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# Section 1 

## General Fund

REVENUE ANALYSIS

## Revenues by Source

| Revenues | FY 2016 YTD | \% of Total Rev <br> 2016 | FY 2017 YTD* | \% of Total Rev <br> 2017 | Variance FY16 <br> vs FY17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% Variance |  |  |  |  |  |



The total General Fund revenue collected as of May 31, 2017 was $\$ 533,848,046$. Property Tax was the largest category making up $53 \%$ of the total revenue for the General Fund.


As of May 31, 2017, General Fund revenue is higher than FY 2016 by $\$ 44.4$ million or $9.1 \%$, primarily due to an increase in Property Tax collection by $11.9 \%$.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## Revenues Budget to Actual

| ANALYSIS BY REVENUE TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 2017 Amended Budget* | \% of Year completedBudget** | 2017 Budget YTD | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| Property Taxes | 303,610,200 | 66.67\% | 202,406,800 | 280,562,328 | 92.41\% | 78,155,528 |
| Charges for Services | 110,974,800 | 66.67\% | 73,983,200 | 82,028,989 | 73.92\% | 8,045,789 |
| Licenses and Permits | 56,947,100 | 66.67\% | 37,964,733 | 51,241,770 | 89.98\% | 13,277,036 |
| Intergovernmental Revenues | 65,936,900 | 66.67\% | 43,957,933 | 40,648,396 | 61.65\% | $(3,309,538)$ |
| Franchise and Other Taxes | 106,503,600 | 66.67\% | 71,002,400 | 55,794,341 | 52.39\% | $(15,208,059)$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | 13,443,900 | 66.67\% | 8,962,600 | 10,348,237 | 76.97\% | 1,385,637 |
| Other | 4,868,500 | 66.67\% | 3,245,667 | 6,994,770 | 143.67\% | 3,749,103 |
| Investment Earnings (Loss) | 1,700,000 | 66.67\% | 1,133,333 | 1,657,772 | 97.52\% | 524,439 |
| Proceeds from Sale of Properties | 34,000 | 66.67\% | 22,667 | 506,124 | 1488.60\% | 483,457 |
| Transfers In | 12,104,100 | 66.67\% | 8,069,400 | 4,065,320 | 33.59\% | $(4,004,080)$ |
| Total | 676,123,100 | 66.67\% | 450,748,733 | 533,848,046 | 78.96\% | 83,099,313 |




Two of the largest components of the budgeted revenue for the General Fund are Property Taxes and Solid Waste Fees (Included in the category of Charges for Services); which are collected between the months of December and April. As of May 2017, Property Taxes collected were close to $92 \%$ of the annual budget and the total collected revenues were almost $79 \%$ of the annual General Fund budget, showing a positive trend for the fiscal year
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## EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

## Expenditures by Function

| Expenditures | FY 2016 YTD | \% of Total Exp <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | FY 2017 YTD** | \% of Total Exp <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Variance FY16 <br> vs FY17 | \% Variance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public Safety | $\$$ | $253,122,713$ | $61.83 \%$ | $\$$ | $269,972,992$ | $61.99 \%$ | $\$$ | $16,850,279$ |



The total General Fund expenditures as of May 2017 were $\$ 435,544,283$. Public Safety was the largest expenditure with $62 \%$ of total expenditures for the General Fund.


Compared to the total expenditures of the General Fund as of May 2016, the figure as of May 2017 is higher by $6.4 \%$. The increase was mainly due to growth in the Public Safety category by $6.66 \%$ and in the Other category by $18.31 \%$

Expenditures Budget to Actual

| ANALYSIS BY GOVERNMENT FUNCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures | 2017 Amended Budget* | \% of Year completedBudget** | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \text { Budget } \\ & \text { YTD } \end{aligned}$ | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) |
| Public Safety | 363,781,600 | 66.67\% | 242,521,067 | 269,972,992 | 74.21\% | 27,451,925 |
| General Government | 161,855,100 | 66.67\% | 107,903,400 | 63,027,039 | 38.94\% | $(44,876,361)$ |
| Public Works | 75,346,400 | 66.67\% | 50,230,933 | 51,431,151 | 68.26\% | 1,200,218 |
| Other | 58,223,500 | 66.67\% | 38,815,667 | 38,475,936 | 66.08\% | $(339,731)$ |
| Planning and Development | 16,916,500 | 66.67\% | 11,277,667 | 12,637,164 | 74.70\% | 1,359,498 |
| Total | 676,123,100 | 66.67\% | 450,748,733 | 435,544,283 | 64.42\% | $(15,204,451)$ |



| ANALYSIS MONTH BY MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended <br> Budget (Year)* | \% of Year completedBudget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals YTD*** | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |
| October | 676,123,100 | 8.33\% | 56,343,592 | 189,416,649 | 28.02\% | 133,073,057 |
| November | 676,123,100 | 16.67\% | 112,687,183 | 223,394,019 | 33.04\% | 110,706,835 |
| December | 676,123,100 | 25.00\% | 169,030,775 | 264,409,127 | 39.11\% | 95,378,352 |
| January | 676,123,100 | 33.33\% | 225,374,367 | 298,644,821 | 44.17\% | 73,270,454 |
| February | 676,123,100 | 41.67\% | 281,717,958 | 329,563,634 | 48.74\% | 47,845,676 |
| March | 676,123,100 | 50.00\% | 338,061,550 | 364,327,996 | 53.88\% | 26,266,446 |
| April | 676,123,100 | 58.33\% | 394,405,142 | 397,861,168 | 58.84\% | 3,456,026 |
| May | 676,123,100 | 66.67\% | 450,748,733 | 435,544,852 | 64.42\% | $(15,203,882)$ |
| June | 676,123,100 | 75.00\% | 507,092,325 |  |  |  |
| July | 676,123,100 | 83.33\% | 563,435,917 |  |  |  |
| August | 676,123,100 | 91.67\% | 619,779,508 |  |  |  |
| September | 676,123,100 | 100.00\% | 676,123,100 |  |  |  |



