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INTRODUCTION

On July 25, 2002, and October 14, 2004, the City Commission passed and adopted Ordinance Numbers 12258 and 12599, respectively, amending Chapter 22, Articles I, II, and III of the City Code. A total of 25 firms signed the Commercial Solid Waste Franchise Agreement (Agreement), which authorized them to operate commercial solid waste hauling services in the City of Miami for the period starting November 1, 2004 through September 30, 2009, with a three year renewal option period through September 30, 2012. The option to renew the Agreement for an additional three year period will be at the sole discretion of the City.

Article V of the Agreement titled “Franchise Fees” stipulates that the following fees be assessed and collected:

- Gross Receipts Franchise Fees. In accordance with Article II, Section 2.2 of the Agreement, the term “Gross Receipts” shall mean, “all monies whether paid by cash, check, debit or credit, collected from customers for garbage, solid waste, fuel surcharge, construction and demolition debris, roofing materials, trash, litters, refuse and/or rubbish collection removal and disposal services rendered, or from any other source related directly from waste collection services by the FRANCHISEE, exclusive of taxes as provided by law, whether wholly or partially collected within the CITY, less bad debts.” During the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2004, the franchisee was required to remit to the City 20% of the gross receipts generated. Effective October 1, 2004, the rate increased to 22%. A one and one-half percent (1-1/2%) late payment penalty fee would be assessed per month on any balance due.

- Annual Franchise Fee. The sum of $5,000 (increased annually by $500, thus $6,000.00 was due for the audit period) for the right to provide commercial solid waste services and special waste handling services within the City. A one and
half percent (1-1/2%) late payment penalty fee would be assessed per month on any balance due.

• Annual Specialized Waste Handling Service Fee. The sum of $1,000 (increased annually by $500, thus $2,000 was due for the audit period) for the right to provide “Specialized Waste Handler” services within the City. Ordinance 12258, Section 22-1 defines “Specialized Waste Handlers” as companies whose primary business is limited to collecting and disposing of solid waste that requires special handling and management including, but not limited to, white goods (appliances), waste tires, used oil, lead-acid batteries, construction and demolition debris, ash residue, biomedical and biological waste. A one and one-half percent (1-1/2%) late payment penalty fee is assessed monthly on any balance due.

• Permit Per Account Fee. The franchisee is required to pay $50 for each account contracted within the City for commercial solid waste services and/or specialized waste handling services, including, each container and/or roll-off utilized by franchisee in the course of providing solid waste services. The franchisee may only pass on an amount not to exceed $24 of paid Permit Per Account Fee to each contracted customer. This fee is not transferable. A one and half percent (1-1/2%) late payment penalty fee is assessed monthly on any balance due.

During the fiscal year, October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007 the twenty five franchisees remitted a total of $12,855,449 to the City. AS is one of the franchisees selected for review to determine compliance with the provisions of the Franchise Agreement. A separate audit report will be issued for each of the franchisees audited.

The Solid Waste Department (SWD) is responsible for ensuring that commercial solid waste service accounts and applicable fees/transactions are properly assessed and paid to the City. The SWD is also responsible for monitoring the operations of the commercial
solid waste franchisees. This audit report describes whether AS and the SWD complied
with the terms of the Agreement and applicable Sections of the City Code.
SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

This audit was performed pursuant to the authority set forth in Section 48 of the City’s Charter titled, “Office of the Independent Auditor General”, and was conducted in accordance with the Fiscal Year 2008 Audit Plan. As part of our oversight responsibilities, the Office of the Independent Auditor General performs financial and operational audits to determine the extent of compliance with terms of contracts, programs, and/or lease agreements between the City and private companies. This audit focused primarily on whether All Service – Miami Dade Division (AS) and the City’s Solid Waste Department (SWD) complied with the terms of the Commercial Solid Waste Franchise Agreement (Agreement) and with Chapter 22 of the City’s Code, which govern the operation of commercial solid waste collection services in the City. The audit also included examinations of various transactions to determine whether they were processed in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles. The audit covered the period October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007 and focused on the following broad objectives:

- To ascertain whether all customer accounts located in the City were properly identified, coded, and assessed the appropriate fees.

