Stored Equip.) - (Allowance)	1 1	LS	\$9,500.00	\$9,50
	New Sand Volleyball Court (Allowance)	1	EA	\$12,000.00	\$12,00
	New Basketball Court & Standards (Allowance)	 	EA	\$20,000.00	\$20,00
	New Basketball Bleachers (Allowance)	1	LS	\$14,500.00	\$14,50
	New Flag Pole	1 - 1	EA	\$2,000.00	\$2,00
	New Entrance & Directional Signage (Allowance)	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10.00
	New Soccer Field & Restroom	 			
	Fence & Gates			Not Included - Se	eparate Cost Es
	Black PVC Coated 6' Chainlink Fence	136	LF	624.00	A4.00
	30' Wide Sliding Gates			\$34.00	\$4,62
	4' Wide Swing Gate	2	EA EA	\$3,500.00	\$7,00
	Asphiat Pavement		EA	\$350.00	\$35
	New Asphalt Parking Area	1 000		222.22	
		1,802	SY	\$28.00	\$50,46
	New Roadway	1,370	SY	\$28.00	\$38,36
	Sidewalks, Curbs, Gutters and Walkways				
	Concrete Sidewalks (Front of Property)	267	SY	\$30.00	\$8,01
	Concrete Sidewalks (Around Basketball Courts & Parking Lot)	350	SY	\$28.00	\$9,80
ļ.	Concrete Walkways (Around New Building)	550	SY	\$32.00	\$17,60
	Special Pavers at Bus Drop-Off	1,620	SF	\$10.00	\$16,20
	3' Valley Gutter	70	LF	\$10.00	\$70
	2' Curb & Gutter	60	LF	\$23.00	\$1,38
	Concrete Curb	1,664	LF	\$14.00	\$23,29
ſ	Drainage Dra				
	Catch Basins	6	EA	\$2,500.00	\$15,000
	15" HDPE	124	LF	\$32.00	\$3,96
	Exfiltration Trench	500	LF	\$110.00	\$55,000
F	avement Markings				7.5(5)
	24" Wide Stop Bar	68	LF	\$5.50	\$374
	6" White Stripe	100	LF	\$1.40	\$140
	4" White Stripe	738	LF	\$1.25	\$923
	4" Blue Stripe	156	LF LF	\$1.25	\$195 \$195
	Directional Arrows	3	EA		
 +	Special Emphasis Crosswalk			\$175.00	\$525
-+	Handicap Pavement Markings	39	LF	\$15.00	\$585
		2	EA	\$110.00	\$220
 °	ignage Stop Signs				
	Stop Signs Do Not Enter Sign	2	EA	\$250.00	\$500
		1 1	EA	\$150.00	\$150

City of Miami Parks and Recreation

Robert King High Park
New Building and Site Improvements
Construction Documents Phase

DRAFT

Estimate of Probable Construction Costs

July 15, 2005

No.	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
		-			
	Pedestrian Crossing Ahead Sign	2	EA	\$275.00	\$550
	Water		1		
	2" Water Line	120	LF	\$16.00	\$1,920
	3" Water Line	570	LF	\$20.00	\$11,400
	3" Gate Valve	1	EA	\$500.00	\$500
	Hose Bib	1	EA	\$200.00	\$200
	2" Water Meter	2	EA	\$600.00	\$1,200
	Misc. Bends, Caps and Accessories (Allowance)	1	LS	\$750.00	\$750
	Connect into Existing Water Main	1	LS	\$1,000.00	\$1,000
	Sewer				
	4" DIP	203	LF	\$38.00	\$7,714
	Misc. Bends, Caps and Accessories (Allowance)	1	LS	\$1,000.00	\$1,000
	Connect into Existing Manhole	1	LS	\$1,200.00	\$1,200
	Landscape & Hardscape				
<u></u>	Sabal Palm	23	EA	\$450.00	\$10,350
	Foxtail Palm	9	EA	\$425.00	\$3,825
	Beauty Leaf	8	EA	\$350.00	\$2,800
	Green Buttonwood	8	EA	\$375.00	\$3,000
	Royal Poinciana	2	EA	\$350.00	\$700
	Weeping Podocarpus	3	EA	\$300.00	\$900
	Mahogany	5	EA	\$375.00	\$1,875
	Silver Trumpet Tree	3	EA	\$350.00	\$1,050
	Spanish Stopper	20	EA	\$12.00	\$240
	Splitleaf Phillodendron	6	EA	\$14.00	\$84
	Bahia Grass	10,800	SF	\$0.40	\$4,320
	St. Augustine Floritam	10,200	SF	\$0.50	\$5,100
<u> </u>	'Polypavement' Path Incl. Crushed Rock Base	367	SY	\$18.00	\$6,600
<u> </u>	rrigation				
	Irrigation System (Allowance)	1	LS	\$18,450.00	\$18,450
<u> </u>	Site Electrical				
\longmapsto	2" Conduit (Underground)	1,570	LF	\$10.00	\$15,700
├ ──	2" Conduit (Below Bridge)	100	LF	\$18.00	\$1,800
\longrightarrow	4" Conduit (Underground)	80	LF	\$20.00	\$1,600
igwdapsilon	5" Conduit (Underground)	80	LF	\$22.00	\$1,760
 	2' x 3' Pull Box (For Future Soccer Field)	1	EA	\$800.00	\$800
	16" x 18" Handhole for Telephone	1 1	EA	\$500.00	\$500
├	Type S1, 25' Pole, 400W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	3	EA	\$3,500.00	\$10,500
	Type S2, 25' Pole, Double Arm, 2-400W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	3	EA	\$4,500.00	\$13,500
	Type H, 35' Pole, 1080W Incl. Conduit & Wiring	6	EA	\$4,250.00	\$25,500
	Service Meter Box	1	EA	\$350.00	\$350
	Service Meter				By FPL
	Transformer				By FPL
					
	Maintenance of Traffic (Allowance)	1	LS	\$6,000.00	\$6,000
	Restore/Patch/Match Existing Conditions	1	LS	\$4,000.00	\$4,000
. 1					

Subtotal Direct Costs

\$1,187,438

Subtotal Direct Cost	SUBTOTAL (Rounded)	\$1,188,000

Previously Approved

CO. FV
1. DATE: 11/23/04 DISTRICT: 1/
NAME OF PROJECT: ROBERT KING HIGH PARK-NEW BUILDING AND SITE
IMPROVEMENTS
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Capital Improvements INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Carry Sanghez-Rea (305), 416-1094
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBERS CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-35868
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO lives,
FOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1.775,157 (\$1,190,000 allocated) — Mgr., wants to assign money from HD interest. SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds — Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions \$1,100,000, HDNI
Bonds Interest \$500,000 & CIP# 333108- Safe Neighboxhood Park Bond \$175,157
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP # 331419 + 333108</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
B. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: Cary Sanchez-Rea & George Sainz
individuals / Departments who provided input
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Indoor tennis tables (6) with non-glare lighting, non-slip flooring surface and
provision of folding partitions to allow for flexibility to accommodate separate activities: Gymnastics area: Dance
with mirrored wall and bar. Volleyball area: Covered basketball court with retractable bleachers for (1) regulation
court and (2) perpendicular half courts. Office with staff area for 8 persons for party planning and visual observation of exterior and indoor activity. Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10) computer stations for after
school usage. Male and Female restrooms, locker rooms with changing areas, Sports equipment storage room.
Rest of scope project attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Name Care Care Care Day to Day and Approximate the letters
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/16/04
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? TES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Fime Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
CONCERTIAL COST ESTIMATE PREATDOWN!
I. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:CONSTRUCTION COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? PES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO INO INO INO INO INO INO INO INO INO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? DESIGN COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? DESIGN COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope?
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Sign conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO INO IN Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Sign REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Ustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: So conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Usstifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: So conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Usstifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO ONA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Individuals / Departments who provided input: Secription of change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH?
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO OCCIDENTATION COST: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Individuals / Departments who provided input: Usustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Fiscal Impact YES NO NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO OCCIDENTATION COST: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Individuals / Departments who provided input: Usustifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Fiscal Impact YES NO NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO for not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Individuals / Departments who provided input: SECUSIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: SECUSIONS FOR Change: Description of change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH? Fiscal Impact Have additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO for not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Individuals / Departments who provided input: SECUSIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: SECUSIONS FOR Change: Description of change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH? Fiscal Impact Have additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope?
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope?



Data Prapared:	9/23/2004
Revised Date:	
Ravised Data:	
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: Robert Ki	ng High Par	k New Building and Si	te in	iprovements	
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 7025 West	Flagler Street	, Miami, Florida		PROJECT No.:	B-35868
NET OFFICE:			******	DISTRICT:	
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and ?	Recreation	Annual consideration (Carlotte Const.		EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,320,314
CLIENT CONTACT: Ed Blanco		75L.: (305) 416 - 125	3	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,775,157
PROJECT MANAGER: Natalie D. H	losein	TSL.: (305) 416 - 108	9	PROCUREMENT:	Formal Bio
CONSTR. MANAGER: Natalie D. H	losein	TEL.: (305) 416 - 108	S	PROJECT TEAW:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:		TEL:		a de designado de describido de como en el composições de sua destrada de designado de como de como de como de	The state of the s
EST. DESIGN START: 10/4/2004	EST	. BID ADV.: 4/25/2005	7	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 6/	20/2065
EST. DESIGN END: 4/24/2005	EST. AW	ARD DATE: 6/19/2005		EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 7/	31/2006

		JCTION PHASE	Percentage	
A.	Des	ign Svcs Outside Consultant Prime Consultant PBS&J		
	1	Basic Fees:	9.9%	\$94,50
	2	Additional Services:	0.5%	\$5,00
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$99,50
В.		ign Svcs CIP		,
	1	In-house Basic Design Fee:	0.0%	9
		In-house Additional Design Services:	0.0%	
			SUB-TOTAL:	
С	Pro	duction Management Services		,
	1	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CiP:	0.0%	5
		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:	3.0%	\$28,50
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$28,56
D	Mis	cellaneous Services	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	720,00
	1	Survey: Vendor: PBS&J		\$8,70
		Re-plat: Vendor:		40,71
		Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: Mactec		\$6,1
		Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		
		Asbestos Survey: Vendor:		\$1,50
		Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:		
		Phase I Environmental: Vendor:		
		Phase II Environmental: Vendor:		
		Structural Testing: Vendor:		
		Archeological Survey: Vendor:		
		Other: Reimbursables Vendor:		\$2,50
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$18,81
Ε	•	cial Fees / Assessments:		
		DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Wait	rer 「	\$2,00
		Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)		
		Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):		
		FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		
		South Florida Water Management District (Permits):		
	6	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):		
		HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		\$1,00
	8	Other:		
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$3,00
:		PRODUCTI	ON PHASE TOTAL:	\$149,81
CO	NST	RUCTION PHASE	÷.	
F	Ca=	struction; JOC Contractor:	ન્હૂફ રહિલ	
_				****
	1	Construction Estimate:		\$950,00
		Contingency Allowance:	10.0%	\$95,00
	3	Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):		\$5,00
	4	Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:		\$15,00
	5	WASA System Betterment:		
	6	FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:		
	7	Other:		

	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees					
		Dept. 🗂	Public	Works		TO STREET COMMERCES CAN ADVANCED BY THE RESIDENCE
	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:					** ***
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitori	ing:				
	4 Other: WASA Impact Fee					\$1,000
					SUB-TOTAL:	\$1,000
į			CO	NSTRUCTION PE	ASE TOTAL:	\$1,066,000
7	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION			PN 11 12		
ব	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP:				2.00	200 500
TIM	Construction Mgmt Industry Partner:				3.0%	\$28,500
ST	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Indu	istry Partn			2.0% 3.0%	\$19,000
ш	K JOC Administration	206 9 1 2101			0.0%	\$28,500
ST			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$0
0		· co	DNSTRUCTI	on administrat	FION TOTAL:	\$76,000
ပ						T-1
CT	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES					
JE	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.):				2.0%	\$19,000
0	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt. Support:				1.0%	\$9,500
PR		1	4 7 7 7 4 4 4 4			
-			ADMINIS	TRATIVE EXPEN	SES TOTAL:	\$28,500
l	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES					
ł	N Land Cost:					
	O Transaction Costs:				0.0%	\$0
				1 430 400 11013	ION TOTAL	
				LAND ACQUISIT	ION TOTAL:	\$0
	GRAM	ID TOTA	L - ESTIN	IATED PROJE	CT COST:	\$1,320,314
L	<u> </u>					
S	Volleyball (30'x60') area; Covered basketball court with half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment stolling partitions to accommodate separation of activities.	retractable ty planning omputer sta storage roo	g and visual of ations for afte m; Maintena	observation of exter or school usage; M nca storage; Multi-	ourt and (2)-perpendior and indoor action and Female repurpose room with	tivity; estroom; h
COP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter er school usage; M nce storage; Multi- rangement of site it s; Landscaping are tts and around rec	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
ROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter er school usage; M nce storage; Multi- rangement of site it s; Landscaping are tts and around rec	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter er school usage; M nce storage; Multi- rangement of site it s; Landscaping are tts and around rec	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
OTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter er school usage; M nce storage; Multi- rangement of site it s; Landscaping are tts and around rec	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
OTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter school usage; Mance storage; Multi- rangement of site is; Landscaping and rts and around recation system.	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer sta storage roo es; Evaluat is and bas ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter school usage; Mance storage; Multi- rangement of site as; Landscaping arc rts and around recation system.	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work;
S NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting up	retractable rty planning omputer stationage rootes; Evaluatist and base ogrades for	e bleachers f g and visual of ations for afte arm; Maintena tion and rean ketball count existing cou	observation of exter school usage; Mance storage; Multi- rangement of site is; Landscaping and rts and around recation system.	ourt and (2)-perpe prior and indoor act ale and Female re purpose room with playfield/parking le bound areas of new	tivity; estroom; h ot v work; and
CES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new	retractable rty planning rmputer sta storage roc es; Evaluar sis and bas ogrades for work; Ugr	e bleachers fig and visual of attorns for afterm; Maintenation and rear electric states and the attorns attorns and the attorns attorn	poservation of exter school usage; Minca storage; Multi-rangement of site is; Landscaping arcits and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking lound areas of new reational building	stivity; estroom; h ot v work; and
RCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting lighting lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new lighting lighti	cip #	a bleachers f g and visual of ations for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball courb r existing cou age site imga 331419 333108	poservation of exter school usage; Mance storage; Multi-rangement of site is; Landscaping arcits and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor and and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking library areational building areational building Amount:	stroity; estroom; h ot vwork; and \$100,000
OURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers f g and visual of ations for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball court r existing cou age site imigs 331419 333108 331419	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale repurpose room with playfield/parking in purt areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitil lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip #	a bleachers f g and visual of ations for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball courb r existing cou age site imga 331419 333108	poservation of exter school usage; Mance storage; Multi-rangement of site is; Landscaping arcits and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor and and Fernale rappurpose room with playfield/parking librarian building areational building Amount: Amount:	stroity; estroom; h ot vwork; and \$100,000
D SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers f g and visual of ations for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball court r existing cou age site imigs 331419 333108 331419	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale repurpose room with playfield/parking in purt areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitil lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers f g and visual of ations for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball court r existing cou age site imigs 331419 333108 331419	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking in purt areas of new creational building areas of new creations.	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
D SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 196 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund:	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear sketball country existing courage site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and a	poservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking leading areas of new creational building areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 196 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund:	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear sketball country existing courage site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and a	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking leading areas of new creational building areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 196 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund:	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear sketball country existing courage site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and age site imigation and a	poservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking leading areas of new creational building areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear electric library and the state of t	poservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 196 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund:	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for an and visual of attorns for after m; Maintena tion and rear electric library in the state of	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	purt and (2)-perpender and indoor act and Fernale respurpose room with playfield/parking leading areas of new creational building areas of new creational building amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund: Fund: Project Manager: Natalie D. Hosein	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for an and visual of attorns for after m; Maintena tion and rear electric library in the state of	poservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
TION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitie lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 100 persons	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear electric library and the statement of the statement	posservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site is; Landscaping arcts and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitic lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund: Fund: Project Manager: Natalie D. Hosein	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear electric library and the statement of the statement	Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005	Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitil lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new Pund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund: Fund: Natalie D. Hosein Sr. Project Manager: Cary Sanchez-Rea Reviewed by: Pilar Saenz	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear sketball country existing courage site imigations and age site imigations and age site imigations and age site imigations and asserting courage site imigations and asserting asserting as a second measurement of the second measurem	posservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
ALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitil lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, tenn Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new 96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund: Fund: Natalie D. Hosein Project Manager: Natalie D. Hosein Sr. Project Manager: Cary Sanchez-Rea	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers for and visual of ations for after mr. Maintena tion and rear sketball country existing courage site imigations and age site imigations and age site imigations and age site imigations and asserting courage site imigations and asserting asserting as a second measurement of the second measurem	posservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site is; Landscaping arcts and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000
LIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	half courts; Office with staff area for (8)-persons for par Meeting/Conference room for staff meeting and (10)-cc Locker rooms with changing areas; Sports equipment s folding partitions to accommodate separation of activitil lighting; Add/Increase lighting of existing handball, term Accessibility report; Furniture storage room; Lighting upparking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new parking lot area; Landscaping in areas adjacent to new Pund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) Fund: Homeland Defense Bonds Interest Fund: Fund: Natalie D. Hosein Sr. Project Manager: Cary Sanchez-Rea Reviewed by: Pilar Saenz	cip # Cip # Cip # Cip #	a bleachers figand visual of attors for after m; Maintena tion and rear ketball court existing courage site imigations and administration administration and administration and administration admi	posservation of exter school usage; Multi-rangement of site /s; Landscaping arrots and around recation system. Fiscal Year Available 2003-2004 2004-2005 2004-2005	Amount:	\$100,000 \$175,157 \$1,000,000



1. DATE: 11/27/07 DISTRICT: 3
NAME OF PROJECT: <u>ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR HENDERSON PARK NEW</u> OFFICES/RESTROOM FACILITY LOCATED AT 971 NW 2 ND STREET
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Nelson Cuadras (305) 416-1254
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-35856A
RESOLUTION NUMBER CIT/TROJECT NUMBER
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$882,600(\$255,069 is from Homeland Defense Bonds)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Neighborhood Park Improvements
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
restrooms for male and female, office with small closet and storage room with access from inside and outside the
office, storage for park maintenance equipment with ramp, roll up doors and shelves. The scope also includes the
design and constructions of an outdoor open pavilion, 2,400 SF, an open plaza with site furniture, security lighting and landscape (Continuation of scope attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/15/07 Approved by Audit Committee? APPROVED: 11/15/07
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/27/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? TES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
ndividuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Cime impact
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
S. COMMENTS:

The state of the s
APPROVAL: DATE: 11/21/07 2 8 07

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials XYES NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIF 🗹

Date Prepared:

27-Sep-2007

NON-CIP ORIGINAL VERSION AREA PROGRAM 331-Parks and Recreation 2-Recreation & Culture

M	PROGRAM 351-Fains and recreation	ARE	Lann - minimum.		ation a culture
	PROJECT NAME: Henderson Park New Office / Restroon	Facilit	/	p	ROJECT NO: B-35856A
	ADDRESS / LOCATION: 971 NW 2nd Street				DISTRICT: 3
	PROJECT TEAM: Vertical	***************************************		PROJECT CONTRA	CTED COST:
ļ	CATEGORY: Parks and Recreation			PROJECT	EST. COST: \$ 882,500.00
	CLIENT DEPT: 58-Parks and Recreation		***************************************	CURR	ENT FUNDS: \$ 255,069.00
-	CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez TEL.: (3	05) 416-	1314	FUTI	JRE FUNDS:
	And the second of the second o)5-416-1:	20.0	97	HORTFALL: \$ (627,531.00)
		05-416-12			CUREMENT: Conventional
ļ		15/08		EST. CONSTRUCT	
	· ·	08/09		EST. CONSTRU	T-1-1-0-1-0-1
-					
	PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES)		% of	Estimated Design	% of Contracted Design
	Prime Consultant: 0000 To be Assigned	CODE	Const	3	Const.
	1 DESIGN (3-DES)	1			
	5 Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee	1.01	10.0%	\$ 64,000.00	
	4 Outside Consultant - Additional Design Services	1.01	1.0%	\$ 6,400.00	
	2 Miscellaneous Services - Geotechnical Testing	1.01	0.5%	\$ 3,000.00	
	3 Miscellaneous Services - Survey	1.01	0.9%	\$ 6,000.00	
S		1.01	5%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ST		1.02	1 3/8	Ψ 33,000.00	***************************************
o			-	Estimated	A
ပ်		OTALS	1	Estimated	Contracted
-1	1		\$	113,000.00	<u> </u>
UA	CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON)		T		
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Entime	nd Canaimentlan I	Contracted Construction	
ပ	Prime Contractor: 0000 To be Assigned	CODE	_ Esumati	ea Construction by PM	(Formal Bid, Informal Bid or
▼	1 Additional Services / Change Orders (Prime Contrac	2	 	TW	JOC Method)
ND	2 CONSTRUCTION (4-CON)	2	 		
¥	3 Construction Cost (Prime Contractor)	2	100%	\$ 640,000.00	
۵	4 Other Construction Related Services	2	100/8	ψ 040,000.00	
ш	5.		 		
AT	Committee that is a section associated and a committee of the section of the section of the section and the section of the sec			Estimated	Control
₹.	CONSTRUCTION TO	DTALS			Contracted
TIM			\$	640,000.00	11
S	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (8-CEO)	CODE	Es	tlmated CEO	Contracted CEO
7	1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8-CEO)	3		***	
ပ	2 Construction Engineering Observation CIP/Transportation	3.02	10.0%	\$ 64,000.00	
7	3 JOC Administration - The Gordian Group (Always 1.5%)	3.03			
0	4				
0	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TO	PIATO	E	Estimated	Contracted
م [T MONANT CHANGE FOR THE CO. C.	INCO	\$	64,000.00	
F	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (C. ADM)	l acar			
Į	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM)	CODE		mated ADMIN	Contracted ADMIN
- 1	1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM)	4	5.3%	\$ 33,600.00	
	2 CIP Department (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.)	4			
	3		·····		
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TO	TALE	E	stimated	Contracted
_	WORMS TAKEN SELECTION OF THE EVERINGES (O	INLO	\$	33,600.00	}
干	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TACKS	T			
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS	CODE	Estin	nated TASKS	Contracted TASKS
	1 CONTINGENCY (9-CNT)	0			
	2 CONSTRUCTION - Contingency	9.02	\$	32,000.00	
	3				
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TO	TAI e	E	stimated	Contracted
	ADDITIONAL PROPERTY AND INC.	PIMES	\$	32,000.00	
	B-35856A PROJECT GRAND TOT	AL		stimated	<u>Contracted</u>
			\$	882,600.00	

PROJECT SCOPE	(access to pavilion and building), also 2,400 SF, and mimetal picket gates, new bench/shelter for the existing te ADA ramp access to between next door Clinic and the F	naintenance pavilion, 2, scellaneous nnis courts,	equipment with ral 400 SF, an open pl site improvements re-surfacing of the	mp, roll up do laza with site f such as spori	ors and shelves. ⁻ furniture, security is lighting for the e	The scope also lighting and landscap existing courts, new
Notes	Project will need minimum of \$79,400 for design	ı services.		Date	e Received / Si	ignature or Initials
FUND SOURCES	AWARD NAME AND NO 385200-2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric 3 385200-3 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Seric 3 B-35856A FUND GRAND TOTAL \$	31419 Nelg 31419 Nelg		aprov \$	79,400.00 ACTUAL 79,400.00	\$ 175,669.00 PROJECTED \$ 175,669.00
VALIDATION	Initiated by: Fernando M. Palva Jr Project Manager Approved by: Marcel Douge Senior Project Manager Yvette Maragh CIP Budget Administrator Verified by: Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls State Accepted by: Ola O. Aluko Director: Capital Improvements Approved by: CRIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10ti		Signature Signature Initials Conference Ro		Date: Date: Date: Date: Date: Date:	9/27/2007 9/27/27 9/27/27 9/28/07
Notes	Receipt of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Publ	ic Relation	s Coordinator	10	1107	mature or Initials

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

Scope and Cost Change for Henderson Park New Office/Restroom Facility, B-35856A (Former Henderson Park New Bathroom Building, B-35856)

The Henderson Park project has increased in cost due to the following reasons:

- Parks requested the original scope change from a restroom & stage building, as presented to
 the Board on July 26, 2005, to a Restroom/Office and 2,400 SF covered pavilion. Since 2005
 the Parks Department has been placing permanent staffing in their Parks to provide more
 recreational activities and security as per Community and NET request. The covered
 pavilion's flexibility would allow for Scheduled Recreation Program instead of the sporadic
 special events that were originally envisioned requiring a stage.
- Construction Cost estimate is attached.

CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Project: Henderson Park new Office/Restroom Facility, B-35856-A

Location: 971 NW 2nd Street

Client: Parks and Recreation Department Est. by: Fernando Paiva/Nelson Cuadras

Date: September 7, 2007 Rev Date: September 27, 2007

ltem	Description	Quantity	Unit	Cost	Total Cost
1	Mobilization	1	ls	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
2	Remove and dispose of one asphalt tennis court and other site amenities	1	Is	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
3	New office/restroom/storage building . Office - 200 SF . Restrooms (M/F) - 500 SF	1,000	s.f.	\$170.00	\$170,000.00
4	Storage (2) - 300 SF Open Pavilion with high roof structure (Additive Item 1)	2,400	s.f.	\$125.00	\$300,000.00
5	Open Plaza with Site furniture, Lighting and Landscape	2,400	s.f.	\$20.00	\$48,000.00
6	Metal Picket Gate - Entrance to Park (40 ft. sliding gate)	1	ls	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
7	Sports Lighting (50 ft. ht.)	4	ea.	\$10,000.00	\$40,000.00
8	Electrical Work for Sports Lighting	4	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$12,000.00
9	New Water & Sewer service line (street to new bldg)	1	ls	\$16,000.00	\$16,000.00
10	Re-surface & re-stripe existing tennis (2) and basketball courts	3	ea.	\$7,000.00	\$21,000.00
11	New bench/shelter at existing tennis courts	1	ls	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
12	New Stairway/ADA Ramp between Clinic & Park (Additive Item 2)	1	ls	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$640,000.00

Budgetary Estimate

Previously Approved

1. DATE: 7/26/05	District:3_
NAME OF PROJECT: HENDERSO	ON PARX NEW BATHROOM BUILDING
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIT	/151UN: <u>Capital Improvements</u>
CIP DEPARTMENT CONTACT.	CONTACT NUMBER: Roge: Hatton (305) 416-1261
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER	R: B-35856
The state of the s	A: 5-35856 (IF APPLICABLE)
2 RUDGETARY INCORMATION	
TOTAL DOLLAR ANGERTA	Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
SOLIBOR OF FLIXIDS, MILLER	48 (300,000 Allocated, estimated balance \$7,177)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419	Park Improvements
1.10000141 CODE(3). CIP # 331419	
If grant funded, is there a City match requ	uirement? [] YES [] NO
AMOUNT:	EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES	NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance E	Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provided	Conductor for
The second of th	mpuc.
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project	
feet) The site improvement in Judge 9.5	scope includes a new restroom, storage and a new cover stage (875 Sque
rect. The site improvements includes 8 re	eet high fence and a ramp covered stage approximately 770 square feet.
ADA Compliant? YES NO 1	N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission?	WES CANO CINAL PARE APPROVED: 1/26/05
Community May/Dist Community A	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Commissioner App	proval? YES NO N/A DATES:
revisions to Original Scopes	☐ YES ☐ NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 mon	ths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE	
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE	BREAKDOWN
F125 2 conceptual cost estimate been develo	oped based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CONSTRUCTION COST:	
Is conceptual estimate within project budg	et? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identifie	d? TYES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOP	
Individuals / Departments who provided in	nput
ustifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Fiscal Impact	
	TYES TNO HOW MICES
Have additional funds been identified?	YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified?	YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? ource(s) of additional funds:	
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Source(s) of additional funds:	YES NO
Fime impact Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Fime impact Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Fave additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Fime impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO
Fime impact Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Cource(s) of additional funds: Cime impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Cource(s) of additional funds: Cime impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Cource(s) of additional funds: Cime impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS:	YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Cource(s) of additional funds: Cime impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS:	YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: DATE: 7/26/05
ource(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS:	YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: DATE: 7/26/05
ource(s) of additional funds: ime impact pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS: PPROVAL:	YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: DATE: 7/26/05



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Dute Prepared;	1/28/2005
Revised Date:	7/20/2005
Revised Dute:	
Ravisad Data:	

ADDRESS / LOCATION: 871 NW 2nd	Park New Bathroom Building		
		PROJECT No.;	8-35856
NET OFFICE: East Little Ha	avana	DISTRICT:	D3
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and Re	ecreation	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$252,948
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez	TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$252,948
PROJECT MANAGER: Victor Marzo	TEL.: (305) 416-1231	PROGUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER:	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Facilities
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.		
EST. DESIGN START:	EST. BID ADV.:	EST, CONSTRUCTION START:	
EST. DESIGN END:	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END:	

	ESIGI	EST. AWARD DAT	E:	EST. CONSTR	RUCTION END:	
PE	מחס	JCTION PHASE		****	_	
					Parcantaga	
۸.			onsultant:			
		Basic Fees: Additional Services:			0.0%	
	2	Additional Services:			0.0%	
	Dar	ign Svcs CIP			SUB-TOTAL:	
٥.		·		A-	44	***
		In-house Basic Design Fee:	······································		14.3%	\$27,1
	~	In-house Additional Design Services:			0.0%	A07.4
_	Pro	duction Management Services			SUB-TOTAL:	\$27,1
		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:			0.014	
		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Indus	in Pariner		0.0%	
	-	1704. Mighte of Odiside Consultant by India	dy raitiei.			
. 0	Mis	cellaneous Services			SUB-TOTAL:	
U		_	W - 4			
		Survey: Re-plat:	Vendor:		2004	
		Geotechnical Testing:	Vendor:			
		Utility Locations (Soft Digs):	Vendor:			
		Asbestos Survey:	Vendor:			
		Energy / HVAC Calculations:	Vendor:			
		Phase I Environmental:	Vendor:		12.00	
		Phase If Environmental:				
	-	Structural Testing:	Vendor:			
		Archeological Survey:	Vendor:			
		Other:	Vendor:			
	11	Other.	Vendar:			
Ε	Sne	cial Fees / Assessments;			SUB-TOTAL:	
_	•	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits	alo V	Fee Waiver		\$6-57 K-N 56 A
		Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Depar		Lee avenue: 7		
•		Florida Department of Environmental Protect				
		FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	uon (r emate).		7 66	1.0
		South Florida Water Management District (P	ermite):			
		U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review			1.00	
		HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	, perrina,			
		Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3.70	Broba Maria
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SUB-TOTAL:	
			in the second	ODUCTION PHA	SETOTAL	37 4
- FREE	NCT	RUCTION PHASE		等下0年来3年3月 3月2		
J	.431	NOUTION FRAJE				e et vo
_	<u></u>	No. oblina				
F		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ntractor:			
	1	Construction Estimate:				4 5 IBG 4
		Contingency Allowance:			10.0%	\$18,9
		Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dep	t.):			
		Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:				
	_	WASA System Betterment:				2 P 6 6 5
		FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:				
	7	Other:	•		Carry	
					11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	1000