The governmental function with the largest expenditures of the GF as of May, 2017 is Public Safety, which has used $74.2 \%$ of its budgeted capacity. Public Works, Planning and development and Other expenditures are also slightly above YTD budget with $68 \%, 75 \%$ and $66 \%$ respectively. Conversely, the General Government category is below with $38 \%$. Overall, the total expenditures for the GF as of May of 2017 are lower than the budget YTD by $\$ 15.2$ million, which indicates a positive trend. ****
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## Internal Service Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDG | T TO ACTU | AL |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) | $\begin{array}{ll} \stackrel{n}{0} & 80,000 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Gamma} & 70,000 \\ \stackrel{\sim}{c} & \end{array}$ |  |
| Oct | 84,456,300 | 8.33\% | 7,038,025 | 70,738,087 | 70,738,087 | 83.76\% | $(63,700,062)$ | $\stackrel{\text { 앋 }}{\stackrel{1}{5}} 60,000$ |  |
| Nov | 84,456,300 | 16.67\% | 14,076,050 | 1,173,740 | 71,911,827 | 85.15\% | $(57,835,777)$ |  |  |
| Dec | 84,456,300 | 25.00\% | 21,114,075 | 1,560,001 | 73,471,828 | 86.99\% | $(52,357,753)$ | 50,000 |  |
| Jan | 84,456,300 | 33.33\% | 28,152,100 | 1,235,868 | 74,707,696 | 88.46\% | $(46,555,596)$ | 40,000 |  |
| Feb | 84,456,300 | 41.67\% | 35,190,125 | 1,167,002 | 75,874,698 | 89.84\% | $(40,684,573)$ |  |  |
| Mar | 84,456,300 | 50.00\% | 42,228,150 | 1,530,273 | 77,404,971 | 91.65\% | $(35,176,821)$ | 30,000 |  |
| Apr | 84,456,300 | 58.33\% | 49,266,175 | 1,202,242 | 78,607,213 | 93.07\% | $(29,341,038)$ | 20,000 |  |
| May | 84,456,300 | 66.67\% | 56,304,200 | 1,193,179 | 79,800,392 | 94.49\% | $(23,496,192)$ | 10,000 |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(10,000)$ |  |

The Internal Service fund is used mainly to reflect the contribution from the departments to Health insurance, Workers compensation and other general costs such as the ones related to IT. As of May 31, $201794.49 \%$ of the total revenue budget had already been transferred to this fund in order to have it available for the corresponding payments.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Internal Service Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,253,808$ dollars or $2.23 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the year to date expenditures constitute 65.18\%
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## Section 2

## Special Revenue Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

Special revenue funds (SRF) are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purpose. The following are the SRF as of May 31, 2017:

- Community Development Services
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - OMNI)
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - SEOPW)
- Community Redevelopment Area (CRA - MIDTOWN)
- Homeless
- Economic Development \& Planning Services
- Fire Rescue Services
- NET Offices
- Parks and Recreation Services
- Police Services
- Law Enforcement Trust Fund
- Public Works Services
- City Clerk Services
- Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities
- Emergency Funds
- Community Development Services - SHIP
- Community Development Services - Section 8 Vouchers
- General Special Revenue
- Department Improvement Initiatives
- Transportation and Transit
- Public Facilities
- Liberty City Revitalization Trust
- Virginia Key Beach Park Trust
- Solid Waste Recycling Trust
- Civilian Investigative Panel


## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## REVENUE OVERVIEW

The primary sources of revenues for the SRF of the City of Miami consist of taxes, grants, assessments, and fees. As of May 31, 2017, year to date revenues were $\$ 85,425,383$. The revenues by fund are depicted in the following chart:

## REVENUE BY FUND



As revealed by the chart, CRA-SEOPW, CRA-OMNI and Community Development funds contribute approximately $61 \%$ of total revenues for the City's SRF. These funds show revenues of $\$ 19,486,648 ; \$ 18,646,251$ and $\$ 13,777,546$ respectively.

## Grant Revenues

The City receives the majority of its grant revenues from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Housing Opportunities for People with Aids (HOPWA) are the largest programs currently administered by the City. As of May 31, 2017, the City received CDBG and HOPWA revenues of approximately $\$ 2,426,564$ and $\$ 6,799,979$ respectively.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## EXPENDITURES OVERVIEW

The expenditures for the SRF were $\$ 65,503,323$ as of May 31, 2017. Community Development, CRA-SEOPW and CRA-OMNI Initiatives funds have the highest expenditures within the SRF. These funds represent approximately 49\% of total expenditures as demonstrated below:


## Non-Reimbursable Expenditures of Grant Programs

The Finance Department is responsible for reporting expenses incurred which are not reimbursable under grant programs per the Financial Integrity Principles, Chapter 18 of the Code of the City of Miami. For the month ending May 31, 2017 there are no non-reimbursable expenditures reported.

## City Clerk Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDG | T TO ACT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals (Month) *** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |  |
| Oct | 1,049,600 | 8.33\% | 87,467 | 13,833 | 13,833 | 1.32\% | 73,634 |  |  |
| Nov | 1,049,600 | 16.67\% | 174,933 | 2,416 | 16,249 | 1.55\% | 158,684 |  |  |
| Dec | 1,049,600 | 25.00\% | 262,400 | 14,072 | 30,321 | 2.89\% | 232,079 | 80 |  |
| Jan | 1,049,600 | 33.33\% | 349,867 | 3,620 | 33,941 | 3.23\% | 315,925 |  |  |
| Feb | 1,049,600 | 41.67\% | 437,333 | 55,083 | 89,024 | 8.48\% | 348,309 | 60 |  |
| Mar | 1,049,600 | 50.00\% | 524,800 | 21,319 | 110,343 | 10.51\% | 414,457 |  |  |
| Apr | 1,049,600 | 58.33\% | 612,267 | 21,797 | 132,140 | 12.59\% | 480,126 | 40 |  |
| May | 1,049,600 | 66.67\% | 699,733 | 15,971 | 148,111 | 14.11\% | 551,622 |  |  |
| Jun Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | - |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |

As of May 31, 2017 the revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 551,622$ dollars or $78.83 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $14.11 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Community Development Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Community Development Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 18,138,321$ dollars or $56.83 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $28.78 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^5] Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $27.33 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend
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## Civilian Investigative Panel

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31,2017 the Civilian Investigative Panel revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 168,467$ dollars or $34.32 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $89.54 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



As of May 31st, 2017, the Civilian Investigative Panel expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 174,779$ dollars or $35.60 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $43 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend
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## Departmental Improvement Initiative Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31,2017 the Departmental Improvement Initiative Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 7,391,277$ dollars or $74.45 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 17.03\%.