- To determine whether all the applicable franchise fees as stipulated in Article V of the Agreement were properly computed and remitted to the City.

- To review the annual statement of gross receipts that was prepared by an independent Certified Public Accountant retained by AS. Additionally, to determine whether said statement was submitted to the SWD within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year.

- To ascertain whether the fees remitted to the City were properly recorded in the City’s accounting system and deposited into the City’s treasury.
• To verify whether the appropriate public liability insurance and bonds were obtained as required by Article VII of the Agreement.

• To examine the internal control policies and procedures of All Service and the City’s Solid Waste Department and determine whether they were adequate and effective in administering and overseeing the operation of commercial solid waste hauling services in the City.
METHODOLOGY

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence in order to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. The audit methodology included the following:

- Interviewed and inquired of appropriate personnel; reviewed and observed applicable written policies and procedures in order to gain an understanding of the internal controls; assessed control risk; and planned substantive testing.
- Performed substantive testing consistent with the audit objectives.
- Examined, on a test basis, applicable transactions and records.
- Determined compliance with all the objectives noted on pages 4 and 5.
- Performed other audit procedures as deemed necessary.
- Drew conclusions based on the results of the testing and made corresponding recommendations and obtained the auditee’s responses and corrective action plans.
AUDIT CONCLUSION

Based upon various test performed and the audit findings noted, we conclude that adequate internal control procedures were not in place to ensure that:

- All customer accounts located in the City were properly identified, coded, and assessed the appropriate fees.
- All applicable franchise fees were remitted to the City in a timely manner.

Based upon various test performed and the audit findings noted, we conclude that adequate internal controls were in place to ensure that:

- Franchise Fees remitted to the City were properly recorded in the City’s accounting system and deposited in the City’s Treasury.
- Appropriate public liability insurance was obtained as required by Article VII or the Agreement.
- The SWD obtained vehicle insurance certificates and the CPA statement as required by the City Code and the Agreement.

Overall, we conclude that the internal control policies and procedures in place at the All Service – Miami Dade Division and at the City’s Solid Waste Department were not adequate and effective in administering and overseeing the operation of commercial waste hauling services in the City.
SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

ALL SERVICE – MIAMI DADE DIVISION, SOLID WASTE, AND FINANCE DEPARTMENTS

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THE CITY CODE AND THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT

We conducted various audit tests, on a sample basis, to determine compliance with certain requirements of the City Code, the Commercial Solid Waste Franchise Agreement, and other guidelines. Our tests disclosed that All Service – Miami Dade Division, the Solid Waste Department (SWD), and the Finance Department (FD) materially complied with the following:

- The FD properly recorded the sampled payments made to the City by AS. The sampled payments received by the FD were also traced to the City’s treasury.

- AS complied with the vehicle insurance requirement as stipulated by Section 22-47 (4a) of the City Code.

- The SWD obtained vehicle insurance records as stipulated by Section 22-47(4)a of the City Code.

- The SWD obtained the CPA statement and the appropriate amount of surety bond was also obtained and retained on file as required by the City Code.
ALL SERVICE – MIAMI DADE DIVISION

ADDITIONAL FEES DUE TO THE CITY

Article V, Section 5.2 of the Agreement titled “Franchise Fees” stipulates certain fees the franchisee is required to remit to the City. Our review of All Service’s accounting and billing records disclosed that $32,691.43 is due to the City. See detailed discussions on pages 10 through 14.
AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ALL SERVICE – MIAMI DADE DIVISION