*14	inderson Park New Sathroom Building		E-35856
	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees		7
	1 City of Miami Permits: Bidg. Dept. Public Works		
1	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	200	And the state of t
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:		
	4 Other	294500 050940	
		C. (D. TOTA):	# 15 TO 15 T
	Western Comparison and Comparison of the Compari	SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
	CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSTR	CTION PHASE TOTAL	\$208,377
in in			Tell med Manager and the
AT	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION		į
2	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP:	0.0%	\$ 0
TIM	! Construction Mgmt, - Industry Partner:	0.0%	\$0
(c)	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner	10.0%	\$18,943
ш	K JOC Administration	1.5%	\$2,842
1-		TOTAL AND THE PARTY OF THE PART	Grande administration of the control
0.5	CONSTRUCTION ADM	INISTRATION TOTAL	- \$21,785
Ü			
-	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES		:
U			
OJE	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.):	3.0%	\$5,683
o	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt, Support:	0.9%	\$0
04	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF		
ď	ADMINISTRATIVE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	GENERALD LOTAL TO	
ĺ	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES		
	N Land Cost:		
1		5.00	
	O Transaction Costs:	0.0%	\$0
		ACQUISITION FOTALS	
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED	PROJECT COST:	\$262,948
	·	 	
	New Restroom and storage and new cover stage (875 S.F.) Site improvements inc	ciudes 8 feet high fence, and i	ramp covered stage
	Approximately 770 square feet.		
ш			ł
<i>P</i> (
00			6
scc		•	
sc			
CT SC			
CT SC		· .	
CT SC			
ROJECT SC			
OJECT SC			
ROJECT SC			
ROJECT SC			
ROJECT SC			
PROJECT SC	As Of 07/20/2005, elimination of Industry Partners Fees for Line Items C-2, I & M from	n Project budget, equals a sav	ings
S PROJECT SC	As Of 07/20/2005, elimination of Industry Partners Fees for Line Items C-2, I & M from of \$2,118.	n Project budget, equals a sav	vings
TES PROJECT SC		n Project budget, equals a sav	vings
OTES PROJECT SC		n Project budget, equals a sav	vings
TES PROJECT SC		n Project budget, equals a sav	<i>i</i> ings
OTES PROJECT SC	of \$2,118.	n Project budget, equals a sav	<i>i</i> ings
OTES PROJECT SC	of \$2,118.		ings
NOTES PROJECT SC	of \$2,118.	n Project budget, equals a sav sel Yeer raileble	ings
S NOTES PROJECT SC	of \$2,118.	tel Year	ings \$262,948
CES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fisc Av. Fund: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419	tel Year zilable Amount:	
CES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Year railable	
CES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fisc Av. Fund: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419	tel Year zilable Amount:	
OURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP # 331419 Fund: CIP # Fund: CIP #	tel Year vailable Amount: Amount: Amount:	
SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP#	tel Year railable Amount: Amount: Amount:	
SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP # 331419 Fund: CIP # Fund: CIP #	tel Year vailable Amount: Amount: Amount:	
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP#	tel Year railable Amount: Amount: Amount:	
OURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Yeer valleble Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Yeer valleble Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Yeer valleble Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Yeer valleble Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	tel Yeer valleble Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP#	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP# Fund: Sign	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP# Fund: Sign	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP# Fund: Sign Sr. Project Manager: Juan Ordonez Reviewed by: Plar Saenz	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
ALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Find: Homeland Defense Series I CIP# 331419 Fund: CIP# Fund: CIP	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP# Fund: Sign Sr. Project Manager: Juan Ordonez Reviewed by: Plar Saenz	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	\$262,948
ALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP#	Amount: Doc At ED AMOUNT:	
VALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SC	Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: Homeland Defense Series I Fund: CIP# Fund: Sign Sr. Project Manager: Juan Ordonez Reviewed by: Plar Saenz	Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date: 7 Date: 7	\$262,948



1. DATE: _11/27/07 DISTRICT:2
NAME OF PROJECT: ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ARMBRISTER PARK BUILDING
IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 236 GRAND AVENUE. INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Mike Tyler (305)416-1072
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-75814
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$593,970 SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Park Improvements
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Fark Improvements
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Additional Construction cost, design cost and construction administration services were needed due to unforeseen conditions and additional scope or work.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget?
If not, have additional funds been identified?
bodice(s) of additional funds.
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Justifications for change.
Description of change:
E. TI TOWNICH
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:

APPROVAL: DATE: T1727/07 12 18 0
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials ⊠ YES ☐ NO

CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Ola O Aluko, Director Capital Improvements DATE: November 15th, 2007 FILE: B-75814

SUBJECT: Increased Funding

Amrbrister Recreation Building Improvement

FROM: Sandra Vega, Project Manager Capital Improvements

REFERENCES:

ENCLOSURES:

The Armbrister Recreation Building Improvement project requires additional funds because of the following reasons:

- 1. Building Department Plan Revisions from #1 through #6. The Construction Documents were submitted to Roger Hatton on July 2005 before the completion of the "Dry-Run" permitting process. The original JOC Cost Proposal did not include those revisions. The revisions include electrical requirements as per code, French drain, louver door at A/C room, epoxy dowels and additional rebars at tie bean areas, additional structural angles, etc.
- 2. Unforeseen Conditions.
 - Demolition of unforeseen ceramic tile
 - Plumbing Revision due to unforeseen sanitary line location
 - Sub-base for concrete slab at entrance
 - Required roof slope to drain
 - Level of two interior walls
 - Required chemical stripping of interior walls and cleaning due to several unforeseen layers of coats of paints.
- 3. Additional Scope of Work.

Park Department required the Locker Staff Room to be remodeled. The Locker Staff Room was not part of the approved original scope of work. There is not written documentation from parks for this request.

4. Additional Construction Administration Services was necessary to cover extended construction time.

The construction cost to cover the Building Department Plan Revisions, the unforeseen conditions and the additional scope of work is \$91,376.15, and the cost to cover the additional construction administration Services is \$19,253.00.

Although the project had contingency funds, additional \$23,970.00 from Homeland Defense Funds Series I (HD-1) are needed it to cover all cost mentioned above.

Therefore it is recommended to increase the total fund of the project from \$570,000.00 to a total of \$593,970.00

SV/sv

cc: Yvette Maragh, CIP Administrator Capital Improvements
Scott Pritchard, Inspector Capital Improvements
Mike Tyler, Construction Manager HDR
Guillermo Sosa, Project Manager Rizo Carreno & Partners



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP ☑ NON-CIP ☐ Date Prepared: VERSION

11-Oct-2007 REV 4

PROGRAM 331-Parks and Recreation 2-Recreation & Culture PROJECT NAME: Armbrister Recreation Building Improvement PROJECT NO: B-75814 ADDRESS / LOCATION: 236 Grand Ave. DISTRICT: 2 PROJECT TEAM: Vertical PROJECT CONTRACTED COST: \$ 487,481.79 CATEGORY: Parks and Recreation PROJECT EST. COST: \$ 593,970.00 CLIENT DEPT: 58-Parks and Recreation **CURRENT FUNDS: \$** 593,970.00 CLIENT CONTACT: Ed Blanco TEL.: (305) 416-1253 **FUTURE FUNDS: \$** DESIGN MANAGER: Sandra Vega (CIP) TEL.: 305-416-1243 FUND SHORTFALL: 3 CONSTR. MANAGER: Mike Tyler TEL.: 305-416-1072 PROCUREMENT: JOC EST. DESIGN START: 03/16/05 EST. BID ADV .: **EST. CONSTRUCTION START:** 05/30/06 EST. DESIGN END: 12/22/05 EST. AWARD DATE: EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 07/23/07 PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES) % of Estimated Design Contracted Design Prime Consultant: Const Const. 2981 Rizo, Carreno & Partners, Inc. CODE Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee 1.01 13.9% 64,887.00 64,887.00 **Outside Consultant - Additional Design Services** 1.01 1.1% 24,263.00 5,010.00 CIP - Production Management 1.01 3.4% 16,000.00 16,000.00 \$ S Miscellaneous Services - Geotechnical Testing 1.02 0.2% 850.00 Ö 850.00 5 Miscellaneous Services - Other 1.01 0.4% \$ 1,892.00 1,892.00 ZAD **Estimated** Contracted **PRODUCTION TOTALS** 107,892.00 88,639.00 ပ ⋖ **CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON)** Contracted Construction 9 **Prime Contractor:** Carivon Construction Corporation CODE Estimated Construction by (Formal Bid, Informal Bid or 2 JOC Method) ₹ **Construction Cost (Prime Contractor)** 2 80% 375.694.66 375,694.66 ۵ 2 Additional Services / Change Orders (Prime Contrac 2 20% \$ 91,376.34 4,549.87 W \$ 3 ◂ TIMI **Estimated** Contracted **CONSTRUCTION TOTALS** 467,071.00 380,244.53 S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (8-CEO) ш CODE Estimated CEO Contracted CEO JOC Administration - The Gordian Group (Always 1.5%)

5	1 300 Administration - The Gordian Group (Always 1.5%)	03.02	1.5%	\$	7,007.00	1	\$	6,598.26	
EC	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TO	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTALS			Estimated		Contracted		
0		\$		7,007.00	\$		6,598.26		
PR	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM)		Estimated ADMIN		Contracted ADMIN		d ADMIN		
	CIP Department (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.) 2	4	2.6%	\$	12,000.00		\$	12,000.0	
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TO	TALS	\$	Estin	nated 12,000.00	\$	Contra	12,000.00	
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS	CODE	Est	timate	TASKS		Contracted	d TASKS	
	ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TO	TALS		Estim	ated		Contra	ıcted	
	B-75814 PROJECT GRAND TOTA	AL	\$	Estim	ated 593,970.00	s	Contra	<u>icted</u> 487.481.79	

4,905 S.F. Recreation Building Improvement. Nev ADA ramps entrance compliance.	v A/C System. Ne	w Computer stat	ions. ADA restr	ooms complianc	e. New water fount	tain.
Operating Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 6	

Rev 4 - Additional Construction Cost, Design Cost and Construction Administration services were needed due to unforseen conditions and additional Scope of Work.

ES		AWARD NAME AND NUMBER	AVAILABLE	FUTURE
RC	385200-	1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1) 331419 Neighborhood Park Improvements	\$ 114,351.63	
SOU	1058 385200-	1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1) 331419 Neighborhood Park Improvements	\$ 479,618.37	
FUND	B-75814	FUND GRAND TOTAL \$ 593,970.00	ACTUAL \$ 593,970.00	PROJECTED \$
	Initiated by:	Sandra Vega Project Manager Signature	Date:	10/16/07
NO	Approved by:	Marcel Douge Senior Project Manager Signature	Date:	10/16/07
ATI	Reviewed by:	Yvette Maragh CIP Budget Administrator Signature	Date:	10/16/07
ALID	Verified by:	Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff Initials	0	
>	Accepted by:	Ola Aluko Director: Capital Improvements Signature	Date:	1425 4
	Approved by :	Ernest Burkeen Director: Parks & Recreation Signature	Date:	10/26/07
		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor South Conference Room	Inititals	
Notes	Receip	t of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relations Coordinator	10/26/07	Z. P.
No	<u>Projec</u>	t MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board	Date Received / Signature	gnature or Initials

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

Notes

Previously Approved

1. DATE:7/26/05 DISTRICT:2
NAME OF PROJECT: ARMBRISTER RECREATION RULL DING TARROTTERS
Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Roger Hatton (305).416-1261 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Sandra Vega 305 416-1243
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-75814
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO if yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$570,000 (800,000 Allocated estimated balance \$230,000)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Parks Improvements
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
operations and maintenance budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment for the
renovation of the existing building. The existing Multipurpose Room is going to be used for after school activities
such as homework, arts/crafts, dance, and movie play (TV screen format). Existing men room shall comply with ADA. Heavy equipment storage room needs existing door replaced, remodeling the existing computer room to
accommodate 10 computer stations, IT communications for existing staff office. (Continuation scope is
attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
S T T T P T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? TYES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? TYES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission?
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact TYES TNO HOW MICHS
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO HOW MUCH?
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:
APPROVAL: DATE: 7/26/05
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD
TOTAL OF PLANTING TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

PROJECT NAME: ARMBRIST	ER PARK RECREATION BUILDING	3 IMPROVEMENTS	
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 236 Grand A	venue	PROJECT No.:	B-75814
NET OFFICE: Downtown		DISTRICT:	D2
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and R	ecreation	EST, PROJECT COST:	\$570,000
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria M Pere	EZ TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$570,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Sandra Vega	TEL.: (305) 416-1243	PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER: TBD	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO: TBD	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 3/16/2005	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 8/3	30/2005
EST. DESIGN END: 6/15/2005	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 3/	15/2006

1	gn Svcs Outside Consultant P Basic Fees:	rime Consultant: Rizo,Carrero & Partners	Inc	
	Basic Fees:		, ::10	
2			13.0%	\$51,887
	Additional Services:		1.4%	\$5,500
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$57,387
Desi	gn Svcs CIP			
1	In-house Basic Design Fee:	·	0.0%	\$(
2	In-house Additional Design Services:		0.0%	\$(
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
Proc	luction Management Services			
1	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by C	IP:	4.0%	\$16,000
2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by It	ndustry Partner:	0.0%	\$(
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$16,000
Misc	ellaneous Services			
-1	Survey:	Vendor:		\$7,500
2	Re-plat:	Vendor:		
3	Geotechnical Testing:	Vendor:		
4	Utility Locations (Soft Digs):	Vendor:		
5	Asbestos Survey:	Vendor:		\$3,00
6	Energy / HVAC Calculations:	Vendor:		·
7	Phase I Environmental:	Vendor:		
8	Phase II Environmental:	Vendor:		
9	Structural Testing:	Vendor:		\$3,00
10	Archeological Survey:	Vendor:		
11	Other:	Vendor:		
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$13,50
- •				
			rer 1	\$50
				\$61
		otection (Permits):		
				
		view, permits):		
				
8	Other:		CUR TOTAL	24 44
			308-101AL.	\$1,11
		PRODUCTION	ON PHASE TOTAL:	\$88,00
NCT	DUCTION BLIACE			
NO I	RUCTION PRIASE			
^	above able and	100 01		
		JOC Comractor:		\$400,00
			10.0%	
		Dont V	10.070	\$40,00
		Dept.).		
		•		
		•		
′	Outer.		OUR TOTAL	\$440,00
	Procedure 2 Misso 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Production Management Services 1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by C Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Ir Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Re-plat: Geotechnical Testing: Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Asbestos Survey: Energy / HVAC Calculations: Phase I Environmental: Phase II Environmental: Structural Testing: Other: Special Fees / Assessments: DERM (Plans review, environmental per Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer I Florida Department of Environmental Pr FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans re HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: NSTRUCTION PHASE Construction: Construction: Construction Estimate: Contingency Allowance: Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:	Production Management Services 1	Production Management Services 1

		. ≅-75814
	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees 1 City of Miami Permits: Bidg. Dept. Public Works 2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
	4 Other:	80
		\$0
ш	CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$440,000
AT	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION H Construction Inspection Services - CIP: 6.0%	004.000
TIM	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP: 6.0%	\$24,000 \$0
ES1	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 0.0%	\$0
7	K JOC Administration 1.5%	\$6,000
0.5	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$30,000
JECT C	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES L CIP Dept. (Mgmt/Budget/Procurement/Comm.): 3.0%	\$12,000
0	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt. Support:	\$0
20	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$12,000
	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES	
	N Land Cost:	
	O Transaction Costs: 0.0%	\$0
	LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL:	\$0
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COST:	\$570,000
PROJECT SCOP	movie play (TV screen format). Existing men room shall comply with ADA. Heavy equipment storage room needs existing door needs replacement. Remodeling of the existing computer room to accommodate 10 computer stations. IT comunications for existing staff office. Replacement of two existing outside water fountain. Add one rewater cooler close to new classrooms. Provide Selving and/or closets in all existing storage rooms. Provide Center of the cooler close to new classrooms.	more
	AC System for the entire facility. From Accessibility Evaluation Report prepare by Rodriguez Architects (4/8/04), the shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project.	the project
NOTES	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope	the project of work
OTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departement request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Year	the project of work
S NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departement request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Year Available	the project of work
CES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departement request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Year Available	the project of work ppe of d it covers
CES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departement request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Year Available Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP # 331419 2004 Amount:	the project of work ppe of d it covers
ES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Find: Homeland Defense Fund CIP # 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: Amount:	the project of work ppe of d it covers
D SOURCES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Year Available Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP # 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	the project of work ppe of d it covers
SOURCES	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The second work was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP# 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount:	the project of work ope of dit covers \$570,000
UND SOURCES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The secundary was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP# 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount:	the project of work ope of dit covers \$570,000
FUND SOURCES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fiscal Yeer Available Fund: CIP # 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CI	the project of work ope of dit covers \$570,000
FUND SOURCES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The scowork was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP # 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: TOTAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT:	the project of work ppe of d it covers
UND SOURCES NOTE	shall include proposed corrections relate with the existing Building. Site items are not included in the ADA scope due to funding limitations of the project. Revision 1 is base in the re-scope of the project to fit budget available as per Parks Departemnt request. The sec work was reduce to, and all additions in the project were deleted. The new scope of work is describe above, and only renovations in the existing Building. Fund: Homeland Defense Fund CIP# 331419 2004 Amount: Fund: CIP# Amount: Fu	the project of work ope of d it covers \$570,000

1. DATE: 12/18/07 NAME OF PROJECT: HISTORIC P.	DISTRICT: 2 RESERVATION INITIATIVES – CITY HALL
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISIONITIATING CONTACT PERSON/O	SION: <u>Capital Improvements Program</u> CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Jim Brittain (305)416-1047</u>
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: _(RESOLUTION NUMBER:	
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: A TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$745,000.0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Historic Preservati	ion Initiatives/Florida Dept. of State Division of Historical Grant
	EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? XYES [Estimated Operations and Maintenance Bu	
demolition plan, direct a demolition contra- recommendations on as many columns as of	nput: pe of work includes the Engineering Services to develop a selective ctor, inspect and prepare a structural analysis report with can be done for the available funding. The structural analysis and the long term shoring of those columns that are impacted to maintain the
ADA Compliant? YES NO N	I/A
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? [Approved by Commission? [YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07 YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been develon DESIGN COST:	
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPI Individuals / Departments who provided in	
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds:	☐ YES ☐ NO HOW MUCH?
Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
APPROVAL: BOND OVERSIGHT BO	DATE: 12/18/07

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials XYES NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

	CIP	1
HON	010	

Date Prepared: 27-Nov-2007

9	E	🌠 Capita	1 Improve	ements	& Transport	ation	N	ION-CIP		VERSION	ON	C	RIGINAL
			PROGRAM	3	325-Public Facili	ities	AREA	4		1-Publ	ic Safety		
-	F	ROJECT NAME	: Historic F	Preserva	tion Initiatives	- City Hal	Ī	PERMIT		PRC	DJECT NO:		B-30121
		SS / LOCATION				····-					DISTRICT:		2
	F	PROJECT TEAM	: Vertical					~ ~~~~~	PRO	JECT CONTRACT	ED COST:		-
		CATEGORY	: Historic Pre	eservatior	1					PROJECT E			745,000.00
		CLIENT DEPT	: 122-Transr	portation {	& Transit						IT FUNDS:		350,000.00
	CL	IENT CONTACT:				TEL.: (305)) 416-1	471			RE FUNDS:		395,000.00
	DES	SIGN MANAGER:	: David Men	dez (CIP)		TEL.: 305-	416-12	40		FUND SH	ORTFALL:		
	CONS	STR. MANAGER:	: James Britt	tain (CIP)		TEL.: 813-	363-16	23		PROCL	JREMENT:	C	Conventional
	EST.	DESIGN START:	: 12/01/08		EST. BID ADV.:	05/01/	/09	1	ES	T. CONSTRUCTIO	N START:		09/01/09
	ES	T. DESIGN END:	: 04/30/09	ES	ST. AWARD DATE:				i	EST. CONSTRUCT	ΓΙΟΝ END:		05/30/10
	DRC	TOUCTION I	DUAGE /2	DE6)				T	T		T 2/ - 6		•
	ı	DDUCTION F	•	-			CODE	% of Const	Esti	imated Design	% of Const.	Cont	tracted Design
	Pri	ime Consultan		To be Ass	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CODE		<u></u>		CONSI.		
	1	Outside Cons		me Basic	Design Fee		1.01	13.3%		70,000.00	<u></u>		
		Post Design S					1.01	1.9%	\$	10,000.00	<u> </u>		
S	1	CIP - Product				**************************************	1.02	5.0%	\$	26,250.00			
7	4	Miscellaneou			s Survey		1.01	1.9%	\$	10,000.00			
o s	1	Miscellaneou	s Services -	Other			1.01	11.4%	\$	60,000.00	ļ		
ပ	6						a/_+	ļ					
7					PRODUC	CTION TO	ΓALS		Estu	mated	(Conti	racted
⋖								\$		176,250.00			
CTC	CON	NSTRUCTIO	N PHASE	14-CON	1)				****		Cantra		Construction
ν V		e Contractor:		To be Ass	•	ſ	CODE	Estimat	ed Co	onstruction by			construction informal Bid or
O	FIBIO	e Contractor.	0000 1	O DE MOS	igneu		CODE			PM			lethod)
2		Construction					2	97%		510,000.00			
4		Construction	Contingend	cy Allowa	ance		2	3%		15,000.00			
Q:	3												
TE	1				CONSTRUC	ידורא דרו	TAIQ		Estir	mated	(Contr	acted
۷ ا	<u></u>				CONSTRUC	, HON TO	ALJ	\$		525,000.00			
11111	CON	ISTRUCTIO	N ADMINI	STRAT	ION (8-CEO)		CODE	E:	etima	ted CEO	Co	ntrac	ted CEO
က					on (CEO) Consult	⊥ tant	3.01					11000	ied ozo
4					on CIP/Transporta		3.02	1.8%	\$	9,625.00			
CI	3				Froup (Always 1.5		3.03	1.5%	\$	7,875.00			
ŭ,	4 .												
3	i		CONSTE	RUCTIO	N ADMINISTRA	TION TOT	ALS		Estir	mated		Contr	acted
*	<u> </u>				TADMINIOTA		ALU	\$		17,500.00	·		
۱ ٔ	ADM	INISTRATIV	/E EXPEN	ISES (6	-ADM)		CODE	Est	imate	ed ADMIN	Con	tracte	ed ADMIN
				•	curement/Comm.))	4	5.0%	\$	26,250.00			
- [2		· (···g····	<u>ug</u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	-	0.070	Ψ	20,200.00			
	- i .								Estir	mated		Contr	acted
١			AD	MINIST	RATIVE EXPEN	ISES TOT	ALS	\$		26,250.00			

Engineering Services to develop a selective demolition plan, direct a demolition contractor, inspect and prepare a structural analysis report with recommendations on as many columns as can be done for the available funding. The structural analysis and demolition plan will also

ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TOTALS

PROJECT GRAND TOTAL

CODE

Estimated TASKS

Estimated

Estimated

745,000.00

COPI

ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS

B-30121

Contracted TASKS

Contracted

Contracted

PROJECT S						
	Operating Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5
Notes	Match for a State Historic Preservation Grar	nt		1		

	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································		# FUTURE 1
SOURCE		AWARD NAME AND NUMBER		AVAILABLE	<u>FUTURE</u>
d R	385200-	3 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Serie 327001 His	storic Preservation Initiat		\$ 395,000.00
08	899999	Florida Dept. Of State Division of Histori 327001 His	storic Preservation Initiat	\$ 350,000.00	CONTROL CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF TH
FUND	B-30121	FUND GRAND TOTAL	P	<u>ACTUAL</u> \$ 350,000.00	PROJECTED \$ 395,000.00
	Initiated by:	James Brittain	Jan frita	Date	27NOV 07
İ		Project Manager	Signature	,	
2	Approved by:	Marcel Douge	Jane 1	Date Date	112707
A 7101	Reviewed by:	Senior Project Manager Yvette Maragh CIP Budget Administrator	Signature Signature	A C Date	: 11/27/07
Q171	Verified by: E	dwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff	Initials Colon	1	/ / -
\A\	Accepted by :	David Mendez	+bill	Date	1/27/07
	Approved by :	Assistant Director: Capital Improvements Ola Aluko Director: Capital Improvements	Signature Signature	0	1/27/07
		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 10th Floor S	•	Initital	
Notes	Receip	t of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Public Relat			
No	<u>Project</u>	t MUST be Presented to the Bond Overs	ight Board	Date Received / S	ignature or Initials フピ.

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department. Yvette Maragh. Edwigs De Cruzza Service. Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.



NAME OF PROJECT: THE COLLEGE OF POLICING INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Police Department INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Nelson Guadras (305)416-1254 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-72910 2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? MYES NO If yes, ITOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$41,960,800 (22,900,000 is Homeland Defense) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Police Training Facility/Impact Pees/Impact Fees Ord 12750/School Board of Miami Dade County-Crant. If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO Account Code(s): EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? MYES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget 3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The City of Miami College of Policing will be a new four (4) story, +/- 112,264 staddinton to the Miami Police Dept Headquarters. The new structure will house 1) a Miami Police Academy that includes training and administrative spaces, student quarters, locker rooms, indoor fining range, SWAT area and suncepency operation center, 2) a Miami-Dade High School of Law Studies, Homeland Security and Forensic Science with classrooms labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Intercoal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant? YES NO NA Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07. Approved by Gommission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07. Approved by Gommission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07. Approved by Commission? APPROVED STIMATE BREAKDOWN If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Secreptual estimate within project budget? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Government of the provided input: Institute intercent of the provided input: Institute	1. DATE: _12/18/07 DISTRICT:5
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Nelson Cuadras (305416-1254 CLP). DEPARTMENT CONTACT: \ \ \ \OLdots \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	NAME OF PROJECT: THE COLLEGE OF POLICING
Z. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? MYES NO If yes,	INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Nelson Cuadras (305)416-1254
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Arc funds budgeted?	C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Ola O. Aluko (305) 416-1280 RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: B-72910
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$41,960,800 (22,000,000 is Homeland Defense) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Police Training Facility/Impact Fees/Impact Fees Ord 12750/School Board of Miami-Dade Country-Grant. If grant funded, is there a City match requirement?	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Police Training Eacility/Impact Fees/Impact Fees Ord 12750/School Board of Miami-Dade County-Grant. If grant funded, is there a City match requirement?	
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$41,960,800 (22,000,000 is Homeland Detense) SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Defense Police Training Facility/Impact Fees/Impact Fees Ord 12750/School
AMOUNT:	Board of Miami-Dade County-Grant.
AMOUNT:	If grant funded is there a City match requirement? TYES NO
Secone S	AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: DIDESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The City of Mismi College of Policing will be a new four (4) story, +/- 112,264 s.f. addition to the Mismi Police Dept Headquarters. The new structure will house 1) a Mismi Police Academy that includes training and administrative spaces, student quarters, locker rooms, indoor fining range, SWAT area and temperative operation center, 2) a Mismi-Dade High School of Law Studies, Homeland Security and Forensic Science with classrooms, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Mismi-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DISIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Seconceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO	Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Individuals / Departments who provided input:	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The City of Miami College of Policing will be a new four (4) story, #/- 112,264 stadition to the Miami Police Dept Headquarters. The new structure will house 1) a Miami Police Academy that includes training and administrative spaces, student quarters, locker rooms, indoor fitting range, SWAT area and emergency operation center, 2) a Miami-Dade High School of Law Studies, Homeland Security and Forensic Scientifications, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant?	
s.f. addition to the Miami Police Dept Headquarters. The new structure will house 1) a Miami Police Academy that includes training and administrative spaces. Student quarters, locker rooms, indoor fining range, SWAT area and temergency operation center, 2) a Miami-Dade High School of Law Studies, Homeland Security and Forensic Science with classrooms, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant?	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The City of Miami College of Policing will be a new four (4) story, +/- 112,264
emergency operation center. 2) a Miami-Dade High School of Law Studies. Homeland Security and Porensis Science with classrooms, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07 ACONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO N/A DESIGN COST: Seconceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Seconceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Securition of change: Description of change: Description of change: Description of change: Description of change: ON N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Gommission?	s.f addition to the Miami Police Dept Headquarters. The new structure will house 1) a Miami Police Academy that
with classrooms, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant?	includes training and administrative spaces, student quarters, locker rooms, indoor tiring range, SWA1 area and emergency operation center 2) a Miami-Dade High School of Law Studies. Homeland Security and Forensic Science
share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an Interlocal Development Agreement. ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A ACONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: YES NO N/A Bostonical State of the initial established scope? YES NO N/A Bostonical State of the initial established scope? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A Bostifications for change: YES NO N/A Bostifications for daditional funds: YES NO N/A Bostifications for change: YES NO N/A Bostifications for change: YES NO N/A Bostifications for change: YES NO N/A Bostifications for daditional funds: YES NO N/A Bostifications for change: YES NO N/A	with classrooms, labs, locker rooms, dining area and administrative spaces. The Academy and the High School will
ADA Compliant?	share an Auditorium and Gymnasium. The Miami-Dade County Schools contribution to this project is defined in an
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07. Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07. Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/18/07. Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO If YES see Item 5 below) Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: A CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN That is a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST: VES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: NO If yes, DESIGN COST: VES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:	
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update: 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:	
Time Approval	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:	
DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Justifications for change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 5. COMMENTS: DATE: 12/18/07	4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Instifications for change: Instifications for change: Instification of change: Institute of the provided input: Instification of change: Institute of the provided input: Institute of the provided in	Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NO NA DATE APPROVED: NA	
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Sustifications for change: Sustifications for change: Sustifications for change: Sustification of change: Sustification of change YES NO HOW MUCH? Survey NO N/A DATE APPROVED: NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: N/A DATE APPROVED: NO	
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE Individuals / Departments who provided input: Instifications for change: Instificatio	The state of the s
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact	
Individuals / Departments who provided input: Justifications for change:	
Description of change: Description of change: Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? APPROVAL: DATE APPROVED: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	
Description of change: YES	
Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? S. COMMENTS: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	Justifications for change:
Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? S. COMMENTS: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	Description of change:
Have additional funds been identified? Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? S. COMMENTS: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? S. COMMENTS: APPROVAL: DATE APPROVED: DATE APPROVED: DATE: 12/18/07	Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? DATE APPROVED: DATE: 12/18/07	
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO DATE APPROVED: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	Time impact
APPROVAL: JOHN DATE: 12/18/07	
APPROVAL: DATE: 12/18/07	
	6. COMMENTS:
	ABBROVAL DATE: 12/19/07
•	
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials ∑ YES ☐ NO	,