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year <br> (omplete - <br> Budget** | 2017 Budget <br> (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (Month)*** | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual <br> to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $14,891,500$ | $8.33 \%$ | $1,240,958$ | $1,083,188$ | $1,083,188$ | $7.27 \%$ | 157,771 |
| Nov | $14,891,500$ | $16.67 \%$ | $2,481,917$ | 174,435 | $1,257,623$ | $8.45 \%$ | $1,224,294$ |
| Dec | $14,891,500$ | $25.00 \%$ | $3,722,875$ | 446,689 | $1,704,312$ | $11.44 \%$ | $2,018,563$ |
| Jan | $14,891,500$ | $33.33 \%$ | $4,963,833$ | 433,033 | $2,137,344$ | $14.35 \%$ | $2,826,489$ |
| Feb | $14,891,500$ | $41.67 \%$ | $6,204,792$ | $1,204,471$ | $3,341,816$ | $22.44 \%$ | $2,862,976$ |
| Mar | $14,891,500$ | $50.00 \%$ | $7,445,750$ | 654,395 | $3,996,211$ | $26.84 \%$ | $3,449,539$ |
| Apr | $14,891,500$ | $58.33 \%$ | $8,686,708$ | 433,005 | $4,429,216$ | $29.74 \%$ | $4,257,492$ |
| May | $14,891,500$ | $66.67 \%$ | $9,927,667$ | 397,332 | $4,826,548$ | $32.41 \%$ | $5,101,118$ |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Emergency Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31,2017 the Emergency Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 33,936$ dollars or $50.9 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $32.73 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



As of May 31st, 2017, the Emergency Special Revenue Fund expenditures are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 76,301$ dollars or $114.45 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $142.97 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend
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## Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 6,247,714$ dollars or $71.33 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 19.11\%.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## General Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



■ 2015 ■ 2016 ■ 2017

As of May 31, 2017 the General Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 839,229$ dollars or 50.92\%. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $32.72 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 740,207$ dollars or $37.98 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $41.34 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,233,047$ dollars or $78.3 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $14.47 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 39.18\%.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## Midtown CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Midtown CRA Special Revenue revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,582,327$ dollars or $44.4 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $96.27 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## Liberty City Revitalization Trust Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDC | T TO ACT | AL |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | $\%$ of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month) }{ }^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |  |
| Oct | 740,990 | 8.33\% | 61,749 | - | - | 0.00\% | 61,749 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\ddagger} 300$ |  |
| Nov | 740,990 | 16.67\% | 123,498 | - | - | 0.00\% | 123,498 |  |  |
| Dec | 740,990 | 25.00\% | 185,248 | - | - | 0.00\% | 185,248 | 250 |  |
| Jan | 740,990 | 33.33\% | 246,997 | - | - | 0.00\% | 246,997 | 200 |  |
| Feb | 740,990 | 41.67\% | 308,746 | - | - | 0.00\% | 308,746 |  |  |
| Mar | 740,990 | 50.00\% | 370,495 | 5,625 | 5,625 | 0.76\% | 364,870 | 150 |  |
| Apr | 740,990 | 58.33\% | 432,244 | 3,950 | 9,575 | 1.29\% | 422,669 | 100 |  |
| May | 740,990 | 66.67\% | 493,993 | - | 9,575 | 1.29\% | 484,418 |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - -3 |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (50) |  |

As of May 31, 2017 the Liberty City Revitalization Trust Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 484,418$ dollars or $98.06 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 01.29\%.

## Expenditure Analysis
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## NET Offices \& Code Enforcement Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year) | \% of Year <br> complete <br> Budget** | 2017 Budget <br> (YTD) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> Actuals <br> (Month) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual <br> to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | - | $8.33 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Nov | - | $16.67 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Dec | - | $25.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Jan | - | $33.33 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Feb | - | $41.67 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Mar | - | $50.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Apr | - | $58.33 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| May | - | $66.67 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



* Figures provided by the Budget Department
** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
*** Unaudited figures


## OMNI CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the OMNI CRA Special Revenue revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 576,071$ dollars or $3.19 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $68.79 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



As of May 31st, 2017, the OMNI CRA Special Revenue expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 9,214,163$ dollars or $50.99 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $32.67 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend
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## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDG | T TO ACTU | AL |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $2017$ <br> Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month)**^ } \end{gathered}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |  |
| Oct | 1,899,100 | 8.33\% | 158,258 | 102,676 | 102,676 | 5.41\% | 55,583 | 읃 400 |  |
| Nov | 1,899,100 | 16.67\% | 316,517 | 83,024 | 185,700 | 9.78\% | 130,817 | 300 |  |
| Dec | 1,899,100 | 25.00\% | 474,775 | 298,812 | 484,511 | 25.51\% | $(9,736)$ | 200 |  |
| Jan | 1,899,100 | 33.33\% | 633,033 | 71,471 | 555,983 | 29.28\% | 77,051 | 200 |  |
| Feb | 1,899,100 | 41.67\% | 791,292 | 156,331 | 712,313 | 37.51\% | 78,978 | 100 |  |
| Mar | 1,899,100 | 50.00\% | 949,550 | 80,655 | 792,968 | 41.75\% | 156,582 |  |  |
| Apr | 1,899,100 | 58.33\% | 1,107,808 | 68,705 | 861,673 | 45.37\% | 246,135 |  | eb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Se |
| May | 1,899,100 | 66.67\% | 1,266,067 | 18,146 | 879,819 | 46.33\% | 386,247 | (100) |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (200) |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (300) |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (400) |  |

As of May 31, 2017 the Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 386,247$ dollars or $30.51 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $46.33 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Parks \& Recreation Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 933,749$ dollars or $73.75 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $17.5 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^26]
## Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 11,234,755$ dollars or $86.73 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $8.85 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^27][^28]
## Police Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Police Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 5,647,829$ dollars or $62.16 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $25.23 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^29][^30]
## Public Facilities Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



The main purpose for this SRF was to contribute to the payments of the Special Obligation Bond of the James L Knight Center, which was paid off in 2015.

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year <br> (omplete - <br> Budget** | 2017 Budget <br> (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (Month) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual <br> to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $5,537,400$ | $8.33 \%$ | 461,450 | 569,550 | 569,550 | $10.29 \%$ | $(108,100)$ |
| Nov | $5,537,400$ | $16.67 \%$ | 922,900 | $(744,072)$ | $(174,522)$ | $-3.15 \%$ | $1,097,422$ |
| Dec | $5,537,400$ | $25.00 \%$ | $1,384,350$ | 174,522 | - | $0.00 \%$ | $1,384,350$ |
| Jan | $5,537,400$ | $33.33 \%$ | $1,845,800$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $1,845,800$ |
| Feb | $5,537,400$ | $41.67 \%$ | $2,307,250$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $2,307,250$ |
| Mar | $5,537,400$ | $50.00 \%$ | $2,768,700$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $2,768,700$ |
| Apr | $5,537,400$ | $58.33 \%$ | $3,230,150$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $3,230,150$ |
| May | $5,537,400$ | $66.67 \%$ | $3,691,600$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $3,691,600$ |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^31]
## Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 3,117,707$ dollars or $41.07 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 39.29\%.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^32][^33]
## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

as of May 31, 2017

## Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 93,294$ dollars or $6 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 63\%.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^34][^35]
## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

