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# Section 1 

## General Fund

## REVENUE ANALYSIS

## Revenues by Source

| Revenues | FY 2016 YTD | \% of Total Rev <br> 2016 | FY 2017 YTD* | \% of Total Rev <br> 2017 | Variance FY16 <br> vs FY17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% Variance |  |  |  |  |  |



The total General Fund revenue collected as of February 28,2017 was $\$ 412,255,028$. Property Tax was the largest category making up $61 \%$ of the otal revenue for the General Fund.


As of February 2017 General Fund revenue is higher than FY 2016 by $\$ 35.6$ million or $9 \%$, primarily due to an increase in Property Tax collection by $13 \%$, Fines and Forfeitures by $20 \%$, and Intergovernmental Revenues by $8 \%$.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## Revenues Budget to Actual

| ANALYSIS BY REVENUE TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 2017 Amended Budget* | \% of Year completedBudget** | 2017 Budget YTD | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Property Taxes | 303,610,200 | 41.67\% | 126,504,250 | 250,731,531 | 82.58\% | 124,227,281 |
| Charges for Services | 110,487,800 | 41.67\% | 46,036,583 | 55,757,284 | 50.46\% | 9,720,700 |
| Licenses and Permits | 56,947,100 | 41.67\% | 23,727,958 | 36,207,303 | 63.58\% | 12,479,344 |
| Intergovernmental Revenues | 65,936,900 | 41.67\% | 27,473,708 | 28,140,388 | 42.68\% | 666,679 |
| Franchise and Other Taxes | 106,503,600 | 41.67\% | 44,376,500 | 30,570,357 | 28.70\% | $(13,806,143)$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | 13,443,900 | 41.67\% | 5,601,625 | 5,899,253 | 43.88\% | 297,628 |
| Other | 3,766,200 | 41.67\% | 1,569,250 | 2,778,035 | 73.76\% | 1,208,785 |
| Investment Earnings (Loss) | 1,700,000 | 41.67\% | 708,333 | 945,976 | 55.65\% | 237,643 |
| Proceeds from Sale of Properties | 34,000 | 41.67\% | 14,167 | 393,369 | 1156.97\% | 379,202 |
| Transfers In | 7,768,900 | 41.67\% | 3,237,042 | 831,534 | 10.70\% | $(2,405,508)$ |
| Total | 670,198,600 | 41.67\% | 279,249,417 | 412,255,028 | 61.51\% | 133,005,612 |




Two of the largest components of the budgeted revenue for the General Fund are Property Taxes and Solid Waste Fees (Included in the category of Charges for Services); which are collected between the months of December and March. As of February 2017, Property Taxes collected were close to $83 \%$ of the annual buget and the total collected revenues were $62 \%$ of the annual total General Fund budget, showing a positive trend for the fiscal year.
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## EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

## Expenditures by Function

| Expenditures | FY 2016 YTD |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of Total Exp } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | FY 2017 YTD* |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of Total Exp } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ |  | nce FY16 FY17 | \% Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Government | \$ | 43,699,928 | 14.01\% | \$ | 45,385,192 | 13.77\% | \$ | 1,685,264 | 3.86\% |
| Other | \$ | 23,719,583 | 7.60\% | \$ | 28,507,781 | 8.65\% | \$ | 4,788,198 | 20.19\% |
| Planning and Development | \$ | 9,372,128 | 3.00\% | \$ | 9,704,575 | 2.94\% | \$ | 332,447 | 3.55\% |
| Public Safety | \$ | 197,071,775 | 63.17\% | \$ | 208,446,532 | 63.25\% | \$ | 11,374,758 | 5.77\% |
| Public Works | \$ | 38,096,858 | 12.21\% | \$ | 37,519,554 | 11.38\% | \$ | $(577,303)$ | -1.52\% |
| Total | \$ | 311,960,272 | 100\% | \$ | 329,563,634 | 100\% | \$ | 17,603,363 | 5.64\% |

* Unaudited figures

FY 2017 YTD


The total General Fund expenditures as of February 2017 were $\$ 329,563,634$. Public Safety was the largest expenditure with $63 \%$ of total expenditures for the General Fund


Compared to February 2016, February 2017 total General Fund expenditures were higher by $6 \%$. The increase was due to growth in mainly to an increase in Public Safety by $6 \%$.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

Expenditures Budget to Actual

| ANALYSIS BY GOVERNMENT FUNCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures | 2017 Amended Budget* | \% of Year completedBudget** | 2017 Budget YTD | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| General Government | 157,625,500 | 41.67\% | 65,677,292 | 45,385,192 | 28.79\% | (20,292,100) |
| Other | 57,527,600 | 41.67\% | 23,969,833 | 28,507,781 | 49.55\% | 4,537,948 |
| Planning and Development | 16,876,500 | 41.67\% | 7,031,875 | 9,704,575 | 57.50\% | 2,672,700 |
| Public Safety | 362,915,600 | 41.67\% | 151,214,833 | 208,446,532 | 57.44\% | 57,231,699 |
| Public Works | 75,253,400 | 41.67\% | 31,355,583 | 37,519,554 | 49.86\% | 6,163,971 |
| Total | 670,198,600 | 41.67\% | 279,249,417 | 329,563,634 | 49.17\% | 50,314,218 |