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP Date Prepared: 15-Nov-2007

NON-CIP VERSION ORIGINAL

	PROGRAM 31	2-Public Safety	J AREA	\	1-Pub	lic Safety	
	PROJECT NAME: The College of Police	ing			PR	OJECT NO:	B-72910
	ADDRESS / LOCATION: NW corner of NW 2nd	Ave. & NW 3rd St.				DISTRICT:	
	PROJECT TEAM: Vertical				PROJECT CONTRAC	TED COST:	\$ 2,302,762.88
	CATEGORY: Police				PROJECT E	ST. COST:	
	CLIENT DEPT: 29-Police				CURRE	NT FUNDS:	
	CLIENT CONTACT: Martin Garcia	TEL.: (305	5) 603-6	146	FUTUI	RE FUNDS:	
	DESIGN MANAGER: Marcel Douge (CIP)	TEL.: (305			FUND SH	IORTFALL:	\$ (4,483,196.00)
	CONSTR. MANAGER: Nelson Cuadras (CIP)	TEL.: (305			PROC	UREMENT:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EST. BID ADV.: 10/18	·		EST. CONSTRUCTION	ON START:	
		AWARD DATE: 12/19			EST. CONSTRUC	TION END:	
=				1		11 .	
	PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES)			% of	Estimated Design	% of	Contracted Design
	Prime Consultant: 3035 Spillis Candel	a Partners Inc DBA DMJM	CODE	Const		Const.	
	1 DESIGN (3-DES)		1	5.90%	\$ 2,200,000.00		
	2 Outside Consultant - Additional Des	ian Services	1.01	0.10%		H	
	3 CIP - Production Management	<u> </u>	1.02	1.20%		1	
	4 Miscellaneous Services - Survey		1.01	0.04%	\$ 16,000.00		
	5 Miscellaneous Services - Geotechni	cal Testing	1.01	0.05%	\$ 15,000.00	ll	
S	6 Miscellaneous Services - Other		1.01	0.10%		l	
S	7 Construction Material Testing		1.01	0.10%	<u></u>		
0	8 Miscellaneous Services - Other		1.01	0.20%			
ပ	9						
A L					Estimated		Contracted
'n		PRODUCTION TO	TALS	\$	2,880,000.00	s	2,302,762.88
C 1				, <u> </u>	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>	
Ā	CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON)					Contra	cted Construction
a	Prime Contractor: 0000 To be Assig	ned	CODE	Estimate	ed Construction by		Bid, Informal Bid or
× ×				Ĺ	PM	J	IOC Method)
			_				
	1 CONSTRUCTION (4-CON)	// T D	2	91.3%			
۵	2 Data & Telecommunication System	s (IT Dept.)	2	0.4%	\$ 150,000.00		
TED	 Data & Telecommunication System MDWASD System Betterment 		2	0.4% 0.2%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00		
ATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service	s	2 2 2	0.4% 0.2% 0.1%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00		
ATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand	s	2	0.4% 0.2%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00		
STIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service	s	2 2 2	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00		
TIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand	s	2 2 2 2	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00		Contracted
T ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand	is ie	2 2 2 2	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00		Contracted
CT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6	construction to	2 2 2 2	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00		Contracted
JECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand	CONSTRUCTION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00		
STIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO	CONSTRUCTION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00		
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO)	2 2 2 2 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Es 2.67% 1.07%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00	Co	
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM	CONSTRUCTION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ 2.67% 1.07%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 timated CEO \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated	Co	entracted CEO
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Es 2.67% 1.07%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Co	ontracted CEO Contracted
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-A)	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 2.67% 1.07% \$	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 timated CEO \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Co	ntracted CEO
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Es 2.67% 1.07%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 timated CEO \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Co	ontracted CEO Contracted
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-A)	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 2.67% 1.07% \$	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Co	ontracted CEO Contracted
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM)	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Con	ontracted CEO Contracted
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00	Con	Contracted CEO
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM)	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00	Con	Contracted ADMIN
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Services 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8-2) CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM)	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60%	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated	Con	Contracted CEO
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM)	2 2 2 2 TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60% \$ Esti	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00	Con	Contracted ADMIN Contracted tracted TASKS
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Services 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM) ATIVE EXPENSES TO	Z Z Z Z TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS CODE 4 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60% \$ Esti	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00	Con	Contracted ADMIN
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Services 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 1 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM)	Z Z Z Z TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS CODE 4 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60% \$ Esti	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00	Con	Contracted ADMIN Contracted tracted TASKS
OJECT ESTIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS 1 ADDITIONAL	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM) ATIVE EXPENSES TO PROJECT TASKS TO	Z Z Z Z TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS CODE 4 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60% \$ Esti	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00 Imated TASKS	Con	Contracted ADMIN Contracted tracted TASKS Contracted
UJECI ESIIMATED	2 Data & Telecommunication System 3 MDWASD System Betterment 4 Other Construction Related Service 5 Construction Contingency Allowand 6 CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATIO 1 CONST. ENGINEERING OBSERV. (8- 2 Construction Management by CIP CM CONSTRUCTION A ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM 2 ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS 1 ADDITIONAL	CONSTRUCTION TO N (8-CEO) CEO) ADMINISTRATION TO DM) ATIVE EXPENSES TO	Z Z Z Z TALS CODE 3 03.02 TALS CODE 4 TALS	0.4% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% \$ Est 0.60% \$ Esti	\$ 150,000.00 \$ 90,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 \$ 3,000,000.00 Estimated 37,470,000.00 \$ 1,000,000.00 \$ 400,000.00 Estimated 1,400,000.00 Imated ADMIN \$ 210,800.00 Estimated 210,800.00	Con	Contracted ADMIN Contracted tracted TASKS

-	structure will h	i College of Policing" will be a new four (a house; 1) a Miami Police Academy that in	includes trainin	ng and administrative s	spaces	s, student quarters, lock	ker rooms, indoor
SCOPE	Forensic Scier an Auditorium	SWAT area and emergency operation ce ences with classrooms, labs, locker room: n and Gysnasium. ade County Schools contribution to this p	ns, dining area	and administrative spa	aces. 1	The Academy and the I	
PROJECT							
	Operating C	Cost Associated with Project:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2 YI	EAR :	3 YEAR 4	YEAR 5
Notes							
_						***************************************	
		AWARD NAME AND	NIIMBER			AVAILABLE	FUTURE
S	385200-	1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1)		Traning Facility Fundin	ng \$	5,000.24	
CE		1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1)		Traning Facility Funding		i	
CR		2 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 2)		Traning Facility Funding			
o		Impact Fees		Training Facility Funding			
S		Impact Fees - Ord 12750		Training Facility Funding			
FUND		School Board of Miami-Dade County - Grant		Training Facility	\$		
	B-72910	FUND GRAND TOTAL			\$	ACTUAL 37,477,604.00	PROJECTED
	Initiated by:	Marcel Douge		Variet)	<u> </u>	Date:	11/15/07
-	Approved by:	Senior Project Manager N/A		\$ignature -		<i>U</i>	, ,
	Apploved by.	Senior Project Manager	<u></u>	Signature /		Date:	
~	Reviewed by:	•	1	(6.1)	H	/ Date:	11/15/07
ATION	 	Assistant Director: Capital Improvements		Signature	4	2	
	Reviewed by:	Yvette Maragh		1 Mar	v ac	Date:	ulistoz
ALID	l	CIP Budget Administrator		Signature			1111
4	Verified by: E	Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls	Staff	Unitials Role	4	1	11.169
	Accepted by :					Date:	10/10/1
		Director: Capital Improvements		Signature	了	2000	110011
	Approved by :	Adam L. Burden II	<u> </u>	Ligary	L	Date:	1/ Jav 101
-	l	Assistant Chief: Police	404 Flaan 6a.	Signature Source		!	
井		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker / 1	Oth Floor Sou	Ith Conference Room	<u>n</u>	Inititals	
s	Receip	t of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP Pu	ublic Relatio	ns Coordinator			

Project MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

Pate Received / Signature or Initials

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM



PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

1. DATE: _10/24/06 DISTRICT: _2 NAME OF PROJECT: POLICE TRAINING FACILITY- DESIGN PHASE INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Capital Improvements & Transportation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Marcel Douge (305)416-1245 C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Marcel Douge RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: Acct# 312043 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-72610
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes, TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,284,998 (\$1,466,000 from Police Training Facility) Series I + Various Funding Sources. SOURCE OF FUNDS: Police Training Facility ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 312043
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE: Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: _For the provision of comprehensive architectural and engineering services for the project. (See Attached background Information)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 10/18/06 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 10/24/06 Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 10/12/06 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
Approved by Commission?
ndividuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
iscal Impact
The language
ime impact YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: Pproved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
COMMENTS: Board recommends that an agreement be made between the City and MDCPS (Miami Dade ounty Public Schools) stating that the City gets reimbursed if the school board decides to leave the project.
PPROVAL: DATE:10/24/06 BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🗌 NO



Date Prepared:	6/15/2006
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: College	of Policing Police-Traini	ing facility	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	er of NW 2nd Ave & NW 3rd Street	PROJECT No.:	B-72910	
NET OFFICE: Downtown	n	DISTRICT:	Citywide	
CLIENT DEPT: Police		EST. PROJECT COST:	\$35,046,000	
CLIENT CONTACT: Major Dav	vid Rivero TEL.: (305) 579-6	592 ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$10,000,000	
PROJECT MANAGER: Marcel Do	Duge TEL.: (305) 416-1	245 PROCUREMENT:	Formal Bid	
CONSTR. MANAGER:	TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical	
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:			
EST. DESIGN START: 5/5/2006	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 6/	30/2007	
EST. DESIGN END: 5/4/2007	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 11		

B. Design Svcs CIP	A.		UCTION PHASE	Percentage					
2 Additional Services: 1.0% \$2.20,0 B. Design Svcs CIP				<u></u>					
B. Design Svcs CIP 1 In-house Basic Design Fee: 2 In-house Additional Design Services: 3 In-house Additional Design Services: 3 In-house Additional Design Services: 4 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 5 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 6 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 7 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 8 Sub-Total: 9 Survey: 9 Vendor: 9 Survey: 9 Vendor: 9 Survey: 9 Vendor: 9 Survey: 9 Vendor: 9 Energy / HVAC Calculations: 9 Vendor: 9 Phase I Environmental: 9 Survey: 9 Vendor: 10 Archeological Survey: 10 Vendor: 11 Other: 12 Constr. Materials Testing 13 Vendor: 14 Other: 15 Special Fees / Assessments: 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): 1 South Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): 1 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 1 Usa: Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 1 HSS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 3 Sub-Total: 3 Sub-Total: 3 Sub-Total: 4 Sub-Total: 4 Sub-Total: 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 7 HSS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 8 Sub-Total: 8				8.8%	\$2,200,00				
B. Design Svcs CIP 1 In-house Basic Design Fee: 0.0% 2 In-house Additional Design Services: 0.0% 2 In-house Additional Design Services: 0.0% 3UB-TOTAL: SUB-TOTAL: SUB-TOTAL: 0.0% 3T50,0 C Production Management Services 1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 3.0% \$750,0 2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% 3UB-TOTAL: \$750,0 D Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: A.R. Toussaint 311,0 2 Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$2,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: \$15,0 6 Energy I-HVAC Calculations: Vendor: \$70,0 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$70,0 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$70,0 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 12 Maimi-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) \$10,0 13 Florida Department of Environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver \$50,0 14 FDOT (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver \$50,0 15 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): \$50,0 16 Cher: \$50,0 17 CPLOST Serview, inspections, etc.): \$50,0 18 Other: \$50,0 19 Cher:		2	Additional Services:	1.0%	\$250,00				
In-house Basic Design Fee: 0.0%	1.	n	in a con	SUB-TOTAL:	\$2,450,00				
2 In-house Additional Design Services: Outh Sub-Total: C Production Management Services 1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% D Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: A.R. Toussaint 1 Survey: Vendor: 2 Re-plat: Vendor: 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: 12 Mami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 8 Sub-Total: 8 Sub	P.								
C Production Management Services 1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% 5750,0 Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey:	1			0.0%	\$				
Production Management Services 1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 3.0% \$750,0 2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% D Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: A.R. Toussaint \$11,0 2 Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$2,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: \$15,0 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: \$15,0 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$70,0 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 12 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$5,0 1 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$33,0 8 Other: \$33,346 Other: \$33,346 Other:	ł	2	In-nouse Additional Design Services:	0.0%	\$				
1 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% SUB-TOTAL: 5750,0 D Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: Re-plat: Vendor: 2 Re-plat: Vendor: 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: 8 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: 12 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 1 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 3 Sub-TOTAL: 3 Sub-TOTAL: 4 Sub-TOTAL: 5 Sub-TOTA	1	Bro	duction items	SUB-TOTAL:	\$				
2 Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0% Discrepance Sub-total: \$750,0	١٢								
D Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: A.R. Toussaint \$11,0 2 Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: Vendor: \$15,0 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: Vendor: \$15,0 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$10,0 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$10,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$10,0 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 12 Special Fees / Assessments: \$138,0 13 Florida Department of Environmental permits, etc.): Fee Walver \$10,0 14 FDOT (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): \$10,0 15 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 16 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$3,0 17 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 18 Other: \$10,0 19 Sub-TOTAL: \$8,0		2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	3.0%	\$750,00				
Miscellaneous Services 1 Survey: Vendor: A.R. Toussaint \$11,0 2 Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 3 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 5 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: Vendor: \$15,0 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: \$15,0 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$70,0 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 12 Special Fees / Assessments: \$18,0 13 ENERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver \$10,0 14 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 15 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 16 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$3,0 17 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 18 Other: \$10,0 18 Sub-Total: \$8,0 19 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,0 19 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,0			Prod. Wight. or Odiside Consultant by Industry Partner:	0.0%	\$				
Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$15,0 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 Littlity Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 Asbestos Survey: Vendor: Vendor: \$15,0 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: Vendor: Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$15,0 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$10,0 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$10,0 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$70,0 Cither: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$10,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: Fee Waiver Fee Waiver Fee Waiver Fordida Department of Environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver Fee	D	Mis	cellaneous Services	SUB-TOTAL:	\$750,00				
Re-plat: Vendor: \$2,0 Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: \$15,0 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: \$15,0 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: Vendor: Phase I Environmental: Vendor: Phase I Environmental: Vendor: \$70,0 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: \$70,0 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$70,0 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: Fee Waiver Fee Wai	1	1	Survey: Vendor, A.R. Toussaint		644.00				
Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: Asbestos Survey: Vendor: Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: Phase I Environmental: Vendor: Phase II Environmental: Vendor: Structural Testing: Vendor: Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: Sub-Total: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver [Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): E South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,346,00 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00		2	Po elet						
4 Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor: 5 Asbestos Survey: Vandor: 6 Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: 7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: 8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: 5 Special Fees / Assessments: 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 5 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 8 SUB-TOTAL: 8 \$3,00 8 Other: 9 SUB-TOTAL: \$3,00 9 STRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,00	1		Geotechnical Testing: Vendor:						
Asbestos Survey: Vendor: Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor: Phase I Environmental: Vendor: Phase II Environmental: Vendor: Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$40,0 Tother: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$438,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: Fee Waiver [Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$5,0 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$3,0 Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0	1	4	Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		\$15,00				
7 Phase I Environmental: Vendor: 8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$440,0 11 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 12 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver [13 DERM (Plans review, environmental Protection (Plan review) 14 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 15 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 16 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$3,0 16 Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0	1	5	Asbestos Survey: Vendor:						
8 Phase II Environmental: Vendor: 9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: Fee Waiver [Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$33,0 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$33,0 Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0	l			·					
9 Structural Testing: Vendor: \$70,0 10 Archeological Survey: Vendor: \$40,0 11 Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 12 Special Fees / Assessments: \$138,0 13 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver [1 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 8 Other: \$3,0	1								
Archeological Survey: Vendor: Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: SUB-TOTAL: \$138,0 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver [Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0	1								
Tother: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor: \$40,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: \$138,0 E Special Fees / Assessments: \$138,0 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$5,0 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): \$5,0 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): \$3,0 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 Other: Sub-TOTAL: \$8,0	1				\$70.00				
E Special Fees / Assessments: 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): Fee Waiver 2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): 4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): 7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 8 Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0	1			····	Ψ/ O,OO				
E Special Fees / Assessments: 1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.): 2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): 4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 5 South Florida Water Management District (Permits): 6 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): 9 Other: \$3,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346 DISTRICT OF THE WASSESSMENT OF THE WASS		11	Other: Constr. Materials Testing Vendor:		\$40,000				
Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 Other: \$3,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00	E	Spe	cial Fees / Assessments:	SUB-TOTAL:	\$138,000				
Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 Other: \$3,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00		1 DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):							
Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): \$5,0 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 Other: \$8,0		2	Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)		\$(
FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: \$3,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00	L	3	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):		\$				
South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00		4	FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		\$5,00				
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0		5	South Florida Water Management District (Permits):	·					
7 HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): \$3,0 8 Other: \$8,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,04	l	6	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):						
SUB-TOTAL: \$8,0 PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,01	1	7	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):		6 2 000				
PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3.346.0		8	Other:		\$3,000				
PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL: \$3,346,00	<u> </u>			SUB-TOTAL:	\$8,000				
CONSTRUCTION PHASE	<u> </u>		PRODUCTION	PHASE TOTAL:	\$3,346,000				
	CC	3 4 5 6 7 8	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.): South Florida Water Management District (Permits): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits): HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.): Other: PRODUCTION						
	F		SOC Contractor:						
JOC Contractor:			Construction Estimate:		\$25,000.00				
1 Construction Estimate:	ł	2	Conungency Allowance:	10.0%					
1 Construction Estimate: \$25,000,00 2 Contingency Allowance: \$25,000,00			Data a Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):		\$50,00				
1 Construction Estimate: \$25,000,00		3			770,00				
1 Construction Estimate: \$25,000,00 Contingency Allowance: \$25,000,00 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): \$50,00		4	Tixtures, Furniture and Equipment:						
1 Construction Estimate: \$25,000,00 2 Contingency Allowance: 10.0% \$2,500,00 3 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): \$50,00 4 Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: \$50,00		4 5	WASA System Betterment:						
Construction Estimate: \$25,000,0 Contingency Allowance: 10.0% \$2,500,0 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): \$50,00 Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: \$50,00 WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:		4 5 6	WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:						
1 Construction Estimate: \$25,000,00 2 Contingency Allowance: 10.0% \$2,500,00 3 Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.): \$50,00 4 Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: \$50,00		4 5 6	WASA System Betterment: FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:						

Bahr. L

G City an	nd other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees	
, -	City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dept. Public Works	
2 M	fiami-Dade County Impact Fees:	\$25,000
3 M	fiami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
4 0	Other:	
	SUB-TOTA	L: \$25,000
	CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTA	L: \$27,575,000
CONSTR H Constr Constr Constr	UCTION ADMINISTRATION	
H Constr	ruction Inspection Services - CIP: 0.6)% \$
I Constr	ruction Mgmt Industry Partner: 0.0)% \$
J Constr	ruction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 10.0	3% \$2,500,00
K JOCA	Administration 1.5	5% \$375 ,00
	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL	L: \$2,875,00
)		
1	TRATIVE EXPENSES	
		0% \$1,250,00
M Indust	ry Partner Program Mgmt. Support: 0.	0% 5
	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTA	AL: \$1,250,00
LAND AC	CQUISITION EXPENSES	
N Land	Cost:	
O Transa	action Costs: 0.	0% 5
	LAND ACQUISITION TOTA	\L: \$
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	T: \$35,046,00
2	the Miami Police S.W.A.T. Operations and the Emergency Operations Center of the Miami Fire	
		Department.
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defin	Department.
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defin	Department.
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defin	e Department.
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined the contribution to the project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined. Fiscal Year Available	ned in an interlocal
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	ned in an interlocal unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0
The Miamiagreement.	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defin Fiscal Year Available 385200-1 Homeland Defense Bonds CIP # 312043 Amo 385200-2 Homeland Defense Bonds CIP # 312043 Amo	ned in an interlocal unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second of the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second of the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second of the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to the	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	unt: \$1,466.0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. The Miamiagreement. Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	unt: \$1,466.0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: unt:
The Miamiagreement. The Miamiagreement. Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second	unt: \$1,466.0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: unt:
Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined and will be defined and will be defined by the contribution to this project is beeing negotiation. Fiscal Year Available Fi	unt: \$1,466.0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: unt:
Fund:	Dade County Public Schools contribution to this project is beeing negotiatiated and will be defined by the second of the second	unt: \$1,466,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: \$8,534,0 unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: unt: out: Date: 6, 20.06

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM



1. DATE : <u>12/18/07</u>	DISTRICT: 5
	NAL FUNDING FOR LUMMUS PARK - POLICE STABLES
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DI	N/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Jim Brittain (305)416-1047</u>
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT	
RESOLUTION NUMBER:	
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION	: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes,
	718 (additional funding is only \$618,718)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Homeland Def	ense Police Preparedness Initiatives
If grant funded, is there a City match re	quirement? TYES NO
AMOUNT:	EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YE	S NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance	Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provide	ed input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Proj	ect scopes includes design and build of a new 4,500 s.f. "Barn" structure to
site improvements for driveways & park	rooms facilities & supporting storage rooms for the mounted patrol. Provide
ADA Compliant? YES NO	J N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES □ NO □ N/A DATE APPROVED: 12/12/07
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED : <u>12/18/07</u>
Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED :
Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 🔲 6 months 🔲 12 m	onths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	
Has a conceptual cost estimate been dev	reloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:	
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project bu	dend TYPE NO
If not, have additional funds been identi	
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission: Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	
Individuals / Departments who provide	
	a input.
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Cinal I	THE TAKE HOWARDOW
Fiscal Impact Have additional funds been identified?	YES NO HOW MUCH?
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:	
APPROVAL:	DATE: 12/18/07
BOND OVERSIGHT E	SOARD
	Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Capital Improvements & Transportation

CIP 🗸 [

Date Prepared: 30-Oct-2007
VERSION REV04

PROGRAM AREA 1-Public Safety 312-Public Safety PROJECT NAME: Lummus Park - Police Stables PROJECT NO: B-30320 ADDRESS / LOCATION: 360 NW 3rd St. DISTRICT: 5 PROJECT TEAM: Vertical PROJECT CONTRACTED COST: \$ 1,317,051.99 **CATEGORY: Police** PROJECT EST. COST: \$ 1,418,718.00 CLIENT DEPT: 29-Police **CURRENT FUNDS: \$** 1,418,718.00 **CLIENT CONTACT: Martin Garcia** TEL.: (305) 603-6146 **FUTURE FUNDS: DESIGN MANAGER: Marcel Douge (CIP)** TEL.: 305-416-1245 **FUND SHORTFALL:** CONSTR. MANAGER: James Brittain (CIP) TEL.: 813-363-1623 PROCUREMENT: JOC EST. DESIGN START: 11/17/04 **EST. BID ADV.: EST. CONSTRUCTION START:** 08/01/05 EST. DESIGN END: 03/25/05 **EST. AWARD DATE: EST. CONSTRUCTION END:** 03/01/08 PRODUCTION PHASE (3-DES) % of % of Estimated Design Contracted Design Const Const. **Prime Consultant:** 2035 MC Harry & Associates Inc CODE **Outside Consultant - Prime Basic Design Fee** 1.01 8.0% \$ 89,172.00 S **Outside Consultant - Additional Design Services** 1.4% 1.01 \$ 15,994.50 STS 000 **Estimated** Contracted PRODUCTION TOTALS 105,166.50 103,797.41 TUA CONSTRUCTION PHASE (4-CON) Contracted Construction Estimated Construction by (Formal Bid, Informal Bid or **Prime Contractor:** CODE 2962 BMA Construction, Inc. ပ JOC Method) ⋖ 93% \$ Construction Cost (Prime Contractor) 1.038.548.36 Q Change Orders (Prime Contractor) 2 7% \$ 2 78,189.19 ₹ 3 ED **Estimated** Contracted **CONSTRUCTION TOTALS** \$ 1,116,737.55 1,038,548.36 ⋖ CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (8-CEO) TIMI CODE Estimated CEO Contracted CEO Construction Engineering Observation (CEO) Consultant 3.01 11.5% \$ 127,950.00 S 2 JOC Administration - The Gordian Group (Always 1.5%) 1.5% \$ 16,751.15 Щ 3 CT **Estimated** Contracted **CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTALS** OJE 144,701.15 143,528.22 **ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (6-ADM)** CODE Estimated ADMIN Contracted ADMIN œ CIP Department (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.) 4.7% \$ 52,112.80 2 **Estimated** Contracted **ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTALS** 52,112.80 31,178.00 ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS CODE Estimated TASKS Contracted TASKS **Estimated** Contracted **ADDITIONAL PROJECT TASKS TOTALS Estimated** Contracted B-30320 PROJECT GRAND TOTAL 1,418,718.00 1,317,051.99

_		ild a new 4,500 s.f. "Barn" structure to			ce, restroc	m facilities	& supportin	g storage r	ooms for
	the mounted patrol. Provide site improvements for driveways & parking area.□								
Ĕ	П								
0 P									
Ç									
S									
-									
EC									
0									
œ									
Q.	On a matimar C.	and Approinted with Projects	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	3 YEA	D 4	YEAR 5	
	Operating Co	ost Associated with Project:	\$ 15,000	\$ 17,000			23,000	\$	27,000
			ψ 15,000	17,000	Ψ 20,	σσο ; ψ	25,000	Ι Ψ	27,000
	L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		
					•			······································	
S	Operating co	osts \$1000/yr/horse, plus water,se	ewer,electric ai	nd office supp	olies.				
Notes		•							
ž									
	•								
S						AVAIL	ABLE	FUT	URE
CE		AWARD NAME AND	NUMBER						
œ	385200-1 2002 Homeland Defense Bonds (Series 1) 312048 Police Homeland Defense Prepa					\$ 73,959.20			
00						1,2	264,758.80		
Š							80,000.00		
٥									
N C	B-30320	FUND GRAND TOTAL		^		ACTU		PROJE	CTED
н	<u>B-30320</u>	TOND GRAND TOTAL		$\bigcirc \mathcal{A}$	_ , \$	1,4	18,718.00		
				Menta	Aller			3000	47
	Initiated by:	James Brittain		Signature	vyc-		_ Date:	0 1	
		Project Manager	//	Signalure	/ ^				
	Reviewed by:	Marcel Douge	/\		_ Date:				
×		Senior Project Manager		Signature /	1			A	- ~ -
0	Approved by:	David Mendez		<u>h</u>	Date:	30 OC	01		
7.1		Assistant Director: Capital Improvements Signature						4	
A	Reviewed by:	Yvette Maragh	Mar	ach	Date:	_10[30]	107		
riD,		CIP Budget Administrator Signature							•
VAI	Verified by: Edwige De Crumpe / Program Controls Staff								
>									
	Accepted by :			1			Date:	11/24/57	
		Director: Capital Improvements		Signature 7				. * Z. ` .	/
	Approved by :	Martin Garcia		40. XI	Gui	eu	_ Date/	1 /26/	クフ
		Police Department		sign eture				/ /	
		ORIGINAL TO: Melanie Whitaker /	10th Floor Sout	n Conference R	toom		Inititals		

S	Receip	t of PAF by Danette Perez - CIP P	ublic Relations	Coordinator					
Notes									
2	Project	t MIIST he Presented to the Ri	and Aversiah	t Roard		Date Rece	ived / Sid	anature or	Initials

Executed PAF MUST be electronically distributed to the following individuals:

Project MUST be Presented to the Bond Oversight Board

Director of the Client Department, Yvette Maragh, Edwige De Crumpe, Senior Project Manager and Project Manager.

Date Received / Signature or Initials #1/26/07 ZP.

CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE:

30 OCT 07

TO:

Ola Aluko

Director of Capital Improvements

FROM:

James Brittain, P.E.

Construction Project Manager, Capital Improvement & Transportation

SUBJECT:

PAF Explanation (

Project: Name:

MPD Lummus Park Stables

Project Number:

B-30320

Attached please find a revised Project Activation Form Rev. 4 for the above referenced project. The Construction budget has been adjusted to cover a design revision to the internal site drainage as requested by the Client – MPD. The original design provided for onsite ponds and swales, which could be detrimental to the horses. The revised design provides for inlets and French drains.

In addition the change also covers payments made by the Contractor to FPL to install necessary transformers to provide power to the facility and for additional security systems requested by the MPD.

All the work, except for the drainage has been completed by the contractor.

The change also includes fees due the A/E for the drainage design.