ADDITIONAL FEES DUE TO THE CITY

Article V, Section 5.2 of the Commercial Waste Franchise Agreement (Agreement) titled “Franchise Fees” stipulates the various franchise fees (FF) to be remitted to the City. All Service generated total gross receipts of $5,448,770 for services provided within the City during the audit period (fiscal year 2006-2007). The total gross receipts include revenues generated from commercial waste services and also from roll-off container accounts. The total FF remitted to the City during the audit period was $1,197,851. Our review of AS’s accounting and billing records disclosed that an additional $32,691.43 is due to the City as itemized below:

ANNUAL FEES

- Article V, Section 5.4 of the Agreement stipulates that franchisee agrees to remit to the City annually (Due October 1) the sum of $6,000 (increased annually by $500, thus $6,000 was due for the audit period) for the right to be a franchisee for Commercial Solid Waste Handling Services and Specialized Waste Handling Services within the City. This Section further states that a late payment penalty of one and one-half percent (1-1/2%) per month will be assessed on the balance due to the City. Our audit disclosed that AS remitted its annual fee for the fiscal year 2006-2007 on October 25, 2006 (1 month late) to the City. As a result of the late remittance, a late payment penalty fee of $90.00 is due to the City.
**PERMIT PER ACCOUNT FEES**

- Article V, Section 5.6 of the Agreement requires the franchisee to remit to the City annually (Due October 15) a permit per account fee (PPAF) in the amount of $50 for each account contracted for commercial solid waste handling services within the City, including each container and/or roll-off utilized by the franchisee. Said section of the Agreement further states that a late payment penalty of one and one-half percent (1-1/2%) per month will be assessed on the balance due to the City. Our audit of AS’s and City’s accounting records disclosed the following:

  - AS remitted its PPAF for the fiscal year 2006-2007 on October 25, 2006 (1 month late) to the City. As a result of the late remittance, a late fee of $1,443.00 is due to the City.

  - Also, AS did not remit to the City the $50 annual PPAF relative to thirty (30) commercial solid waste and one (1) roll-off container accounts for which it provided services to during the audit period. As a result, the unremitted PPAF and late fees totaling $2,084.75 ($1,550.00 + $534.75) are due to the City.

**FRANCHISE FEES (22%)**

- Article V, Section 5.2 of the Agreement states that Franchisee agrees to remit monthly to the City 22 percent (22%) of the Gross Receipts generated from accounts within the City limits, or $500 whichever is greater. The remittance of the previous month’s collection should be received by the City on or before the last day of each month. Failure to remit by the last day of the following month will result in a one and one-half percent (1-1/2%) penalty per month on the balance due. Our review of AS’s billing records and listing of customers in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and other municipalities disclosed that:
• AS remitted the 22% monthly Franchise Fee for the month of February 2007 one (1) month late. As a result of the late remittance, a late payment fee of $1,453.01 is due to the City.

• Pursuant to Section 22-56(b) of the City Code and Article V, Section 5.3 of the Agreement “The franchisee shall on or before 60 days following the close of each fiscal year deliver to the director a statement of its annual gross receipts generated from accounts within the City prepared by an independent certified public accountant reflecting gross receipts within the City for the preceding fiscal year.” Said statement of annual gross receipts dated November 19, 2007 determined that additional franchise fees totaling $878.28 was due to the City. AS remitted said amount to the City on December 20, 2007. However, the related late payment penalty totaling $134.30 is still due and payable to the City.

**MISCODED ACCOUNTS**

• Our review of All Service’s billing records and customer listings for the unincorporated Miami-Dade County and other municipalities for the audit period disclosed that 6 customer accounts located in the City were not properly coded and the related 22% franchise and the permit per account fees due from said accounts were not remitted to the City as required. All 6 accounts are Miami-Dade County (government) agencies located in the City of Miami that AS inadvertently assumed were exempt from the City’s franchise fees. However, said County agencies are not exempt from the assessment of franchise fees pursuant to
Chapter 22 of the City Code, which regulates the operation of commercial solid waste services in the City. As a result, the unremitted franchise fees, the permit per account fees, and applicable late/penalty fees totaling $25,811.97 ($16,964.97 + $600 + $8,247) are due to the City.