SEOPW CRA Special Revenue

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDG | TO ACTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month) ${ }^{\star \star \star}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { n } & 20,000 \\ \stackrel{0}{c} & 18,000 \\ \stackrel{0}{0} & 16,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| Oct | 51,006,589 | 8.33\% | 4,250,549 | 94,387 | 94,387 | 0.19\% | 4,156,162 | -16,000 |  |
| Nov | 51,006,589 | 16.67\% | 8,501,098 | $(15,523)$ | 78,864 | 0.15\% | 8,422,234 | $\stackrel{14,000}{ }$ |  |
| Dec | 51,006,589 | 25.00\% | 12,751,647 | 18,454,176 | 18,533,040 | 36.33\% | $(5,781,393)$ | 12,000 |  |
| Jan | 51,006,589 | 33.33\% | 17,002,196 | 804,578 | 19,337,618 | 37.91\% | $(2,335,422)$ | 10,000 |  |
| Feb | 51,006,589 | 41.67\% | 21,252,745 | 52,278 | 19,389,896 | 38.01\% | 1,862,850 | 8,000 |  |
| Mar | 51,006,589 | 50.00\% | 25,503,295 | 9,331 | 19,399,227 | 38.03\% | 6,104,067 | 8,000 |  |
| Apr | 51,006,589 | 58.33\% | 29,753,844 | 34,859 | 19,434,086 | 38.10\% | 10,319,758 | 6,000 |  |
| May | 51,006,589 | 66.67\% | 34,004,393 | 52,562 | 19,486,648 | 38.20\% | 14,517,745 | 4,000 |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000 |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --_-_-_-_- |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | b Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,000)$ | b Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |

As of May 31, 2017 the SEOPW CRA Special Revenue revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 14,517,745$ dollars or $42.69 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $38.2 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^36][^37]
## SHIP Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the SHIP Special Revenue Fund revenues are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 478,555$ dollars or $63.49 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes 108.99\%.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^38] expenditures year to date constitute $91.94 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^39]
## Solid Waste Recycling Trust

## Revenue Analysis



## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Solid Waste Recycling Trust expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 95,000$ dollars or $96.94 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $2.04 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^40]
## Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 <br> Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |  |
| Oct | 24,542,100 | 8.33\% | 2,045,175 | 2,458 | 2,458 | 0.01\% | 2,042,717 |  |  |
| Nov | 24,542,100 | 16.67\% | 4,090,350 | $(2,603,801)$ | $(2,601,343)$ | -10.60\% | 6,691,693 | $\stackrel{6,000}{ }$ |  |
| Dec | 24,542,100 | 25.00\% | 6,135,525 | 5,274,544 | 2,673,201 | 10.89\% | 3,462,324 |  |  |
| Jan | 24,542,100 | 33.33\% | 8,180,700 | 7,135 | 2,680,336 | 10.92\% | 5,500,364 | 4,000 |  |
| Feb | 24,542,100 | 41.67\% | 10,225,875 | 1,125,244 | 3,805,580 | 15.51\% | 6,420,295 |  |  |
| Mar | 24,542,100 | 50.00\% | 12,271,050 | 1,250,050 | 5,055,630 | 20.60\% | 7,215,420 | 2,000 |  |
| Apr | 24,542,100 | 58.33\% | 14,316,225 | 1,878,907 | 6,934,536 | 28.26\% | 7,381,689 |  |  |
| May Jun | 24,542,100 | 66.67\% | 16,361,400 | 2,505 | 6,937,041 | 28.27\% | 9,424,359 |  | ec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,000)$ |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,000)$ |  |

As of May 31, 2017 the Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 9,424,359$ dollars or $58 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $28.27 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^41][^42]
## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Special Revenue Fund

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDC | T TO ACT | AL |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \text { (Month) }{ }^{\star \star \star} \end{gathered}$ | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variance } \\ \text { Budget - } \\ \text { Actuals (YTD) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} n_{n}^{300} \\ \stackrel{0}{c} \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{n} & 250 \end{array}$ |  |
| Oct | 877,000 | 8.33\% | 73,083 | 48,567 | 48,567 | 5.54\% | 24,517 |  |  |
| Nov | 877,000 | 16.67\% | 146,167 | 17,040 | 65,607 | 7.48\% | 80,560 |  |  |
| Dec | 877,000 | 25.00\% | 219,250 | 19,503 | 85,110 | 9.70\% | 134,140 | 200 |  |
| Jan | 877,000 | 33.33\% | 292,333 | 56,972 | 142,082 | 16.20\% | 150,251 |  |  |
| Feb | 877,000 | 41.67\% | 365,417 | 60,980 | 203,063 | 23.15\% | 162,354 | 150 |  |
| Mar | 877,000 | 50.00\% | 438,500 | 91,086 | 294,149 | 33.54\% | 144,351 |  |  |
| Apr | 877,000 | 58.33\% | 511,583 | 55,865 | 350,014 | 39.91\% | 161,569 | 100 |  |
| May | 877,000 | 66.67\% | 584,667 | 268,080 | 618,095 | 70.48\% | $(33,428)$ |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | $\cdots$ |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |

As of May 31, 2017 the Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Special Revenue Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 33,428$ dollars or $5.72 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $70.48 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^43] Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $50.87 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^44]
## Section 3

## Debt Service Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City of Miami has the following General Obligation Bonds, Special Obligation Bonds, Loans and Leases outstanding as of May 31, 2017.

| Type | Outstanding Debt | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| General Obligation Bonds | $\$ 173,305,000$ | $28 \%$ |
| Special Obligation Bonds | 430,411,263 | $69 \%$ |
| Loans and Leases | $20,283,916$ |  |
| TOTAL |  | $\$ 624,000,197$ |



## Community Redevelopment Agency 1990 Debt Service

## Revenue Analysis



CRA is a component unit and its Debt Service fund is not included in the budget of the City of Miami. Revenues for this fund are recorded between March and September.

## Expenditure Analysis



CRA is a component unit and its Debt Service fund is not included in the budget of the City of Miami. Expenditures for this fund are recorded between March and September.

[^45]
## General Obligation Bonds Debt Service Fund

## Revenue Analysis



Revenues recorded when Miami-Dade County remits the City's portion of taxes collected.

## Expenditure Analysis



Majority of debt service expenditures recorded in January and July timeframe, based on amortization schedule.