RECORDED 11/24/57

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

1. DATE:1/23/04
NAME OF PROJECT: MIAMI POLICE DEPARTMENT STABLES INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Capital Improvements
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Cary Sanchez-Rea / 305. 416-1094
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 312048
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-30320
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: _\$800,000 (\$5.5 million allocated; estimated current balance is \$3,076,450) SOURCE OF FUNDS:HDNI Bond - Police Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiatives
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 312048
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s): Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input: Cary Sanchez-Rea & George Sainz
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: 4.000 sf stable: 11 regular stables and 1 stable for sick horses (to be mechanically
ventilated). Office, restroom with changing area, tack room, and feed room (all to be air conditioned). Covered
norse washing station approximately 10'X10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and drain connected to sewer.
Stable building aisle to be finished in asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system as specified by Mounted Patrol Department. A375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays) Rest of scope is attached.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
A THE COURT OF THE COURT AND COURT OF THE CO
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/16/04 Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 11/23/04
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
I. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
individuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Fime impact Approved by Commission? YES NON N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Issue should be reviewed by the Parks Advisory Board. Bring schematics to Board to justify
cost. Police Department needs to give Board a letter saying they will get original list of equipment from another
funding source or that the equipment is not needed.
01/17/1
APPROVAL: DATE:
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	9/27/2004
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: MMPD Sta	ibles		
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 360 N.W. 3r	d Street	PROJECT No.:	B-30320
NET OFFICE:		DISTRICT:	D2
CLIENT DEPT: Police		EST. PROJECT COST:	\$607,360
CLIENT CONTACT: Robert Bake	er TEL.: (305)987-9615	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$800,000
PROJECT MANAGER: George Sain	nz TEL.: (305)416-1077	PROCUREMENT:	
CONSTR. MANAGER: George Sair	nz TEL.: (305)416-1077	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 10/4/2004	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 2/	1/2005
EST. DESIGN END: 1/3/2005	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 4/4	4/2005

Α			PHASE		Percentage			
		•	- Outside Consultant	Prime Consultant: M. C. Harry	y & Associates			
		Basic Fe			15.5%	\$68,20		
	2	Addition	al Services:		2.4%	\$10,56		
	_				SUB-TOTAL:	\$78,76		
В.		ign Svcs.						
			Basic Design Fee:		0.0%	\$		
	2	In-house	Additional Design Serv	/ices:	0.0%	\$		
					SUB-TOTAL:	\$		
С			anagement Services					
			gmt, of Outside Consulta		1.0%	\$4,40		
	2	Prod. Mg	gmt. of Outside Consulta	ant by Industry Partner:	1.5%	\$6,60		
					SUB-TOTAL:	\$11,00		
D	Misc	eilaneous	s Services					
		Survey:		Vendor:		\$2,00		
		Re-plat:		Vendor:				
			nical Testing:	Vendor:		\$4,00		
			cations (Soft Digs):	Vendor:				
		Asbestos		Vendor:				
			HVAC Calculations:	Vendor:				
			Environmental:	Vendor:				
	8	Phase II	Environmental:	Vendor:				
			al Testing:	Vendor:				
	10	Archeolo	ogical Survey:	Vendor:				
	11	Other:	Archeological monitor	ring Vendor:		\$3,50		
					SUB-TOTAL:	\$9,50		
Ε	•		/ Assessments:					
			Fee Waiver	\$2,000				
	2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review) 3 Florida Department of Environmental Portection (Plantic)					\$1,500		
	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits): FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):							
						\$3,00		
			orida Water Manageme					
			ny Corps of Engineers (F					
			ans review, inspections,	etc.):				
	8	Other:						
					SUB-TOTAL:	\$6,500		
- 5	100				PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$105.760		
_				<u></u>	TRODUCTION THASE TOTAL:	\$103,760		
co	CONSTRUCTION PHASE							
F	COLL		ction Estimate:	JOC Contractor:	***************************************	m 4 40 0		
F	4	Constitut				\$440,00		
F					5.0%	\$22,00		
F	2	Continge		4 m				
F	2 3	Continge Data & T	elecommunication System					
F	2 3 4	Continge Data & T Fixtures,	elecommunication Systematics Furniture and Equipment					
F	2 3 4 5	Data & T Fixtures, WASA S	elecommunication Syste Furniture and Equipment System Betterment:	int:				
F	2 3 4 5 6	Data & T Fixtures, WASA S FPL Con	elecommunication Systematics Furniture and Equipment	int:				
F	2 3 4 5 6	Data & T Fixtures, WASA S	elecommunication Syste Furniture and Equipment System Betterment:	int:				

	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees 1 City of Miami Permits: BI	lda. Dept. Public Worl	Jump Parker	
	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	ldg. Dept. Public Worl	ks	
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Mor	nitoring:	-	
	4 Other:			
			SUB-TOTAL:	\$
111		CONST	RUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$462,000
ATE	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION			
TIMA	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP:		0.0%	\$6
	Construction Mgmt Industry Partner:	***************************************	2.0%	\$8,800
ES	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) -	Industry Partner	0.0%	\$(
ST	K JOC Administration		4.0%	\$17,600
00		CONSTRUCTION	ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$26,400
C T	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES			
JE	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Com	ım.):	2.0%	\$8,800
RO.	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt. Support:		1.0%	\$4,400
P		ADMINISTRA	ATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$13,200
	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES	-		
	N Land Cost: O Transaction Costs:			
	O ITAISACION COSIS.		0.0%	\$0
			ND ACQUISITION TOTAL:	\$0
	GF	RAND TOTAL - ESTIMAT	ED PROJECT COST:	\$607,360
JECT SCOPE	changing area, tack room, and feed room (all to be 10" x 10"), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system : 2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding.	Department. , fencing to be approximately 300 walkways.	
CT SCOP	 10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system at 2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighting. 	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand.	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. ass.	
ROJECT SCOP	 10" x 10"), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system at 2, 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightiful 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6. 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grows 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. It system, ground to be seeded g	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. ass.	
OTES PROJECT SCOP	 10" x 10"), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system at 2, 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightiful 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6. 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grows 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. It system, ground to be seeded g	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. rass. grass.	long.
CES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	 10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system at 2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighting 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable buth 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grow 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. 	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. It is system, ground to be seeded ground to be ground t	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. rass. Jeross. Fiscal Year Available	long.
URCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), gro 8) 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation.	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. ass. grass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount:	long.
RCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grow 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Homeland Defense Funds Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP #	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. ass. grass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount:	long.
D SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system: 2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable bu 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), gro 8) 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Homeland Defense Funds Fund: Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. rass. grass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	long.
SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable bu 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), gro 8) 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. rass. friscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	long.
ND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighting (5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grown 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. If fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. If it is a proximately 300 walkways. Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	\$800,000
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable bu 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), gro 8) 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. rass. friscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount:	long.
UND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightifs of the control of the cont	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. fencing to be approximately 300 walkways. ass. grass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: L ALLOCATED AMOUNT:	\$800,000
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighting (5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grown 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund:	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP #	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date:	\$800,000
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighting 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable but 60 K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), grow 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fun	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP #	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: L ALLOCATED AMOUNT:	\$800,000 \$800,000
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightifs of the control of the cont	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP #	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date:	\$800,000
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightifs of the control of the cont	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP #	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date:	\$800,000 \$800,000
ALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lighti 5) New driveway from NW 3rd Court into stable bu 6) K-9 training area (equipment to be provided by 7) 40' diameter ring (to be provided by Police), gro 8) 100' x 50' paddock, with PVC perimeter fencing 9) Facility security lighting. 10) Landscaping and irrigation. Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Fund: Cary Sanchez-Rea	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP #	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date:	\$800,000 \$800,000
LIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJECT SCOP	10' x 10'), with brushed concrete finished floor and asphalt. All horse stables to have flooring system (2) 375 SF auxiliary building (divided in two bays). 3) New metal picket fence (to match existing) with 4) Parking with at least 10 spaces, to include lightifs of the control of the cont	as specified by Mounted Patrol gate, along west side of facility, ing. New on-site roadways and uilding. Police), ground to be seeded ground to be sand. g system, ground to be seeded g CIP # 312048 CIP # CIP # CIP # CIP # Sign Sign	Department. If encing to be approximately 300 walkways. Pass. Fiscal Year Available Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Date: Date:	\$800,000 \$800,000

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM



UPDATE

NAME OF PROJECT: Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: <u>Conferences, Conventions, and Public Facilities</u> INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: <u>Alex Argudin 305.579.6341</u>
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: RESOLUTION NUMBER: \$\int \cdot 03 \cdot 33 \rightarrow CIP/PROJECT NUMBER:
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE) 2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
FOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,022,100
SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$538,036 ACCOUNT CODE(S): 326015 Homeland Defense Citywide CIP #
Waterfront Improvements
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: \$538,036 EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted? TYES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
B. SCOPE OF PROJECT: Individuals / Departments who provided input: <u>Alex Argudin and Mary Whitehead</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Public Boat Docking and Mooring Facilities. This project will provide for moorings for transient vessels, the latest environmentally safe embedment anchors, facilities will also provide pump out operations to eliminate discharge of waste.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 3/21/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? XYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 32503
Approved by Commission? X YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 3/13/63 Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval \(\text{S 6 months} \) 12 months \(\text{Date for next Oversight Board Update:} \) \(\text{9.21.03} \)
CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
Justifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH? Have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Time impact
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS: Find Grant Cost \$484,064
0 + 1 = 0
APPROVAL: 12 DATE: 5 - 9 - 2003
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials YES NO

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS.

Alejandra Argudin of the Department of Conferences, Conventions and Public Facilities appeared before the Board concerning an emergency request for funding (approximately \$538,000) of the Dinner Key Mooting Anchorage Field Project. The Department is applying for a FIND Grant (approximately \$484,000) for this project, and will be iddressing the Commission at the March 25, 2009 Commission realing regarding this project.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-25

A MOTION TO RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD HMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE DINNER KEY MOORING ANCHORAGE FIELD PROJECT

MOVED: L de ROSA SECONDED: M REYES

BSENT: S. ARMBRISTER, R. CAYARD; M. LOYAL; D. MARKO

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

HD/NIB MOTION 08-28

A MOTION TO ADJOURN TODAY'S MEETING.

MOVED M. REYES SECONDED: M. CRUZ

ABSÉNTE . SE SEARMBRISTER RECAVARD M. LOYAL

D. MARKO:

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Boar

7. Dinner Key Mooring & Anchorage Field Project.

The project is proceeding well. A typewritten status report on various phases of the project was provided to the Board. An update will be provided to the Board within six months.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Board Member Reshefsky reminded the Board of the necessity of attending Audit Subcommittee meetings as often as possible.

Chairman Flanders recognized the immense amount of work covered by the Audit Subcommittee on behalf of the Board. He further reminded both the Board and City staff of the importance of participating in the work of the Audit Subcommittee and the importance of attending all BOB meetings. He endorsed the requests of Board Members Reshefsky and Marko to have responsibilities of the Audit Subcommittee rotated among all members of the Board.

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

No additional items.

Meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

UPDATES:

 Model City Trust original \$1,800,000 for Replacement of HOME Investment Partnership Funds.

Report by Marva Wiley, Acting President of the Model City Community Revitalization District Trust. A finalized list as to what needs to be refunded is being prepared. Initially, the supporting documentation for the \$1.8 million that was requested reflected a list of properties thought to have been acquired between February and May of 2002. The number that the City is requesting be refunded is now \$2.4 million, reflecting several properties. There is a need to increase the amount to capture the full impact of the full list of acquisitions up to the present. Present total amount is \$3.6 million. \$2.4 million of that amount represents refunding of HOME funds or addressing prior acquisitions and additional payments charged acquisitions through HOME funds and approximately \$1.2 million represents current acquisitions. Bond counsel will provide a written opinion as to whether or not the manner in which the exchange/replacement/transfer of funds is being suggested is legal.

Model City Trust's Office Renovation at Hadley Park.

Report by Marva Wiley, Acting President, Model City Community Revitalization District Trust. Ms. Wiley presented the Board with pictures of office renovations of the existing meeting room. The Trust moved into the office in January 2004.

3. Dinner Key Mooring & Anchorage Field Project.

Report by Alexandra Argudin - Conferences, Conventions Public Facilities Dept. The permit applications for the construction of the Mooring Facility was submitted to Federal, State and County regulatory agencies January 12, 2004. The Marina Manager and Ms. Argudin met with the permitting representatives of these agencies on February 25, 2004 at Dinner Key to discuss the project, provide a tour of the anchorage and respond to some of the initial questions the agencies had re the project. A formal response to those questions from the City's consultant to the agencies is being prepared and will be submitted by April 11, 2004. The balance of the permitting process includes responding to questions and requests additional information from the agencies, and it is hoped that the permitting process will be completed by the latter part of this year. To date, the City has expended \$49,125 engineering and consulting expenses related to the preparation

and submittal of agency permit applications, and \$7,288 in permit application fees. Approximately \$15,000 will be expended in the removal of derelict vessels and other debris from the Dinner Key Anchorage area. These costs are all associated with Phase 1 of the project. Phase II will entail the actual construction of the mooring field and upland facilities. All permits should be in place by the end of the year.

4. Virginia Key Beach Park Improvements, Renovations & Repairs - Phase

Report by Sandra Vega and Alberto Corales - CIP Dept. The project is 70 percent complete. The project is expected to be completed by July 2004. The structures that are presently being repaired are the carousel, the small bathhouse, the concession building, the tunnel, the large bathhouse the dance floor and a number of pavilions. The project is advancing according to schedule and according to budget. Photographs of the work in progress were presented to the Board.

5. Police Homeland Defense Preparedness Initiatives

Report by Major Joseph Longueira - Police Department. A spreadsheet of the status of acquisitions was provided to the Board followed by a Power Point presentation which indicated what acquisitions have been made and what acquisitions are pending.

6. FEC Corridor Initiatives.

Report by Carmen Sanchez - Economic Development Dept.; Enrique Nunez - Planning and Zoning Dept; Jorge Cano, CIP Dept. handout of the work in progress was provided to the Board. Department of Economic Development issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to find adequate consultants to provide a transportation/financing/marketing plan. Αt present, response was received re the financing component of the plan and only a couple of responses were received re the marketing and transportation components of the plan. The Department suggested that the RFQ be re-released as individual components rather than as a group in hopes of getting a better pool from which to choose an adequate consultant to carry out the services and Economic Development is in the process of following up on this suggestion. The Department Transportation has brought in a pool of industry consultants to review the scope of infrastructure element needs for that area and once all studies are done, a plan will be developed re infrastructure needs for the area. The FEC Regulating Plan

APPROVED BY AUDIT COMMITTEE: not approved; Audit Subcommittee asked for additional information to be presented at the 10-27-04 BOB Meeting.

A presentation was made by Major Mirabile to explain how this project was for decontamination and there was a unanimous show of hands in favor of this project by the seven board members present.

UPDATES:

- 1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.
- Steven Bogner presented status report. The permit process is expected to be completed by the end of 2004. Army Corp of Engineers are expected to approve the project soon. Mr. Bogner will come back with another status report in three months.
- **2.** Preservation Development Initiative Grant.

 Sarah Eaton expressed disappointment in not having much progress to report. The grant, which is for technical assistance only (no money), is pending at the mercy of the national trust. Ms. Eaton continues to pursue this and will return in six months with another report.
- **3.** Little Haiti Park Land Acquisition 254 NE 59 Terrace, Parcel 68. Dirk Duval, reported that the land had been acquired.
- **4.** Little Haiti Park Demolition of Structure and Removal of Debris at 254 NE 59 Terrace, Parcel 68. Dirk Duval, reported the structure was demolished, secured and fenced in.
- **5.** Procurement of Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park. Dirk Duval, reported that 10 appraisals had been procured and would return with recommendation for condemnation proceedings on certain properties.
- **6.** Brentwood Village Professional Services. Jorge Cano reported this project is temporarily on hold and would bring the issue back on the next agenda.
- 7. Bicentennial Park Seawall/Shoreline Stabilization Phase I. Jorge Cano reported this project is well underway and is about two months ahead of schedule.
- 8. Coral Way Beautification Uplighting Phase I. Jorge Cano reported this project is pending profile requested by Florida Department of Transportation, which should be complete next week. The project is expected to move at a rate of approximately 2-3 blocks per week.
- **9.** Site Furnishings at Coral Gate Park. Ed Blanco reported this project is completed and photographs were available.
- **10.** Site Furnishings at Jose Marti Park. Ed Blanco reported this project is complete and the park is looking better.

- Trust has been successful in receiving other grants, some of them to remediate brownfields (contaminated lands). Some of the HD/NIB funds are to be used as matching funds for remediation of contaminated lands.
- A second priority for the Trust is addressing the digital divide by implementing a hot zone to create the opportunity for residents of the area to have access to the internet.
- Lastly, infrastructure improvements will be addressed.

Ms. Wiley further stated that no one has moved into houses yet. The first priority of the Trust was to acquire all properties prior to beginning construction. The reason for this is once you construct houses, the value of area properties increases. Master plan was approved in 2004. There are 86 properties currently in the inventory; of that 26 are slated for single family homes. There are 16 houses ready for implementation. The past six months have been processing necessary permits.

3. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that: (1) the engineering and permitting consultant is in regular contact; (2) preparing to remove 11 derelict and sunken vessels from project area within the next 45 days and identifying additional vessels to be removed; (3) submitting applications for Florida Inland Navigation District for grant monies.

4. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that permit approvals for fuel dock have been secured; construction phase of project has been put out to bid and responses are due March 22, 2005. The next step is the evaluation of proposals by the CIP Dept. and the approval of the contract by the City Commission.

5. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported this walkway along the Miami River is expected to be completed by September or October 2005. Project includes art pieces and water fountain.

6. Neo Lofts Greenway Segment.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported that a pre-construction meeting took place on February 3rd. Notice to proceed with contract was issued on February 13th. Currently, contractor is acquiring necessary permits. Expecting construction to begin next week. Gary Reshefsky requested before and after photographs. Robert Flanders requested photographs be posted on the board website.

3. Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that when the Homeland bond first began, it was broken down into three fire stations and the training center where the money would be spent. The money was allocated for those four projects. The Department expended money without coming back before the Homeland Board, to lease trailers for use as stations, and to improve sites where the stations were located. In the Department's search for property, appraisals were done that use Homeland bond monies. Lately, the fire fee and other funds have been used to cover the costs of appraisals.

Nikki Lorenzo, Public Facilities, reported that closing was completed on October 21, 2005, on the property on 990 Northeast 79th Street, purchased for 900,000. The other two properties, 958 and 960 Northeast 79th Street, for 1,050,000, closing is expected to be completed next week.

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that one of the properties has a year lease, but the Department will be working with architects and engineers to begin the design process. Station 11 has an architect and engineer company already in the design process.

4. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that construction drawings and engineer have been completed on this project. Permits have been submitted to the agencies. A notice of intent to issue permit was received from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the lead regulatory agency on the project. A Miami citizen objected to the project and filed a petition for administrative hearing. The DEP denied that request and offered that individual 15 days to submit an amended petition. The end of the 15-day period is approaching. When the final permits are secured from all the agencies on this project, the Department will move forward with securing the balance of the funding for this project, which is estimated to be between 750,000 and \$1 million. This project has not taken money out to remove sunken vessels throughout the planned mooring field project area. The Department is hoping to secure FEMA funding for debris removal. Next steps are securing funding, and concurrent with that is continuing to remove the derelict vessels from the mooring field project vessel and citywide.

5. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that CIP is in the process of executing the contract with the contractor. Construction is anticipated to begin in late January.

6. Environmental & Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcels 18, 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that all title issues on the properties have been resolved.

7. Underground Storage Tank Removal at Little Haiti Park Parcels 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that underground tanks were removed on properties, and a clearance letter was obtained from DERM.

3 12/6/05

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 23, 2006.

NOT TAKEN UP DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

NEW ITEM:

Additional Increase in Contract for Enhancements to NW 14th Street Improvements Project

NOT TAKEN UP DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM.

UPDATES:

1. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that the City has received permit approvals from the FDEP and the Army Corps of Engineers for the project. Permits remaining to be obtained are Dade County DERM and the City of Miami, and the City is in the final stages of receiving permit approvals from those agencies. This past Friday, the City presented a formal grant application to the Florida Inland Navigation District, in Coco Beach, for matching grant funding for the balance of the project. The presentation was well-received by the FIND Commission, and a positive outcome is anticipated for late August. The latest round of sunk and abandoned and derelict vessel removal citywide was recently completed, which included the removal of sunken vessels and debris in the project area. Next steps for the project are to complete the outstanding permitting requirements with Dade County DERM, obtain the matching grant from the Florida Inland Navigation District that will enable the Department to begin construction, and then initiate construction. If everything goes according to plan, construction is anticipated to begin in October of this year, with completion of the project in June 2007.

Rolando Aedo questioned whether the current project had any correlation with the fuel station project. Mr. Bogner stated that the fuel project would support the mooring field in that there would be a dedicated pump-out facility located at the fuel dock. In addition, there would be an additional source of projected revenue from customers out in the mooring field.

Rolando Aedo asked if there was anything new to report on the fuel dock project. Mr. Bogner stated he had nothing new to report since the last meeting where the resolution was passed to address the Commission and the Administration of the Board's concerns and desire to put the project back on track.

Chairman Flanders requested that Gary Fabrikant discuss the CIP Department's updates with the Board, so that the Board, within the course of a month before the meeting, understands what is going on before the meeting.

2. Police Training Facility Professional Services

Maria Liz Babun-Matos, Police Department, reported that the City has a contract with Spillis Candela, the architects that have been selected for the project. The project is currently in the programming and schematic stage. The Department has had several meetings with them already and have selected schematic number 2 out of several schematics that they offered at the meeting. The Department has met with a programmer and outlined the

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

UPDATE

1. DATE: <u>_6/19/03</u>
NAME OF PROJECT: FUEL DOCK AT DINNER KEY MARINA
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Conferences, Conventions, and Public Facilities
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Alejandra Argudin – 305.579.6341 & Stephen Bogner – 305.579.6955
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: 12-02-018 CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 326015
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? ☐YES ☒ NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$538,580.00 SOURCE OF FUNDS: 1) \$269,290.00 from Strategic Initiatives Funds 2) \$467,296 from HLD Citywide
Waterfront Improvements
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 326015
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
individuais / Departments who provided input: Conferences, Conventions, and Fuolic Facilities - Alejandra
Argudin & Stephen Bogner
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Funds will be used for the design and construction of fuel dock. This is a
Revenue Generating Project — a fuel dock is needed for the use and convenience of the boating public, the marina does not presently have a permanent fueling system.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 6/19/03
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? WYES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 3/7/02
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Fine Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:CONSTRUCTION COST:
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO f not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO f not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO f not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO cource(s) of additional funds:
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO f not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
S conceptual estimate within project budget?
S conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO Source(s) of additional funds: Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
s conceptual estimate within project budget?
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO Not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO NOTE APPROVED: NOTE APPRO
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO Not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO NOTE APPROVED: NOTE APPRO
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO Not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO NOTE APPROVED: NOTE APPRO

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 27, 2003.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-48

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 27, 2003.

MOVED:

L. de ROSA

SECONDED:

M. CRUZ

ABSENT:

R. AEDO; S. ARMBRISTER; W. HARVEY;

D. MARKO; J. REYES; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. INTRODUCTION OF NEW BOARD MEMBER:

Suzanne Peters – nominated by Commissioner Winton

Civic activist Suzanne Peters is presently the Chairwoman of the Cocoanut Grove Village Council. She is a local attorney, working in the area of securities arbitration, commercial disputes and general commercial law.

B. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT.

Fuel Dock at Dinner Key Marina Project.

The Audit Committee recommended approval of the fuel dock project.

Presentation by Marinas Manager Steve Vogner and Alexandra Argudin -- Department of Public Facilities. Bond funding in the amount of approximately \$296,290 has been applied for to complete construction and installation of a full service fuel station at Dinner Key Marina. To date approximately \$128,000 of previously allocated monies have been spent on this endeavor. The purpose of the fuel dock is to enhance amenities at Dinner Key Marina and to generate additional revenues for the City. The department is

currently in the process of submitting regulatory permit applications to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Department of Environmental Resource Management (DERM). It is hoped that this project will be completed by September 2004. The City would make a net profit on the gallons of fuel sold, whether gasoline or diesel. The profit would go into the City's general fund. Dinner Key Marina is owned and operated by the City.

At a recent Audit Committee meeting, Board Member Marko voiced concern about the City's ability to safeguard the environment and operate within the parameters of environmental regulatory agency guidelines. Mr. Vogner has met with a representative of DEP regarding this issue and assured the Board that the standards by which the City will operate the fuel dock meet requirements of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that are passed down to the State of Florida DEP and promulgated through DERM's operating permits.

Chairman Flanders suggested to the Board that this project would enhance the viability of licensing boaters to use the slips at Dinner Key Marina. He also informed the Board that presently, in the immediate area of the marina, there are only three places to purchase fuel -- Key Biscayne Yacht Club, which is private; Belcher, which bashes boats and Miami Beach Marina which is very expensive.

Board Member Cruz inquired as to who would have control of the cash revenue coming into the facility. Mr. Vogner assured the Board that the Marina has a history of handling large sums of cash, such as at the fuel storage facility currently being operated by the Marina, which generates approximately \$150,000 a year in gross revenues through cash, check and credit cards, and historically, there has not been a problem re accountability of revenue generated.

Vice Chairman Reyes and Board Member Reshefsky requested that a more explicit budget be a condition of a recommendation of approval of this project to the City Commission.

Chairman Flanders informed the Board that bond funds have been earmarked for both the project and the project has the approval of the CIP Department. CIP will be building Phase 1 of this project and will bid out Phase 2 to a construction company.

HD/NIB MOTION 03-49

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (THE BOARD) AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE FUEL DOCK AT DINNER KEY MARINA PROJECT, CONDITIONED UPON THE PROVISION OF AN EXPLICIT BUDGET FOR SAID PROJECT, INCLUDING PRO FORMA INCOME STATEMENTS, CASH FLOW, EXPENSES AND OTHER FIXED AND VARIABLE COSTS; FIRTHER THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF DUBLIC FACILITIES WILL PROVIDE AN UPDATE OF THE FUEL DOCK PROJECT TO THE BOARD WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROJECT.

MOVED:

M. CRUZ

SECONDED:

L. CABRERA

ABSENT:

R. AEDO; S. ARMBRISTER; W. HARVEY;

D. MARKO; J. REYES; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Environmental Site Assessment Report & Title Related Services for several Little Haiti Park Properties.

Presentation by Dirk Duval and Madeline Valdes -- Department of Economic Development.

The Department of Economic Development, in its efforts to acquire property in the Little Haiti area is being conveyed three pieces of property from Miami-Dade County at no cost. The properties involved were presented before the Board at its April 22, 2003 meeting, at which time, the Board recommended approval of the this acquisition as a part of seven properties the City is acquiring to build a park for the Little Haiti community. In order to acquire the properties, an environmental site assessment report is required as well as title, and related services costs of approximately \$10,200.

HD/NIB 04-09

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND (HD/NIB) OVERSIGHT BOARD AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE JOSE MARTI PARK NEW COMMUNITY ROOM BUILDING-LANDSCAPING & REINFORCING FOAM FLOORING FOR AEROBIC ROOM PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$50,000 OF HD/NIB-NEIGHBORHOOD PARK IMPROVEMENTS AND ACQUISITIONS FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOTION:

D. MARKO

SECONDED:

R. AEDO

ABSENT:

S. ARMBRISTER; S. CASERES;

R. CAYARD; R. FLANDERS;

J. REYES; L. de ROSA; R. VANGATES

Note for the Record: Metica passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

III. UPDATES:

Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Total dollar amount:

\$538,580.

Source of funds:

\$269,290 from Strategic Initiative;

\$269,290 from Homeland Defense/ Citywide Waterfront Improvements

Report by:

Board Member Reshefsky;

Alejandra Argudin - Conferences, Conventions, Public Facilities

Date approved by Audit Subcommittee: June 19, 2003.

This is a revenue-generating project. Dinner Key Marina does not presently have a permanent fueling system. In addition to the 592 slips at Dinner Key Marina, there are an estimated 1,500 vessels in and around the marina that would use the fueling services.

Phase I, which is the design portion of the project has been completed. All permitting has been received except two -- the Army Corps of Engineers permit and DERM permit, and that CIP is in the process of putting together the bid packages for the construction portion, which is Phase II. It is anticipated that within approximately three weeks, the bid package should be going out, and by April 2004, an update will be provided to the board and it is anticipated that construction would have commenced and all permits would be in place by that time.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-71

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE BELAFONTE TACOLCY PARK - IRRIGATION PROJECT.

MOVED:

L DE ROSA

SECONDED:

M. CRUZ

ABSENT:

K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. Vangates

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

UPDATES:

Model City Trust - Replacement of HOME Investment Partnership Funds.

Marva Wiley informed the board that Model City funds had been swapped from infrastructure improvements in the bond for a purpose of land acquisition. Auditor General's review of this transaction was referred to the pond counsel opinion, who opined the transactions were in compliance with bond's public purpose requirements.

Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Alejandra Argudin informed the board this project has been delayed for modification to the plans. Pending are approvals from two agencies. Once those approvals are obtained, the plans will be submitted to the Zoning Department for another dry run process. CIP will bid the construction phase after that. Expect to open April 2005.

Neo Lofts Greenway Segment.

Jorge Cano informed the board agreement with developer is being revisited, with intent to release them from obligation of construction in order to marry this segment with the Jose Marti Park segment. The scope of work is to be expanded and done together as one project.

- Environmental and Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcel's 18, 60 & 61. Dirk Duval informed the board that this project is in the permitting process, the title went through and proceeding with cleanup of the tanks, should be completed in six months.
- Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park Parcel 92. Dirk Duval stated that appraisals were authorized to be done with due date of August 6th.
 - Land Acquisition for Little Haiti Park Parcel 79.
 - Land Acquisition for Little Haiti Park Parcel 91.

Dirk Duval stated that Parcels 79 and 91 were closed on June 14, 2004 and are in the process of demolishing structures and securing the properties.

Steel Picket Fence at Eaton Park.

Ed Blanco stated that this project was completed a while ago.

New Pool Heaters at Hadley Park.

Ed Blanco stated that project has been bid out, have a purchase order and contractor is working on this right now.

- Trust has been successful in receiving other grants, some of them to remediate brownfields (contaminated lands). Some of the HD/NIB funds are to be used as matching funds for remediation of contaminated lands.
- A. second priority for the Trust is addressing the digital divide by implementing a hot zone to create the opportunity for residents of the area to have access to the internet.
- Lastly, infrastructure improvements will be addressed.

Ms. Wiley further stated that no one has moved into houses yet. The first priority of the Trust was to acquire all properties prior to beginning construction. The reason for this is once you construct houses, the value of area properties increases. Master plan was approved in 2004. There are 86 properties currently in the inventory; of that 26 are slated for single family homes. There are 16 houses ready for implementation. The past six months have been processing necessary permits.

3. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that: (1) the engineering and permitting consultant is in regular contact; (2) preparing to remove 11 derelict and sunken vessels from project area within the next 45 days and identifying additional vessels to be removed; (3) submitting applications for Florida Inland Navigation District for grant monies.

4. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock.

Steven Bogner, Marinas Manager, Department of Public Facilities, reported that permit approvals for fuel dock have been secured; construction phase of project has been put out to bid and responses are due March 22, 2005. The next step is the evaluation of proposals by the CIP Dept. and the approval of the contract by the City Commission.

5. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported this walkway along the Miami River is expected to be completed by September or October 2005. Project includes art pieces and water fountain.

6. Neo Lofts Greenway Segment.

Kevin Brown, CIP Dept., reported that a pre-construction meeting took place on February 3rd. Notice to proceed with contract was issued on February 13th. Currently, contractor is acquiring necessary permits. Expecting construction to begin next week. Gary Reshefsky requested before and after photographs. Robert Flanders requested photographs be posted on the board website.

3. Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that when the Homeland bond first began, it was broken down into three fire stations and the training center where the money would be spent. The money was allocated for those four projects. The Department expended money without coming back before the Homeland Board, to lease trailers for use as stations, and to improve sites where the stations were located. In the Department's search for property, appraisals were done that use Homeland bond monies. Lately, the fire fee and other funds have been used to cover the costs of appraisals.

Nikki Lorenzo, Public Facilities, reported that closing was completed on October 21, 2005, on the property on 990 Northeast 79th Street, purchased for 900,000. The other two properties, 958 and 960 Northeast 79th Street, for 1,050,000, closing is expected to be completed next week.

Assistant Fire Chief Tom Flores, reported that one of the properties has a year lease, but the Department will be working with architects and engineers to begin the design process. Station 11 has an architect and engineer company already in the design process.