**THE COST OF CONDUCTING THIS AUDIT**

- Section 22-57(e) of the City Code and Article VI, Section 6.2 of the Agreement provides that a franchisee shall pay to the City the cost of conducting an audit, if said audit disclosed that a franchisee under-reported gross receipts, and the audit shows that additional revenue totaling $20,000 or more is due to the City. Our audit disclosed that additional revenue of $31,017.03 is due from All Services for the audit period October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007, which exceeded $20,000. Therefore, the related audit fee is $1,674.40.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Finance Department bill Waste All Service – Miami Dade Division (AS) for the total amount of $32,691.43 due and payable to the City. We also recommend that AS enhance its internal control procedures to ensure that service accounts located within the City boundaries are properly identified and coded and that the appropriate fees are remitted to the City in a timely manner and accompanied by a supporting listing of all the accounts, addresses and total amounts collected, as required by the Agreement.
Auditee’s Response and Action Plan:

The auditee concurred with all audit findings and recommendations. See written responses and pages 15 through 19.
September 9, 2008

Mr. Al Blease, General Manager
All Service – Miami Dade Division
8160 NW 93rd Street
Miami, Florida 33166

Re: Audit of All Service – Miami Dade Division (AS) – Audit #08-021

Dear Mr. Blease:

In connection with our audit of All Service – Miami Dade Division (AS) for the period October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007, and selected transactions prior and subsequent to this period, our audit disclosed that additional franchise fees totaling $32,691.43 (W/P T-4) is due to the City as summarized below:

I. Article V, Section 5.4 of the Commercial Solid Waste Franchise Fee Agreement (Agreement) stipulates that franchisee agrees to remit to the City annually (due October 1) the sum of $5,000 (increased annually by $500, thus $6,000 was due for the audit period) for the right to be a franchisee for Commercial Solid Waste Services and Specialized Waste Handling Services within the City. This Section further states that late payment penalty of one and half percent (1-1/2%) per month will be assessed on the balance due to the City. Our audit disclosed that AS remitted its annual franchise fee for the fiscal year 2006-2007 on October 25, 2006 (1 month late). As a result of the late remittance, a late fee of $90 is due to the City. (W/P T-7)
I agree  I disagree  Please initial:

Explanation

II. Article V. Section 5.6 of the Agreement requires the franchisee to remit to the City annually (due October 15) a permit per account fee (PPAF) in the amount of $50 for each account contracted for commercial solid waste handling services within the City, including each container and/or roll-off utilized by franchisee. This Section of the agreement further states that late payment penalty of a one and half percent (1 1/2 %) per month will be assessed on the balance due to the City. Our review of All Service's (AS) and the City Finance Department’s accounting records disclosed the following:

• AS remitted its PPAF for the fiscal year 2006-2007 on October 25, 2006 (1 month late). As a result a late penalty fee of $1,443.00 is due to the City. (W/P T-15)

• Also, we noted that AS did not remit to the City the $50 annual PPAF relative to thirty (30) commercial solid waste and one (1) roll-off container accounts that were serviced during the audit period. As a result, the un-remitted PPAF and late fees totaling $2,084.75 ($1,550 + $534.75) are due to the City. (W/P T-15)

I Agree  I Disagree  Please initial:

Explanation
III. Article V, Section 5.2 of the Commercial Solid Waste Franchise Agreement (Agreement) states that Franchisee agrees to remit monthly to the City 22 percent (22%) of its Gross Receipts generated from accounts within the City limits, or $500 whichever is greater. The remittance of the previous month's collection should be received by the City on or before the last day of each month. Failure to remit by the last day of the following month will result in one and half percent (1-1/2%) penalty per month on the balance due. Our review of AS’s billing records and listing of customers in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and other municipalities disclosed that:

- AS remitted the 22% monthly Franchise Fee for the month of February 2007 one (1) month late. As a result of the late remittance, a late payment fee of $1,453.01 is due to the City. (W/P T-104)

- Pursuant to Section 22-56(b) of the City Code and Article V, Section 5.3 of the Agreement “The franchisee shall on or before 60 days following the close of each fiscal year deliver to the director a statement of its annual gross receipts generated from accounts within the City prepared by an independent certified public accountant reflecting gross receipts within the City for the preceding fiscal year.” Said statement of annual gross receipts dated November 19, 2007 determined that additional franchise fees totaling $878.28 was due to the City. AS remitted said amount to the City on December 20, 2007. However, the related late payment penalty totaling $134.30 is still due and payable to the City. (W/P T-105)

Initial: [Signature]

I agree [x] I disagree [ ]

Explanation:

Please
Our review of All Service's customer listings for the unincorporated Miami-Dade County and other municipalities for the audit period disclosed that 6 customer accounts located in the City were not properly coded and the related 22% franchise fee and the permit per account fee due from said accounts were not remitted to the City as required. All 6 accounts are Miami-Dade County (government) agencies located in the City of Miami that AS inadvertently assumed were exempt from the City's franchise fees. However, said County agencies are not exempt from the assessment of franchise fees pursuant to Chapter 22 of the City Code, which regulates the operation of commercial solid waste services in the City. As a result, the unremitted franchise fees, the permit per account fees, applicable late/penalty fees totaling $25,811.97 ($16,964.97 + $600 + $8,247) are due to the City. (W/P T-260)

IV. Section 22.57(e) of the City Code and Article VI, Section 6.2 of the Agreement provides that a franchisee shall pay to the City the cost of conducting an audit, if said audit disclosed that a franchisee under-reported gross receipts, and the audit shows that additional revenue totaling $20,000 or more is due to the City. Our audit disclosed that additional revenue of $31,017.03 is due from All Services for the audit period October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007, which exceeded $20,000. Therefore, the related audit fee is $1,674.40. (W/P T-5)
Please confirm our understanding by indicating whether you agree or disagree with each of the observations described above by checking the appropriate box and include your initials on the space provided for each observation. Also, sign on the space provided below and return this memorandum to us. In the event that you disagree with any of the items listed above, please provide your written explanations and attach all supporting documents/records. Please respond by September 19, 2008.

A summary schedule is attached for your reference. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (305) 416-2042 or Victor Igwe (the Independent Auditor General) at (305) 416-2044.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Al Blease, General Manager

Date

Sincerely,

Pauline Garcia
Staff Auditor
Office of Independent Auditor General

C: Mario E. Soldevilla, Director, Solid Waste Department
    Steven Margolis, Principal Auditor, Solid Waste Department
    Victor Igwe, CPA, CIA, Auditor General
## EXHIBIT I

### ALL SERVICE - MIAMI DADE DIVISION

**SCHEDULE OF FEES DUE TO THE CITY**

**OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ITEMIZED TOTAL FEES DUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Franchise Fee</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50% Penalty on Late Remittance - Annual Franchise Fee</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permit Per Account Fee</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50% Penalty on Late Remittance - Permit Per Account Fee</td>
<td>1,443.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPAF on un-reported Accounts</td>
<td>2,084.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Franchise Fees (22%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Fees on Franchise Fees remitted late</td>
<td>1,453.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Fees on Late Payment of Unreported Receipts</td>
<td>134.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscoded Accounts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franchise Fees and Penalty on six (6) Miscoded Accounts</td>
<td>24,968.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Per Account Fee and Penalty on Miscoded Accounts</td>
<td>843.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sub-Total | $31,017.03 |
| Cost of Audit | 1,674.40 |

**TOTAL DUE TO THE CITY $32,691.43**