[^46]
## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## Special Obligation bonds, loans and leases Debt Service

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 Actuals (Month)*** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |  |  |  |
| Oct | 51,133,800 | 8.33\% | 4,261,150 | 234 | 234 | 0.00\% | 4,260,916 |  |  |  |
| Nov | 51,133,800 | 16.67\% | 8,522,300 | 333,607 | 333,841 | 0.65\% | 8,188,459 |  |  |  |
| Dec | 51,133,800 | 25.00\% | 12,783,450 | 333,884 | 667,725 | 1.31\% | 12,115,725 |  | 25,000 |  |
| Jan | 51,133,800 | 33.33\% | 17,044,600 | 333,627 | 1,001,353 | 1.96\% | 16,043,247 |  | 20,000 |  |
| Feb | 51,133,800 | 41.67\% | 21,305,750 | 333,480 | 1,334,833 | 2.61\% | 19,970,917 |  |  |  |
| Mar | 51,133,800 | 50.00\% | 25,566,900 | 333,794 | 1,668,627 | 3.26\% | 23,898,273 |  | 15,000 |  |
| Apr | 51,133,800 | 58.33\% | 29,828,050 | 334,067 | 2,002,694 | 3.92\% | 27,825,356 |  | 10,000 |  |
| May | 51,133,800 | 66.67\% | 34,089,200 | 356,623 | 2,359,317 | 4.61\% | 31,729,883 |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | b Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(5,000)$ |  |

Majority of the Revenue is received through an appropriation transfer in July or August timeframe.

## Expenditure Analysis



Periodic debt service payments made based on amortization schedule.

[^47]
## Section 4

## Capital Project Funds

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The financial resources of capital projects funds come from several different sources including general obligation bonds, state and federal government grants, and appropriations from the general or special revenue funds.

The City of Miami has six capital project funds, as follows:

- Community Redevelopment Agency - To account for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities for community redevelopment in the defined Community Redevelopment Area.
- Transportation and Transit - To account for expenditures for the improvement to infrastructure that enhances transportation options, improves safety, and increases mobility within city limits.
- General Obligation Bond Projects (G.O.B.) - To account for the receipt and disbursement of bond proceeds from general obligation debt to be used for constructions and/or acquisition activities for the City.
- Special Obligation Bond Projects (S.O.B.) - To account for the receipt and disbursement of bond proceeds from special obligation debt and loan agreements to be used for constructions and/or acquisition activities for the City.
- Impact Fee - To account for the collection of impact fees and the cost of capital improvement projects for the type of improvement for which the impact fee was imposed.
- Other Capital Projects - To account for and report on funds received from various resources (primarily from current revenues, Federal and State Grants) designated for construction projects.


## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

## REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES OVERVIEW

As of May 31, 2017, the total revenues for the capital projects funds were $\$ 19,810,544$. Impact Fee reflects the highest revenue levels as of May 31, 2017 with a total of $\$ 18,933,559$, which represents $96 \%$ of total revenues, as demonstrated below:


The total capital projects funds' expenditures as of May 31 , 2017 were $\$ 44,002,024$. Other Capital Projects make up 67\% of total expenditures for Capital Improvement Programs. The chart below depicts capital projects expenditures by fund as of May 31, 2017.

## EXPENDITURES BY FUND



## CRA Capital Projects Fund

## Revenue Analysis



CRA is a blended component unit of the City of Miami. This fund reflects the activity of the CRA Bonds proceeds series 2014, which is normally recorded in the books of the City in September.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^48]
## General Obligation Bonds

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year) | \% of Year <br> (omplete - <br> Budget ${ }^{\star \star}$ | 2017 Budget <br> (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (Month) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual <br> (o Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $25,233,415$ | $8.33 \%$ | $2,102,785$ | 568 | 568 | $0.00 \%$ | $2,102,217$ |
| Nov | $25,233,415$ | $16.67 \%$ | $4,205,569$ | $(386)$ | 182 | $0.00 \%$ | $4,205,387$ |
| Dec | $25,233,415$ | $25.00 \%$ | $6,308,354$ | 1,486 | 1,668 | $0.01 \%$ | $6,306,686$ |
| Jan | $25,233,415$ | $33.33 \%$ | $8,411,138$ | 1,119 | 2,787 | $0.01 \%$ | $8,408,352$ |
| Feb | $25,233,415$ | $41.67 \%$ | $10,513,923$ | 708 | 3,495 | $0.01 \%$ | $10,510,428$ |
| Mar | $25,233,415$ | $50.00 \%$ | $12,616,708$ | 305 | 3,800 | $0.02 \%$ | $12,612,908$ |
| Apr | $25,233,415$ | $58.33 \%$ | $14,719,492$ | 592 | 4,392 | $0.02 \%$ | $14,715,100$ |
| May | $25,233,415$ | $66.67 \%$ | $16,822,277$ | 1,208 | 5,600 | $0.02 \%$ | $16,816,677$ |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,000 |  |
|  | 3,500 |  |
|  | 3,000 |  |
|  | 2,500 |  |
|  | 2,000 |  |
|  | 1,500 |  |
|  | 1,000 |  |
|  | 500 |  |
|  | (500) | eb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep |

## Expenditure Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | 2017 <br> Amended <br> Budget <br> (Year) | \% of Year <br> (complete - <br> Budget ${ }^{* *}$ | 2017 Budget <br> (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (Month) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (YTD) | YTD Actual <br> to Budget | Variance <br> Budget - <br> Actuals (YTD) |
| Oct | $25,233,415$ | $8.33 \%$ | $2,102,785$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $2,102,785$ |
| Nov | $25,233,415$ | $16.67 \%$ | $4,205,569$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $4,205,569$ |
| Dec | $25,233,415$ | $25.00 \%$ | $6,308,354$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $6,308,354$ |
| Jan | $25,233,415$ | $33.33 \%$ | $8,411,138$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $8,411,138$ |
| Feb | $25,233,415$ | $41.67 \%$ | $10,513,923$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $10,513,923$ |
| Mar | $25,233,415$ | $50.00 \%$ | $12,616,708$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | $12,616,708$ |
| Apr | $25,233,415$ | $58.33 \%$ | $14,719,492$ | 4,180 | 4,180 | $0.02 \%$ | $14,715,312$ |
| May | $25,233,415$ | $66.67 \%$ | $16,822,277$ | $(4,180)$ | - | $0.00 \%$ | $16,822,277$ |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^49]
## Impact Fee

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31,2017 the Impact Fee revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 347,434$ dollars or $1.8 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $65.47 \%$.