4. Dinner Key Mooring Anchorage Field Project

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, reported that construction drawings and engineer have been completed on this project. Permits have been submitted to the agencies. A notice of intent to issue permit was received from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the lead regulatory agency on the project. A Miami citizen objected to the project and filed a petition for administrative hearing. The DEP denied that request and offered that individual 15 days to submit an amended petition. The end of the 15-day period is approaching. When the final permits are secured from all the agencies on this project, the Department will move forward with securing the balance of the funding for this project, which is estimated to be between 750,000 and \$1 million. This project has not taken money out to remove sunken vessels throughout the planned mooring field project area. The Department is hoping to secure FEMA funding for debris removal. Next steps are securing funding, and concurrent with that is continuing to remove the derelict vessels from the mooring field project vessel and citywide.

5. Dinner Key Marina Fuel Dock

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that CIP is in the process of executing the contract with the contractor. Construction is anticipated to begin in late January.

6. Environmental & Title Services for Little Haiti Park Parcels 18, 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that all title issues on the properties have been resolved.

7. Underground Storage Tank Removal at Little Haiti Park Parcels 60 & 61

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that underground tanks were removed on properties, and a clearance letter was obtained from DERM.

3 12/6/05

UPDATES:

1. Fuel Dock at Dinner Key Marina

Chairman Flanders: Updates. Fuel dock at Dinner Key Marina.

Mary Conway (Director, Capital Improvements Program & Transportation): Gary, let Enrique speak to the issue. This is an item that -- well, it was discussed at the last meeting, and I had responded that the City had put this project on hold, and that we had deferred the funding to the second series and swapped it because of issues that had been raised by the community as we were moving forward with the Coconut Grove Waterfront Master Plan study, and Enrique can briefly give you further information.

Enrique Nuñez: Well, good evening. My name is Enrique Nuñez. I'm the chief of urban design for the City of Miami Planning Department, and as you are aware, the Coconut Grove Waterfront Master Plan is underway under the direction of the firm of Sasaki Associates, which is based in Boston. They're heading a team of design consultants with different specialists working together, so at the direction of the City Manager, and at the request of the constituents, the consultants are looking at the proposed location of the fuel dock facility and are looking at other possible locations for the fuel dock facility and the pump-out station. The consultants are also looking at the economics for the fuel pump facility, so with that in mind, that's where this project is at. It's based on the study of the master plan, which is now involved in initial concepts and visions, design.

Ms. Conway: And basically, when this issue arose, we had discussion at a staff level. We also had discussion with the City Manager, and he directed us to put the project on hold, pending resolution, because we didn't want to be in a position where we were going to lose face with community and feel that we were not being truthful about there being a public process associated with the waterfront and the master plan study, and I would think we agree that it's unfortunate that the timing in this project was such that the two conflicted, but we do think it's important to allow the public dialogue to continue before making the final decisions about what's going to advance in this area.

Gary Reshefsky: Could I ask a question, Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Flanders: Gary.

Mr. Reshefsky: I'd like a little more spec -- feel that you could be a little more specific about some of the issues because we've been here now -- we've got the -- we have the, you know, item here. We had four updates, I think, and I want to thank Zimri and the staff for making sure we got all the updates so we could see that we saw this project every six months. Nobody's ever come here and questioned this project. It's been on television; it's been on these agendas. I don't know if it ever went to the Waterfront Advisory Board. I'd like to know if it did. It did go to the Waterfront Advisory Board is my understanding. What exactly is the problem here? Where -- what's the location that it can't go and what's the deal?

Mr. Nuñez: Well, again, as a result of this master plan study, of which there is a team -- a multidisciplinary team involved in looking at the aspects of public access to the waterfront, the maximizing of recreational opportunities, and bringing people to the waterfront and allowing not only access to the waterfront, but also the spoil islands areas. The design team was analyzing all of the existing conditions and looking at all of the areas which may

offer opportunities and constraints. One of the observations from the group of consultants was that the proposed location may not be the best location from a site planning and site design standpoint, so then, at the direction of the Manager and at the request of the constituents, the team was -- has been asked to look at alternative possible locations with the involvement of the economic consultant to see if there is a more preferable location for the fuel dock.

Mr. Reshefsky: So it -- where's the dock -- where was the fuel dock supposed to go, at Dinner Key Marina, right?

Mr. Nuñez: Yes, at the marina, at one point, adjacent to one of the main piers, and at this point, I -- it's --

Ms. Conway: Basically, it's south of where we are right now.

Mr. Nuñez: Yes. It's --

Ms. Conway: From where the boat launch area is --

Mr. Nuñez: Yes. It's adjacent, relatively close to the Seminole boat ramp area, at one point, where --

Ms. Conway: But further east.

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah, further to the east, where -- close to that sailboat or anchorage area currently exists.

Mr. Reshefsky: But there was people in the community that were opposed to where it was located --

Mr. Nuñez: As a matter of --

Mr. Reshefsky: -- or is it --?

Mr. Nuñez: -- fact, yes. At the request of the constituents and the residents of the area, they requested for alternative areas to be studied because of those areas in particular of having maximizing views to the west, the sunsets, and to access, and the potential for conflicts with hurricane issues. Those are some of the concerns that came about, so as part of this master plan process, they will be looking at alternative locations.

Mr. Reshefsky: So what happens with the money that we spent on the project and the permits that were applied for and were obtained? That might not be an answer for --

Mr. Nuñez: Well --

Mr. Reshefsky: -- you, but --

Ms. Conway: We'll be -- we're in a situation right now where we have plans that are designed and permitted, and pending what the final outcome is -- if the final outcome is to allow it to proceed as designed and permitted, then we'll move forward with the project and we'll build it, as soon as the second series monies become available. However, if we end up in a situation where there's a different decision that's taken -- similar to the

unfortunate situation that we're in with Bryan Park -- then we'll have to go back and reassess, and we'll have to pay to redesign the project.

Mr. Reshefsky: Was it the second series that's the issue or the master plan? And what's - you know --?

Ms. Conway: No, no, no, no, no. We have -- because we have the objective of making sure that we deliver these projects and spend the bond monies -- commit them and spend them as timely as possible, we made a decision to move the monies for the construction of this project out to second series because we made the decision to put this on hold. It -- the reason is because of the Waterfront Master Plan study and the public concerns that were raised so that we could respond to them.

Mr. Reshefsky: OK. My concern is the waste, is the \$29,000 that was spent, because we went and got permits and I want to make sure those permits aren't going to expire and that money doesn't get wasted. If not, put the money back in the bond fund and kill the project. I don't really care if there's a fuel dock, but we started the project, so we ought to finish it. If these permits are going to go bad, then you're going to come back again and you're going to spend the money and the administrative time to get the permits again, so that's my concern. That's where I'm coming from.

Rolando Aedo: The other thing that I wanted to add was that, from my understanding, this is a revenue-producing opportunity for the City --

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Mr. Aedo: -- so the waste not only comes from the money -- the (UNINTELLIGIBLE) cost, but also the lost revenue, which -- and I'm not well versed in terms of how much this potentially would have generated, but I guess this was originally approved back -- almost going back three years now, so we need to take that -- you know, that lost revenue is a hard -- is now becoming a significant cost as well.

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah. As I mentioned, the economics will also be -- the consultants will also be looking at the economics of this project and looking at potential alternative locations.

Chairman Flanders: OK. I guess what we're hearing is that despite the initial studies, despite the fact that this is coming out of the strategic initiative fund, despite the fact that it's permitted, that we are going to hold it, so I guess the bottom line is, how long is the new master plan going to take? What is the hiatus on this project?

Mr. Nuñez: The Waterfront Master Plan is currently in the conceptual design stage. They have already done their due diligence of analysis and presentations to the community, and are currently generating design concepts and visions and alternatives of which they will be sharing with staff and the community in June, and with potential public presentations of those alternatives in July. This project will carry through to the fall and -- for eventual adoption of the master plan.

Chairman Flanders: So when could we anticipate this facility -- wherever it's going to be located, according to the new master plan -- when could we anticipate its completion and its beginning to generate revenue for the City?

Mr. Nuñez: Well, as you know, the master plan will make recommendations for where this facility might be. At that point and once accepted by -- and adopted as a master plan, adopted by the City Commission, at that time we would look at any needed revisions to environmental permits, and then the process of proceeding with the rebidding.

Mr. Reshefsky: Mr. Chair, could I --?

Chairman González: Yes, Gary.

Mr. Reshefsky: I noticed Stephen Bogner's here, and I don't want to put him on the spot, but I know he's got the pulse of the people at Dinner Key Marina, and I'm reading here, you know, there's 1,500 boats at the marina. I'm just curious what the people at the marina, who are paying the City money for these space -- these slips, what they're expecting. I mean, this has been going on for three years now, so --

Stephen Bogner (Public Facilities): Well, it's forgone. Mary was pretty succinct. The Administration's put the project on hold until the master planning process has been completed. I sense a clear desire from -- on the part of my Dinner Key Marina dockage customers for a fuel dock and a pump-out station. As you all know, we're separately before the board pushing for our managed mooring field. Permits are just about in hand, and we're looking forward to going ahead with that in the fall. Whether the fuel dock gets built or it doesn't get built, we're still going to need a pump-out station as well, so these are elements that are being looked at outside of our staff.

Chairman Flanders: There's another question that begs to be asked here, and that is -- since I happen to be a boater who keeps my boat at Dinner Key -- are the constituency or the customers of Dinner Key, are they being considered in the master plan, what they want in terms of placement, where the fuel dock could go?

Mr. Nuñez: Obviously, the master plan is a very open, public process of which many of there are many constituents that are also involved with the marina or have boats within the marina, and also have lived in the immediate and surrounding community, so the process is one that will involve the public and has involved the public from its beginning. It's a process that has included stakeholder interviews of neighborhood associations, of merchants, of hoteliers, and a broad spectrum of the community to take the master plan to the point where it's at now, which is the development of actual design concepts and visions for the entire waterfront, and this waterfront study is from Peacock Park all the way to Kennedy Park, looking at all of the waterfront areas and connections of the Village center and the surrounding neighborhoods to the waterfront, so this is a very comprehensive study.

Mr. Reshefsky: Is there a possibility that this fuel dock will not get built at all?

Mr. Nuñez: That might be a possibility. That might -- there might be an analysis by the team of consultants that may look at nearby or adjacent fuel dock facilities, and that may very well be one of the recommendations. Of course, it's open now, but they have been -- looked -- they've been requested to look at the different scenarios at the point.

Luis Cabrera: Mr. Chairman --

Chairman Flanders: Yes, Luis.

Mr. Cabrera: -- as a recommending body that we are, can we make a motion or can we recommend to the City Administration to -- and request that they continue to direct the project on the -- on -- the way that we voted on this project, the way that it was permitted, the way we had approved? And send a clear message, regardless of how they direct themselves, that, you know, the fact that they bring issues to us, we review them, we make decisions, and we try to be as informed as we can, you know. I think that we have to send out a message and let them know, hey, listen, you know, we can't keep spending the citizens' money, the bonds money, and then just turning around and saying, oops, we're going to do something else. I mean, it just sends out -- it makes me -- it's a bad -- we're sending out a bad -- you know, we can't continue as a board to say it's OK, it's OK. It's OK with the Orange Bowl. It's OK with this; it's OK with that. We've made mistakes. We can't -- I mean, that's what we're here for, and I think we should at least make a recommendation that we don't agree with any other changes, and that we want this project -- if that's what the Board feels -- to continue to move forward. What they decide is beyond our control.

Mr. Reshefsky: I second that, if that was a motion.

Chairman Flanders: Well, I was going to say, if you'll frame the motion --

Mr. Reshefsky: You need a third?

Chairman González: -- I think you have a second.

Mr. Cabrera: I'll frame it as a motion.

Mr. Reshefsky: And if I could just second it with an amendment that we have a transcript of this discussion sent to each of the City Commissioners and the Mayor so that they're aware of this issue as well.

Mr. Aedo: And let me just add one thing. On a personal note, I mean, of course we're here representing the citizens and the Commissioners, but we're spending a lot of time up here --

Vice Chairman Reyes: That's right.

Mr. Aedo: -- and we're investing time, and in many cases, many hours that we'd rather be with loved ones and doing other good deeds, so for us to invest that time and as -- you know, as it was pointed out, just to have these decisions that were proposed by City staff reversed, kind of sits a little raw in my craw, actually, because I'd rather be doing other things, and I don't know. I just -- I guess I'm getting a lot frustrated, not just a little frustrated, with a lot of things that have been happening, and I think it's going to come to a head sooner or later --

Mr. Cabrera: And especially --

Mr. Aedo: -- but hopefully, it will.

Mr. Cabrera: -- Rolando, when you pick up the newspaper and you read that issues are being -- you know, citizens are expressing concerns that things are being done without bids and the committee is not doing what we need to do, and you know, it sends out a bad message 'cause the community is going to think that we're out here just acting as puppets,

and not only that, that we're not, you know, expressing their concerns, and I think, as a board, we need to let them -- you know, let the City know that this needs to stop. It needs to stop. There's an issue that's going to be brought up today, which was in the paper again, with these ball fields and these parks at Grapeland, and you know, we keep getting slapped with issues that we keep telling the City, don't continue to do, don't continue to do.

Mr. Bogner: If I can make a -- just a small point of clarification. This was an item that was competitively bid. It was awarded by the City Commission.

Mr. Reshefsky: We have a contract.

Unidentified Speaker: There you go.

Mr. Reshefsky: Get a vendor.

Chairman Flanders: Yeah. No, we --

Mr. Reshefsky: Pull it out. I mean, this is ridiculous.

Chairman Flanders: -- we're aware of that, but let me -- let us address one thing, actually, that Luis Cabrera said. This body approved the negotiated bid process, and we did it for expediency. We were educated on it. We -- those of us who've attended most of the meetings understand it. We -- it was a tough thing to chew on. We continue to understand it, and we understand the reason why it exists in many cities, counties, and states across the United States, so we actually have not done a no-bid process. What we've done is a negotiated bid process with firms that we have picked out of a basket, so please do not send a message that the City is engaged in a no-bid process. We are not. Now what I'm hearing is a sense of frustration, and it's borne of the fact that, A, we are losing money in not having this facility opened. Number two, we don't know, in fact, if it's actually going to be built. Number three, everybody knows that it's connected with the marina. I'm connected with the Palm Bay Marina, and I could tell you that fuel is an amenity that is so highly prized. I only know of one public facility, and that's Miami Beach Marina, and I think it's dreadful that they have the lock on this kind of an amenity. To me. it makes -- it even makes the facility itself, the Dinner Key Marina, more saleable and more desirable, which means you can raise the rents, so how much money is this costing the City by holding this particular project up?

Vice Chairman Reyes: Do we know why it has been held? Do we know why it has been held? Because this was approved on June 24, 2003; it's going to be three years. Three years and nothing has been done.

Mr. Nuñez: The concerns are more of the proposed locations of the fuel dock facility, and --

Vice Chairman Reyes: But that was --

Mr. Nuñez: -- concerns and the requests --

Vice Chairman Reyes: Excuse me --

Mr. Nuñez: -- for --

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- but --

Mr. Nuñez: Yeah.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- when they came -- if my memory doesn't betray me, when they came in front of this board --

Mr. Nuñez: Yes.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- the location was already picked. They even came with a budget, which I did argue that that budget was not realistic; that it was too low, and I remember when this came in front of us, and the location at the time was picked. Everything was ready to go, and the time of completion was probably six, eight months. It's been three years.

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Three years and nothing has been done.

Mr. Nuñez: Right.

Vice Chairman Reyes: And what he said, the economic cost of this probably is high because the City is not making any money out of it, and I don't know what you -- now you want to change plans. You want to -- maybe, probably you're moving -- you're looking for a place to locate this fueling facility. If that's the case, why you come in front of us with a plan and with a project that -- and waste our time telling us that you -- we need this. This is a -- I mean, we're going to start doing this, and within six months, it's going to be completed. Because at the time -- and correct me, Gary -- we always gave -- I mean, there was a time frame given to this.

Mr. Reshefsky: Absolutely, yeah.

Vice Chairman Reves: Why? Why?

Ms. Conway: I really think, at this point, that it's more prudent for the Board to make a motion if you want it to be reconsidered, and let the Administration reconsider it based on the motion, but I don't know that there's anything else that we can say that we haven't already stated this evening.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Well, the truth of the matter is that we are frustrated.

Mr. Aedo: We're venting a little. Excuse us.

Mr. Reshefsky: We get the Clerk to read the question and we can vote --

Chairman Flanders: We do have --

Mr. Reshefsky: -- but I agree with Mary. Let's just do a motion.

Chairman Flanders: -- a motion, but I'd like to hear it stated, please, Luis.

Mr. Cabrera: I want to make a motion that the Board makes a recommendation to the City to continue forward with the current plans and projects that we have in place that we agreed on and voted on on this Board.

Chairman Flanders: Is there a second?

Eileen Broton: I second.

Chairman Flanders: OK. Any further discussion? All in favor?

The Board Members (Collectively): Aye.

Chairman Flanders: Anyone opposed? Motion carries unanimously.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-11

A MOTION TO RECOMMEND THAT THE CITY MOVE FORWARD WITH THE CURRENT PLANS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD.

MOVED: M. Reyes SECONDED: L. De Rosa

ABSENT: K. Apfel, R. Cayard, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Mr. Reshefsky: Mr. Chair, can I make a second motion? It's similar -- it's just to clarify -- just in addition, that the transcript of this discussion go to the members of the City Commission --

Mr. Aedo: Second.

Mr. Reshefsky: -- and the Mayor.

Chairman Flanders: Any further discussion? All in favor?

The Board Members (Collectively): Aye.

Chairman Flanders: Anyone opposed? Motion carries.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-12

A MOTION TO PROVIDE TRANSCRIPT OF DISCUSSION ON FUEL DOCKS TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COMMISSION AND THE MAYOR.

MOVED: M. Reyes SECONDED: L. De Rosa

ABSENT: K. Apfel, R. Cayard, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Chairman Flanders: Thank you very --

Mr. Nuñez: Thank you.

Chairman Flanders: -- look, this is not easy, and we know that the City is involved because I'm in the Upper East Side. We're in the first quadrant of Miami 21, and we do have -- I think everybody up here has an appreciation for the Planning process and how important it is to the City, but this is one small item, but it's a very big item when you look at it in terms of lost revenue and salability of leases in the marina, so I think maybe we have singled out this particular item as a prime example of well, wait a minute, so --

Mr. Nuñez: Very well.

Mr. Reshefsky: Yeah. We have a few others. We have a long list here, Rob.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Yeah. We have --

Mr. Reshefsky: There might be more. There might be more.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Every single --

Mr. Nuñez: Thank you.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- month we sit here and we get these updates, and there's not a single project that has been completed.

Chairman Flanders: No, that's not true.

Ms. Broton: That's not true.

Vice Chairman Reyes: Well, that's not true, but they're -- I mean, most of the projects that we have approved two years ago, you see, we get updates and they're not completed.

Chairman Flanders: That's -- OK.

2. Additional Funding and Scope Of Work Change for VKBPT-Capital Related Consulting

Guy Forchion, director of Operations, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust, reported that projects totaled \$317,430 in bond funds. The construction of a modular office is complete at a cost of \$186,880. The removal of trash leftover from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers shoreline stabilization project, at a cost of \$16,000, is complete. The Trust is awaiting a new ordinance of the City of Miami that would approve the buoy line installation on Virginia Key Beach. IMDC completed an electrical master plan for the park. The Trust has been moving forward with negotiations with NOAA (National Oceanic & Atmospheric Association) and RSMAS (Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science) on the island. They've chosen a \$12,550 undersea monitoring device to start and continue a yearlong survey of the safest areas to swim in Bear's Cut. The Erosion Control Line process started some time ago. The Trust is in the process now of scheduling a public hearing, which is part of that process, so

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM





1. DATE: <u>6/28/05</u>	DISTRICT: 2
NAME OF PROJECT: MIAMARI	INA PIER 5 DOCK EMERCENICY DEDAIDS
INVITATING DEPARTMENT/D	IVISION: Public Facilities
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT	N/CONTACT NUMBER: Daniel Newhoff (305) 579-6341
RESOLUTION NUMBER:	CID/DDOILCT AUGUSTER COOLS
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 326015
	(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION	
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1.00	l: Are funds budgeted? 🔀 YES [NO If yes, 00.000 (10 Million total Bond allocation)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI - City	wide Waterfront Improvements
ACCOUNT CODE(S):CIP # 3260	015
If grant funded, is there a City match re	equirement? YES NO
AMOUNT:	FYPIR ATION DATE.
Are matching funds Budgeted? YE	DITINU Account Code/c):
Dodnated Operations and Manitemance	Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provide	ed input: <u>Daniel Newhoff / Public Facilities</u>
I	
commercial dock one of four per section	e includes the repairs to an 18 foot lateral concrete joist supporting the
because of natural elements decaying the	on of Pier 5 at MiaMarina which is deteriorating at an accelerated rate
ADA Compliant? YES NO	N/A
	•
Approved by Audit Committee?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 6/8/05
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? Approved by Commission?	
Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Time Approval 6 months 12 mg	
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project but If not, have additional funds been identif Source(s) of additional funds:	fied? TYEST NO
O Table Control of the Control of th	
Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	PE
Individuals / Departments who provided	I input:
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Fiscal Impact	☐ YES ☐ NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified?	
Source(s) of additional for the	☐ YES ☐ NO
Source(s) of additional funds:	U YES □ NO
Source(s) of additional funds:	∐ YES ☐ NO
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission?	
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million from back before board. Coconut Grove	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million from back before board. Coconut Grove	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million for	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million from back before board. Coconut Grove	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million frome back before board. Coconut Grove because of Coconut Grove Master plan.	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Source(s) of additional funds: Time impact Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board? 6. COMMENTS: Estimated 1 Million from back before board. Coconut Grove	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Or improvements based on staff recommendations. Exact amount will be Dock Master Plan funded 1st series, but will be swapped to 2nd series

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🔲 NO

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

FPL Easement for Underground Utilities Services at Jose Marti Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$5,000 (1.35 million allocated; estimated current balance is \$29,172) SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI – Neighborhood Park Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the cost of installation of a new pad mounted transformer at the newly completed Jose Marti Park-Recreational Building in order to provide electrical power to the building by Florida Power and Light Company (FPL).

HD/NIB MOTION 05-61

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE FPL EASEMENT FOR UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SERVICES AT JOSE MARTI PARK.

MOVED:

M. Reyes

SECONDED:

M. Cruz

ABSENT:

L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Emergency Dock Repairs at Miamarina Pier 5.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,000,000 (10 Million total Bond allocation)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI - Citywide Waterfront Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes the repairs to an 18 foot lateral concrete joist

supporting the commercial dock, one of four per section of Pier 5 at MiaMarina which is deteriorating at an accelerated rate because of natural elements decaying the concrete.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-62

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE EMERGENCY DOCK REPAIRS AT MIAMARINA PIER 5.

MOVED:

M. Reyes

SECONDED:

M. Cruz

ABSENT:

L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

fall of 2006. The contractor has begun construction. The first progress meeting for the project will be on Thursday. There have been a couple of areas of the project that need to be addressed, but they are expected to be within the allocated constructions costs. The project is still on budget.

4. Miamarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is 90 percent complete. The structural portion of the project is 100 percent complete. There is about 85 percent completion on the electrical and the plumbing. The project is expected to be completed for the final plans to be submitted for permitting at the end of March. Construction can start in May, and the construction process can be finished by the end of the year. The design of the project is still within budget.

5. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements – Design Services

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the design is 100 percent complete.

6. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the improvements are computer stations, ADA compliance in the restrooms, the main building entrance, and new lighting fixtures. The construction document permits and bidding phases are 100 percent complete, as well. The JOC pricing is 100 percent complete. The project is on budget. The construction estimated start date is about the second week in March, and the construction estimated completion date is October 2006.

7. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the project includes the construction of approximately 700 linear feet of boardwalk connecting the existing community building to the newly refurbished pond. At this moment, the JOC pricing on the project is in progress. The DERM permit is also in progress. The Department needs to work with DERM for the final approval of the design. The construction estimated start date is November 2006. The project may start earlier depending on DERM's response to the new design, as well as how well the community responds to the new design.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the community has already provided input as part of the process, and there has been extensive coordination with DERM as a result of that because of the hardwood hammock that exists at this site and the sensitivity to making sure that the trail is as minimally disruptive to that as possible. Once the issues are resolved with DERM, the Department will go back and coordinate with the public further so they know what the final decisions are.

Jason Manowitz questioned whether additional funding could be sought to use a different material other than wood on the project. Mary Conway stated that funding could not be through the Bond, but the Department could explore, with the Parks Department, the possibility of looking at impact fee dollars or another revenue source. It is very, very much more expensive from a capital standpoint to use a recycled material than to use the wood.

8. Athalie Range Park – Mini Stadium Complex

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project consists of reconfiguring the fields into football and soccer fields, new bleachers, a storage area, ADA compliant walkways and irrigation. The project is approximately 75 percent designed and design completion is scheduled for completion in January 2007.

9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project has encountered a number of problems. There are air voids under the swimming pool. When some of the pipes were exposed, decrepit pipes were encountered and those changes are being addressed. The project will go through some redesigning to address some of the repairs that are going to be needed. In order to maintain the current budget, the Department is looking at swapping out some of the existing scope of work so that the budget can be maintained. The roof is now included as part of the scope of work, and the Department feels that the roof tile can be salvaged, so this is one of those projects that unearthed a number of problems.

10. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is in design. The scope of work includes replacement of approximately 310 linear feet of decking, fiberglass grating and piling. The project has obtained preliminary DERM approval and is now being reviewed by the Building Department for final approval. The design is approximately 95 percent complete, and completion is expected in November 2006. The project is currently in budget.

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, stated that the scope of work includes the removal of the decking of approximately 20 slips at the commercial docks at MiaMarina that have become structurally unstable. The permitting is close to final approval. The slips are currently being used. However, a couple of vessels were relocated out of two slips where the problems were significant. The design, engineering, and permitting work is being done by Coastal Systems International.

11. Douglas Park Recreation Building Renovations- Design Services

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design plans are 60 percent completed, and design completion is expected in January 2007.

12. Antonio Maceo Park New Community Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is under construction. It is a 5,300 square foot building. All of the foundations are in place; concrete block is in place, and trusses are being built. The project is on schedule and on budget.

13. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project scope includes approximately 1,500 square feet of building addition. The project is 90 percent complete and finishing touches are being put on the interior finishes and finishing up the miscellaneous site work. Substantial completion is expected next month.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

UPDATE

1. DATE: 7/28/04	DISTRICT: 1
NAME OF PROJECT: JUAN PABI	O DUARTE PARK - WATER PLAYGROUND
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON	VISION: Parks & Recreation
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT	I/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blanco 416-1253
RESOLUTION NUMBERS	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBE	TR: 8-30080
2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	(IF APPLICABLE)
2 BILLOTIPY INTO PARTION	
SOURCE OF FINITS: TENTE	.000 (\$800,000 allocated; estimated current balance is \$401,194)
ACCOUNT CODE (S). CID # 121411	ls - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 33141	
If grant funded, is there a City match re-	TAIC DAIC
AMOUNT:	quirement? YES NO EXPIRATION DATE:
	S NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance	Budget
CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Transfer T
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provide	d input: <u>Ed Blanco / Parks & Recreation</u>
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:Inst	allation and Construction of new water play park - Installation by Hobbs
Architectural Fountains.	
ADA Compliant DVES DNO	NT / A
ADA Compliant? YES NO	IV/ A
Approved by Audit Committee?	
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: 7/28/04
Approved by Commission?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope?	YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 🔲 6 months 🔲 12 me	onths Date for next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMAT	E BREAKDOWN
	eloped based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes,
DESIGN COST:	cloped based upon the hidar established scope: [] 1150 [] 140 11 yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST:	
	dget? YES NO
Is conceptual estimate within project but	
If not, have additional funds been identi Source(s) of additional funds:	fied? YES NO
odice(s) of additional fullus.	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
s. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO	
Individuals / Departments who provided	l input:
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Fiscal Impact	YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified?	☐ YES ☐ NO
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact	
Approved by Commission?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
<u> </u>	CID and all and a line
Control (CO 001 04 01 CIP : III	tract working with CIP and all pricing is in accordance with Florida State
	eting with WASA ahead of time. Roberto Clemente Park cost 150K but
	arger. Park's will have a public hearing on 7/28/04 at park to get input from
the community. Commissioner Gonzale	z approves the Water Flayground.
\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim	
innovin //ahatt)	L-//
APPROVAL:	DATE: 7/28/04
BOND OVERSIGHT E	O'ARD
	Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO
	Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

Wooden Deck for Playground at Jose Marti Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$8,000 (1.35 million allocated; estimated current balance is

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions

Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Proposal includes the removal of wood deck as installed adjacent to the playground. Approx 1800 SF of existing wood planks to be removed. Any deteriorated framing below existing deck to be replaced. All wood to be pressure treated and sealed as required. All deck planks to be replaced with American Ecoboard composite wood decking materials. All existing railings to be refinished and painted to match existing color. Scope of work for replacement of deck planks is inclusive of replacement of wood at all existing wood stairs adjoining to deck area.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-67

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE JOSE MARTI PARK PLAYGROUND/WOODEN DECK PROJECT.

MOVED:

R. AEDO

SECONDED:

M. CRUZ

ABSENT:

K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. Vangates

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

• Water Playground at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

Ed Blanco stated that a public hearing was scheduled for 7-28-04 to discuss general park improvements, including a water playground. There are some issues to work out still, but recommended proceeding with the project.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$260,000 (\$800,000 allocated; estimated current balance is \$401,194)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions

Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Installation and Construction of new water play park - Installation by Hobbs

Architectural Fountains.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-68

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE JUAN PABLO DUARTE PARK - WATER PLAYGROUND PROJECT.

MOVED:

R. AEDO

SECONDED:

M. CRUZ

ABSENT: K. Apfel, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, D. Marko, R. Vangates

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Court Upgrades at Kinloch Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$10,000 (\$800,000 allocated; estimated current balance is \$790,000) SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bonds - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions

Report by Ed Blanco

Approved by Audit Committee on 7-20-04.

SCOPE OF PROJECT: Proposal for court upgrades includes pressure cleaning and removal of mildew, checking courts for low spots, patch them as necessary, correct cracks with filler to smooth surface, re-stripe playing lines, paint lines and wall on racquetball courts, remove 2 existing basketball and install 2 MacGregor Gooseneck basketball, provide tools, materials, and labor.