## ANALYSIS MONTH BY MONTH

| Month | 2017 Amended <br> Budget (Year)* | \% of Year completedBudget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | 670,198,600 | 8.33\% | 55,849,883 | 189,416,649 | 28.26\% | 133,566,765 |
| November | 670,198,600 | 16.67\% | 111,699,767 | 223,394,019 | 33.33\% | 111,694,252 |
| December | 670,198,600 | 25.00\% | 167,549,650 | 264,409,127 | 39.45\% | 96,859,477 |
| January | 670,198,600 | 33.33\% | 223,399,533 | 298,644,821 | 44.56\% | 75,245,288 |
| February | 670,198,600 | 41.67\% | 279,249,417 | 329,563,634 | 49.17\% | 50,314,218 |
| March | 670,198,600 | 50.00\% | 335,099,300 |  |  |  |
| April | 670,198,600 | 58.33\% | 390,949,183 |  |  |  |
| May | 670,198,600 | 66.67\% | 446,799,067 |  |  |  |
| June | 670,198,600 | 75.00\% | 502,648,950 |  |  |  |
| July | 670,198,600 | 83.33\% | 558,498,833 |  |  |  |
| August | 670,198,600 | 91.67\% | 614,348,717 |  |  |  |
| September | 670,198,600 | 100.00\% | 670,198,600 |  |  |  |



The governmental function with the largest expenditures of the GF as of Feburary 2017 is Public Safety ( $63 \%$ of the total expenditures), which has used already $57 \%$ of its budgeted capacity. Public Works is also slightly above YTD budget with $50 \%$ while the General Government function is below with $29 \%$. Overall, total expenditures for the GF as of February 2017 are higher than the budget YTD by $\$ 50$ Million, but the trend chart shows a progressive reduction of the gap budget to actual.

[^1]** This should be used as a general guide, since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
*** Unaudited figures

## Internal Service Fund

## Revenue Analysis



The Internal Service fund is used mainly to reflect the contribution from the departments to Retirement, Health insurance and Workers compensation. As of February $28,201789.84 \%$ of the total revenue budget had already been transferred to this fund in order to have it available for the corresponding payments.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Internal Service Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,354,319$ dollars or $3.85 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the year to date expenditures constitute 40.06\%
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## Section 2

## Special Revenue Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

Special revenue funds (SRF) are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purpose. The following are the SRF as of February 28, 2017:

- Community Development Services
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - OMNI)
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - SEOPW)
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - MIDTOWN)
- Homeless
- Economic Development \& Planning Services
- Fire Rescue Services
- NET Offices
- Parks and Recreation Services
- Police Services
- Law Enforcement Trust Fund
- Public Works Services
- City Clerk Services
- Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities
- Emergency Funds
- Community Development Services - SHIP
- Community Development Services - Section 8 Vouchers
- General Special Revenue
- Department Improvement Initiatives
- Transportation and Transit
- Public Facilities
- Liberty City Revitalization Trust
- Virginia Key Beach Park Trust
- Solid Waste Recycling Trust
- Civilian Investigative Panel


## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## REVENUE OVERVIEW

The primary sources of revenues for the SRF of the City of Miami consist of taxes, grants, assessments, and fees. As of February 28, 2017, year to date revenues were $\$ 64,267,900$. The revenues by fund are depicted in the following chart:

REVENUE BY FUND


As revealed by the chart, CRA-SEOPW, CRA-OMNI and Community Development funds contribute approximately $71 \%$ of total revenues for the City's SRF. These funds show revenues of $\$ 19,389,896 ; \$ 18,556,636$ and $\$ 7,562,937$ respectively.

## Grant Revenues

The City receives the majority of its grant revenues from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Housing Opportunities for People with Aids (HOPWA) are the largest programs currently administered by the City. As of February 28, 2017, the City received CDBG and HOPWA revenues of approximately $\$ 1,224,737$ and $\$ 4,185,738$ respectively.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## EXPENDITURES OVERVIEW

The expenditures for the SRF were $\$ 30,235,916$ as of February 28, 2017. Community Development, CRA-SEOPW and Departmental Improvement Initiatives funds have the highest expenditures within the SRF. These funds represent approximately $51 \%$ of total expenditures as demonstrated below:


## Non-Reimbursable Expenditures of Grant Programs

The Finance Department is responsible for reporting expenses incurred which are not reimbursable under grant programs per the Financial Integrity Principles, Chapter 18 of the Code of the City of Miami. For the month ending February 28, 2017 there are no nonreimbursable expenditures reported.

