



City of Miami

ADDENDUM NO. 4

January 20, 2016

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO.: 15-16-010

DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE WAGNER CREEK/SEYBOLD CANAL RESTORATION PROJECT

CITP PROJECT NO.: B-50643

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

The following changes, additions, clarifications and/or deletions amend the above-captioned Request for Proposals and shall become an integral part of the Proposals submitted and the Professional Services Agreement to be executed for Design Build Services for the Wagner Creek/Seybold Canal Restoration Project – Request for Proposals No.: 15-16-010 (the “Project”). Please note the contents herein and affix same to the documents you have on hand.

All attachments (if any) are available on the CITP website and are part of this Addendum. I want to identify the following two (2) scrivener’s errors in the RFP:

- A. In Section 2.1, Project Background, First Paragraph, the correct combined length of Wagner Creek and Seybold Canal was incorrectly stated as 8,850 feet. The correct combined length is 7,850 feet.
- B. Attachment D, Article 88, Permits, Licenses and Impact Fees, fourth paragraph, has been deleted in its entirety, and replaced with the following language:

Permit fees reimbursement to Design-Build Firm shall be for the actual amount and in no event shall include profit or overhead of Design-Build Firm, and or markup.

- C. A copy of the presentation used at the Pre-Proposal Conference is available on the City’s website; and is for informational purposes only.

http://www.miamigov.com/CapitalImprovements/pages/ProcurementOpportunities/ProjectPages/RFP_Wagner_Seybold_RestorationProject.asp.

- D. The following are the inquiries received and the corresponding responses:

- Q1.** In evaluation of the Minimum Qualification Requirements for a “General Contractor” and the “Design Build Firm” and as referenced to Section 287.055 of the FL Statutes, it is unclear as to what the City’s requirements of the RFP are inclusive of and what contractual relationship between a contractor and a

design/engineer are permissible (example: contractor – subcontractor, joint venture, etc.) and furthermore, who should be the lead party and who is required to hold the Miami-Dade County A/E Technical Certifications – please clarify.

For Key Personnel, several of the detailed requirements for individual positions may be very difficult to provide 100% compliance with. Will the City consider a proposal unresponsive if 100% of the qualification requirements are not met by the team and/or individual key personnel?

- A1.** As specified in RFP Section 3.5.A, the general contractor shall be the lead firm of the Design Build Team. A joint venture is also permissible. The Design Build Team shall possess the Miami-Dade County A/E Technical Certifications specified in RFP Section 3.5.C. Refer to Addendum No. 3 for changes in the certification requirements for Prime and Prime and/or Subconsultants. The City reserves the right to determine if a proposal is compliant with the requirements of RFP Section 3.5.D. Should you have specific questions regarding the minimum qualifications, you are encouraged to submit a question regarding the precise qualification(s) of concern.
- Q2.** Can the City confirm if a City of Miami Public Works Department, Marine Works Permit is required, and if it has already been obtained? It was unclear if this is required and/or will be supplied.
- A2.** If a City of Miami Public Works Department, a Waterfront Improvement Permit is required, it shall be the responsibility of the Design Build Firm to secure. In addition, the City reasonably anticipates the following permits may be required:
- 1) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (fee)
 - 2) Right of Way Closure Permit
 - 3) Fence Permit
- Q3.** What is the budget for the project?
- A3.** The City has estimated a total project budget of approximately \$22 million inclusive of City costs, consultant costs and design and construction costs.
- Q4.** The FDEP permit for the project expires in February, 2016. Is the City in the process of extending this permit, or is the design/build team expected to re-apply and process a new permit?
- A4.** The City is in the process of extending the FDEP permit.
- Q5.** Will the City require a waterfront permit for the project from Public Works?
- A5.** Yes. Refer to response for Question No. 2.
- Q6.** The Solicitation references Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (TCO) or Certificate of Occupancy (CO) requirements for project references in the qualifications. These do not seem applicable to a dredging project, as a CO is typically not issued for environmental remediation and/or dredging project.

- A6.** The references to the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (TCO) or Certificate of Occupancy (CO) in ATTACHMENT D will be modified during contract negotiations to reflect the requirements to complete and close-out the project.
- Q7.** Please clarify if the City or County will require any building permits for the project.
- A7.** A building permit may be required for trailers and or staging area. The Design Build Firm will need a permit to repair the sea wall in the event of any damage caused to the sea wall during the project.
- Q8.** Section 3.5(C), Technical Certifications:
 - a)** This Section requires the prime to be certified in categories 10.06, 10.07, 10.08 and 16.00. We assume these categories apply to the consulting A/E firm, or does the "prime" designation refer to the construction contractor?
 - b)** In regards to the technical A/E certifications in this Section, there are few A/E firms that have all of these certifications for the "prime." Can the City consider a team with appropriate A/E consultants, as there are approximately 10 firms with the 10.08 certification through Miami-Dade County?
 - c)** In regards to the technical A/E certifications in this Section, the port/waterway certifications seem more appropriate since dredging and water-based construction is a large component of the work. Will the City consider the consultants on the design/build team to be certified in 10.10, 5.01, and 5.09?
- A8.**
 - a) and b)** Refer to Addendum No. 3 as the certification requirements have been revised for Prime and Prime and/or Subconsultants.
 - c)** The City has considered the applicability of all technical certifications, which have been reflected in the RFP as amended in Addendum 3.

THIS ADDENDUM IS AN ESSENTIAL PORTION OF THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT AND SHALL BE MADE A PART THEREOF.

**Annie Perez, CPPO, Director of Procurement
City of Miami Procurement Department**

This Addendum shall be signed by an authorized representative and dated by the Proposer and submitted as proof of receipt with the submission of the Proposal.

NAME OF FIRM: _____

DATE: _____

SIGNATURE: _____