- 3. Site Furnishings at Curtis Park. Ed Blanco reported that this project was recently completed and provided photographs.
- Replacement of Monument at Bay of Pigs Park. Ed Blanco 4. reported that project is expected to be completed by next week.
- Replat Project at Gibson Park. Ed Blanco reported that this project was terminated. Will pursue another process, not a replat.
- Site Furnishings at Williams Park. Ed Blanco reported that this 6. project was recently started and is about 20% complete. Will update the board in six months.
- Site Furnishings at Jose Marti Park. Ed Blanco reported the vita course is not completed; it's about 90% done. Will come back with another update.
- Water Playground at Juan Pablo Duarte Park. Ed Blanco reported 8. that the permits were just obtained and the site is being prepared to begin construction. The playground is planned adjacent to the recreation building on the western side of the park.
- Playground/Wooden Deck at Jose Marti Park. Ed Blanco provided 9. a sample of the deck (wood composite) and reported that the project was basically completed.
- 10. Court Upgrades at Kinloch Park. Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed and provided photographs.
- 11. Court Upgrades at Hadley Park. Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed and provided photographs.
- 12. <u>Building Improvements/Roof at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.</u> Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed, but did not provide photographs because that involved struts and other parts of the roof.
- 13. Irrigation at Belafonte Tacolcy Park. Ed Blanco reported that this project is completed.

At 6:21 p.m., quorum was reached and the official meeting began.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 14, 1. 2004.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-1

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 14, 2004.

MOVED:

M. REYES

SECONDED:

K. APFEL

ABSENT:

R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, A. Sumner, L. Cabrera,

M. Cruz, J. Reves

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board

Members present.

12. Athalie Range Park Playground Shade

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project was completed, but before Hurricane Wilma, the shade was taken down as a preventative measure to save it. The contractor has to reinstall it.

13. Jose Marti Park Site Furnishings - Lighting

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

14. Jose Marti Park Site Furnishings – Vita Course

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed and pictures are available.

15. Jose Marti Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the water playground was awarded to the same contractor who did the Roberto Clemente water playground. Since then, that contractor has had some internal issues. They have no performed some of the other projects that they have with the City. It has been almost a year, and the Department decided to terminate the project with them, and we'll go out and get another contractor to do that particular project.

16. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

17. Juan Pablo Duarte Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that this project was given to the same contractor who was awarded the West End Park water playground project. Unfortunately, this contractor did not have the experience in doing a water playground. They did not have the engineering staff or the design staff on board. They gave one excuse after another for six or eight months, and we've decided to move on. They admitted to us that they would not be able to completed the project. They haven't even started. The Department had to find another contractor, and this time the contract will be given to a contractor who has experience with these type of projects.

18. Kinloch Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

5

defense series. He stated that he will go back and give the Board a full report on the exact list of the full allocation.

AND

4. West End Park Water Playground

5. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, stated that he would combine the updates for West End Park and Juan Pablo Duarte Park because both parks have the same problem. Over a year ago, a contract was awarded to do the water park for both parks to one contractor. After eight months of going back and forth, the City had to pull the plug on the contractor because they were not performing for either park. As a result of that, the Department decided that it would probably be best to go back to the contractor who did the Roberto Clemente playground, which was successful. The Department requested an estimate from that contractor on a playground in both parks. The Department was very happy with the quote given and plans to use that contractor under the Dade County contract. When the quotations were sent to the Purchasing Department, CIP was told that the contractor could not be used because the specialized equipment that would be used to do the water playgrounds was not on the Dade County contract bid. Therefore, CIP is back to square one; both projects will have to go through a formal bid process again.

6. Jose Marti Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that there is another major project at Jose Marti Park, the development of an indoor gymnasium. The Department was informed that there was a shortfall in that project, so the funds that would have been used for the development of the water playground have been shifted to the gymnasium project. Therefore, funds are no longer available to do the Jose Marti water playground.

Gary Reshefsky raised concerns about the most recent update on the Jose Marti gymnasium because he did not remember being told that the project was over budget. Mr. Reshefsky asked for an explanation of the JOC contract process because he was under the impression that those were guaranteed costs.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP & Transportation, stated that the Jose Marti gymnasium is not a JOC contract; it's a CM at-risk contract with Pirtle Construction. It does not use the JOC process. Right now, the Department anticipates a shortfall. The Department is in the process of receiving the guaranteed maximum price for the contract. The problem is that the construction industry itself is basically out of control. During some months, the prices are going up as much as 23 percent. That's where the shortfall will probably come into play. The cost of materials and labor are out of sight. The Department will provide an update on the status of the project at the next meeting.

Danette Perez, CIP Department, directed Mr. Reshefsky to the May 23, 2006 minutes, page 13, number 8, for the most recent update on the Jose Marti Gym project. Mr. Fabrikant stated that the update summary is accurate, and in addition, as part of the CM at-risk process, the Department does not wait to get final pricing until all the permitting is done. The plans are now about 100 percent, without dry run approval, so the Department has gotten their GMP proposal; it will be reviewed and then negotiated, and then brought to the Commission. The Department will come back at the Board's next meeting and give an update as to the amount of the shortfall, if there is one.

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-33

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.

MOVED: M. Cruz SECONDED: L. De Rosa

ABSENT: L. Cabrera, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. <u>UPDATES</u>:

1. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the project was previously before the Board on December 26, 2005, one day prior to the Department's pre-bid conference with the contractors for the park, which Mariano Cruz was invited to. The pre-bid conference was held on December 27, 2005. There was only one bid for the project on October 26, at \$599,000. There is only \$260,000 available for the project, so that bid had to be thrown out. The project scope is currently being scaled down in hopes of going out for bid one more time. There were some shortfalls in the building renovation project so it is on hold. The Department is considering using the funds from the splash park for the building renovations if a successful bid is not received for the splash park.

Gary Reshefsky requested an accounting at the next meeting of the Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground.

2. West End Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the renovations of the pool building and pool are currently underway and will probably be open before the summer. The funding of the splash park had to be reallocated to complete that particular project, so the splash project cannot be done.

Gary Reshefsky requested information regarding what other municipalities in Miami-Dade County are doing regarding splash parks; further, he requested an accounting of the funds for the splash parks in the City of Miami. Vice Chairman Reyes requested that the Department add any other projects with funding shortfalls to that list.

Vice Chairman Reyes stated that if the Department wants to scale down the project, then they should come back before the full Board and the Commissioner of the district where the park is located.

3. Glen Royal Parkway Project

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

UPDATE

1. DATE: 4/27/04 DISTRICT: 4
NAME OF PROJECT: WEST END PARK - WATER PLAYOR ONLY
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Ed Blacco 416-1253
C.I.P. DEPARIMENT CONTACT:
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: (IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? MYES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$215.000 (\$1.35 Million allocated; estimated current balance is \$975.000) SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI Bond - Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION DATE:
Are matching funds Budgeted?
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:Ed Blanco / Parks & Recreation
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Installation of mechanical equipment and construction of Splash Pad deck for a
new water playground. Vendor is Dominica Recreation Products.
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 4/20/04
Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below) Firme Approval 6 months 12 months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
G I
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? TYES NO If yes, DESIGN COST:
CONSTRUCTION COST:
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
Source(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
S. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE
ndividuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
Fiscal Impact YES NO HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO ource(s) of additional funds:
outec(s) of additional finites.
Time impact
Approved by Commission? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
COMMENTS: Total project price quoted is \$149,320. However, additional funds are being requested for
permitting. W.A.S.A. (Water and Sewer Authority), etc. Any remaining funds will return to the West End Park
cçount,
\mathcal{A}
APPROVAL: DATE: DA
FOND OVERSIGHT BOARD
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials ⊠ YES ☐ NO

HD/NIB MOTION 04-37

À MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE TO ALLOCATE AN ADDITIONAL \$5,000 TO THE HADLEY PARK-NEW POOL HEATERS PROJECT.

MOVED:

W. HARVEY

SECONDED:

L. CABRERA

ABSENT:

S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES;

L. de ROSA

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Playground Equipment at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.

Total dollar amount:

\$65,000

Source of funds:

HD/NIB-Neighborhood Park

Improvements and Acquisitions

Report by:

Ed Blanco, Parks and Recreation;

Gary Reshefsky, Audit Subcommittee

Date approved by Audit Subcommittee: April 20, 2004

Scope of project: Install new playground equipment. Pricing is per Miami Dade County Bid #4907-2/03.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-38

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE JUAN PABLO DUARTE-PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$65,000 BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED:

M. REYES

SECONDED:

L. CABRERA

ABSENT:

S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES;

L. de ROSA

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Water Playground at West End Park.

Total dollar amount:

\$215,000

Source of funds:

HD/NIB-Neighborhood Park

Improvements and Acquisitions

Report by:

Ed Blanco, Parks and Recreation; Gary Reshefsky, Audit Subcommittee

ek

5

April 27, 2004

Date approved by Audit Subcommittee: April 20, 2004

Scope of project: Installation of mechanical equipment and construction of splash pad dock for a new water playground.

Total price quoted: \$149,320. Additional funds are being requested for permitting, WASA (Water/Sewer Authority), etc. Any remaining funds will be returned to the West End Park account. This is the second water park to be constructed in the City, the first one being Roberto Clemente Park. It is hoped that a water park will be constructed in each of the City's five districts.

HD/NIB MOTION 04-39

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL BY THE HOMELAND DEFENSE/NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD (HD/NIB) AUDIT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE WEST END PARK - WATER PLAYGROUND PROJECT; FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT \$215,000 BE ALLOCATED TO THIS PROJECT.

MOVED:

M. REYES

SECONDED:

M. CRUZ

ABSENT:

S. CASERES; R. CAYARD; S. PETERS; J. REYES

L. de ROSA

Note for the record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

 Site Furnishings ("Footsteps of Freedom") at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.

Total dollar amount:

30,000

Source of funds:

HD/NIB-Neighborhood Park

Improvements and Acquisitions

Report by:

Keith Carswell, Economic

Development;

Gary Reshefsky, Audit Subcommittee

Scope of Project: Install "Footsteps of Freedom" concept to replace the existing concrete sidewalk along the north courtyard, incorporate landscape enhancements at the community center, and install new lighting.

The Audit Subcommittee did not take any action on this item and requested more information and a presentation from Michelle Spence, Director of Special Events re this project, and also requested a letter of consent from the Director of the Community Center.

- **11.** Pool Building Renovations at Hadley Park. Ed Blanco reported this project is complete and looks great.
- **12.** New Pool Heaters at Hadley Park. Ed Blanco reported this project was complete and all was working well.
- 13. New Playground Equipment at Juan Pablo Duarte Park. Ed Blanco reported this project is complete. Board Member Cruz requested that tables, chairs and a ping pong table be provided for the children's after school program at Juan Pablo Duarte Park.
 - 14. Water Playground at West End Park.

Ed Blanco reported this project is in the permitting process. The water playground at West End Park is expected to be completed by February 2005. The water playground at Juan Pablo Duarte is expected to take longer.

- 15. Site Furnishings at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.
 Ed Blanco reported only one bid was received and was very high. The site furnishings has to be re-bidded.
- 16. Mini Stadium Complex at Athalie Range Park.
 Ed Blanco reported that the RFQ for design was issued on October 4, 2004. On November 4th, the design firm should be selected. It will take 4-5 months to complete the design; construction should begin by June 2005.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

NAME OF PROJECT: BLACK POLICE PRECINCT & MUSEUM RESTORATION

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,715,800 (\$415,800-D5 Quality of life #311715/\$1,000,000-Historic Preservation Initiatives #327001/ \$300,000 Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources #888935 Grant)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: D-5 Quality of Life, Historic Preservation Initiatives and Fla. Bureau of Historic Resources.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The scope consist of rehabilitation of the building for use as classrooms and public meeting space to include, selective demolition, re-roofing, Restoration replacement of windows and doors, renewal of finishes and related architectural and engineering services.

APPROVED BY AUDIT COMMITTEE: n/a

A presentation was made by certain African-American retired police officers and staff. Sarah Eaton supports the project and there was a unanimous show of hands in favor of this project by the seven board members present.

• PLEASE NOTE LOCATION CHANGE FROM THE CITY HALL- CHAMBERS TO THE MIAMI RIVERSIDE CENTER - 10TH FLOOR MAIN CONFERENCE ROOM.

The meeting adjourned at 7:42 p.m.

6. West End Park - Water Playground

Ed Blanco, CIP Department, reported that the West End Park - Water Playground project is still in the permitting process, but a contractor has been awarded for the project.

7. Virginia Key Beach Park Trust - Capital Related Consulting

Lee Robinson, finance director, Virginia Key Beach Park Trust, reported the following: \$110,000 allocated for design of museum structure; RFQ expected to be issued mid-May. A finalist is anticipated in October, which will begin the design competition for the museum structure. The comprehensive electrical master plan for the utilities in the park is underway. The PSA is currently in the City Attorney's Office awaiting signage. The renderings for the entrance of the park have been completed. The purchase of the mini train is on hold due to lack of funding.

Mary Conway, CIP/Transportation Director, reported that the CIP office has begun monthly coordination meetings with the Trust to make sure that the capital improvement projects that are being done on behalf of the Trust are fully coordinated with their efforts.

8. Preservation Development Initiative Grant

Sarah Eaton, Preservation Officer, reported that, earlier this month, the department received a letter from Richard Moe, the president of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, reaffirming the commitment of the National Trust to Miami to fulfill its obligations under the Preservation Development Initiative. An amendment to the contract is expected via mail this week to extend the contract. In six months, the Board should expect a very exciting report.

9. Land Acquisition at 301 NE 62nd Street - LHP 101 in connection with Little Haiti Park.

Dirk Duval, Department of Economic Development, reported that the Department closed on the property on March 18. The structure previously occupying said property has since been demolished, and preparations are being made to fence the property.

10. Brentwood Village

Roger Hatton, CIP Department, reported that the architect submitted their final drawings for construction to the Building Department for permitting; to CIP and Public Works for review and comments. The Law Department of the City of Miami is drafting construction easement documents between the developer of that community and the City of Miami.

Mary Conway, CIP/Transportation Director, reported that the City made a commitment to partner based on investment that was made by the developer in an area that was a run-down, drug-infested area that's been turned around. The City's commitment was to

19. West End Park New Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that this project was given to the same contractor who was awarded the Juan Pablo Duarte Park water playground project. Unfortunately, this contractor did not have the experience in doing a water playground. They did not have the engineering staff or the design staff on board. They gave one excuse after another for six or eight months, and we've decided to move on. They admitted to us that they would not be able to completed the project. They haven't even started. The Department had to find another contractor, and this time the contract will be given to a contractor who has experience with these type of projects.

20. Williams Park Site Furnishings

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been completed.

21. Williams Park Site Furnishings - Shelters, Picnic Tables, Grills

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project has been delayed because it's a shelter that will be put on the northeast side of the existing recreation building, which will be renovated. Part of the renovation of that project was to have a French drain done because when it rains in that area there is bad flooding. The renovation to the building has undergone some changes and it has been postponed, but the French drain installation will go on ahead of the recreation building renovation.

22. Baywalk Improvements at One Miami Site

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that the construction is well underway. This item was funded through the Commissioner's quality of life monies with the caveat that those monies be repaid through the ad valorem taxes that will come to the City. That is an outstanding commitment, so these are dollars that will be available next year and the year after, as the monies are collected, to be reassigned to other capital projects. The Department is looking right now at the possibility of using some of these dollars to fund the balance that's required for phase III of the Bicentennial seawall. The project is complete and a celebration was held last Friday night. The completion of the paperwork and the close-out has not yet been finished.

23. NeoLofts Greenway Segment

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that this segment fell in to the category where the guidelines were not officially in place. What the City was looking to do was to have the greenway constructed according to the guidelines. Unfortunately, what happened, based on timing and the timing of this building's completion and them obtaining their TCO, the greenway section in front of NeoLofts was not constructed as originally intended. No dollars have been expended on the item. What the City intends to do is do it as a capital improvement project in-house, with City resources.

24. Coral View Project – The Aston

Mary Conway, CIP & Transportation, reported that this was a public-private partnership that dealt with street improvements adjacent to a development that was required to do other street improvements. This was extending the work another block. That project is about 80

6 12/6/05

defense series. He stated that he will go back and give the Board a full report on the exact list of the full allocation.

4. West End Park Water Playground

AND

5. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, stated that he would combine the updates for West End Park and Juan Pablo Duarte Park because both parks have the same problem. Over a year ago, a contract was awarded to do the water park for both parks to one contractor. After eight months of going back and forth, the City had to pull the plug on the contractor because they were not performing for either park. As a result of that, the Department decided that it would probably be best to go back to the contractor who did the Roberto Clemente playground, which was successful. The Department requested an estimate from that contractor on a playground in both parks. The Department was very happy with the quote given and plans to use that contractor under the Dade County contract. When the quotations were sent to the Purchasing Department, CIP was told that the contractor could not be used because the specialized equipment that would be used to do the water playgrounds was not on the Dade County contract bid. Therefore, CIP is back to square one; both projects will have to go through a formal bid process again.

6. Jose Marti Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that there is another major project at Jose Marti Park, the development of an indoor gymnasium. The Department was informed that there was a shortfall in that project, so the funds that would have been used for the development of the water playground have been shifted to the gymnasium project. Therefore, funds are no longer available to do the Jose Marti water playground.

Gary Reshefsky raised concerns about the most recent update on the Jose Marti gymnasium because he did not remember being told that the project was over budget. Mr. Reshefsky asked for an explanation of the JOC contract process because he was under the impression that those were guaranteed costs.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP & Transportation, stated that the Jose Marti gymnasium is not a JOC contract; it's a CM at-risk contract with Pirtle Construction. It does not use the JOC process. Right now, the Department anticipates a shortfall. The Department is in the process of receiving the guaranteed maximum price for the contract. The problem is that the construction industry itself is basically out of control. During some months, the prices are going up as much as 23 percent. That's where the shortfall will probably come into play. The cost of materials and labor are out of sight. The Department will provide an update on the status of the project at the next meeting.

Danette Perez, CIP Department, directed Mr. Reshefsky to the May 23, 2006 minutes, page 13, number 8, for the most recent update on the Jose Marti Gym project. Mr. Fabrikant stated that the update summary is accurate, and in addition, as part of the CM at-risk process, the Department does not wait to get final pricing until all the permitting is done. The plans are now about 100 percent, without dry run approval, so the Department has gotten their GMP proposal; it will be reviewed and then negotiated, and then brought to the Commission. The Department will come back at the Board's next meeting and give an update as to the amount of the shortfall, if there is one.

I. <u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.</u>

HD/NIB MOTION 06-33

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 2006.

MOVED:

M. Cruz

SECONDED:

L. De Rosa

ABSENT:

L. Cabrera, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. UPDATES:

1. Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the project was previously before the Board on December 26, 2005, one day prior to the Department's pre-bid conference with the contractors for the park, which Mariano Cruz was invited to. The pre-bid conference was held on December 27, 2005. There was only one bid for the project on October 26, at \$599,000. There is only \$260,000 available for the project, so that bid had to be thrown out. The project scope is currently being scaled down in hopes of going out for bid one more time. There were some shortfalls in the building renovation project so it is on hold. The Department is considering using the funds from the splash park for the building renovations if a successful bid is not received for the splash park.

Gary Reshefsky requested an accounting at the next meeting of the Juan Pablo Duarte Park Water Playground.

2. West End Park Water Playground

Ed Blanco, Parks Department, reported that the renovations of the pool building and pool are currently underway and will probably be open before the summer. The funding of the splash park had to be reallocated to complete that particular project, so the splash project cannot be done.

Gary Reshefsky requested information regarding what other municipalities in Miami-Dade County are doing regarding splash parks; further, he requested an accounting of the funds for the splash parks in the City of Miami. Vice Chairman Reyes requested that the Department add any other projects with funding shortfalls to that list.

Vice Chairman Reyes stated that if the Department wants to scale down the project, then they should come back before the full Board and the Commissioner of the district where the park is located.

3. Glen Royal Parkway Project

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM



A STATE OF THE STA	TO THE PARTY OF TH			and the second
ישמי	m	 		

INITIATING DEDARCE IN	
	DISTRICT: 3 LIVERSIDE PARK - PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT / DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
THEFT ALTING CONTACT PERS	SON/CONTACT MINADED TO THE
RESULUTION NUMBER:	CIP/PROJECT NUMBER
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUM	IBER: B-75910
1	(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION	ON: Are funds budgeted? YES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$20	0,000 (\$192,000 will be used from HDNI only, balance from other source of
fund)	a de dosa mont i Divi only, balance from other source of
SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI - N	Jeighborhood Parks Improvements
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331	1419
If grant funded, is there a City match	requirement? YES NO
TIMOUNI:	EVDID ATTOM DAME
Are matching funds Budgeted?	YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenar	nce Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provi	ided input: <u>Ed Blanco 305.416.1253</u>
A A	ided input: Ed Blanco 305.416.1253
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: S	considerable F
Existing Playeround Equipment 30 (cope includes Excavation & Disposal of Fill, Demolition and Disposal of
Fencing priced Per Linear Foot 2 To	Space 121 Will Container includes Dumping 6' Temporary Chainlink
Base. Concrete Curbing Pour in Place	mporary 12' Wide Gates. 4" Compacted Stone Base #57. 2" Compacted Sto
	5555 Fan Height (5-1/2 mickness). Park Structures Playground Equipmen
ADA Compliant? YES NO	N/A
repetuved by Attait Committee?	☐ YES☐ NO☐ N/A DATE APPROVED: _3/15/05
	LI YES. NO NA DATE APPROVED: 3/22/05
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner	
ime Approval 6 months 12	months Date for next Oversight Board Update:
CONCERTIVALOR	Date for flext Oversight Board Update:
. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMA	ATE BREAKDOWN
las a conceptual cost estimate been de	eveloped based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If yes
ONSTRUCTION COST	
ONSTRUCTION COST:	
ONSTRUCTION COST:	oudget? YES NO
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project b not, have additional funds been iden	oudget? YES NO
ONSTRUCTION COST:	oudget? YES NO
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project b not, have additional funds been iden	oudget? YES NO
ONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project b not, have additional funds been iden purce(s) of additional funds:	oudget? YES NO utified? YES NO
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden purce(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission?	oudget? YES NO titified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED.
ONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board?	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC	oudget? YES NO stified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC	oudget? YES NO stified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provide	oudget?
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified by conceptual funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provide	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providustifications for change:	oudget?
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providustifications for change:	oudget?
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providustifications for change:	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds: purce(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: escription of change:	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been iden ource(s) of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: escription of change:	oudget?
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identifuncted by Commission? pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is diffications for change: escription of change:	oudget?
ONSTRUCTION COST: conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identifuncted by Commission? pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is diffications for change: escription of change:	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified by Commission? In purce(s) of additional funds: In purce(s) of additional funds: Poproved by Commission? Poproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: Poproved by Commission? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: Poproved by Commission? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: Poproved by Commission?	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identifuncted by Commission? In proved by Commission? In proved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: In pact we additional funds been identified? In surre(s) of additional funds:	oudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides tifications for change: escription of change: escription of change: seal Impact two additional funds been identified? urce(s) of additional funds: me impact proved by Commission?	Dudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is tifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds) (we addi	OPE ed input: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is tifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds) (we addi	OPE ed input: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
CONSTRUCTION COST: s conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides tifications for change: escription of change: escription of change: seal Impact two additional funds been identified? urce(s) of additional funds: me impact proved by Commission?	Dudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is tifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds) (we addi	Dudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact twe additional funds been identified? surce(s) of additional funds: me impact proved by Commission? proved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS: Pet Miami-Dade Bi	Dudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who providuals is tifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds been identified) (we additional funds) (we addi	Dudget?
CONSTRUCTION COST: Is conceptual estimate within project be not, have additional funds been identified; of additional funds: pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board? REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC dividuals / Departments who provides stifications for change: escription of change: scal Impact twe additional funds been identified? surce(s) of additional funds: me impact proved by Commission? proved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS: Pet Miami-Dade Bi	Datified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: OPE ed input: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED:

II. NEW BUSINESS:

A. AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

Playground Equipment at Riverside Park.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$200,000 (\$192,000 will be used from HDNI only, balance from other source of fund)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: <u>HDNI - Neighborhood Parks Improvements</u>

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope includes Excavation & Disposal of Fill, Demolition and Disposal of Existing Playground Equipment. 30 Cubic Yard Trash Container includes Dumping. 6' Temporary Chainlink Fencing priced Per Linear Foot. 2 Temporary 12' Wide Gates. 4" Compacted Stone Base #57. 2" Compacted Stone Base. Concrete Curbing. Pour in Place for 8' Fall Height (3-1/2 thickness). Park Structures Playground Equipment.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-17

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT AT RIVERSIDE PARK.

MOVED:

M. CRUZ

SECONDED:

J. REYES

ABSENT:

R. Aedo, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D. Marko, G.

Reshefsky.

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members

present.

Additional Funding for Site Improvements at Belafonte Tacolcy Park.

ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUESTED: \$2,400

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Park Improvements & Acquisitions

DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE: Additional design services for brick pavers in the South courtyard.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-18

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE SITE IMPROVEMENTS AT BELAFONTE TACOLCY PARK.

MOVED:

E. BROTON

SECONDED:

A. SUMNER

ABSENT:

R. Aedo, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, R. Flanders, D. Marko, G.

Reshefsky.

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members

present.

Kay Hancock Apfel questioned the time frame to receive the funds and to complete the project.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that upon receipt of the dollars, the City would start the design, so none of the preliminary engineering has begun for this project yet. There will be probably the standard 12 months for design and permitting; probably another 12 to 15 months for construction, depending on how extensive the planned repairs are.

4. Riverside Park Playground Equipment

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the project is about ready to begin in January. The project was put on hold when the City applied for a grant for the project. An \$80,000 grant was awarded in November 2005. It went to the Commission in December, and a contract was executed in January. The scope of the project has changed. It's a \$270,000 project, which will have handicap walkways and handicap equipment for children in the playground. Construction is estimated to begin in May for an August completion.

5. Dinner Key Dredging

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is under permitting. The permitting is expected to be completed by the end of this year. The process is longer because of the involvement with wildlife. Construction is expected to begin in April 2007 for completion in July 2007.

6. Marine Stadium Marina Improvements

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the improvements consist of the boat rack for 84 boats. In-house designs have been prepared for this project. The designs have been presented to the building department, and fully permitted plans are expected for the month of May. Construction is anticipated to begin in July 2006 for completion in December 2006.

Rolando Aedo requested information on the Marine Stadium.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, stated that the future of the Marine Stadium and what will happen there will be addressed as part of the Virginia Key Master Plan study that is about to begin.

Manolo Reyes requested that projects be summarized in a report.

7. Dinner Key Marina Pier Decking Replacement

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project was funded from another source of funds, and it has been completed. The portion related to the gate and locks will be funded from another source of funds, not from Homeland Defense.

Vice Chairman Reyes: -- because according to what I'm reading here, it has meeting rooms, administrative offices -- I mean, it has a lot of different uses, not only a gym.

Mr. Fabrikant: Right. One of the things I committed to the audit committee was to hold a discussion with the Parks director --

Vice Chairman Reves: Sure.

Mr. Fabrikant: -- to see about what name they would use for the facility if there would be a possibility to use a name other than the gymnasium. For purposes of construction, everything else --

Vice Chairman Reyes: Sure.

Mr. Fabrikant: -- you know, to not create confusion, we will stay with the name gymnasium, but you're absolutely right. It is full-use facility, rather than just a gymnasium.

UPDATES:

1. Riverside Park Playground Equipment

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project estimated cost is \$192,000. The scope of work includes demolition of the existing playground and installation of a special ADA playground and rubber surface. Currently, the project design has been completed and the Parks Department is working with the Purchasing Department on the bidding process and anticipate having a contractor on board by the end of the year.

2. Professional Services Agreement to Develop a Master Plan for the Parks & Recreation Department

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project estimated cost is \$700,000. The project scope is to provide a long-range strategic plan for the development of the City's parks system. The Parks Department anticipates having the plan submitted to the City in draft format in November for review and for final public input, and they anticipate presenting the final plan to the City Commission early next year.

Eileen Broton questioned if there are two master plans in the works for the Parks Department.

Mr. Fabrikant stated that he would check with the Parks Department to clarify that issue.

Vice Chairman Reyes requested that Mr. Fabrikant report the information he finds at the next board meeting.

3. Police Headquarters Restrooms Rehabilitation & ADA Upgrades

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the budgeted cost for the project is approximately \$522,000. Currently, 7 of the 17 bathrooms that were to be upgraded have been completed and 4 are in progress. The construction is just under 50 percent complete. The estimated construction completion date is February 2007 and the project is under budget.



DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

1. DATE: 7/26/05 NAME OF PROJECT: YIRGII IMPROVEMENTS			DISTRICT: 2	PARKING
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/ INITIATING CONTACT PERS C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTAC	ON/CONTACT NI CT: Maria Lodez (3)	UMBER: <u>Roger Han</u> 05) 416-1290	on (305) 416-1261	-
RESOLUTION NUMBER: ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUM	CIP/PRO	JECT NUMBER: B-30184		
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATIC TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,7)N: Are funds budg	(IF APPLICA geted? YES	NO If the	42°s Townson
\$202,000 unallocated + swaps per or SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$2,751,235 ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331	<u>dinance \$2,751,235 es</u> HDNI Viroinia Kev P	timated balance \$269 0	5\	<u>n +</u>
If grant funded, is there a City match AMOUNT: Are matching funds Budgeted? Estimated Operations and Maintenage	EXPIRATION I	DATE:		
Estimated Operations and Maintenan 3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	ice Budget			
Individuals / Departments who provi	ided input:			
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Cor the Park's Perimeter Road (East-end of Area 3: Milling & Resurfacing the roa resurfacing the Pavilion access roadw.	nsists of implementing only). Area 2: Reconst dway network leading	the following improve	ments: Area 1: Reconst	
ADA Compliant? YES NO	□ N/A			
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? Approved by Commission? Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Revisions to Original Scope? Time Approval 6 months 12: 4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMA Has a conceptual cost estimate been d DESIGN COST: CONSTRUCTION COST:	YES Approval? YES YES YES YES Months Date for 1	NO N/A DA NO N/A DA NO N/A DA NO KYES see It NO (If YES see It NEXT Oversight Board	em 5 below) Update:	<u>/26/05</u>
s conceptual estimate within project be f not, have additional funds been iden Source(s) of additional funds:	_ = ;	NO NO		
Approved by Commission? Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	∐YES ∐NO	□N/A DATE AP	PROVED:PROVED:	
. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SC ndividuals / Departments who provide				
ustifications for change:				
Description of change:				
Siscal Impact Nave additional funds been identified? Ource(s) of additional funds:	YES NO YES NO	HOW MUCH?		
ime impact pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board?		□N/A DATEAPI □N/A DATEAPI	PROVED:	
COMMENTS:				
PPROVAL:	111			_
BOND OVERSIGHT	BOARD	DATE:	7/26/05	-

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

9. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,751,235 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1st Series Allocation \$1 Million + \$202,000 unallocated + swaps per ordinance \$2,751,235 estimated balance \$268.05)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$2,751,235 HDNI Virginia Key Park Improvements
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Consists of implementing the following improvements: Area 1:
Reconstruction of the Park's Perimeter Road (East-end only). Area 2: Reconstructing parking lot, including drainage improvements. Area 3: Milling & Resurfacing the roadway network leading to the beach and carousel access. Area 4: Milling and resurfacing the Pavilion access roadway segments.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-78

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE VIRGINIA KEY BEACH PARK CIRCULATION ROAD & PARKING IMPROVEMENTS.