## City Clerk Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the City Clerk Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 239,642$ dollars or $72.91 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 11.29\%.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Civilian Investigative Panel

## Revenue Analysis



The Civilian Investigative Panel is a component unit discretely reported within the City's financials. Revenue transfer will occur in Q3 2017 timeframe.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Civilian Investigative Panel expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 126,872$ dollars or $41.35 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $24.44 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased life and health insurance, retirement contribution and other contractual obligations.
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## Community Development Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Community Development Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 12,384,479$ dollars or $62.09 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 15.8\%
CYTD-PYTD Lower Revenue is attributed to lower federal grant income.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Community Development Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 12,646,835$ dollars or $63.4 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $15.25 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend. CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased in aid to private organizations, and CIP related projects.
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## Departmental Improvement Initiative Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget*夫 | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | 12,649,752 | 8.33\% | 1,054,146 | 955,010 | 955,010 | 7.55\% | 99,136 |
| Nov | 12,649,752 | 16.67\% | 2,108,292 | 194,301 | 1,149,311 | 9.09\% | 958,981 |
| Dec | 12,649,752 | 25.00\% | 3,162,438 | 403,666 | 1,552,977 | 12.28\% | 1,609,461 |
| Jan | 12,649,752 | 33.33\% | 4,216,584 | 131,642 | 1,684,619 | 13.32\% | 2,531,965 |
| Feb | 12,649,752 | 41.67\% | 5,270,730 | 278,525 | 1,963,143 | 15.52\% | 3,307,587 |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD | $\$$ | 786,361 | $66.82 \%$ |

As of February 28,2017 the Departmental Improvement Initiative Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 3,307,587$ dollars or $62.75 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 15.52\%
CYTD-PYTD higher revenue is attributed to incremental income from fines and/or forfeits.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Emergency Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Emergency Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 16,395$ dollars or $39.35 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $25.27 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Emergency Special Revenue Fund expenditures are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 131,815$ dollars or $316.36 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $173.48 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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## Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 3,858,861$ dollars or $70.13 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 12.44\%
CYTD-PYTD lower revenue is attributed to the timing of interfund transfers, offset with higher contributions and donations from private sources.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 3,866,314$ dollars or $70.27 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $12.39 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased life and health insurance, machinery and equipment (capital) and fire pension related expenses.
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## General Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the General Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 46,759$ dollars or $10.42 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $37.32 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the General Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 220,507$ dollars or $49.16 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $21.18 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
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## Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 860,600$ dollars or $70.66 \%$ Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $12.23 \%$

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 207,229$ dollars or $17.01 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $34.58 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend. CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased other salaries \& wages and professional services.

[^10]
## Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 799,751$ dollars or $86.27 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 5.72\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 614,841$ dollars or $66.32 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $14.03 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend. CYTD-PYTD higher expenditures are attributed to machinery and equipment (capital), operating supplies, overtime and travel \& per diem offset with lower subscriptions, memberships, licenses fees.
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## Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,531,363$ dollars or $92.07 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 3.3\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,214,531$ dollars or $44.18 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $23.26 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend. CYTD-PYTD higher expenditures are attributed to other contractual services.
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## Midtown CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Midtown CRA Special Revenue revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,915,881$ dollars or $130.91 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $96.21 \%$

## Expenditure Analysis



As of February 28, 2017, the Midtown CRA Special Revenue expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,222,514$ dollars or $99.78 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $.09 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of February 28, 2017

## Model City Community Redevelop District Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Model City Community Redevelop District Special Revenue Fund revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 308,746$ dollars or $100 . \%$ Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 00.00\%

## Expenditure Analysis



As of February 28, 2017, the Model City Community Redevelop District Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 174,901$ dollars or $56.65 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $18.06 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of February 28, 2017

## NET Offices \& Code Enforcement Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} n_{n}^{0} & 14 \\ \stackrel{0}{0} & \\ \tilde{0} & 12 \\ \text { o } & \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |
| Oct | (Year | 8.33\% | - | 4,583 | 4,583 | 0.00\% | $(4,583)$ |  |
| Nov | - | 16.67\% | - | 10,363 | 14,946 | 0.00\% | $(14,946)$ | $\stackrel{10}{ }$ |
| Dec | - | 25.00\% | - | 1,791 | 16,737 | 0.00\% | $(16,737)$ |  |
| Jan | - | 33.33\% | - | 3,499 | 20,236 | 0.00\% | $(20,236)$ | 8 |
| Feb | - | 41.67\% | - | 2,962 | 23,198 | 0.00\% | $(23,198)$ |  |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep - Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD \$ $(1,596)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended Budget (Year) | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month) ${ }^{* \star}$ | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | - | 8.33\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Nov | - | 16.67\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Dec | - | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Jan | - | 33.33\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Feb | - | 41.67\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
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## OMNI CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the OMNI CRA Special Revenue revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 7,262,774$ dollars or $64.31 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 68.46\%

## Expenditure Analysis



As of February 28, 2017, the OMNI CRA Special Revenue expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 9,380,053$ dollars or $83.05 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $7.06 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased construction in progress, professional services, and interfund transfers offset with higher other contractual services and other grants \& aids.

[^16]
## Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 162,480$ dollars or $29.55 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 53.98\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 386,759$ dollars or $70.34 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $12.36 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
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## Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 6,604,660$ dollars or $89.35 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $4.44 \%$
CYTD-PYTD Lower Revenue is attributed to other charges for services and safety charges \& fees.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 7,348,741$ dollars or $99.41 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $.25 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
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## Police Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Police Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,445,804$ dollars or $50.63 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 20.57\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Police Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,575,758$ dollars or $32.62 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $28.08 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend. CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased machinery and equipment (capital), overtime, police and fire FIPO, salaries \& wages and subscription, licenses and permits.

[^19]
## Public Facilities Speical Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Public Facilities Speical Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,424,040$ dollars or 105.06\%. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $-2.11 \%$
CYTD-PYTD lower revenue is attributed to transportation parking facilities.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Public Facilities Speical Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,307,250$ dollars or $100 . \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute.$\%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to decreased life and health insurance, salary \& wages and other contractual services.
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## Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 313,524$ dollars or $10.23 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 37.41\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,682,291$ dollars or $87.49 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $5.21 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend.

[^21]
## Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 156,105$ dollars or $16.27 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 34.89\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 43,738$ dollars or $4.56 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $39.77 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend. CYTD-PYTD higher expenditures are attributed to increased grants and aids.

[^22]
## SEOPW CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the SEOPW CRA Special Revenue revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,862,850$ dollars or $8.77 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 38.01\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the SEOPW CRA Special Revenue expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 15,532,494$ dollars or $73.08 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $11.21 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend.
CYTD-PYTD higher expenditures are attributed to inerfund transfers, other grant \& aids offset with lower construction in progress
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## SHIP Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the SHIP Special Revenue Fund revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 218,863$ dollars or $46.46 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 61.02\%

## Expenditure Analysis



As of February 28, 2017, the SHIP Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 61,850$ dollars or $13.13 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $36.2 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in an favorable trend. CYTD-PYTD higher expenditures are attributed to increased aids to private organizations and salaries \& wages.
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## Solid Waste Recycling Trust

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Solid Waste Recycling Trust revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 71,761$ dollars or $96 . \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $1.67 \%$

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Solid Waste Recycling Trust expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 71,750$ dollars or $95.99 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $1.67 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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## Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 5,915,379$ dollars or $60.85 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 16.31\%
CYTD-PYTD higher revenue is attributed grants from other local units.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by \$7,637,956 dollars or 78.57\%. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $8.93 \%$. Overall the revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend. CYTD-PYTD lower expenditures are attributed to timing of interfund transfers.
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## Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 162,354$ dollars or $44.43 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 23.15\%

## Expenditure Analysis



Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $26.96 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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## Section 3

## Debt Service Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City of Miami has General Obligation Bonds, Special Obligation Bonds and Loans outstanding as of February 28, 2017.

These can be broken down as follows:

| Type | Outstanding Debt | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Obligation Bonds | $\$ 173,305,000$ | $28 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Special Obligation Bonds | $434,906,264$ | $70 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Loans | $10,228,994$ |  |  | $2 \%$ |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | $\$ 618,440,258$ | $100 \%$ |



## Community Redevelopment Agency 1990 Debt Service

## Revenue Analysis



CRA is a component unit and its Debt Service fund is not included in the budget of the City of Miami. Revenues for this fund are recorded between March and September.

## Expenditure Analysis



CRA is a component unit and its Debt Service fund is not included in the budget of the City of Miami. Expenditures for this fund are recorded between March and September.
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# MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT 

## General Obligation Bonds Debt Service Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month) ${ }^{* *}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variance } \\ \text { Budget - } \\ \text { Actuals (YTD) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oct | 27,266,700 | 8.33\% | 2,272,225 | 506 | 506 | 0.00\% | 2,271,719 |
| Nov | 27,266,700 | 16.67\% | 4,544,450 | 5,673,236 | 5,673,742 | 20.81\% | $(1,129,292)$ |
| Dec | 27,266,700 | 25.00\% | 6,816,675 | 15,750,559 | 21,424,301 | 78.57\% | $(14,607,626)$ |
| Jan | 27,266,700 | 33.33\% | 9,088,900 | 915,931 | 22,340,233 | 81.93\% | $(13,251,333)$ |
| Feb | 27,266,700 | 41.67\% | 11,361,125 | 907,793 | 23,248,026 | 85.26\% | $(11,886,901)$ |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ 834,857 | 3.88\% |  |  |  |



Revenues recorded when Miami-Dade County remits the City's portion of taxes collected.

## Expenditure Analysis



Majority of debt service expenditures recorded in January and July timeframe, based on amortization schedule.
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# MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT 

## Special Obligation Bonds Debt Service

## Revenue Analysis



Majority of the Revenue is received through an appropriation transfer in July or August timeframe.

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | 48,162,700 | 8.33\% | 4,013,558 | - | - | 0.00\% | 4,013,558 |
| Nov | 48,162,700 | 16.67\% | 8,027,117 | - | - | 0.00\% | 8,027,117 |
| Dec | 48,162,700 | 25.00\% | 12,040,675 | 10,847,263 | 10,847,263 | 22.52\% | 1,193,413 |
| Jan | 48,162,700 | 33.33\% | 16,054,233 | 11,511,288 | 22,358,551 | 46.42\% | $(6,304,318)$ |
| Feb | 48,162,700 | 41.67\% | 20,067,792 | 5,137,999 | 27,496,550 | 57.09\% | $(7,428,759)$ |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ 8,376,515 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
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## Section 4

## Capital Project Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The financial resources of capital projects funds come from several different sources including general obligation bonds, state and federal government grants, and appropriations from the general or special revenue funds.

The City of Miami has six capital project funds, as follows:

- Community Redevelopment Agency - To account for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities for community redevelopment in the defined Community Redevelopment Area.
- Transportation and Transit - To account for expenditures for the improvement to infrastructure that enhances transportation options, improves safety, and increases mobility within city limits.
- General Obligation Bond Projects (G.O.B.) - To account for the receipt and disbursement of bond proceeds from general obligation debt to be used for constructions and/or acquisition activities for the City.
- Special Obligation Bond Projects (S.O.B.) - To account for the receipt and disbursement of bond proceeds from special obligation debt and loan agreements to be used for constructions and/or acquisition activities for the City.
- Impact Fee - To account for the collection of impact fees and the cost of capital improvement projects for the type of improvement for which the impact fee was imposed.
- Other Capital Projects - To account for and report on funds received from various resources (primarily from current revenues, Federal and State Grants) designated for construction projects.


## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES OVERVIEW

As of February 28, 2017, the total revenues for the capital projects funds were $\$ 12,644,203$. Impact Fee reflects the highest revenue levels as of February 28, 2017 with a total of $\$ 11,601,196$, which represents $92 \%$ of total revenues, as demonstrated below:


The total capital projects funds' expenditures as of February 28, 2017 were $\$ 21,213,880$. Other Capital Projects make up 78\% of total expenditures for Capital Improvement Programs. The chart below depicts capital projects expenditures by fund as of February 2017.

## EXPENDITURES BY FUND



## CRA Capital Projects Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month) }{ }^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variance } \\ \text { Budget - } \\ \text { Actuals (YTD) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oct | 900,620 | 8.33\% | 75,052 | - | - | 0.00\% | 75,052 |
| Nov | 900,620 | 16.67\% | 150,103 | - | - | 0.00\% | 150,103 |
| Dec | 900,620 | 25.00\% | 225,155 | - | - | 0.00\% | 225,155 |
| Jan | 900,620 | 33.33\% | 300,207 | - | - | 0.00\% | 300,207 |
| Feb | 900,620 | 41.67\% | 375,258 | - | - | 0.00\% | 375,258 |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ | 0.00\% |  |  |  |



CRA is a blended component unit of the City of Miami. This fund reflects the activity of the CRA Bonds proceeds series 2014, which is normally recorded in the books of the City in September.

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month) }{ }^{* \star \star} \end{gathered}$ | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | 900,620 | 8.33\% | 75,052 | - | - | 0.00\% | 75,052 |
| Nov | 900,620 | 16.67\% | 150,103 | - | - | 0.00\% | 150,103 |
| Dec | 900,620 | 25.00\% | 225,155 | - | - | 0.00\% | 225,155 |
| Jan | 900,620 | 33.33\% | 300,207 | - | - | 0.00\% | 300,207 |
| Feb | 900,620 | 41.67\% | 375,258 | - | - | 0.00\% | 375,258 |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
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## General Obligation Bonds

## Revenue Analysis


REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $2017$ <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month)** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | 25,233,415 | 8.33\% | 2,102,785 | - | - | 0.00\% | 2,102,785 |
| Nov | 25,233,415 | 16.67\% | 4,205,569 | - | - | 0.00\% | 4,205,569 |
| Dec | 25,233,415 | 25.00\% | 6,308,354 | - | - | 0.00\% | 6,308,354 |
| Jan | 25,233,415 | 33.33\% | 8,411,138 | - | - | 0.00\% | 8,411,138 |
| Feb | 25,233,415 | 41.67\% | 10,513,923 | - | - | 0.00\% | 10,513,923 |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ (5,802,871) | 100.00\% |  |  |  |



[^32]CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS
MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT
as of February 28, 2017

## Impact Fee

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month)*** } \end{gathered}$ | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $(4,724,111)$ | 8.33\% | $(393,676)$ | 4,010,627 | 4,010,627 | -84.90\% | $(4,404,303)$ |
| Nov | $(4,724,111)$ | 16.67\% | $(787,352)$ | 3,238,146 | 7,248,773 | -153.44\% | $(8,036,125)$ |
| Dec | $(4,724,111)$ | 25.00\% | $(1,181,028)$ | 284,500 | 7,533,273 | -159.46\% | $(8,714,301)$ |
| Jan | $(4,724,111)$ | 33.33\% | $(1,574,704)$ | 3,937,713 | 11,470,986 | -242.82\% | $(13,045,690)$ |
| Feb | $(4,724,111)$ | 41.67\% | $(1,968,380)$ | 130,210 | 11,601,196 | -245.57\% | $(13,569,575)$ |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ (4,877,969) | -29.60\% |  |  |  |



## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month) *** | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $(4,724,111)$ | 8.33\% | $(393,676)$ | 119,442 | 119,442 | -2.53\% | $(513,118)$ |
| Nov | $(4,724,111)$ | 16.67\% | $(787,352)$ | 308,569 | 428,011 | -9.06\% | $(1,215,363)$ |
| Dec | $(4,724,111)$ | 25.00\% | $(1,181,028)$ | 595,857 | 1,023,869 | -21.67\% | $(2,204,896)$ |
| Jan | $(4,724,111)$ | 33.33\% | $(1,574,704)$ | 241,764 | 1,265,633 | -26.79\% | $(2,840,336)$ |
| Feb | $(4,724,111)$ | 41.67\% | $(1,968,380)$ | 619,942 | 1,885,575 | -39.91\% | $(3,853,954)$ |
| Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ (489,243) | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
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Overall the Revenues are higher than expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend.

## Other Capital Projects Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of February 28,2017 the Other Capital Projects Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 166,003,262$ dollars or $99.4 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes . $25 \%$
CYTD-PYTD Most of the revenues for this fund are interfund trasfers, which are posted from July -September timeframe.

## Expenditure Analysis



The Other Capital Projects Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 150,461,859$ dollars or $90.1 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $4.13 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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## Special Obligation Bonds

## Revenue Analysis



## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended <br> Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget*» | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month) ${ }^{\star \star \star}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variance } \\ \text { Budget - } \\ \text { Actuals (YTD) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oct | (9,350,583) | 8.33\% | (779,215) | 105,136 | 105,136 | -1.12\% | $(884,351)$ |
| Nov | $(9,350,583)$ | 16.67\% | $(1,558,430)$ | 161,117 | 266,253 | -2.85\% | $(1,824,683)$ |
| Dec | $(9,350,583)$ | 25.00\% | $(2,337,646)$ | 713,459 | 979,712 | -10.48\% | $(3,317,358)$ |
| Jan | $(9,350,583)$ | 33.33\% | $(3,116,861)$ | 1,816,981 | 2,796,694 | -29.91\% | $(5,913,554)$ |
| Feb | $(9,350,583)$ | 41.67\% | $(3,896,076)$ | $(732,983)$ | 2,063,711 | -22.07\% | (5,959,787) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance CYTD-PYTD |  |  | \$ 1,034,213 | 100.46\% |  |  |  |
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## Transportation and Transit

## Revenue Analysis



Revenues for the Transportation and Transit capital fund are transferred from July to September.

## Expenditure Analysis



As of February 28, 2017, the Transportation and Transit expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 6,642,320$ dollars or $90.12 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date consistitute $4.12 \%$. Overall the revenues are lower than expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend.
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# Section 5 

## Cash Position

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

As of February 28, 2017, the City of Miami had a balance of cash in the bank of $\$ 670,686,622$. This balance of cash represents funds that are restricted, encumbered and appropriated along with other funds that are available for general operations of the City.


Of the total balance of cash in the bank, the following amounts are restricted and cannot be used for General Fund operations:
$\Rightarrow$ Special Revenue of $\$ 156,113,314$. Special revenues are specific revenue sources that are legally restricted for expenditure for particular purposes. Examples include Storm Water Fee, Miami-Dade Tourist Tax, etc.
$\Rightarrow$ Debt Service of $\$ 11,514,687$. Debt Service funds represents those dollars that are required to be set aside to pay interest and principal on bonds outstanding.
$\Rightarrow$ Capital projects of $\$ 216,655,370$. Capital Projects represent those dollars that have been appropriated for specific capital construction projects.
$\Rightarrow$ Trust and Agency of $\$ 0.0$ Trust and Agency funds represent those dollars that are legally restricted for expenditures. Example: Elected Officials Retirement Trust.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

In addition, some of the cash in the bank is classified as deposits refundable or deferred items that cannot be used. The amount of these funds as of February 28, 2017 is $\$ 24,031,597$.

The remaining amount of the total balance of cash in the bank as of February 28, 2017 that is available for General Fund Operations is $\$ 286,403,252$.

Cash Balance as of 02-29-16 and 02-28-17


City of Miami
Cash Position
All Funds
As of February 28, 2017

| DESCRIPTION | 1/31/2017 |  | 2/28/2017 |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL LEDGER CASH BALANCE | \$ | 102,877,405 | \$ | 85,338,570 | \$ | $(17,538,836)$ |
| LESS: O/S CHECKS AND PAYROLL LIABILITIES |  | $(7,058,166)$ |  | $(4,988,928)$ |  | 2,069,238 |
| PLUS: OPERATING INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO |  | 581,207,150 |  | 590,337,101 |  | 9,129,951 |
| TOTAL POOLED CASH | \$ | 677,026,389 | \$ | 670,686,742 | \$ | $(6,339,647)$ |



# Section 6 

Investments

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City of Miami's Investment Portfolio is in compliance with the City's Adopted Investment Policy. The investment portfolio is comprised of the following:

| Investment | Percentage \% of Portfolio | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| US Treasury | $22.46 \%$ | $0.7161 \%$ |
| US Instruments/ Agency | $41.96 \%$ | $0.8675 \%$ |
| Corporate Notes | $5.18 \%$ | $1.2948 \%$ |
| Commercial Paper | $30.40 \%$ | $1.0301 \%$ |



The largest portion of the portfolio, 41.96\%, is invested in US Federal Instruments, which are comprised of US Government Agencies including FHLB, FHLMC, FNMA, and FFCB. As of February 28, 2017 the rate of return was $0.8680 \%$.

Monthly yields for FY 2017 are as follows:

| Investment | Yield | Treasury 1 Yr Yield \% | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 2016 | 0.8156 | 0.6600 | 0.1556 |
| November 2016 | 0.8009 | 0.8000 | 0.0009 |
| December 2016 | 0.8203 | 0.8500 | $(0.0297)$ |
| January 2017 | 0.8521 | 0.8400 | 0.0121 |
| February 2017 | 0.8680 | 0.8800 | $(0.0120)$ |
| Total | 0.8365 | 0.8200 | 0.0165 |

A comparison of actual interest income for the five months ended February 28, 2017 is represented as follows:

|  | Budgeted | Interest Earned | Cumulative | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 2,300,000 |  |  |  |
| Oct-16 |  | 156,901 | 156,901 | 6.82\% |
| Nov-16 |  | 131,915 | 288,816 | 12.56\% |
| Dec-16 |  | 230,826 | 519,642 | 22.59\% |
| Jan-17 |  | 309,106 | 828,748 | 36.03\% |
| Feb-17 |  | 285,214 | 1,113,962 | 48.43\% |
| Totals | 2,300,000 | 1,113,962 |  | 48.43\% |

Special Revenue Fund

|  | Oct-16 | 25,090 | 25,090 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov-16 | 29,658 | 54,748 |
|  | Dec-16 | 51,577 | 106,326 |
|  | Jan-17 | 51,509 | 157,835 |
|  | Feb-17 | 53,148 | 210,983 |
| Totals |  | 210,983 |  |

Projection of General Fund Interest Income for FY 2016-2017

| Month | Cash <br> Balance | Interest <br> Rate | Monthly Interest City Portfolio | Monthly Interest Non City Portfolio | Monthly Total | Cumulative Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual YTD |  |  | 1,111,201 | 2,761 |  | 1,113,962 |
| March | 421,760,523 | 0.6800\% | 238,998 | 1,000 | 239,998 | 1,353,960 |
| April | 412,212,669 | 0.6800\% | 233,587 | 1,000 | 234,587 | 1,588,547 |
| May | 387,590,199 | 0.6800\% | 219,634 | 1,000 | 220,634 | 1,809,181 |
| June | 352,188,077 | 0.6800\% | 199,573 | 1,000 | 200,573 | 2,009,755 |
| July | 321,505,268 | 0.6800\% | 182,186 | 1,000 | 183,186 | 2,192,941 |
| August | 292,507,250 | 0.6800\% | 165,754 | 1,000 | 166,754 | 2,359,695 |
| September | 267,213,590 | 0.6800\% | 151,421 | 1,000 | 152,421 | 2,512,116 |
|  |  |  | 2,502,355 | 9,761 | $\underline{\underline{1,398,154}}$ |  |

## City of Miami Cash Management Pool <br> Portfolio Characteristics As of February 28, 2017

| Investment Vehicle | Book Value | Market | \% of Portfolio |  |  | Maturity |  |  | Curr. Mon. <br> Rate of Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Actual Month End | Maximum |  | Actual Month End | Maximum |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | During Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Policy } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | During Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Policy } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Government Obilgations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T Notes | 132,672,590 | 132,662,929 | 22.46\% | 22.46\% | 100\% | 23 mos. | 23 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.7161\% |
| T Bills | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100\% | 0 mos . | 0 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.0000\% |
| Government Obilgations | 132,672,590 | 132,662,929 | 22.46\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7161\% |
| Federal Instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB | 64,147,172 | 64,052,874 | 10.87\% | 12.34\% | 75\% | 18 mos. | 22 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.8414\% |
| FHLB DN | 43,971,472 | 43,974,340 | 7.46\% | 14.37\% | 75\% | $3 \mathrm{mos}$. | 6 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.5466\% |
| FHLMC | 35,657,651 | 35,628,166 | 6.04\% | 6.67\% | 75\% | 14 mos. | 18 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.8398\% |
| FHLMC DN | 22,963,018 | 22,964,140 | 3.90\% | 3.96\% | 75\% | 4 mos. | $7 \mathrm{mos}$. | 66 mos. | 0.5673\% |
| FNMA | 42,531,664 | 42,467,698 | 7.17\% | 8.62\% | 75\% | 19 mos. | 23 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.9073\% |
| FNMA DN | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 75\% | 0 mos. | 3 mos . | 66 mos. | 0.0000\% |
| FFCB | 38,558,428 | 38,532,329 | 6.53\% | 10.97\% | 75\% | 15 mos . | 19 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.9064\% |
| FFCB DN | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 75\% | 0 mos. | 0 mos. | 0 mos. | 0.0000\% |
| Federal Instruments | 247,829,406 | 247,619,546 | 41.96\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8675\% |
| Money Market: Treasury | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100\% | mos. | mos. | na mos. | 0.0000\% |
| Corporate Notes | 30,856,518 | 30,850,966 | 5.18\% | 6.37\% | 25\% | 23 mos. | 24 mos. | 66 mos. | 1.2948\% |
| Commercial Paper: | 178,978,587 | 179,029,042 | 30.40\% | 32.16\% | 35\% | $5 \mathrm{mos}$. | 7 mos. | 9 mos. | 1.0301\% |
| Totals | 590,337,101 | 590,162,482 | $\underline{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8680\% |