## Expenditure Analysis



[^50] to date constitute $17.33 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^51]
## Other Capital Projects Fund

## Revenue Analysis



As of May 31, 2017 the Other Capital Projects Fund revenues are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 271,752,039$ dollars or $96.16 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated revenue year to date constitutes $2.56 \%$

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Other Capital Projects Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 253,021,576$ dollars or $89.53 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $6.98 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^52]
## Special Obligation Bonds

## Revenue Analysis



## Expenditure Analysis



[^53]
## Transportation and Transit

## Revenue Analysis

| BUDGET TO ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { n } & 8,000 \\ \text { 두N } \\ \tilde{0} & 7,000 \\ \tilde{n} & \\ \stackrel{c}{F} & 6,000 \end{array}$ |  | REVENUE TRENDS FY 2015-2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 2017 Amended Budget (Year)* | \% of Year complete Budget** | 2017 Budget (YTD) | 2017 <br> Actuals <br> (Month)*** | 2017 Actuals (YTD) | YTD Actual to Budget | Variance Budget Actuals (YTD) |  |  |  |  |
| Oct | 24,268,629 | 8.33\% | 2,022,386 | - | - | 0.00\% | 2,022,386 |  |  |  |  |
| Nov | 24,268,629 | 16.67\% | 4,044,772 | - | - | 0.00\% | 4,044,772 |  |  |  |  |
| Dec | 24,268,629 | 25.00\% | 6,067,157 | - | - | 0.00\% | 6,067,157 |  | 5,000 |  |  |
| Jan | 24,268,629 | 33.33\% | 8,089,543 | - | - | 0.00\% | 8,089,543 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb | 24,268,629 | 41.67\% | 10,111,929 | - | - | 0.00\% | 10,111,929 |  | 4,000 |  |  |
| Mar | 24,268,629 | 50.00\% | 12,134,315 | - | - | 0.00\% | 12,134,315 |  |  |  |  |
| Apr | 24,268,629 | 58.33\% | 14,156,700 | - | - | 0.00\% | 14,156,700 |  | 3,000 |  |  |
| May | 24,268,629 | 66.67\% | 16,179,086 | - | - | 0.00\% | 16,179,086 |  | 2,000 |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |

Revenues for the Transportation and Transit capital fund are transferred from July to September.

## Expenditure Analysis



Consistently, the Transportation and Transit expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 14,591,667$ dollars or $90.19 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $6.54 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^54]
# Section 5 

## Cash Position

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

As of May 31, 2017, the City of Miami had a balance of cash in the bank of $\$ 648,793,459$. This balance of cash represents funds that are restricted, encumbered and appropriated along with other funds that are available for general operations of the City.


Of the total balance of cash in the bank, the following amounts are restricted and cannot be used for General Fund operations:
$\Rightarrow$ Special Revenue of $\$ 131,395,140$. Special revenues are specific revenue sources that are legally restricted for expenditure for particular purposes. Examples include Storm Water Fee, Miami-Dade Tourist Tax, etc.
$\Rightarrow$ Debt Service of $\$ 5,358,969$. Debt Service funds represents those dollars that are required to be set aside to pay interest and principal on bonds outstanding.
$\Rightarrow$ Capital projects of $\$ 217,730,994$. Capital Projects represent those dollars that have been appropriated for specific capital construction projects.
$\Rightarrow$ Trust and Agency of $\$ 0.0$ Trust and Agency funds represent those dollars that are legally restricted for expenditures. Example: Elected Officials Retirement Trust.

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

In addition, some of the cash in the bank is classified as deposits refundable or deferred items that cannot be used. The amount of these funds as of May 31, 2017 is $\$ 24,645,234$.

The remaining amount of the total balance of cash in the bank as of May 31, 2017 that is available for General Fund Operations is $\$ 294,308,355$.

Cash Balance as of 05-31-16 and 05-31-17


City of Miami
Cash Position
All Funds
As of May 31, 2017

| DESCRIPTION | 4/30/2017 |  | 5/31/2017 | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL LEDGER CASH BALANCE | \$ 91,666,854 | \$ | 99,354,893 | \$ | 7,688,039 |
| LESS: O/S CHECKS AND PAYROLL LIABIIITIES | $(5,090,753)$ |  | $(5,241,001)$ |  | $(150,248)$ |
| PLUS: OPERATING INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO | 583,088,424 |  | 554,679,566 |  | $(28,408,858)$ |
| TOTAL POOLED CASH | \$669,664,526 | \$ | 648,793,459 | \$ | $(20,871,067)$ |


| RESTRICTED CASH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIAL REVENUE | \$133,479,016 | \$ | 131,395,140 | \$ | $(2,083,876)$ |
| DEBT SERVICE | 8,549,476 |  | 5,358,969 |  | $(3,190,507)$ |
| CAPITAL PROJECTS | 224,096,842 |  | 217,730,994 |  | $(6,365,848)$ |
| TRUST \& AGENCY | - |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL FUND CASH AVAILABLE FOR OPERATION | \$303,539,192 | \$ | 294,308,355 | \$ | $(9,230,837)$ |
| LESS: GENERAL FUND ENCUMBRANCES | - |  | - |  | - |
| LESS: GENERAL FUND DEPOSITS REFUNDABLE | (12,472,929) |  | $(12,615,371)$ |  | $(142,442)$ |
| LESS: GENERAL FUND DEFERRED ITEMS | (12,047,914) |  | $(12,029,863)$ |  | 18,051 |
| LESS: GENERAL FUND DESIGNATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| NON SPENDABLE | (2,009,736) |  | (2,009,736) |  |  |
| RESTRICTED | $(51,908,663)$ |  | $(51,908,663)$ |  |  |
| ASSIGNED | $(21,116,250)$ |  | $(21,116,250)$ |  |  |
| UNASSIGNED | (56,486,700) |  | $(56,486,700)$ |  |  |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND DESIGNATIONS | (131,521,349) |  | (131,521,349) |  | - |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND CASH AVAILABLE NET OF OBLIGATIONS | \$147,497,000 | \$ | 138,141,772 | \$ | (9,355,229) |

# Section 6 

Investments

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City of Miami's Investment Portfolio is in compliance with the City's Adopted Investment Policy. The investment portfolio is comprised of the following:

| Investment | Percentage \% of Portfolio | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| US Treasury | $30.86 \%$ | $0.7734 \%$ |
| US Instruments/ Agency | $37.20 \%$ | $0.9609 \%$ |
| Corporate Notes | $7.61 \%$ | $1.4508 \%$ |
| Commercial Paper | $24.33 \%$ | $1.1547 \%$ |



The largest portion of the portfolio, 37.20\%, is invested in US Federal Instruments, which are comprised of US Government Agencies including FHLB, FHLMC, FNMA, and FFCB. As of May 31,2017 the rate of return was $0.9493 \%$.

Monthly yields for FY 2017 are as follows:

| Investment | Yield | Treasury 1 Yr Yield \% | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 2016 | 0.8156 | 0.6600 | 0.1556 |
| November 2016 | 0.8009 | 0.8000 | 0.0009 |
| December 2016 | 0.8203 | 0.8500 | $(0.0297)$ |
| January 2017 | 0.8521 | 0.8400 | 0.0121 |
| February 2017 | 0.8680 | 0.8800 | $(0.0120)$ |
| March 2017 | 0.8960 | 1.0300 | $(0.1465)$ |
| April 2017 | 0.9440 | 1.0700 | $(0.1260)$ |
| May 2017 | 0.9493 | 1.1700 | $(0.2207)$ |
| Total | 0.8763 | 0.9354 | $(0.0591)$ |

A comparison of actual interest income for the eight months ended May 31, 2017 is represented as follows:

|  | Interest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Budgeted | Earned | Cumulative |
| General Fund | 2,300,000 |  |  |
| Oct-16 |  | 156,901 | 156,901 |
| Nov-16 |  | 131,915 | 288,816 |
| Dec-16 |  | 230,826 | 519,642 |
| Jan-17 |  | 309,106 | 828,748 |
| Feb-17 |  | 285,214 | 1,113,962 |
| Mar-17 |  | 300,238 | 1,414,200 |
| Apr-17 |  | 304,937 | 1,719,138 |
| May-17 |  | 307,927 | 2,027,064 |
| Totals | 2,300,000 | 2,027,064 |  |
| Special Revenue Fund |  |  |  |
| Oct-16 |  | 25,090 | 25,090 |
| Nov-16 |  | 29,658 | 54,748 |
| Dec-16 |  | 51,577 | 106,326 |
| Jan-17 |  | 51,509 | 157,835 |
| Feb-17 |  | 53,148 | 210,983 |
| Mar-17 |  | 58,505 | 269,488 |
| Apr-17 |  | 56,202 | 325,690 |
| May-17 |  | 59,223 | 384,913 |
| Totals | - | 384,913 |  |

Projection of General Fund Interest Income for FY 2016-2017

| Month | Cash <br> Balance | Interest <br> Rate | Monthly Interest City Portfolio | Monthly Interest Non City Portfolio | Monthly Total | Cumulative Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual YTD |  |  | 2,023,719 | 3,345 |  | 2,027,064 |
| June | 352,188,077 | 0.6800\% | 199,573 | 1,000 | 200,573 | 2,227,638 |
| July | 321,505,268 | 0.6800\% | 182,186 | 1,000 | 183,186 | 2,410,824 |
| August | 292,507,250 | 0.6800\% | 165,754 | 1,000 | 166,754 | 2,577,578 |
| September | 267,213,590 | 0.6800\% | 151,421 | 1,000 | 152,421 | 2,729,999 |
|  |  |  | 2,722,654 | 7,345 | 702,935 |  |

## City of Miami

## Cash Management Pool

Portfolio Characteristics
As of May 31, 2017

| Investment Vehicle | Book <br> Value | Market | \% of Portfolio |  |  | Maturity |  |  | Curr. Mon. Rate of Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Actual Month End | Maximum |  | Actual Month End | Maximum |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | During Year | By Policy |  | During Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Policy } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Government Obilgations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T Notes | 131,193,720 | 131,116,796 | 23.65\% | 23.65\% | 100\% | 20 mos. | 23 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.7376\% |
| T Bills | 39,877,027 | 39,864,200 | 7.21\% | 7.21\% | 100\% | 5 mos. | 6 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.8906\% |
| Government Obilgations | 171,070,746 | 170,980,996 | 30.86\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7734\% |
| Federal Instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB | 71,633,568 | 71,468,138 | 12.92\% | 12.92\% | 75\% | 22 mos. | 24 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.8982\% |
| FHLB DN | - | - | 0.00\% | 14.37\% | 75\% | 0 mos. | 6 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.0000\% |
| FHLMC | 35,654,275 | 35,588,659 | 6.43\% | 6.67\% | 75\% | 11 mos . | 18 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.8395\% |
| FHLMC DN | 22,996,299 | 22,994,940 | 4.15\% | 4.15\% | 75\% | 1 mos. | 7 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.5681\% |
| FNMA | 42,489,856 | 42,373,369 | 7.63\% | 8.62\% | 75\% | 16 mos . | 23 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.9070\% |
| FNMA DN | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 75\% | 0 mos. | 3 mos. | 66 mos. | 0.0000\% |
| FFCB | 33,704,715 | 33,639,686 | 6.08\% | 10.97\% | 75\% | 12 mos . | 19 mos. | 66 mos . | 0.9501\% |
| FFCB DN | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 75\% | 0 mos. | 0 mos. | 0 mos. | 0.0000\% |
| Federal Instruments | 206,478,714 | 206,064,792 | 37.20\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9609\% |
| Money Market: Treasury | - | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100\% | mos. | mos. | na mos. | 0.0000\% |
| Corporate Notes | 42,423,978 | 42,431,204 | 7.61\% | 7.61\% | 25\% | 27 mos. | $27 \mathrm{mos}$. | 66 mos . | 1.4508\% |
| Commercial Paper: | 134,706,128 | 134,723,011 | 24.33\% | 32.16\% | 35\% | 4 mos. | 7 mos . | 9 mos . | 1.1547\% |
| Totals | 554,679,566 | 554,200,003 | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9493\% |

## Section 7

## Cash Payments Received on

## Major Accounts Receivables

## ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

## MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

The City currently records receivables when they are billed. The major receivables consist of the different categories shown below, of which Business Tax Receipts represents $47 \%$ and Certificate of Use represents 30\%. The City of Miami billed the Business Tax Receipts for FY17 early in July2016 for a total of $\$ 7,993,431.00$. The Certificate of Use for FY17 were billed early in July-2016 for a total of $\$ 6,285,411.50$. The Burglar Alarm for FY17 was billed in August 5, 2016 for a total of $\$ 719,055.00$. All other major receivables are billed throughout the year. The graph below depicts the percentage of each major category of receivables (Billings net of adjustments and cash receipts) as of May 31, 2017.


City of Miami
Cash Payments Received and Aging on Major Account Receivables
As of May 31, 2017

| Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Accounts Receivable | YTD | Collections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accounts <br> Receivable |
| Description | Type | 10/1/2016 | Billings Net of Adjustments | Oct-16 | Nov-16 | Dec-16 | Jan-17 | Feb-17 | Mar-17 | Apr-17 | May-17 | YTD | 5/31/2017 |
| Trash Citation Lien- Code Enf. | AL09 | 133,971.66 | 18,379.42 | (5,938.54) | $(9,825.88)$ | $(4,055.14)$ | $(3,356.50)$ | $(3,052.49)$ | $(3,693.22)$ | $(2,886.15)$ | $(4,906.97)$ | $(37,714.89)$ | 114,636.19 |
| Code Enf. Board-Hearing Fee Monthly Revolving | AL38 | 737,786.64 | (157,770.78) | $(14,850.95)$ | (11,057.24) | (25,584.71) | $(1,999.00)$ | (1.00) | $(20,056.61)$ | $(7,973.94)$ | (16,537.44) | $(98,060.89)$ | 481,954.97 |
| Code Enforcement Ticketing | AL82 | 425,136.86 | 107,196.10 | $(22,162.99)$ | (11,517.80) | (11,685.50) | (13,615.50) | (11,981.46) | $(24,019.99)$ | $(9,948.76)$ | $(9,800.00)$ | (114,732.00) | 417,600.96 |
| Trash Citation Lien Solid Waste Dept. | AL9A | 322,770.57 | 188,547.53 | (13,772.11) | $(9,404.90)$ | $(5,561.41)$ | $(6,344.56)$ | $(4,598.02)$ | (7,922.10) | (21,355.76) | $(28,744.29)$ | $(97,703.15)$ | 413,614.95 |
| Burglar Alarms | BA11 | 415,928.61 | 81,668.67 | (87,872.17) | $(61,128.86)$ | $(40,930.92)$ | $(20,238.00)$ | (13,646.05) | (8,659.03) | $(6,450.71)$ | $(4,761.15)$ | (243,686.89) | 253,910.39 |
| Cert of Use/Supp Waste | CU01 | 3,600,166.78 | 40,134.85 | (501,315.41) | $(281,661.71)$ | $(180,223.25)$ | $(111,579.43)$ | (103,331.76) | $(85,060.13)$ | (71,837.62) | (72,981.45) | (1,407,990.76) | 2,232,310.87 |
| Business Tax Receipts | BTR01 | 4,754,440.23 | 688,150.38 | (955,558.56) | $(346,973.68)$ | $(175,906.52)$ | (109,717.41) | (104,016.95) | (89,514.42) | (82,696.53) | (76,562.97) | (1,940,947.04) | 3,501,643.57 |
| Totals |  | 10,390,201.35 | 966,306.17 | (1,601,470.73) | $(731,570.07)$ | $(443,947.45)$ | $(266,850.40)$ | $(240,627.73)$ | $(238,925.50)$ | $(203,149.47)$ | (214,294.27) | ( $3,940,835.62$ ) | 7,415,671.90 |

* The YTD Billing column represents any new licenses and adjustments for the current fiscal year

| Aging Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivable Aging | Type | Amount | Under 30 | 30-59 | 60-89 | 90-119 | 120 \& Over |
| Trash Citation Lien- Code Enf. | AL09 | 114,636.19 | 1,601.87 | - | 79.00 | - | 112,955.32 |
| Code Enf. Board-Hearing Fee Monthly Revolving | AL38 | 481,954.97 | 19,161.60 | 682.98 | 561.53 | 520.49 | 461,028.37 |
| Code Enforcement Ticketing | AL82 | 417,600.96 | 51,350.00 | 1,000.00 | 12,850.00 | - | 352,400.96 |
| Trash Citation Lien Solid Waste Dept. | AL9A | 413,614.95 | 70,290.48 | 41,446.18 | 533.39 | - | 301,344.90 |
| Burglar Alarms | BA11 | 253,910.39 | - | 89.51 | - | - | 253,820.88 |
| Cert of Use/Supp Waste | CU01 | 2,232,310.87 | 1,245.50 | 3,312.38 | 155.83 | 709.92 | 2,226,887.24 |
| Business Tax Receipts | BTR01 | 3,501,643.57 | - | - | - | - | 3,501,643.57 |
| Totals |  | 7,415,671.90 | 143,649.45 | 46,531.05 | 14,179.75 | 1,230.41 | 7,210,081.24 |

Note:

1) City of Miami's Reserve Policy is to fully reserve all receivables that are 60 Days due or older.

[^0]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }_{* * *}^{* *}$ This should be used as a general guide, since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^1]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ** This should be used as a general guide, since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures
    **** Correction to April's Report: The expenditures for the GF were higher than the budget YTD by $\$ 3.5$ millon.

[^2]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^3]:    Consistently, the City Clerk Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 611,805$ dollars or $87.43 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $8.38 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^4]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^5]:    Consistently, the Community Development Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 18,832,780$ dollars or $59.01 \%$. Relative to the Amended

[^6]:    Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^7]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    *** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^8]:    Consistently, the Departmental Improvement Initiative Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 5,101,118$ dollars or $51.38 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $32.41 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^9]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^10]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    *** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^11]:    Consistently, the Fire Rescue Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 6,107,554$ dollars or $69.73 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $20.18 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^12]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^13]:    Consistently, the General Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,066,242$ dollars or $64.69 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $23.54 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^14]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^15]:    Consistently, the Homeless Program Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 218,279$ dollars or $11.2 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $59.2 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^16]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^17]:    Consistently, the Law Enforcement Trust Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,033,535$ dollars or $65.63 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $22.92 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^18]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^19]:    Consistently, the Miami Ballpark Parking Facilities Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 2,789,852$ dollars or $63.42 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $24.39 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^20]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^21]:    Consistently, the Midtown CRA Special Revenue expenditures are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 1,532,861$ dollars or $43.01 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $95.34 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^22]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^23]:    Consistently, the Liberty City Revitalization Trust Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 271,619$ dollars or $54.98 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $30.01 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^24]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^25]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^26]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^27]:    Consistently, the Planning \& Economic Development Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 12,831,698$ dollars or $99.06 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $0.63 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^28]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^29]:    Consistently, the Police Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 4,083,304$ dollars or $44.94 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $36.71 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^30]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^31]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^32]:    Consistently, the Public Works Services Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 6,135,834$ dollars or $80.82 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $12.78 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^33]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^34]:    Consistently, the Section 8 Vouchers Program Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 87,435$ dollars or $5.69 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $62.87 \%$. Overall, the revenues are lower than the expenditures, resulting in an unfavorable trend

[^35]:    Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^36]:    Consistently, the SEOPW CRA Special Revenue expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 16,333,109$ dollars or $48.03 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $34.65 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^37]:    Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^38]:    Consistently, the SHIP Special Revenue Fund expenditures are higher than the Amended Budget by $\$ 285,749$ dollars or $37.91 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated

[^39]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* * *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^40]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^41]:    Consistently, the Transportation and Transit Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 10,625,312$ dollars or $64.94 \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year to date constitute $23.37 \%$. Overall, the revenues are higher than the expenditures, resulting in a favorable trend

[^42]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^43]:    Consistently, the Virginia Key Beach Park Trust Special Revenue Fund expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 138,511$ dollars or $23.69 \%$. Relative to the Amended

[^44]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^45]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^46]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^47]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^48]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^49]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department. To be adjusted in a future period
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^50]:    Consistently, the Impact Fee expenditures are lower than the Amended Budget by $\$ 14,267,753$ dollars or $74 . \%$. Relative to the Amended Budget, the accumulated expenditures year

[^51]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department. To be adjusted in a future period
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^52]:    *igures provided by the Budget Department. To be adjusted in a future period.
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^53]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department. To be adjusted in a future period.
    ${ }^{* *}$ This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

[^54]:    * Figures provided by the Budget Department
    ** This should be used only as a general guide since most Revenue and Expenditure do not follow a linear pattern.
    *** Unaudited figures