MOVED:

M. Cruz

SECONDED:

W. Harvey

ABSENT:

K. Apfel, E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko,

J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

10. Coral Way Beautification Phase II

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,095,177 (3 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$162,542) SOURCE OF FUNDS: HDNI-Coral Way Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Scope consists of landscaping along the median island around the up-lighting fixtures with plant species requiring low maintenance and sunlight needs. Improvements to the pedestrian crossing at SW 12th Avenue, SW 17th Avenue, SW 22nd Avenue, SW 27th Avenue, SW 32nd Avenue and SW 37 Avenue, in addition of trash receptacles and street furniture along the entire project length.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-79

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE CORAL WAY BEAUTIFICATION PHASE II.

MOVED:

M. Reyes

SECONDED:

W. Harvey

ABSENT:

E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

be a 30-inch water main coming across the segment underneath the river and ending on the south end of Segment F on the other side. Once clearance is given from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, the current underground utility issues are resolved by the engineer of record, and funding is becomes available from FDOT, construction should begin on that project. The design is 100 percent completed, and Segment B and Segment C will go into construction simultaneously.

29. Miami River Greenways - Segment E2

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently under construction. There is a transition that needs to happen between Segment E1 and E2 that was critical. Originally, it was intended for Miami River Greenway - Segment E2 to be built at a later time, but because of safety reasons and because there was already a contractor there ready to build E1 and E2, CIP decided to move this project forward and have the contractor continue on to E2 after E1 had been substantially completed. The project is also located on North River Drive, just north of the current Segment E1. About 70 percent of the major controlling items of work are complete. Currently, leveling and back fielding is being done for the future sidewalks. The sidewalks are expected to be poured in the next week to two weeks, and the landscaping will follow, as well as street furniture. After that, milling and resurfacing will be done.

 Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements – Design Services

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that last Friday afternoon, the engineer of record has addressed the plans with all of the comments provided by DERM. Those plans were delivered to DERM to basically rubberstamp everything that they wanted addressed in the plans. Typically, that process will take at most two weeks. Once those plans are approved by DERM, they will come to the City of Miami Building Department. That should take another week to two weeks. The contractor that will eventually do the work is CW Construction. The firm managing the project will be Metric Engineering.

31. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements - Construction

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that the Virginia Key Trust needs to have a parking lot by November 1. It will go fast, but it will be done. There will be a preconstruction meeting on Friday, and everybody's getting invited to be there.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Chairman Flanders stated that the Bond Oversight Board has the obligation, this Thursday at 11:30 a.m., to present its annual report. Everybody is invited to attend if they can. Staff has prepared a PowerPoint presentation, which shows the improvements made in every district and also the citywide improvements. During the presentation, Chairman Flanders will comment on the following two things: One, the Board is to be commended for its diligence at attending the meetings and discharging their duties, but that the Board should be amended to oversee all of the capital improvement projects in the City of Miami. The Board has been successful in bringing integrity back to the City, back to the process, and to increase the trust that the citizens have in the ability of their city to undertake a project, to fund the project, to contract the project, and to bring it in. It's a great testament to the will of the people to rise above years of less than sterling activity. Two, Chairman Flanders will

Chairman Flanders suggested that the audit subcommittee has a meeting in the Trust area either in December or January to see the Trust's progress.

4. Professional Service Agreement for Bicentennial Park "Museum Park" Master Plan

Enrique Nuñez, Planning Department, reported that the Museum Park Master Plan is divided into two phases, the schematic design phase and design development. The Department is nearing completion of the design development phase. The phase II design development drawings have been invoiced and approved for payment at 80 percent completion for \$418,878. The phase II design development drawings will be completed by the end of December, which also includes cost estimates for the entire park.

5. Land Acquisition for 6311-6329 NE 2nd Avenue LHP #92 in connection with Little Haiti Park

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the property was obtained through an eminent domain proceeding, and one of the final things the Department approached the Board on was an issue before the judge in terms of some vending machines. We thought we would have to come back to the Board for more monies, but we were successful in that hearing, and therefore, this item is now closed, and the City does have full ownership of the property.

- **6.** Additional Funding for Attorney's Fees for LHP Eminent Domain Acquisitions AND
- 7. Increase estimated costs for the sites acquired in connections with LHP #67,75 & 76

Madeline Valdes, Public Facilities, reported that the item needs to remain open, as the Department still has item number 7, which is the finalization of the costs in terms of the eminent domain for three parcels, #67, 75 & 76. The Department had a mediation conference today that was not finalized, and so as a result, the Department needs to keep item 6 and 7 open, and possibly bring back a finalization to the Board in January. In terms of these two items, they're still within the \$12 million that was allocated for land acquisition.

Chairman Flanders inquired about the actual project total to date.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that he did not have the information available and would have to get it for the Board.

Chairman Flanders requested that the information be provided at the next Board meeting.

8. Virginia Key Beach Park Circulation Road & Parking Improvements

Lionel Zapata, CIP Department, reported that 100 percent of the drainage has been completed. Currently for the parking lot, the area is being backfilled and should be completing that the second week of December and starting to lay some conduit for the lighting, as well as doing the layout for the future light posts, as well as landscaping islands. Up until this point, the weather has cooperated and the project is moving forward on schedule.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

DOMATE

1. DATE: 8/23/05	
NAME OF PROFE	
TIME OF PROTECT: CIRC	SON PARK IMPROVEMENTS PHASE II
	NT / DIVISION R VEMENTS PHASE II
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CON	TACT: André Bryan (305) 416-1211
INFOOLD LION NUMBER	CID/DBCIECHARD -
ADDITIONAL PROJECT N	UMBER:
J _i	353
2. BUDGETARY INFORMAT	TION: Are fundo bad a 12 ST
OPETITY MMOONT:	<u>\$1,492,000</u>
	(\$1.021,450 - \$1.055,855 Million Allogand
SOURCE OF FINIDE ME	\$168,171 -Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond)
Improvements / Safe Neighbor	
ACCOUNT CODE(S): 331419	ROOD Parks Bond
If grant funded, is there a City ma	atch requirement? [] VEC []
AMOUNT:	itch requirement? YES NO
Are matching funds Budgeted? [YES NO Account Code(s)
Estimated Operations and Mainte	TECH requirement? YES NO EXPIRATION DATE: YES NO Account Code(s): Phance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who p	rovided input:
F	The state of the s
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:	Project scope of work consists of 6 11:
to perform primarily the following	Project scope of work consists of furnishings all labor, materials and equipment Pool and Surrounding Area, 1. Construct 375 Sf food preparation building at
he INW corner of the nool 2 De-	maliabel
dulling (Continuation of scope	actact 1
ADA Compliant? YES N	IO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee?	
Approved by Bond Oversight Boar	
Approved by Commission?	THE LINUI IN/A HATE ADDOCTED A (See 12)
Ommunity Mra/Diss C	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
evisions to Original Security	ner Approval? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
ime Approval 6 months 1	LI 100 (If 125 see Item 5 helow)
	44 MORNIS Date for nevt Organists D
CONCEPTUAL COST ESTI	
RS a conceptual cost estimate beer	n developed based upon the initial established scope? \[YES \[NO \] If yes,
ONSTRUCTION COST:	r and a stabilished scoper [] YES [] NO If yes,
	· ·
conceptual estimate within project	
conceptual estimate within project	=
not, have additional funds been in	t budget? YES NO dentified? YES NO
conceptual estimate within project not, have additional funds been in ource(s) of additional funds:	=
not, have additional funds been ideasters; of additional funds:	=
ource(s) of additional funds:	dentified? YES NO
ource(s) of additional funds:	dentified? YES NO
oproved by Bond Oversight Board	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
pproved by Commission? Poproved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCORE
oproved by Bond Oversight Board	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE
pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who prov	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE
pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE rided input:
pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE rided input:
pproved by Commission? pproved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE rided input:
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE yided input:
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact ye additional funds been identified re additional funds been identified	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE Vided input: YES NO HOW MUCH?
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE yided input:
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE Vided input: YES NO HOW MICH?
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds:	dentified? YES NO YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: YES NO NA DATE APPROVED: SCOPE Vided input: YES NO HOW MICH?
peroved by Commission? peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds: ne impact proved by Commission?	dentified?
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who provided in the provided by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board? Departments who provided in the provided by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who provided in the provided by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board? Departments who provided in the provided by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who provided in the provided by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Board reproved by Bond Oversight Board? Departments who provided in the provided by Commission?	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds: ne impact proved by Commission? proved by Commission? COMMENTS: Part of JOC	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds: the impact proved by Bond Oversight Board? COMMENTS: Part of JOC	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO HOW MUCH? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES NO N/A DATE A
peroved by Commission? peroved by Bond Oversight Board REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL S dividuals / Departments who proventifications for change: scription of change: cal Impact we additional funds been identified arce(s) of additional funds: ne impact proved by Commission? proved by Commission? COMMENTS: Part of JOC	YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: SCOPE YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: YES



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM

Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared:	6/11/2003
Revised Date:	10/22/2004
Revised Date:	8/3/2005
Revised Date:	

PROJECT NAME: GIBSON P	ARK IMPROVEMENTS	CFOR PHASE 2)	
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 350 NW 13 S	Street	PROJECT No.:	B-30305
NET OFFICE: Overtown		DISTRICT:	D5
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and Re	ecreation	EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,492,000
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria Perez	TEL.: (305) 416-13	14 ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,492,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Andre Bryan	TEL.: (305) 416-12	11 PROCUREMENT:	JOC
CONSTR. MANAGER: Jim Brittain	TEL.: (305) 416-10	47 PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical
INSPECTOR / CEO:	TEL.:		
EST. DESIGN START: 6/5/2003	EST. BID ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 11	/2/2004
EST. DESIGN END: 5/15/2004	EST. AWARD DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 3/2	22/2006

PI	ROD	JCTION PHASE	Percentage					
Α.	Des	sign Svcs Outside Consultant Prime Consultant: Gurri Matute, P.A.	- -					
	1	Basic Fees:	15.7%	\$156,51				
-	2	Additional Services:	2.0%	\$20,12				
-			SUB-TOTAL:	\$176,64				
В.	Des	ign Svcs CIP	000-101AL	\$170,04				
	1	In-house Basic Design Fee:						
	2	In-house Additional Design Services:						
			SUB-TOTAL:					
C	Pro	duction Management Services	OOD-TOTAL.					
		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP:	4.0%	\$40,00				
		Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner:	4.070	\$40,00				
		y natory natory.	SUB-TOTAL:	640.00				
D	Mis	cellaneous Services	SOB-TOTAL.	\$40,00				
1	1	Survey: Vendor:						
Ì		Re-plat: Vendor: PBS&J						
		Geotechnical Testing: Vendor: ATC Group Service, Inc.		\$57,50				
		Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:		\$1,38				
		Asbestos Survey: Vendor: ETS Environment, Inc.		600				
		Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:		\$60				
		Phase I Environmental: Vendor:		·				
		Phase II Environmental: Vendor;						
		Structural Testing: Vendor:						
1		Archeological Survey: Vendor:						
		Other: Vendor:						
ε	1		SUB-TOTAL:	\$59,48				
1	2	2 Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)						
	3	3 Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):						
	4 FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):							
	5	South Florida Water Management District (Permits):						
	6	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):						
		HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):						
	8	Other:						
			SUB-TOTAL:					
L	PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:							
CC	NST	RUCTION PHASE		\$276,12				
F	F Construction: IOC Contractor, F.&.I. Construction, Inc.							
1.	JOC Contractor: F & L Construction, Inc. 1 Construction Estimate:							
		Contingency Allowance:	······································	\$1,000,00				
			10.0%	\$100,000				
	4	Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):	····					
		Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment:		T-T1				
İ		WASA System Betterment:						
	_	FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:						
i	'	Other:						
ł								

	G	City	and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fe	es	·······			
		1	City of Miami Permits:	Bldg. Dept.	V	Public Works	V	
		2	Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:					
Ì		3	Miami-Dade County Archeological	Monitoring:				
		4	Other:					\$877
							SUB-TOTAL:	\$877
lt.						CONSTRU	ICTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$1,100,877
AT	CO	NST	RUCTION ADMINISTRATION	V				
2	Н	Con	struction Inspection Services - CIP:					
TI	1		struction Mgmt Industry Partner:					The state of the s
ES	J		struction Engineering Observer (CE)	D) - Industry	Partner		7.0%	\$70,000
7	К	JOC	Administration				1.5%	\$15,000
0.5					CON	ISTRUCTION AD	MINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$85,000
7 C	4.0		ICTD ATIVE EVENINGS					
၁	Į.		ISTRATIVE EXPENSES					
JE	L		Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/C			·	3.0%	\$30,000
RO	M	indu	stry Partner Program Mgmt. Support	· ·				
P						ADMINISTRATI	VE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$30,000
	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES							
	N	Lane	d Cost:					
	0	Tran	saction Costs:					
						LANE	ACQUISITION TOTAL:	
				GRAND 1	OTAL	- ESTIMATE	PROJECT COST:	\$1,492,000
						···		·

The scope of works consist of the furnishing of all labor, materials and equipment to perform primarily the following. POOL AND SURROUNDING AREA

1. Construct 375 sf Food Preparation Building at the NW corner of the pool.

- 2. Demolish the existing concession building, and construct a 1,100 sf Multi Purpose Building
- 3. Resurface the pool and pool deck. Provide safety feature (sg. lift, ramp) for handicap and other users.
- 4. Renovate the pool bath house. Construct ADA accessible entrance on the West side of the building.

RECREATION BUILDING AND SURROUNDING AREA

- 5. Replace flooring, accordian doors, paint walls and ceiling dark, install curtains at the interior stage
 - 6. Remodel the Boxing Gym and weight rooms
- PROJECT 7. Replace the entire roof

. 364

- 8. Construct site drainage system
- Construct an 8-foot high metal fence with gates to secure the all the buildings.
- 10. Install an irrigation system to irrigate the east side of the park

1. Gurri Matute's fee of \$156,517.50 was negotiated based on the initial construction estimate of \$1,004,000, and the previous NOTES soft cost breakdown that allowed a maximum of 15% for design fees.

2. \$168,171 in SNPB funds was spent in construction on Nov. 04.

ES					Fiscal Year Available		
RC	Fund:	Homeland Defense Fund	CIP #_	331419	2004	Amount:	\$1,021,450
00	Fund:	Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond (SNPB)	CIP #_	331341	2004	Amount:	\$168,171
S	Fund:	Homeland Defense Fund	CIP#	311715	2005	Amount:	\$302,379
ND	Fund:		CIP#			Amount:	
FU				TO	TAL ALLOCATE	ED AMOUNT:	\$1,492,000

N	Project Manager:	Andre Bryan	- ,_	On de F) ye	Date:	8/3/05
LIDATIO	Sr. Project Manager:	Fernando Paiva		F	<u> </u>	Date: _	8302
	Reviewed by:	Pilar Saenz CIP Budget Administrator	-	Sign		Date:_	8-11-05
7	Accepted by:	•	1. P/	Unestry	Suhary	Date: _	8/11/05
Copie		ENT, ALL CIP SECTION CHIEF	S, CIP SENK	Sign OR ACCOUNTANT, HD	R PROGRAM MANA	AGER	

8. Gibson Park Improvements - Phase II.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,492,000

(\$1,021,450 - \$1,055,855 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$0) (\$302,379 - \$5 Million Allocated, estimated balance \$56,000)

\$168,171 -Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond)

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Parks Improvements and Acquisitions / D-5 Quality of Life Improvements / Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond

ACCOUNT CODE(S): 331419 & 311715

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: <u>Project scope of work consists of furnishings all labor, materials and equipment to perform primarily the following: Pool and Surrounding Area, 1. Construct 375 Sf food preparation building at the NW corner of the pool, 2. Demolish the existing concession building, and construct a 1,100 Multi Purpose building. (Continuation of scope attached)</u>

HD/NIB MOTION 05-108

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE GIBSON PARK IMPROVEMENTS -- PHASE II.

MOVED:

E. Broton

SECONDED:

W. Harvey

ABSENT:

L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, R. Flanders, J. Manowitz, D. Marko, A.

Sumner

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Engineering, who will be the firm preparing the final design for the project. The final design was started in November 2005 and it is expected to be completed in October 2006. The design contract amount is \$246.337.

10. Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III – Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project area is bounded by Southwest 8th Street, West Flagler Street, Southwest 47th Avenue, and Southwest 57th Avenue. The preliminary drainage study was completed in October 2005. The final design, which is being prepared by Post Buckley, is expected to begin in February 2006, and the anticipated completed date is December 2006. The final design costs are \$277,905.

11. Fairlawn Storm Water Pump Station Phase IIA

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project is in construction, which began January 2006, with an anticipated completion date of June 2007. The project area is bounded by Northwest 7th Street, Northwest 4th Terrace, Northwest 47th Avenue, and Northwest 51st Avenue, and it also includes a portion of Antonio Maceo Park.

12. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the museum has been under construction for almost one year. The contractor is currently in the process of installing plumbing and electrical. Most of the interior partitions have been installed, and the large exterior window frames are expected to be in this week. A dedication of the facility is expected to occur between late 2006 and early 2007.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the anticipated construction completion date is summer 2006.

13. Gibson Park Improvements Phase I

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the interior work on Phase I is complete on the recreation building, except for the installation of the suspended lights within the main room of the recreation building, and the contractor should be starting on those any day now; they have been given the go-ahead to do that. The exterior landscaping between the recreation building and the library is all complete. The irrigation system is complete, and the remaining site work, part of Phase I, cannot be done until Phase II is almost complete because they overlap.

14. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the PO should be issued for Phase II within the next month to start on the Phase II construction.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that there are different improvements being overseen at the park by the CIP office on behalf of the Parks Department. There are other initiatives that the Parks Department is overseeing directly. Mary Conway makes a commitment to the Board that she will get together with both CIP staff and Ernest Burkeen, Parks Director, and Park staff to make sure that all of the different areas where improvements are needed within the park are being addressed, and this project will be included as an

additional update on the next monthly meeting so that some of the questions raised by Board Member Albena Sumner can be answered.

Chairman Robert Flanders suggested that the next meeting of the Audit Committee be staged at Gibson Park to discuss issues at park, with Parks staff present.

15. Buena Vista Heights Improvements – Design Services

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that the design for the project was performed by Marlin Engineering, and the design has been completed.

16. Buena Vista Heights Phase I - Construction

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that construction has not started yet. Prices have been received recently, and they are being reviewed by the Department's procurement section. The scope of work consists of curb and gutter replacement, sidewalk replacement, drainage upgrades, trees throughout the area, and milling and resurfacing. The contractor that submitted a proposal is Tran Construction Inc., and once the proposal is approved, construction will begin.

17. Coral Way Beautification Project Phase II - Landscaping

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that there was some hurricane damage to the landscape. The contractor for the landscaping is Tran Construction. A proposal is expected from Tran Construction to replace a portion of the shrubs that were damaged and to do remulching of some areas. That is expected to be finalized within the next week or two. Completion of the project is expected in March.

18. Coral Way Beautification Project Phase I - Uplighting

Tony Sabbag, CIP Department, reported that the project scope of work consists of median uplighting. The contractor on the project was Gancedo Technologies, with a construction cost of \$673,031. There has been some hurricane damage on a lot of the lights. The contractor was brought back in, and the Department fully agreed on the additional costs negotiated with the contractor. A change order was signed today to do some of the hurricane repair work, and the estimated completion date is March 2006.

19. N.W. 14 Street Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project area is located between 22nd Avenue and 35th Avenue. The other roads included in the project are the roads between 14th Street and 16th Street Road, and between 24th Avenue and 27th Avenue. Construction began in January 2005. The bid came in of \$1.9 million, and the contractor, through conventional procurement, was Williams Paving. The scope of the project included milling and surfacing, installation of turf block, sod, sidewalk repair, installation of ADA ramps, traffic striping, and certain areas included new sidewalk installation where sidewalk did not exist. Presently, sod work and punch list items are being finished up. At this time, construction is expected to be completed within 45 days.

20. S.W. 10 Street Road Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is located between 22nd Avenue to 18th Avenue. Construction began February 2005. The construction amount was \$236,000. The

I. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF DECEMBER 6. 2005 AND JANUARY 24, 2006.

HD/NIB MOTION 06-1

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF DECEMBER 6, 2005 AND JANUARY 24, 2006.

MOVED:

R. Aedo

SECONDED:

J. Manowitz

ABSENT:

R. Cayard, R. Flanders, W. Harvey, D. Marko, G. Reshefsky, J.

Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

II. OLD BUSINESS:

Bryan Park New Tennis Center

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the item was scheduled earlier in the month before the Zoning Advisory Board regarding a parking waiver for the project. The parking waiver was denied by the Zoning Advisory Board. It will go before the full City Commission on the parking waiver issue at a March Commission agenda. When the item is before the Commission on the parking waiver issue, the CIP office will also be respectfully requesting from the Commission to give a position on whether the project, with the tennis courts and the building, as designed, will move forward or not so that there will be a final decision.

When asked by Rolando Aedo why the Zoning Advisory Board denied the waiver, Mary Conway stated that the City did not have representation at the Zoning meeting. Since there was no staff present to present the item and explain the item, the Zoning Board members chose to vote it down.

Gibson Park Improvements Phase I & II

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that phase I is being closed out. The light fixtures are the only remaining issue; waiting on updating the permit. The permits should be secured within the next two to three weeks. Phase II includes the roofing renovations, where the roof on the recreation building will be replaced. At that point, all the concerns of the molding can be addressed. Currently, the Department is negotiating with the contractor on the price for those repairs, in hopes to have the contractor under contract within the next 30 to 45 days.

Ed Blanco, Parks & Recreation, reported that the Department is waiting until the permits are secured for the light fixtures, and once they are done, the building will unofficially be opened.

11. Gibson Park Improvements - Phase II

Jose Ortega, CIP Department, reported that the purchase order is being finalized with the contractor. The scope of work includes installation of a 375 square foot food preparation building and 1,100 square foot multipurpose building. Construction is slated to begin after the summer program is completed, and the project is expected to be completed in June or July of 2007.

V. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

Chairman Flanders stated that the Board would miss Joe Arriola as the City Manager of the City of Miami. He believes that Mr. Arriola redefined government in Miami and he thanked Joe Arriola, on the Board's behalf, for his contributions over the last four years. Chairman Flanders welcome Pedro Hernandez as the new City Manager of the City of Miami.

Chairman Flanders congratulated Mary Conway in her role as Chief of Operations.

Chairman Flanders thanked Elaine Black for serving on the Board. She is president/CEO of the Model City Community Revitalization District Trust and that represented a conflict of interest, so she has resigned from the Board.

VI. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

Eileen Broton asked for a status report on the Brentwood Village project, and if the Department had spoken with Commissioner Spence-Jones concerning the project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that, to the best of his knowledge, the Department has not gotten back with Commissioner Spence-Jones, but the item will be addressed with her tomorrow at a meeting to discuss all of her projects. The Brentwood Village project will be brought up at that meeting and the outcome will be discussed with the Board in September.

Please note the Board will recess in August. The next scheduled meeting is Tuesday, September 26, 2006.

preparing but can't attend the Audit Subcommittee, is that something that they would e-mail to Danette and Zimri to then forward to us? Is that the appropriate way to do that?

Mr. Fabrikant: Correct. You -- the Audit Committee or whoever wants the information would have to give in detail what specific information you're requesting through Danette, and we will provide that information.

Mr. Aedo: Again, and I would counsel my colleagues, in terms of detail, there's going to be plenty of opportunity for requesting detail, and I think it's inevitable, but what I'm envisioning -- and again, I want the Board to buy into this -- is almost in a resolution format where we're actually hitting some major grievances that we have, and we've actually identified several of them today.

10. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase II

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently under construction, about 25 percent complete. The construction is estimated to be completed by October 2007.

11. Shenandoah Park Improvements – Phase II

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently in design. He reported that there are no funding issues with this project.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:

Request for Little Haiti Park Update

Laurinus Pierre requested information regarding the Little Haiti Park Project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that an update on Little Haiti Park will be provided at the next meeting.

> Roberto Clemente Park Update

Luis De Rosa requested information regarding improvements at Roberto Clemente Park.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that part of the projects that were included as part of the bond was a renovation to Roberto Clemente, which was a closed facility. An architect was hired to renovate the facility, not just to replace the roof. The architect of record does due diligence. That means they inspect the facility. They do not do destructive testing. They do not rip down walls. They do not rip down ceilings. To do that is cost prohibitive on any project. They did their due diligence, as any design firm would do and designed the project. The project was bidded out through the JOC program and pricing was obtained for the project. The project was then awarded and the work proceeded. Only during demolition, extensive, destructive demolition to the facility was it determined that there was extensive termite damage that would only be seen when you tear apart a facility. That resulted in an analysis by the structural engineer that the building could not be renovated for the cost estimated. The issue was

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

IIDNATE

1. DATE:
NAME OF PROJECT: ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks & Recreation
INITIATING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT NUMBER: Roger Hatton (305) 416-1202
C.I.P. DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Nathalie E Hosein (305) 416-1089
RESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PROJECT NUMBER: 331419 & 311715 ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER: B-35889
(IF APPLICABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budgeted? XYES NO If yes,
TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,890,493 (1.35 Million allocated to CIP#331419, estimated balance \$39,952/5 Million Allocated to CIP#311715, estimated balance \$0)
SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$1,204,564 Neighborhood Park Improvements/\$521,829-D5 Quality of Life/
\$164,100-Safe Neighborhood Bond. ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 331419, 311715, 331070
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES NO AMOUNT: NO
Are matching funds Budgeted? YES NO Account Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:
Individuals / Departments who provided input:
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project includes the renovation of the existing pool building, restrooms, pool
equipment room and pool area. The renovation of the pool building will include but not limited to replacement of
the wood trellis at the back of the building, addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling
drywall, replacement of the security gates, doors, windows, repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers, construction of (2) two office spaces, meeting room and replacement of existing floors. (Continuation of scope is
attached)
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A
Approved by Audit Committee? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission? YES \(\bar{N} \) N/A DATE APPROVED:
Community Mtg/Dist. Commissioner Approval? YES NO N/A DATES: Revisions to Original Scope? YES NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Revisions to Original Scope?
CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN
Tas a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon the initial established scope? YES NO If wes.
DESIGN COST:CONSTRUCTION COST:
s conceptual estimate within project budget? YES NO
f not, have additional funds been identified? YES NO
ource(s) of additional funds:
Approved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE ndividuals / Departments who provided input:
ustifications for change:
Description of change:
iscal Impact TYES TNO HOW MICH
No ource(s) of additional funds:
ime impact
pproved by Commission? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED: Pproved by Bond Oversight Board? YES NO N/A DATE APPROVED:
COMMENTS:
11000
PPROVAL: DATE: 7/0//05
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD DATE: 7/26/05
Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🔲 NO



PROJECT ANALYSIS FORM Department of Capital Improvements City of Miami

Date Prepared: 2/10/2005

Revised Date: 7/20/2005

Revised Date:

Revised Date:

PROJECT NAME: ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS					
ADDRESS / LOCATION: 525 NW 62	2 Street		PROJECT No.:	B-35889	
NET OFFICE: Little Haiti/	NET OFFICE: Little Haiti/Edison/Little River			D5	
CLIENT DEPT: Parks and	Recreation		EST. PROJECT COST:	\$1,890,493	
CLIENT CONTACT: Maria M. P	erez	TEL.: (305) 416-1314	ALLOCATED FUNDS:	\$1,890,493	
PROJECT MANAGER: Natalie E. Hosein		TEL.: (305) 416-1089	PROCUREMENT:	JOC	
CONSTR. MANAGER:		TEL.:	PROJECT TEAM:	Vertical	
INSPECTOR / CEO:		TEL.:			
EST. DESIGN START: 3/1/2005 EST. B EST. DESIGN END: 6/30/2005 EST. AWAR		ADV.:	EST. CONSTRUCTION START: 8/	15/2005	
		DATE:	EST. CONSTRUCTION END: 2/15/2006		

Р	ROD	UCTION PHASE Percentage	
A	. De	sign Svcs Outside Consultant Prime Consultant: Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, In	
	1	Basic Fees: 9.5%	\$128,425
	2	Additional Services: 1.4%	\$19,264
1		SUB-TOTAL:	\$147,589
В	. De	sign Svcs CIP	,
	1	In-house Basic Design Fee: 0.0%	\$0
	2	In-house Additional Design Services: 0.0%	\$0
		SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
C	Pro	duction Management Services	
	1	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by CIP: 0.0%	\$0
	2	Prod. Mgmt. of Outside Consultant by Industry Partner: 0.0%	\$0
- 1		SUB-TOTAL:	\$0
0) Mis	scellaneous Services	
-	1	Survey: Vendor:	
-	2	Re-plat: Vendor:	
- 1	3	Geotechnical Testing: Vendor:	
	4	Utility Locations (Soft Digs): Vendor:	
TE	5	Asbestos Survey: Vendor:	
▼	6	Energy / HVAC Calculations: Vendor:	
2	7	Phase I Environmental: Vendor:	
STI	8	Phase II Environmental: Vendor:	
iii	9	Structural Testing: Vendor:	
-	10	Archeological Survey: Vendor:	
SO	11	Other: Reimbursables Vendor:	\$2,569
D E	Spe	ecial Fees / Assessments:	\$2,569
EC	1	DERM (Plans review, environmental permits, etc.):	\$2,000
7	2	Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (Plan review)	
80	3	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Permits):	
d	4	FDOT (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	
	5	South Florida Water Management District (Permits):	
1	6	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Plans review, permits):	
- 1	7	HRS (Plans review, inspections, etc.):	\$1,000
	8	Other:	
- 1		SUB-TOTAL:	\$3,000
		PRODUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$153,258
-		territorio de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la comp	\$100,230
C	ONS.	FRUCTION PHASE	
=	Cor	nstruction: JOC Contractor.	
1.	1	Construction Estimate:	\$1,350,000
		Contingency Allowance: 13.8%	
		Data & Telecommunication Systems (IT Dept.):	\$185,993 \$4,000
l	4 5	Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment: WASA System Betterment:	\$10,000
1	6	FPL Contribution-in-Aid-of Construction:	
	7	Other:	
1	,		44
		SUB-TOTAL:	\$1,549,993

	G City and other Gov't Agencies Permit Fees	
	1 City of Miami Permits: Bldg. Dept. 1 Public Works	
	2 Miami-Dade County Impact Fees:	
	3 Miami-Dade County Archeological Monitoring:	
	4 Other: Miscellaneous Unknown Construction Fees	\$11,742
	SUB-TOTAL:	\$11,74
	CONSTRUCTION PRINCE TOTAL	A
ш	CONSTRUCTION PHASE TOTAL:	\$1,561,738
AT	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	
TIM	H Construction Inspection Services - CIP: 8.5%	\$114,750
ST	1 Construction Mgmt Industry Partner: 0.0%	\$0
Ш	J Construction Engineering Observer (CEO) - Industry Partner 0.0% K JOC Administration 1.5%	\$0
-	K JOC Administration 1.5%	\$20,250
0.5	CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TOTAL:	\$135,000
Ü		
CT	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	
JEC	L CIP Dept. (Mgmt./Budget/Procurement/Comm.): 3.0%	\$40,500
0	M Industry Partner Program Mgmt. Support: 0.0%	\$0,380
DC.		Ψ-
٩	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES TOTAL:	\$40,500
	LAND ACQUISITION EXPENSES	
	N Land Cost:	
	O Transaction Costs: 0.0%	\$0
1	LAND ACQUICITION TOTAL	**
	LAND ACQUISITION TOTAL:	\$0
	GRAND TOTAL - ESTIMATED PROJECT COST:	\$1,890,493
L		
	Project scope consists of the renovation of the existing pool building, restrooms, pool equipment room and pool a	rea
	The renovation of the pool building will include but not be limited to replacement of the wood trellis at the back of	the
	building and addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling and drywall, replacement of the se	
0	doors, windows and repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers, construction of (2)-office spaces and a mo	eeting
ŭ	room and replacement of existing flooring. The renovation of the restrooms will include but is not limited to replace	
S	of the plumbing fixtures, enclosure of the changing areas with partitions for privacy and general interior renovation	ns. The
1		
1.	renovation of the pool equipment building and pool will include but is not limited to replacement of the existing po	ol .
EC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
JEC		ol .
ROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
OJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
ROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
ROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
ROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
ES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
OTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
TES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
OTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
OTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool	ol .
S NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fiscal Year Available	ol and
ES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fiscal Year Available Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount:	ol and \$521,829
RCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fiscal Year Available	ol and \$521,829
URCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fiscal Year Available Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564
RCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fiscal Year Available Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount:	ol and
D SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564
D SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	Chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool of installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564
SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Find: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
UND SOURCES PROJEC	Chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool of installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564
UND SOURCES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Find: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
UND SOURCES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Find: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
UND SOURCES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Find: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: TOTAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
N FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amou	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool a installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP# 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP# 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP# 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP#	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
LIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool of installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amoun	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
ATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool of installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: TOTAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT: Project Manager: Natalie Hosein-DuQuesnay Date: Sign Sign Date: Sign Date: Sign Date: J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J.	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
ALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	Chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount:	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100
VALIDATION FUND SOURCES NOTES PROJEC	chlorination system with a sand filtration system, upgrade of the existing electric system, re-surfacing of the pool of installation of a new water slide. Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 311715 2003-2004 Amount: Fund: 2002 Homeland Defense Fund (Series I) CIP # 331419 2004-2005 Amount: Fund: 96 Safe Neighborhood Bond CIP # 331070 2005-2006 Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: Fund: CIP # Amount: TOTAL ALLOCATED AMOUNT: Project Manager: Natalie Hosein-DuQuesnay Date: Sign Sign Date: Sign Date: Sign Date: J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J.	\$521,829 \$1,204,564 \$164,100

21. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$1,890,493 (1.35 Million allocated to CIP#331419, estimated balance \$39,952/5 Million Allocated to CIP#311715, estimated balance \$0)

SOURCE OF FUNDS:\$1,204,564 Neighborhood Park Improvements/\$521,829-D5 Quality of Life/\$164,100-Safe Neighborhood Bond.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project includes the renovation of the existing pool building. restrooms, pool equipment room and pool area. The renovation of the pool building will include but not limited to replacement of the wood trellis at the back of the building, addition of a shade structure, patching and painting of the ceiling, drywall, replacement of the security gates, doors, windows, repair of the buzzer system, installation of lockers, construction of (2) two office spaces, meeting room and replacement of existing floors. (Continuation of scope is attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 05-89

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE ATHALIE RANGE PARK SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS.