## Section 7

## Cash Payments Received on

## Major Accounts Receivables

## ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City currently records receivables when they are billed. The major receivables consist of the different categories shown below, of which Business Tax Receipts represents $44 \%$ and Certificate of Use represents 35\%. The City of Miami billed the Business Tax Receipts for FY17 early in July-2016 for a total of $\$ 7,993,431.00$. The Certificate of Use for FY17 were billed early in July-2016 for a total of $\$ 6,285,411.50$. The Burglar Alarm for FY17 was billed in August 5, 2016 for a total of $\$ 719,055.00$. All other major receivables are billed throughout the year. The graph below depicts the percentage of each major category of receivables (Billings net of adjustments and cash receipts) as of Feb 28, 2017.


## City of Miami

## Cash Payments Received and Aging on Major Account Receivables

 As of Feb 28, 2017| Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Accounts Receivable | YTD | Collections |  |  |  |  |  | Accounts Receivable |
| Description | Type | 10/1/2016 | Billings Net of Adjustments | Oct-16 | Nov-16 | Dec-16 | Jan-17 | Feb-17 | YTD | 2/28/2017 |
| Trash Citation Lien- Code Enf. | AL09 | 133,971.66 | 9,407.93 | $(5,938.54)$ | (9,825.88) | (4,055.14) | $(3,356.50)$ | $(3,052.49)$ | $(26,228.55)$ | 117,151.04 |
| Code Enf. Board-Hearing Fee Monthly Revolving | AL38 | 737,786.64 | $(179,940.11)$ | $(14,850.95)$ | $(11,057.24)$ | $(25,584.71)$ | $(1,999.00)$ | (1.00) | $(53,492.90)$ | 504,353.63 |
| Code Enforcement Ticketing | AL82 | 425,136.86 | 43,821.11 | $(22,162.99)$ | $(11,517.80)$ | $(11,685.50)$ | $(13,615.50)$ | (11,981.46) | $(70,963.25)$ | 397,994.72 |
| Trash Citation Lien Solid Waste Dept. | AL9A | 322,770.57 | 26,992.13 | $(13,772.11)$ | (9,404.90) | $(5,561.41)$ | $(6,344.56)$ | $(4,598.02)$ | $(39,681.00)$ | 310,081.70 |
| Burglar Alarms | BA11 | 415,928.61 | 94,992.75 | $(87,872.17)$ | $(61,128.86)$ | $(40,930.92)$ | (20,238.00) | $(13,646.05)$ | $(223,816.00)$ | 287,105.36 |
| Cert of Use/Supp Waste | CU01 | 3,600,166.78 | 234,079.31 | $(501,315.41)$ | $(281,661.71)$ | $(180,223.25)$ | $(111,579.43)$ | (103,331.76) | (1,178,111.56) | 2,656,134.53 |
| Business Tax Receipts | BTR01 | 4,754,440.23 | 247,396.96 | $(955,558.56)$ | $(346,973.68)$ | $(175,906.52)$ | $(109,717.41)$ | (104,016.95) | (1,692,173.12) | 3,309,664.07 |
| Totals |  | 10,390,201.35 | 476,750.08 | (1,601,470.73) | $(731,570.07)$ | $(443,947.45)$ | $(266,850.40)$ | (240,627.73) | $(3,284,466.38)$ | 7,582,485.05 |

* The YTD Billing column represents any new licenses and adjustments for the current fiscal year

| Aging Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivable Aging | Type | Amount | Under 30 | 30-59 | 60-89 | 90-119 | 120 \& Over |
| Trash Citation Lien- Code Enf. | AL09 | 117,151.04 | - | - | 400.00 | 327.00 | 116,424.04 |
| Code Enf. Board-Hearing Fee Monthly Revolving | AL38 | 504,353.63 | 27,950.22 | 665.46 | 493.78 | 493.78 | 474,750.39 |
| Code Enforcement Ticketing | AL82 | 397,994.72 | 20,325.00 | - | 5,800.00 | 7,362.50 | 364,507.22 |
| Trash Citation Lien Solid Waste Dept. | AL9A | 310,081.70 | 1,987.50 | 79.40 | 870.34 | 532.89 | 306,611.57 |
| Burglar Alarms | BA11 | 287,105.36 |  | - | - | - | 287,105.36 |
| Cert of Use/Supp Waste | CU01 | 2,656,134.53 | 6,001.84 | 1,816.58 | 1,021.79 | 330.61 | 2,646,963.71 |
| Business Tax Receipts | BTR01 | 3,309,664.07 |  |  | 231.73 |  | 3,309,432.34 |
| Totals |  | 7,582,485.05 | 56,264.56 | 2,561.44 | 8,817.64 | 9,046.78 | 7,505,794.63 |

Note:

1) City of Miami's Reserve Policy is to fully reserve all receivables that are 60 Days due or older.
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