MOVED:

W. Harvey

SECONDED:

M. Cruz

ABSENT:

E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board

Members present.

22. Lummus Park Recreation Addition & Renovations

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$455,000 (500,000 Allocated, estimated balance \$105,000) SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$395,000 Neighborhood Park Improvements/\$60,000 Safe Neighborhood Park Bond

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Project scope includes a 700 square feet addition to the north of the existing recreation building, to include an open area for fitness equipment, storage for wheelchairs (for new HC basketball program), and storage for grounds maintenance equipment. Renovations to existing building includes, new flooring, upgrade restrooms, replace french doors and sidelites, new roll-down security doors, replace kitchen cabinets, interior painting and pressure clean exterior stone veneer. (Continuation of scope attached).

HD/NIB MOTION 05-90

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE LUMMUS PARK RECREATION ADDITION & RENOVATIONS.

MOVED:

M. Reyes

SECONDED:

M. Cruz

ABSENT:

E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

Manolo Reyes requested that the report detail the number of houses to be built, the price ranges of the houses, and details of the affordability of the homes to the Model City residents.

Elaine Black requested that the report use the following format: the report should simply state the parcels of land owned by the Trust, the parcels of land being developed for single-family housing and multi-family housing, the price ranges involved, and also show which properties have been rehabilitated and the plan, so that the Board gets a good summary of what's happening. If possible, also bring pictures of the properties that have been developed and the plans for the future. Also, bring a Model City Trust board member along to make the presentation so that the Board has a good overview of what is happening, where the organization is going, and the impact it will have in the redevelopment of the Model City community.

Manolo Reyes requested that the report also include a timetable on all the constructions that are planned. The report should define what is meant by "affordable rent" and "affordable housing," including price ranges.

13. City of Miami MPD Fire Suppressor Modifications

Jose Briz, CIP Department, reported that the project is currently in the design phase. The plans are being prepared to replace the existing fire suppressor in the 5th floor computer room of the Police Department, in the 4th floor 911 room, and the EOC room. The plans will be ready by April 14, and at that time, the plans will be taken to the Building Department. Once approved by the Building Department, the modifications can begin.

14. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Jose Ortega, CIP Department, reported that the project is located at 525 Northwest 62nd Street. The construction cost is \$1,229,000. The preconstruction meeting was held March 7, 2006. Notice to proceed was given on March 8, 2006. The construction duration is approximately 180 days. The estimated completion will be approximately September 2006, weather permitting. The scope of the project entails modification of the pool. The pools currently shows Olympic delineating tiles, which will be taken out completely. In addition to that, the men's and women's bathrooms will be renovated. The pool will have a new heater system along with a new filtering system, as well.

Manolo Reyes requested that a report be prepared and brought before the Audit Subcommittee delineating all the work that will be completed and the timeframe for completion.

15. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building Improvements

Victor Marzo, CIP Department, reported that the project is located at 455 Northwest 47th Avenue. Construction has started. Part of the project is that 2,892 square feet is being added that includes new ADA compliant restrooms, a new park office, a classroom, storage, a covered entrance, and interior remodeling, including replacement of windows with impact resistant windows. The estimated completion date is October 2006.

8. Athalie Range Park – Mini Stadium Complex

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project consists of reconfiguring the fields into football and soccer fields, new bleachers, a storage area, ADA compliant walkways and irrigation. The project is approximately 75 percent designed and design completion is scheduled for completion in January 2007.

9. Athalie Range Park Swimming Pool Improvements

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project has encountered a number of problems. There are air voids under the swimming pool. When some of the pipes were exposed, decrepit pipes were encountered and those changes are being addressed. The project will go through some redesigning to address some of the repairs that are going to be needed. In order to maintain the current budget, the Department is looking at swapping out some of the existing scope of work so that the budget can be maintained. The roof is now included as part of the scope of work, and the Department feels that the roof tile can be salvaged, so this is one of those projects that unearthed a number of problems.

10. MiaMarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the project is in design. The scope of work includes replacement of approximately 310 linear feet of decking, fiberglass grating and piling. The project has obtained preliminary DERM approval and is now being reviewed by the Building Department for final approval. The design is approximately 95 percent complete, and completion is expected in November 2006. The project is currently in budget.

Stephen Bogner, Public Facilities, stated that the scope of work includes the removal of the decking of approximately 20 slips at the commercial docks at MiaMarina that have become structurally unstable. The permitting is close to final approval. The slips are currently being used. However, a couple of vessels were relocated out of two slips where the problems were significant. The design, engineering, and permitting work is being done by Coastal Systems International.

11. Douglas Park Recreation Building Renovations- Design Services

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design plans are 60 percent completed, and design completion is expected in January 2007.

12. Antonio Maceo Park New Community Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project is under construction. It is a 5,300 square foot building. All of the foundations are in place; concrete block is in place, and trusses are being built. The project is on schedule and on budget.

13. Kinloch Park Community Recreation Building

Ed Herald, CIP Department, reported that the project scope includes approximately 1,500 square feet of building addition. The project is 90 percent complete and finishing touches are being put on the interior finishes and finishing up the miscellaneous site work. Substantial completion is expected next month.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM



1. DATE: //26/05	DISTRICT: 3
NAME OF PROJECT: SIMPSON PARK WOOD TR	PAIT
INITIATING DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks	Re Donnaid
INITIALING CONTACT PERSON/CONTACT MI	IMBER. Page 71-4- (205) 44 (4000
Variable 1) Hose	dn /305\ /16 1000
KESOLUTION NUMBER: CIP/PRO	IECT NUMBER: 311712
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUMBER:	B-60319
	(IF APPLICABLE)
2 RUDGETADY INTO DATA TROOT	(II ATTICADEA)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATION: Are funds budg	geted? YES NO If yes,
1 O I AL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$456,463 (5 Million Bond	Authorization, 1st Series Allocation-\$1,450,000 +
SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$92,000 D-3 Neighborhood Our	ality of Life/\$364 463 Impact From
ACCOUNT CODE(S): <u>CIP #311713, 331371</u>	= 10-1 a. mysel and it this ct I ces
If grant funded, is there a City match requirement? YES	s No
AMOUNT: EXPIRATION I	2
LXI IICITION I	JAIC:
Estimated Operations and M.: Acc	count Code(s):
Estimated Operations and Maintenance Budget	
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	
Individuals / Departments who provided input:	
, 2 eparaments who provided input:	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT TO	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project consists of de	sign and construction of a boardwalk between the
	referred, however, this material is very expensive and the
budget will not allow for its use.	the state of the s
ADA C. II. a Flore Flore	
ADA Compliant? YES NO N/A	
Approved by A. Ji. C.	
Approved by Audit Committee?	□ NO □ N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/19/05
1.15broved of polid Oversight Postds	□ NO □ N/A DATE APPROVED: 7/26/05
Approved by Commission?	□ NO □ N/A DATE APPROVED:
10	DNO DNA DATE APPROVED:
	□ NO □ N/A DATES:
	NO (If YES see Item 5 below)
Time Approval 6 months 12 months Date for n	next Oversight Board Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE BREAKDOWN	
Has a conceptual cost estimate have developed	<u> </u>
Has a conceptual cost estimate been developed based upon to DESIGN COST:	he initial established scope? YES NO If yes,
CONSTRUCTION COST:	
1 _T	······································
Is conceptual estimate within project budget? YES	
If not, have additional funds been identified? YES	¬no
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Approved by Commission? TYES TNO	□ N/A DATE APPROVED:
	N/A DATE APPROVED:
	IN/A DATE APPROVED:
5. REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCOPE	
Individuals / Departments who provided input:	•
Justifications for change:	
Description of change:	
Lacor or cumige.	
Di 1 Y	
Fiscal Impact YES NO	HOW MUCH?
Have additional funds been identified? YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Source(s) of additional funds:	
Time impact	
	□ >1/4
	N/A DATE APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Boards YES NO	N/A DATE APPROVED:
6. COMMENTS:	
1 1 2 1	
A / 1/ 1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
APPROVAL: Will At Interes	
	DATE: 7/26/05
BOND OVERSIGHT BOARD	
	Enclosures: Back-Up Materials 🛛 YES 🔲 NO

19. Simpson Park Wood Trail

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: _\$456,463 (5 Million Bond Authorization, 1st Series Allocation-\$1,450,000 + unallocated \$2,000,000. estimated balance \$78,000) SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$92,000 D-3 Neighborhood Quality of Life/\$364,463 Impact Fees DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project consists of design and construction of a boardwalk between the Historic building and the Hammock area that meets ADA requirements. The wood trail will be approximately 700 linear feet by 5 feet wide. The foundation will consist of a pin pile foundation assembly system and the upper deck will be made of pressure treated wood. Recycled plastic is preferred, however, this material is very expensive and the budget will not allow for its use.

HD/NIB MOTION 05-87

A MOTION TO CONDITIONALLY APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE SIMPSON PARK WOOD TRAIL, TO REPORT BACK ON DIFFERENTIALS AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

MOVED:

M. Reyes

SECONDED:

A. Sumner

ABSENT:

E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board

Members present.

20. Athalie Range #1 Mini Park Redevelopment

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$600,000 (\$1.35 Million allocated, estimated balance \$39,952) SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$300,000 Neighborhood Park Improvements & \$300,000 Safe Neighborhood Bond.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project scope includes removing the concrete slabs, asphalt, basketball court and posts. Construct 2 parking lots along the west side of the park. Provide protection for the existing concrete columns. Construct a metal picket fence around the park. The fence shall match the fence of the Jefferson Reeves Health Clinic. On the west side of the park provide openings in the proposed fence to the clinic and the Black Police Precinct. (Continuation of scope is attached)

HD/NIB MOTION 05-88

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THE ATHALIE RANGE #1 MINI PARK REDEVELOPMENT.

MOVED:

G. Reshefsky

SECONDED:

M. Cruz

ABSENT:

E. Broton, L. Cabrera, R. Cayard, L. De Rosa, D. Marko, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board Members present.

fall of 2006. The contractor has begun construction. The first progress meeting for the project will be on Thursday. There have been a couple of areas of the project that need to be addressed, but they are expected to be within the allocated constructions costs. The project is still on budget.

4. Miamarina Pier 5 Dock Emergency Repairs

Juan Ordonez, CIP Department, reported that the project is 90 percent complete. The structural portion of the project is 100 percent complete. There is about 85 percent completion on the electrical and the plumbing. The project is expected to be completed for the final plans to be submitted for permitting at the end of March. Construction can start in May, and the construction process can be finished by the end of the year. The design of the project is still within budget.

5. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements – Design Services

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the design is 100 percent complete.

6. Armbrister Recreation Building Improvements

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the improvements are computer stations, ADA compliance in the restrooms, the main building entrance, and new lighting fixtures. The construction document permits and bidding phases are 100 percent complete, as well. The JOC pricing is 100 percent complete. The project is on budget. The construction estimated start date is about the second week in March, and the construction estimated completion date is October 2006.

7. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Sandra Vega, CIP Department, reported that the project includes the construction of approximately 700 linear feet of boardwalk connecting the existing community building to the newly refurbished pond. At this moment, the JOC pricing on the project is in progress. The DERM permit is also in progress. The Department needs to work with DERM for the final approval of the design. The construction estimated start date is November 2006. The project may start earlier depending on DERM's response to the new design, as well as how well the community responds to the new design.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP & Transportation, reported that the community has already provided input as part of the process, and there has been extensive coordination with DERM as a result of that because of the hardwood hammock that exists at this site and the sensitivity to making sure that the trail is as minimally disruptive to that as possible. Once the issues are resolved with DERM, the Department will go back and coordinate with the public further so they know what the final decisions are.

Jason Manowitz questioned whether additional funding could be sought to use a different material other than wood on the project. Mary Conway stated that funding could not be through the Bond, but the Department could explore, with the Parks Department, the possibility of looking at impact fee dollars or another revenue source. It is very, very much more expensive from a capital standpoint to use a recycled material than to use the wood.

also trying to finalize the issues of how to mitigate those costs. The project will be using the same firm.

16. Simpson Park Wood Trail

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is completed, fully permitted, and ready to move on to construction.

17. Athalie Range Mini Park Redevelopment

Marcel Douge, CIP Department, reported that the design is complete and ready to start construction. Construction is expected to start early next year.

18. Sewell Park -Restrooms/Park Facility Building

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is on hold. Perez & Perez designed the facility in a manner that exceeded the budget. Another problem was that the building cannot tie into the sewer system because they're at capacity. While design work was being completed, a high-rise was approved for the area, which maxed out the sewer capacity for the next five years, so that would require a redesign of the facility to use another means. Perez & Perez has stated that they no longer have a desire to proceed with the project, so they're not willing to redesign anything, so if we proceed with the project, we will have to entirely redesign from the beginning.

19. Program Mgmt. Services for the O.B. Stadium Renovation Project with JLL

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the project is ongoing. Jones Lang Lasalle was hired as the program manager for the Orange Bowl redevelopment. The Department is proceeding with the project. They are doing their financial and economic analysis. The Department is also currently working with Jones Lang Lasalle to contract with a construction firm, in hopes to have them on board by February, and they will also be assisting the Department with entering into a concessionaire agreement; hopefully, within the next four to six months. The Department is also moving forward very quickly into discussions with the University of Miami for the final scope and funding for this project.

20. Application for the Pan American Seaplane Terminal Historical and Structural Rehabilitation Grant

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, reported that the City has received a grant for this project. The Department is currently working with the General Services Administration to develop the scope so that the work can proceed.

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT OVERVIEW FORM

1. DATE: 10/27/04					Nichidacha -
NAME OF PROJECT: BLACK P	OLICE PR	ECINCT &	MUS	EUM R	DISTRICT: 5
The state of the s	111 / 1511 101	CADITAL	TRATE		CALL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
122 TERS	CHALLINE	ATT MITAT	BER:	Torge C	ano (305) 416-1282
RESOLUTION NUMBER:	C	IP/PROTEC	TNU	MBER	: 311715, 327001, 888935
ADDITIONAL PROJECT NUM	BER: B-	30299			
				(IF APPL	JCABLE)
2. BUDGETARY INFORMATIO	N: Are fur	ds budgeted	i? 🛛	YES	□NO If yes,
LOTTE DOFFUL WMOON I: 21'	15.800 (% 415	800-D5 Onal	lity of	lifa #21	1715 /\$1 000 000 rr:
155 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Ureall of Hist	Otto Recover	~ #OO	0025 /~	\
SOURCE OF FUNDS: D-5 Quality ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 3115	v of life Hist	oric Preservo	105 To	itiationas	arti
ACCOUNT CODE(S): CIP # 3117	715, 327001.	888935	<u> </u>	tuanives.	and Fia. Dureau of Historic Resou
		_			
If grant funded, is there a City match	requirement	YES	Γ	ONF	
AMOUNT:\$280,000	EXDIE	ATTONE DAY	TT.	June 30	. 2005
Are matching funds budgeted? YE Estimated Operations and Maintenant	ES LINIO	A	1 (1 -	HARRA	777
- P are realisticially	ce Budget				- I Succession Thrown Ves
3. SCOPE OF PROJECT:	The Control of the Control	******		VE CANON 2.000	
Individuals / Departments who provide	ded input:	Torge Can	o / CI	T	
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The	e scope consi	st of rehabilit	ation c	of the bu	ilding for use as classrooms and
				ration re	placement of windows and doors
renewal of finishes and related architec	ctural and en	gineering serv	ices.		4 va wadows and doors.
		_			
ADA Compliant? YES NO [
Approved by Audit Committee?		□NO□N	/A I	ATE A	PPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	☐ YES	∐ио∐и	/A I	ATE A	PPROVED: 10/27/04
Approved by Commission?	L YES	∐NO∐N	/A [ATE A	PPROVED:
Revisions to Original Scope?	LUYESI	NO (If YI	ES see	Item 5 h	pelow)
Time Approval 🔲 6 months 🔲 12 n	nonths D	ate for next	Overs	ight Ro	ard Update:
4. CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMA	TE DDEAD	TO STATE		-8	opuace.
Has a conceptual cost estimate beaut	.1E DREAM	TOWN			
Has a conceptual cost estimate been de DESIGN COST:	veloped base	ed upon the ir	utial es	tablishe	d scope? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO If yes
CONSTRUCTION COST:					·
Is conceptual estimate within project by		7 7000 (7)			
If not, have additional funds been ident		YES N			
Source(s) of additional funds:	aned: L] YES [] N	U		
					
Approved by Commission?	TYES	LINO LIN	J/A	DATE	APPROVED:
Approved by Bond Oversight Board?	TYES	H NO H	3/A 1	DATE!	APPROVED:
REVISIONS TO ORIGINAL SCO			1/11	DAIL	APPROVED:
ndividuals / Departments who provide	ed input:				
ustifications for change:					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
escription of change:					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
iscal Impact	YES [NO	HOV	V MUCI	-12
ave additional funds been identified?	YES [] NO ⋅			•
ource(s) of additional funds:					
:					
me impact					
pproved by Commission?	YES [⊒ио 🔲 и,	/A D	ATE A	PPROVED:
pproved by Bond Oversight Board?	YES [] ио 🗌 и/	A DA	TE AP	PROVED:
COMMENTS:					
					
		····			
- AAAAA	9 ,				
PROVAL MANAGER IN	J. 11.				
PPROVAL:	*111111_		Ð	ATE:_	10/27/04
BOND OVERSIGHT	BOARD				

Enclosures: Back-Up Materials X YES NO

II. OLD BUSINESS:

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT:

- Miami River Greenway Regulatory Guidelines Professional Consulting Services – 10/27/04 meeting.
- Site Furnishings at Southside Park 10/27/04 meeting.
- Police Headquarter's Restroom ADA Modification Phase I Locker – 10/27/04 meeting.
- Old Miami Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration 10/27/04 meeting.
- Procurement of Appraisal Services for Little Haiti Park 11/23/04 meeting.
- Gibson Park Improvements Phase I 11/23/04 meeting.
- Coral Gate Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Jose Marti Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Williams Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- Moore Park Irrigation 11/23/04 meeting.
- New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village Cooperative Project Agreement – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Sewell Park Restrooms/Park Facility Building 11/23/04 meeting.
- Juan Pablo Duarte Park Building Renovation/Expansion 11/23/04 meeting.
- Robert King High Park New Building and Site Improvements – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Henry Reeves Park Community Service Building Improvements – 11/23/04 meeting.
- Margaret Pace Park Improvements Phase II 11/23/04 meeting.

➤ HD/NIB MOTION 04-87

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO FUND ALL PROJECT LISTED ABOVE.

MOVED:

D. Marko

SECONDED:

M. Reves

ABSENT:

L. Cabrera, L. De Rosa, J. Manowitz, J. Reyes

Note for the Record: Motion passed by unanimous vote of all Board

Members present.

• Fire Station No. 11 – 11/23/04 meeting.

TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT: \$2,500,000 (which has 10 Million allocated, with 5.5 Million in 1st series. Estimated current balance is (\$3,000,000) from 1st series allocation

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Neighborhood Fire Stations & Training Facility

Approved by Audit Committee: 11/16/04

3. Little Haiti Park Appraisal Services.

Madeline Valdes reported that \$11,500 was spent in appraisals, of which \$25,000 was requested. Parcel 92, on the recreational component, is still in litigation, and as such, the appraisals will need to continue to be updated, so the allocation of \$25,000 should be made available for future updates. Parcel 18 may possibly be swapped with an adjacent property owner to one of the City-owned properties in hopes that parking can be increased along the cultural component of the project.

4. Miami River Greenways Regulatory Guidelines – Professional Consulting Services.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the study for the Miami River Greenways was completed, and it's going to the Planning Advisory Board, and then to the City Commission in July. This plan will require developers to comply with certain design standards for the bay walk.

5. New Public Plaza & Roadway Improvements Adjacent to Mary Brickell Village.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project is projected to be completed in the fall, probably in November. The City is monitoring the public plaza's construction, and the developer has drawn down the money that was allocated for the project as the expenses come about.

6. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the building has been completed gutted, and it's getting a roof permit, new water lines, and a phase of the project is expected to be complete in March 2006.

7. Gibson Park Improvements – Phase I.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the park is scheduled to open in January. The project was three months behind, but the park wasn't shut down during the three-month lag. Part of the park is currently open. The recreation building is closed, but the project is moving forward.

8. Bay of Pigs – Playground Equipment.

Gary Reshefsky reported that the project was completed in April.

9. Jose Marti Park – New Water Playground.

Gary Reshefsky reported that construction will start in September, and it will be open the following summer.

Engineering, who will be the firm preparing the final design for the project. The final design was started in November 2005 and it is expected to be completed in October 2006. The design contract amount is \$246,337.

10. Fairlawn Storm Sewer Improvements Phase III - Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project area is bounded by Southwest 8th Street, West Flagler Street, Southwest 47th Avenue, and Southwest 57th Avenue. The preliminary drainage study was completed in October 2005. The final design, which is being prepared by Post Buckley, is expected to begin in February 2006, and the anticipated completed date is December 2006. The final design costs are \$277,905.

11. Fairlawn Storm Water Pump Station Phase IIA

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the project is in construction, which began January 2006, with an anticipated completion date of June 2007. The project area is bounded by Northwest 7th Street, Northwest 4th Terrace, Northwest 47th Avenue, and Northwest 51st Avenue, and it also includes a portion of Antonio Maceo Park.

12. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the museum has been under construction for almost one year. The contractor is currently in the process of installing plumbing and electrical. Most of the interior partitions have been installed, and the large exterior window frames are expected to be in this week. A dedication of the facility is expected to occur between late 2006 and early 2007.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that the anticipated construction completion date is summer 2006.

13. Gibson Park Improvements Phase I

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the interior work on Phase I is complete on the recreation building, except for the installation of the suspended lights within the main room of the recreation building, and the contractor should be starting on those any day now; they have been given the go-ahead to do that. The exterior landscaping between the recreation building and the library is all complete. The irrigation system is complete, and the remaining site work, part of Phase I, cannot be done until Phase II is almost complete because they overlap.

14. Gibson Park Improvements Phase II

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the PO should be issued for Phase II within the next month to start on the Phase II construction.

Mary Conway, Director, CIP/Transportation, reported that there are different improvements being overseen at the park by the CIP office on behalf of the Parks Department. There are other initiatives that the Parks Department is overseeing directly. Mary Conway makes a commitment to the Board that she will get together with both CIP staff and Ernest Burkeen, Parks Director, and Park staff to make sure that all of the different areas where improvements are needed within the park are being addressed, and this project will be included as an

UPDATES:

1. Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Jim Brittain, CIP Department, reported that the Parks Department, Grants Department, and Employee Relations Department wanted to make a few changes on the interior of the facility to make it more usable in the future. Because of those changes, the project completion date was extended to June 2007. The extension is primarily due to replacing one of the interior fixed walls with a folding partition wall and the timeline required to design and install that equipment. There may not be enough money to cover the entire cost of the change. Robert Ruano, with the Grants Department, has been working with Employee Relations and Parks, to provide some monies to help cover the additional cost on the project.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that, at this time, the Department does not envision using any additional bond dollars for this project.

2. N.E. 2nd Avenue Improvements-Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the scope of work includes road improvements on a new corridor along Northeast 2nd Avenue between Northeast 20th Street and Northeast 87th Street. The engineering designer DMJM & Harris. The engineering firm is currently performing a comprehensive analysis, which is expected to be completed by the end of September 2006, and the design will begin by October, and hopefully, will be completed by March 2007. Construction is expected to begin July 2007.

Gary Fabrikant, CIP Department, stated that the project is not tied into the streetcar project.

3. Buena Vista East- Design Services

Jose Lago, CIP Department, reported that the scope of work includes roadway milling and resurfacing, widening sidewalks, construction of ADA ramps, new raised curbs, driveway approaches, sidewalk repair, and new drainage. The locations are Northeast 42nd to 48th Streets between North Miami Avenue and Northeast 2nd Avenue, also Northeast 1st Avenue between Northeast 42nd Street and Northeast 48th Street, and lastly, Northeast Miami Court, Northeast Miami Place, and Northeast 1st Court between Northeast 47th Street and Northeast 48th Street. The engineering designer is Marlin Engineering. The design is about 90 percent completed. Design completion is expected in October 2006, and construction will start by July 2007.

4. Miami Watersports Complex – Hangar Improvements

John De Pazos, CIP Department, reported that the project is nearly complete. The only pending items on the project are the installation of an overhead door and some minor landscaping in the front of the hangar facility. Completion of these items is anticipated by the middle of next month.

5. Ballet Gamonet at the Alfred I. Dupont Building

Chairman Flanders: OK. Ballet Gamonet at the Alfred I. Dupont Building.

Gary Fabrikant: I'll give the update on that one.

Chairman Flanders: OK, Gary.

Mr. Fabrikant: Ballet Gamonet was first brought before the Board about three years ago, and a grant in the amount of \$300,000 was approved using District 2 Neighborhood Quality of Life

Gary Reshefsky stated that the Board wants to be kept apprised of any updates or delays to projects in a timely manner. Mr. Aluko stated that he would honor that request.

Jose Marti Gymnasium

Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department, stated that the project is roughly \$5.2 million, and it includes a gymnasium, a fitness center, aerobics facility, locker rooms, and other ancillary spaces for 500 people. The project has moved quickly, and is roughly 28 percent completed now. The project is expected to be completed by June or July 2008.

Gary Reshefsky requested the funding amount in the capital plan for the operation of the facility. Mr. Aluko stated that he would pass the request on to the CFO and the Parks Department and that the response would be presented at the next meeting.

Charisse L. Grant asked what is the long-term provision for the City being able to finance the programming or make money available for CBOs to program the parks facilities? Ed Blanco, Department of Parks & Recreation, stated that the Parks Department has been steadily increasing its annual budget because it understands the need for additional park staff. The Department is planning for the addition of new staff for the new facilities coming on line.

Luis Cabrera asked if it were possible, for the next meeting, for the Board to obtain an operational chart of the Parks Department, to include current staffing and projected staffing. Mr. Blanco stated that the Department could provide an organizational chart to the Board that shows the 2007-2008 staff on board.

Roberto Clemente Park

David Mendez, Assistant Director, CIP Department, stated that three consultants/architects were selected that will come on line in September. One of those three architects will be working on Robert Clemente community center. Quite a bit of community outreach is expected for this project. Shovels in the ground are expected 18 months from this September.

Black Police Precinct & Museum Restoration

Ola O. Aluko, Director, CIP Department, stated that the project is roughly \$1.4 million. The scope entails the remodeling of the building to restore it to its original condition. The building has been gutted. The building will have new HVAC and electrical work. Since the building will be a museum, there will be additional infrastructure included in order to display some of the museum artifacts. The building will also include a training room. The project is roughly 50 percent completed. The target completion date is March 2008.

III. CHAIRPERSON'S OPEN AGENDA:

IV. ADDITIONAL ITEMS: